Brand sharing between alcoholic drinks and non-alcoholic offerings: A challenge to Ireland's restrictions on alcohol advertising

Article type: Letter to the editor

# Authors

Nathan Critchlow<sup>1</sup> (ORCID ID: <u>0000-0001-9145-8874</u>) Crawford Moodie<sup>1</sup> (ORCID ID: <u>0000-0002-1805-2509</u>) Frank Houghton<sup>2</sup> (ORCID ID: <u>0000-0002-7599-5255</u>)

# Affiliation:

<sup>1</sup> Institute for Social Marketing and Health, Faculty of Health Sciences and Sport, University of Stirling,

Stirling, Scotland

<sup>2</sup> Technological University of the Shannon, Social Sciences ConneXions, Limerick, Ireland

## **Corresponding author:**

Dr. Nathan Critchlow, Institute for Social Marketing and Health, Faculty of Health Sciences and Sport, University of Stirling, FK9 4LA; <u>nathan.critchlow@stir.ac.uk</u>; Tel: +44(0)1786 467382.

Word count: 880

Figures: 3

In November 2022, it will be three years since Section 14 of Ireland's Public Health (Alcohol) Act made it an offence to advertise alcohol on public transport (e.g., buses, trains, or light rail vehicles) and at designated boarding or alighting points (e.g., train stations, bus stops, or tram stops) [1]. This policy intervention is expected to contribute to a reduction in exposure to alcohol advertising given the high levels of footfall on public transport in Ireland [2] and high past-month awareness of this type of advertising prior to the ban [3].

Despite the restrictions, concerns have been raised about the continued presence of alcoholrelated cues on public transport in Ireland [4,5]. In Dublin, for example, advertising for beverages with zero alcohol-strength-by-volume (i.e., 0.0% ABV), but using the same brand iconography as a 'regular strength' alcohol product, has been observed on the display screens of bus shelters (Fig. 1) and a large electronic billboard affixed to a railway bridge (Fig. 2). Advertising for a tourist attraction carrying the same branding as a 'regular strength' alcohol product has also been observed affixed to the same railway bridge, on digital billboards in Dublin's main railway stations (Fig. 3), and on the side of buses.

Whether advertising that shares almost identical branding to a 'regular strength' alcohol product is compliant with the public transport restrictions is a matter to be determined by the judicial authorities in Ireland. How alcohol advertising is defined in the legislation appears key to this determination. In Section 2 of the Act, it is defined as any form of commercial communication with the aim or direct or indirect effect of promoting an alcohol product to the public, with brand or manufacturer names, trademarks, emblems, marketing images, and logos offered as examples [1]. According to the legislation, however, the display of such brand iconography is only interpreted as alcohol advertising in circumstances where it may 'reasonably be regarded' as a recommendation of the (alcohol) product to the public. Clarity is needed on whether advertising for beverages with zero alcohol-strength-byvolume (hereafter 'zero-alcohol', also sometimes referred to as 'no-and-low-alcohol') and themed tourist attractions are considered indirect advertising for an alcohol product or brand and, if so, whether they may also 'reasonably be regarded' as a recommendation of that alcohol product or brand to the public. Clarity is also needed on whether the ban on alcohol advertising on public transport and designated stopping points is also intended to extend to wider public transport infrastructure, such as railway bridges, which are not currently mentioned in the legislation [1]. Clarification concerning zero-alcohol products also has implications for enforcing other parts of the Act. Since November 2021, Section 15 has made it an offence to advertise alcohol in or on a sports area during a sporting event (e.g., logos on the pitch or sports track) [1]. This ban does not extend to advertising outwith the sporting area (e.g., hoardings around the pitch), while branded clothing worn by participants in the event is also exempt (e.g., logos on match shirts). Subsequently, for fixtures played in Ireland during the European Rugby Champions Cup, sponsored by Heineken, the protective covers around the rugby goals have displayed branding for Heineken 0.0% [6,7]. Similarly, during matches played in Ireland as part of the 2022 Six Nations Championship, for which Guinness is the lead sponsor, the post covers have displayed branding for Guinness 0.0% [8,9]. Since November 2020, Section 22 of the Act has also required mixed retailers (e.g., supermarkets, convenience stores, etc) to physically segregate alcohol products and associated advertising from non-alcohol products [1]. Heineken has since discussed the positioning of zero-alcohol products outside these segregated areas [10].

Ireland is not alone in experiencing an industry response to alcohol marketing controls [11,12]. In France, for example, 'alibi marketing' has been employed in response to the Évin law restrictions on alcohol advertising during sport. Alibi marketing is the practice of using features considered synonymous with a brand (e.g., colours, logos, fonts) but without referring to the brand or manufacturer name. Alibi marketing has its roots in response to tobacco control [13-15], but it has also been documented in France for alcohol marketing during the UEFA Euro 2016 football tournament [16,17] and, of relevance to the current debate in Ireland, during the Six Nations rugby tournament [18,19]. Other European countries have responded with corrective actions. In Norway, for instance, restrictions were extended to include advertising for non-alcoholic products which carry the same branding as an alcohol product [11]. A similar stance towards zero-alcohol products was also initially adopted in Lithuania, although a Supreme Administrative Court judgement in 2022 has reportedly reversed this position, with the court placing emphasis on the absence of evidence that consumers associate or confuse zero-alcohol products with alcohol equivalents [12].

Decisions about how Ireland should respond to brand-sharing advertising are complicated by the lack of research into the risks or gains to public health by increasing the availability and visibility of zero-alcohol products [20,21]. Examining how exposure to brand-sharing advertising interacts with attitudes towards, and consumption of, 'regular-strength' alcohol products among consumers, including young people, is urgently needed to support decision-making. The conclusions of such research would help determine whether such indirect advertising may 'reasonably be regarded' as a recommendation of a 'regular strength' alcohol product to the public.

### DECLARATIONS

**Author contribution:** Conceptualisation, N.C.; Writing – Original draft, N.C.; Writing – Review and editing, N.C., C.M., F.H., All authors have read and agreed to the published version of manuscript.

**Funding:** NC is funded through an academic fellowship from the Society for the Study of Addiction (WT ID: 1574045). CM and FH received no funding in relation to this manuscript.

**Competing interests:** Between 2017 and 2021, NC was on the board of directors at Alcohol Focus Scotland. NC has received funding from the Institute of Public Health to support his fellowship research examining the impact of the alcohol advertising restrictions introduced under Public Health (Alcohol) Act. NC has also provided advice to, and conducted consultancy work for, the Public Health Alcohol Research Group, appointed by the Minister for Health (in Ireland) to advise on monitoring and evaluating the Public Health (Alcohol) Act. NC has received funding to examine the nature, impact, and regulation of alcohol marketing from Alcohol Focus Scotland, Alcohol Action Ireland, Cancer Research UK, Institute of Alcohol Studies, National Institute for Health and Care Research, and Scottish Health Action on Alcohol Problems. CM has received funding from Alcohol Focus Scotland to support research examining alcohol packaging as a marketing and health communication tool. FH declares no competing interests.

Availability of data and material: There are no data or materials associated with this contribution.

**Ethics approval:** This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

#### REFERENCES

[1] Irish Statue Book (2018) Public Health (Alcohol) Act 2018 (Number 24 of 2018). Dublin: Oireachtas.

 [2] Central Statistics Office (2020) Transport omnibus 2019: Public transport. <u>https://www.cso.ie/en/releasesandpublications/ep/p-tranom/transportomnibus2019/publictransport/</u> (8<sup>th</sup> September, date last accessed).

[3] Critchlow N, Moodie C (2021). Awareness of alcohol marketing one year after initial implementation of Ireland's Public Health (Alcohol) Act and during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Public Health*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/pubmed/fdab353</u>.

[4] Alcohol Action Ireland (2022) *The slow creep of alibi marketing: Is alcohol brand promotion circumventing the law?* <u>https://alcoholireland.ie/the-slow-creep-of-alibi-marketing-is-alcohol-brand-promotion-circumventing-the-law/#</u> (23<sup>rd</sup> August, date last accessed)

[5] Gilheany S (2022) Alcohol and 'alibi marketing'. The Irish Times, 11 August, p.13.

[6] Leinster Rugby (2022)Gallery Leinster qualify Marseille final. for https://www.leinsterrugby.ie/gallery/leinster-qualify-marseille-final September, (8th date last accessed).

[7] Heineken Champions Cup & EPCR Challenge Cup (2022) Highlights – Leinster rugby vs. Stad
 Toulousain – Semi-finals / Heineken Champions Cup Rugby 2021/2022.
 <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N7Ks2II1IT4&list=PLPvhV-</u>
 spCrYhaOTv2G8MrpHnrtlBlzjod&index=6 (8<sup>th</sup> September date last accessed).

[8] Irish Rugby (2022) Ireland secure emphatic bonus point win. <u>https://irfu-admin.soticcloud.net/gallery/in-pics-ireland-secure-emphatic-bonus-point-win/</u> (23<sup>rd</sup> August, date last accessed).

[9] Guinness Six Nations (2022) Ireland v Italy / Match highlights / 2022 Guinness Six Nations. <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MsXOkKGGOrQ&list=PLg20xIo2wglJnobImYH18cWqmf4doLp</u> <u>XR&index=7</u> (8<sup>th</sup> September date last accessed).

[10] Cantillon (2022) Heineken taps into moderation culture for zero-alcohol promotions. *The Irish Times*, 6 August. <u>https://www.irishtimes.com/business/2022/08/06/heineken-taps-into-moderation-culture-for-zero-alcohol-promotions/</u> (23<sup>rd</sup> August, date last accessed)

[11] Purves RI, Gadsby EW, Howell R, *et al* (2022) *Alcohol marketing restriction: Learning from international implementation*. <u>https://www.alcohol-focus-scotland.org.uk/media/440166/alcohol-marketing-restrictions-learning-from-international-implementation.pdf</u> (8th September, date last accessed).

[12] O'Brien P, Room R, Anderson-Luxford D (2022) Commercial advertising of alcohol: Using law to challenge public health regulation. J Law Med Ethics 50(2):240-249. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1017/jme.2022.48">https://doi.org/10.1017/jme.2022.48</a>.

[13] Grant-Braham B, Britton J (2012) Motor racing, tobacco company sponsorship, barcodes and alibi marketing. *Tob Control* 12:529-535. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/tc.2011.043448</u>.

[14] Houghton F, O'Doherty D, Duncan B, *et al.* (2019) Combatting the Big Tobacco hydra in sport:
Another case of alibi marketing through false flag advertising. *Medcina Internacia Review* 28(113):119-204. <u>https://interrev.com/mir/index.php/mir/article/view/155</u>.

[15] Barker AB, Opazo Breton M, Murray RL, *et al.* (2019) Exposure to 'smokescreen' marketing during the 2018 Formula 1 Championship. *Tob Control* 28(e2):154-155.
<u>https://doi.org/10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2019-055025</u>.

[16] Purves RI, Critchlow N, Stead M, et al. (2017) Alcohol marketing during the UEFA EURO 2016 football tournament: A frequency analysis. Int. J Environ Res Pub Health 14(7):704. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14070704.

[17] Murray R, Breton MO, Briton J *et al.* (2018) Carlsberg alibi marketing in the UEFA Euro 2016 football finals: Implications of probably inappropriate alcohol advertising. *BMC Public Health* 18:553. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-5449-y.

[18] Purves RI, Critchlow N (2021). Alcohol marketing during the 2020 Six Nations Championship: A frequency analysis. <u>https://www.ias.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Alcohol-marketing-during-the-2020-six-nations-FINAL.pdf</u> (23rd August, date last accessed).

[19] Barker AB, Bal J, Murray RL (2021) A content analysis and population exposure estimate of Guinness branded alcohol marketing during the 2019 Six Nations. *Alcohol Alcohol* 56(5):617-620. https://doi.org/10.1093/alcalc/agab039.

[20] Miller M, Pettigrew S, Wright CJC (2021) Zero-alcohol beverages: Harm minimisation tool or gateway drink? *Drug Alcohol Rev* 41(3):546-549. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/dar.13359</u>.

[21] Anderson P, Kokole D, Llopis EV (2021) Production, consumption, and potential public health impact of low and no-alcohol products: Results of a scoping review. *Nutrients* 13(9):3153. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13093153.





