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Introduction

The article explores the evolution of the most prominent and one of the oldest Shia Islamist political groups in Kuwait: the National Islamic Alliance (NIA). The study addresses how the NIA has evolved and engaged in politics. The paper relies on English and Arabic resources and Kuwaiti politicians’ statements and parliamentary debates in Majlis al-ʾUmma al-Kuwaytiyy (the National Assembly).

The NIA was loosely organised in the 1980s and has had roots in Kuwait’s Shia activism since the end of the 1960s. After years of turbulence in the 1980s in Kuwait and the Iraqi invasion and the restoration of the constitution in 1992, the relationship between Kuwaiti authorities and the Shia has become reasonably cordial. The Shia activist revolutionary networks, mainly the NIA, transformed themselves into peaceful organisations which have been given more space by the Emir to mobilise and enter politics.1 This article contends that the NIA changed from being a vehement opposition group in the 1990s, to pro-status quo and royal family since the mourning of Mughniyeh or al-Taʾbyyn case in 2008 (see below), at which point it distanced itself from the opposition. Although Shia sub-groups splintered from the NIA, such as al-Methaq al-Watani, the NIA survived and outperformed other Shia groups. This article posits that the NIA has been a pro-government group and anti-opposition group even during the Kuwaiti protests (2011–2013) which coincided with the
Arab Spring. Its position provided it with opportunities – strengthening ties with the government and temporarily joining the cabinets. However, it did not maintain high popularity among Shia constituencies.

The article first discusses the origins of the NIA. It then explores its formation, goals, structure, engagement in the political process, responses to the protests in Kuwait (2011–2013), and relationships with the ruling family.

The origin of the Shia group in Kuwait

Shias are considered the largest minority group in Kuwait, and it is estimated around one third or 30 per cent of Kuwaiti citizens are Shia. Shia Kuwaitis have been a key part of Kuwaiti state formation and they have been active in the Majlis al-Umma since its inception in 1963. Shia Kuwaitis settled in Kuwait 100–300 years ago and the majority are Twelver (Ithna ‘aashari) or Imami, the largest Shia subsect. Shia Kuwaiti Twelvers follow various doctrines and religious references marjáyya.

At the end of the 1960s, two Iraqi-based Shia movements, the al-Dáwa Islamic Party Hizb al-Dáwa al-Islámiyya and the Message Movement or Movement of Vanguard Missionaries (MVM) Harakat al-Risálíyyan al-Talá who are al-Shirazi’s followers (see below), extended to the Gulf region including Kuwait. Both al-Dáwa and the MVM sent clerics and activists from Iraq to Kuwait and their differences have since played out in Kuwait. These two movements had competing agendas and they differed in their religious and political visions. al-Dáwa was a political religious entity based in Najaf whereas the MVM was based in Karbala and the latter was the political wing of the al-Shirazi clerical family, under the spiritual leadership of Ayatollah Mohammad al–Husayni al–Šhirazi. The latter’s followers were known as Šhrazíyyin (partisans of the al–Šhirazi). Al–Šhirazi’s argument was similar to the doctrine of Wilayat al-Faqih (Guardianship of the Jurisconsult) that entrusts the marjáyya (leading Shia clergy) with supreme political authority. Initially, the group was close to Ayatollah Khomeini and his followers. Shirazi’s school of thought holds that a council of scholars should govern the Islamic State (Hukumat al-Fuquh/Šurat al-Fuquh), not a single cleric. After the 1979 Iran revolution, the relationship between the Ayatollahs Khomeini and al–Šhirazi deteriorated partly because of the clerics’ competition particularly over who to follow as a source of emulation (marjá al-taqlid).
The NIA originated from al-Dáwa activists Nuṣḥatța al-Dáwa. The Al-Dáwa network in Kuwait began with Ali al-Kourani (from Lebanon who arrived in Kuwait in 1969 and left in 1976) and Àzz al-Din Salim (known as Abu Yasin from Basra). Hizb al-Dáwa al-Islāmiyya (in Iraq) adhered to al-Sadr’s doctrine. Sadr’s thought, which was portrayed in his manifesto *The Bases of Islam (al-Usus al-Islāmiyya)*, stresses the goal of forming an Islamic state but not through revolutionary action. The revolution can be carried out in a limited condition. While al-Dáwa went through ideological and political shifts, today al-Dáwa has transformed into a pragmatic political party.

In 1972 al-Dáwa networks in Kuwait gained control of the Social Society for Culture (*Jamā’iyat al-Thaqāfah al-Ījtīmā’īyyah*) which was created in 1968. The SSC became the legal and public front of al-Dáwa in Kuwait and the groups within it were known as the Groups of the Line of the Imam (Ayatollah Khomeini) *Majmūʿat Khatt al-Imam*. Khatt al-Imam refers to students (usually youth) and clergy who support Ayatollah Khomeini’s conception of revolution. Khomeini’s conception of revolution is based on core Islamic values, the restoration of the Islamic rule of law, the rebellion against oppression and dispossession, and opposition to modernising reforms of the Pahlavi monarchy that promoted secular and cultural trends and were influenced by the United States. Khatt al-Imam’s followers transcended Iran’s borders including Lebanon, Iraq and the Gulf states.

Al-Dáwa’s ideas spread fast among the Shia youth and students in Kuwait, and one of their key gathering areas was in Masjid al-Naqi. Al-Naqi is a prominent mosque for Shias, and Shia cleric Ālî al-Kourani who oversaw the opening of the al-Naqi in 1967 was the first person who prayed at the mosque and was sent to Kuwait by Grand Ayatollah Sayyid Muhsin al-Hakim based in Iraq. Many attended al-Kourani’s gatherings at al-Naqi to listen to his religious lectures, including political insights. Al-Kourani was a “Haraki hawzawi”, which means a member of a political movement and *hawza* (religious seminary). Al-Naqi became a vibrant place for learning and mobilisation for the Shia activists, including those associated with the SSC.

Since the Iraqi al-Dáwa Islamic Party’s inception at the end of the 1950s its structure went through at least three shifts before 2003 when it asserted a more nationalist tone and reduced the role of clerics in the party. This weakened its transitional ties, including with Khatt al-Imam. The individual ties between the Iraqi al-Dáwa and their counterparts in Kuwait remained and some of them continued to share similar ideas. Al-Dáwa
activists in Kuwait were not driven by Iran or Iraq in terms of political, logistical and material support and they represented a major Shia political trend in Kuwait as they were Kuwaiti nationalists, not a branch of the Iraqi Al-Dáwa.20

It is useful at this point to bear in mind the general nature of Kuwait’s constitution. Kuwait became an independent and constitutional monarchy in 1961. The arrangement was an elected legislature, constitution and separation of powers. A constituent assembly was elected in 1962, and the first parliamentary election was held in 1963.21 The political-constitutional arrangement is characterised by the dominant role of the Emir. The Emir appoints the government (cabinet members) without the approval of the Kuwaiti National Assembly (unicameral legislature). Thus, the government is not drawn from the majority of the assembly. All ministers are ex-officio members of the assembly. These arrangements reduce the separation of power between the legislature and executive bodies. While the pro-ruling family governments hold key executive powers, the assembly plays a critical role in holding the executive power accountable especially through questioning of ministers and holding votes of no confidence.22 Consequently, the relationship between the government and assembly has been increasingly tense.

Shia movements in Kuwait during the Iranian revolution

Kuwaiti Shias are not a monolithic community and many are divided at least into the following groups: merchants, mainly conservative and religious; and the middle class which seeks to reform the state. The Shias have been perceived as supportive of the government and the ruling family.23 The Iranian revolution shifted this perspective and some Shia grievances surfaced including freedom, equality and accountability.24 The Iranian revolution was at a time when the Kuwaiti semi-parliamentary system was in crisis and the ruling family suspended parliamentary life (1976–1981) and the constitution.25

Following the Iranian revolution, suspicion arose of the Shias in Kuwait. Nevertheless, Kuwaiti Shias demanded wider participation in politics and greater recognition of their role and rights.26 In the 1981 elections, the SSC fielded three candidates and began to win seats27 which included cadres of Al-Dáwa such as Ádnan Ábd al-Samad, Ábd al-Muhsin Jamal and Naser Sarkhu.28 Most al-Dáwa clerics in Kuwait have supported the doctrine of Wilayat al-Faqih as the principle
connects Islam to politics. The doctrine’s core principle fuses religion and politics through the medium of Faqih.29 Sabat argues that the doctrine addresses the question of who is legitimately qualified to rule during the occultation of the Shia’s Twelfth Imam and the scope of such rule beyond spiritual and legal issues to include the political aspect (leadership and governance).30

Since the Iranian revolution, al-Dáwa activists in Kuwait have believed in the concept of an Islamic State and also espoused the ideas of gradual action which sought to obtain concessions from the ruler including more democracy and power sharing. Kuwaiti al-Dáwa activists did not pursue the overthrow of the established order.31 Nevertheless, in the 1980s, the government used several measures to suppress the Shia movements, for instance, supporting non-opposition Shia in elections in 1985 to reduce the pro-Iran Shia movements’ influence.32 At the beginning of the 1980s a number of Khomeini and al-Dáwa followers founded Hezbollah of Kuwait *Hezbollah al-Kuwaiti*.33 Although there were links between the latter and circles of Iranian authorities, Hezbollah of Kuwait managed not to be completely absorbed by the regime in Iran.34

The troubles in Kuwait started at the beginning of the 1980s when Iraqi al-Dáwa operatives and the Islamic Jihad Organisation – one of the designations that Lebanese Hezbollah used – carried out a series of terrorist attacks.35 The first prominent operation was on 12 December 1983, against the American and French embassies, and strategic and economic installations in Kuwait, which resulted in six dead and nine wounded.36 Seventeen were convicted and jailed in Kuwait and they became known by the moniker “Kuwait 17”.37 14 of them were affiliated to the Iraqi al-Dáwa and three to the Lebanese chapter of al-Dáwa created in the 1970s.38 In response, Imad Mughniyeh, a leader of the Lebanese Hezbollah, orchestrated attacks during this period, including hijacking a Kuwaiti airline in 1984 and 1988 and the attempted assassination of the Emir in 1985. His brother in law, Mustafa Bader al-Din, was one of those arrested for these attacks.39 These operations were carried out in order to compel the release the Kuwait 17, dissuade Kuwait from supporting Iraq in the Iran-Iraq war (1980-1988), and push the narrative of the Iranian revolution that underlines the struggles of the Muslims (namely Shia) against oppressive states.40 A tiny portion of Kuwaitis were involved in these attacks and these were part of external dynamics rather than internal conflict.41 These incidents further raised sectarian tensions and suspicions against Shias in Kuwait and the government deported thousands of expatriates from Iran and Bidoon (stateless Kuwaitis). The Shia further
lost their rights and the government purged sensitive sectors of the administration.42

The SSC was influenced by Iran’s revolutionary ideology and gradually developed an Islamic identity representing a Shia segment of Kuwait.43 The SSC was peacefully engaged in promoting cultural education in centres and unions.44 In 1989 the government issued an order to disband the SSC, accusing some of its members of associating with the networks that caused the violence in the 1980s.45

After a policy of suppressing Shia groups in the 1980s, in the 1990s the regime decided that the best option was to co-opt the Shia groups by legalising some of their associations and allowing their electoral participation.46 Meanwhile, al-Dáwa activists ceased to be arrested and many of them became further integrated into legal associations with modest aspirations, such as creating a balance between the elected assembly and the ruling family, and pursuing political careers.47 However, the government was gerrymandering the districts in order to reduce Shia influence.48

Officially, political parties are not legal in Kuwait. Often, political groups rally around a common purpose or ideology, thus the groups “sponsor, back or ally themselves with parliamentary candidates”.49 Political groups or associations are to an extent tolerated; the constitution does not ban political parties, but equally they are not permitted.50

The official foundation of the National Islamic Alliance

The NIA unofficially emerged in 1980, and without declaring itself to be an association at that point, its key figures have been elected to the Majlis since 1980. Its presence became noticeable during the suspension of Majlis in 1986 – which continued until the Iraqi invasion – and for supporting the pro-democracy movement in 1989.51 During the invasion in 1991, key figures of the group took part in the “resistance” community action committee.52 A number of Hezbollah of Kuwait followers who escaped prison fought against the Iraqi invasion.53 Since then, the ruling family has become more tolerant of the peaceful Shia activists.54 Today, the Hezbollah of Kuwait does not exist,55 and the NIA denies any links to Hezbollah and Iran’s regime.56

The group appeared in different forms since its formal organisation in 1992 under the name the Islamic National Coalition Itilaf al-Islāmi al-Waṭani. The group’s core consisted of 89 Kuwaitis.57 This group
supported the declaration of the “Future Outlook for Reform of Kuwait”, which was part of the reform plan in Kuwait. It participated in the 1992 elections and won two seats (see Figure 1). In 1998, it was fully reorganised and active under the name National Islamic Alliance al-Tahahif al-Watanial-Islami. Laurence, Wehrey, Abloshi, Assiri and Free rightly argue that the NIA was drawn from al-Dáwa activists in Kuwait, mainly the SSC, which included Khatt-Imam, Shabab or youth of Masjid al-Naqi and those who gave up revolutionary approaches including Hezbollah of Kuwait. The SSC’s figures within the NIA included Abd al-Wahhab al-Wazzan, Hussein Abdullah al-Ma’atuk, Ábd al-Muhsin Jamal, Nassar Ábd al-Aziz and Adnan Abd al-Samad; the latter has won seats in the Majlis since 1981. The NIA also includes technocrats, educated and intellectual figures sympathetic to the Iranian revolution. The NIA follows Ayatollah Khamenei as a reference but they do not implement Khamenei’s political commands, as the NIA is a nationalist political group that believes in the Kuwaiti political system. The NIA follows other ayatollahs for personal and day-to-day practices, particularly Ayatollah Ali al-Sistani. While several figures within the NIA have an affinity with the doctrine of Wilayat al-Faqih, most of the NIA figures have a pragmatic approach to political participation similar to the al-Dáwa party in Iraq post-2003. Today, the NIA’s figures accommodate and merge different political ideologies. Thus, the NIA is not a coherent political group as many of its figures have slightly different interpretations of an ideology and approach. For example, its prominent figure Sheikh Hussein Abdallah al-Ma’atuk takes conservative religious approaches.

In 1998, the first general secretary of the NIA was Sheikh Hussein Abdallah al-Ma’atuk. Al-Ma’atuk studied in Qum and contributed to opening Hawza Imam al-Hasan al-Mujtabi in 1996 in Kuwait. This religious

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>The NIA</th>
<th>Other Shia MPs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>2 seat: Nasser Hassan Sarhka, al-Samad</td>
<td>3 MPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>1 seat: Nasser Hassan Sarhka</td>
<td>4 MPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>1 seat: al-Samad</td>
<td>5 MPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>5 MPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2 seats: al-Samad and Lari</td>
<td>2 MPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2 seats: al-Samad and Lari</td>
<td>3 MPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2 seats: al-Samad and Lari</td>
<td>7 MPs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 The NIA’s election results since its official creation until 2009
Notes: Kuwait Politics Database, March 11, 2021, https://www.kuwaitpolitics.org/الإصواتوالمواقف
The NIA’s YouTube channel posts dozens of lectures and seminars.\(^7^0\) The NIA’s organisational structure includes al-Samad as its head and the political bureau head is Saleh al-Musa.\(^7^1\) There are certain unknown internal technical regulations which to its members adhere.\(^7^2\) While the NIA does not have specific written aims, it follows certain broad tenets and goals including: The commitment to the Kuwaiti Constitution; peaceful means for reform and change; individual freedom and equality particularly between Sunni and Shia citizens; securing the Shia community’s rights together with the principle of national unity. It supports the separation of the positions of Prime Minister and Crown Prince. Unlike the Salafists, it does not oppose women’s engagement in politics.\(^7^3\)

The NIA’s associated newspaper is *al-Dar*, which belongs to Mahmud Hayder a Shia businessmen and the editor in Chief is Äbd al-Husayn al-Sultan. *Al-Dar* was supportive of PM Nasser al-Sabah.\(^7^4\) *Al-Dar* was suspended partly because it responded strongly to sectarian accusations.\(^7^5\) The NIA runs activities through student unions including *al-Qaiyymiya al-Islamiya* at the University of Kuwait which actively organises events and promotes student rights.\(^7^6\)

In response to the fragmentation within the NIA, its leaders have tried to project a unified image and one of their mottos was *Kilmat al-Tawhīd wa Tawhīd al-Kilma* (the unification of the word and the word that unifies). Many Shia groups splintered from the NIA because of various differences.\(^7^7\) In the 2003 elections, a schism emerged within the NIA’s *Khatt al-Imam* about the NIA’s devotion to the Wilayat al-Faqih doctrine. This division was because Ayatollah al-Sistani’s (who leads “the quietist school”) followers increased in number.\(^7^8\) The first group splintered from the NIA and *Khatt al-Imam* in 2003 and was led by Äbd al-Wahhab al-Wazzan, who was an active member in the SSC and minister of commerce (1999–2001).\(^7^9\) On 7 January 2003, al-Wazzan co-founded the Islamic National Accord Movement (INAM) (*Haraka al-Tawafuq al-Islami al-Watani*)\(^8^0\) which was inspired by the reform movement in Iran led by President Khatami and another key figure within the INAM Zahir al-Mahmid who became its secretary general.\(^8^1\) The splinter group mirrored the NIA’s poor performance in the 2003 elections.\(^8^2\)

In 2005, another group splintered that represented a number of Kuwaiti al-Dáwa activists and cadres from Zahra House (*Dar al-Zahra*).\(^8^3\) Led by Yousef Al-Zalzalah, it created the National Pact/Charter Assembly (*Tajammû’u al-Methaq al-Watani*) on 6 July 2005.\(^8^4\) *Al-Methaq al-Watani*’s religious reference was the deceased Lebanese Ayatollah Muhammad
Husayn Fadlallah – one of the founders of the al-Dáwa Islamic Party in Iraq and revered by the Lebanese Hezbollah.\(^{85}\)

The Shirazis’ position against the NIA was underscored by the foundation of the Justice and Peace Alliance (JPA) in 2004, led by Ábd al-Hussein al-Sultan and Saleh Ashour. The JPA exhibited itself as anti–Hezbollah compared to the NIA and Khatt al-Imam.\(^{86}\) Hussein Qalaf (an independent Shirazi) confirmed the tensions between Shirazis and the SSC and said that the activists within the SSC were against the ‘Ulama [religious scholars of the Shirazi school].\(^{87}\) The JPA increasingly presented itself as a pro-establishment and more reliable Shia partner than the NIA. The JPA is also considered the second prominent Shia group in spite of its low representation in Maljís.\(^{88}\) In 2004 the JPA’s former secretary general al-Sultan denied that the JPA was founded against the NIA,\(^{89}\) but in September 2005, the JPA’s anti-NIA approach continued with its formation of a broad coalition with three different Shia political groups: Tajammú al-Methaq al-Watani, Haraka al-Tawafuq al-Islámi al-Watani, Tajammú ‘Ullama al-Muslimen al-Shíá; it was called the National Coalition of Assemblies (I’tilaf al-Tajammu’at al-Watani).\(^{90}\) The coalition aimed for a stronger unified Shia presence, but the JPA failed to secure seats in the 2006 elections, while the NIA secured two seats.\(^{91}\)

Originally when the NIA was created it sided with opposition groups in Majlís to scrutinise the ruling family’s policies.\(^{92}\) The NIA was also seen as ‘the hawks’ as at the end of 1990s it coordinated with other MPs in establishing an opposition block called the Popular Action Block (PAB) Kutlat al-Amal al-Shàabi. This pushed the NIA to vote with the populist, rather than Islamic blocs.\(^{93}\) The PAB became the main opposition bloc and it was headed by Dr Ahmad Sa’adoon and included populist figures, hardline nationalists, Shia Islamists and MPs across both tribal and urban constituencies.\(^{94}\) The NIA participated in the youth-led activist Orange Movement also referred to as Harakat Nabiha Khamsa (“we want it to be five districts”) in 2006 which called for an end to gerrymandering (by the reduction of districts from 25 to five to make vote-buying difficult).\(^{95}\) Eventually, the government conceded and reduced the districts to five.

During this period, the NIA cooperated with the Islamic Constitutional Movement (ICM), the Muslim brotherhood branch in Kuwait, as the ICM was ready to form a parliamentary coalition with Shia MPs, something Salafists reject.\(^{96}\) However, the low level reproachment between the ICM and the NIA collapsed in 2008 because of the Ta’byyn case (see below).\(^{97}\) The NIA shifted from being a leading opposition entity to pro-government in 2008. Thenceforward, the NIA has been relatively
careful in showing its political positions as not being too close to Iran’s regime and it has been an outspoken supporter of Kuwait’s ruling family.98

In 2008, al-Samad and Lari were expelled from PAB because of its public mourning of Hezbollah’s figure Mughniyeh as a martyr who was assassinated in February 2008.99 The NIA issued a statement that revered Mughniyeh and commemorated his death and organised a gathering at a Shia mosque Husseintiya in the al-Rmithiya area. The Kuwaiti MP al-Samad said, “The hero martyr shocked the earth underneath the Zionist and American enemy”.100 The gathering resulted in a harsh backlash by the opposition including all Sunni Islamists and liberals in 2008 against the NIA. The Kuwaiti media began an intensive campaign against the NIA, including a number of newspapers, particularly al-Watan, and stated that the gathering was provocative and didn’t respect the Kuwaitis who were killed during the attacks.101

While the NIA figures’ statements – such as al-Samad applauding the Lebanese Hezbollah’s role as an Islamic resistance group – at the mourning of Mughniyeh provoked the media and the opposition factions, the statements also asserted the unity between the Sunnis and Shias in Kuwait. For example, al-Samad said “No Shia and No Sunni but an Islamic national unity in Kuwait”.102 Al-Samad claimed that the media fuelled the situation and was pushing the security agencies to prosecute them.103 The Kuwaiti Interior Minister Sheikh Jabar Khalid al-Sabah expressed disapproval, saying “we shouldn’t glorify the terrorist and criminal Mughniyeh”.104 In March 2008, a large number of NIA followers and others who attended the mourning were wanted by the Kuwaiti Public Prosecution.105 This was known as the case of commemoration “Qadhiat Ta’byyn” and al-Samad and Lari were interrogated for one day then released on bail; both denied the charges, including that the NIA was an extension of Hezbollah of Kuwait.106 While the NIA apologised to the Kuwaiti people for organising the event, some of the NIA’s associates who did not even attend the gathering were briefly arrested.107

The media and the opposition accused the Shia groups of treachery and this pushed the NIA closer to the government. Since then, the NIA has become increasingly coopted by the authorities and the alliance between Kuwaiti Shia groups and the al-Sabah ruler entrenched. This resulted in a tacit accord between the Shia groups and the government in exchange for ending the opposition stance.108 This informal agreement also included the reopening of the SSC, and the NIA’s members resumed their participation in it.109 One of the main reasons for the NIA’s shift
towards pro-government positions is the media’s harsh campaign and opposition factions’ accusations against the NIA because of the mourning. The NIA and other Shia factions felt vulnerable during these times.

The Shia constituencies rallied around the NIA, namely al-Samad and Lari, and they managed to secure two seats in the parliamentary elections in May 2008 from a total of five Shia MPs which was slightly lower than the Shia average of 8 seats. The NIA participated in the cabinets as its associate Dr Fathel Safar, who was a member in the Kuwait Municipality, became the Minister of Public Works in 2008, Minister of State for Municipal Affairs in 2009 and Minister of State for Planning and Development Affairs in 2012. Lari, a key member of the NIA and former MP, often supported the ruling family and he opposed the interpellations of the PM Nasser Mohammed Al-Sabah in 2009 and 2010 in votes of no confidence by the opposition MPs.

The NIA’s response to the protests in Kuwait (2011–2013)

The Arab Uprising inspired many Kuwaitis to mobilise and call for change. This movement was known as the Nation’s Dignity protests (Karamat Watan). This movement for change however began before the Arab Uprising; it was launched on the internet in November 2010 with the slogan “al-Sháb Yurid Isqāt Nasser” (the nation wants to oust PM Nasser). The NIA did not join the protestors, and the opposition [al-Muáradha] that began in the summer of 2011 was composed of Sunni Islamists, liberals, reformers, youth groups and conservative tribesmen.

A few months before the protests in March 2011, sectarian tensions rose as the Kuwaiti government symbolically sent a naval force to support Bahrain’s ruling family in suppressing the Shia protesters there. While the Sunni Islamists blamed the government for doing little, the Shia MPs (e.g. al-Samad and Ashour) expressed their discontent and condemned Kuwait’s involvement. In April 2011, the government resigned following the latter and the Majlis’ questioning of the PM and the ministers, but the government was reappointed after a few days.

In the summer of 2011, the protests in Kuwait again called for the government’s resignation. This was revitalised by the rising of traditional and new political actors such as the Fifth Fence Al-Sour al-Khams which claimed that it sought to protect the constitution from violations.
The NIA backed the government’s positions and on 14 August 2011, the ruler Sabah al-Sabah and the Crown Prince, the Prime Minister, and a number of ministers visited a Ramadan gathering organised by the NIA. During a parliamentary session in the middle of 2011, there was a quarrel between the leader of the NIA al-Samad and Musallam al-Barrak, the opposition fire brand and a key member of the PAK. Al-Barrak accused al-Samad of defending the ruling family and MPs who had allegedly abused public wealth.

By October 2011, the protests increased in intensity following a wave of strikes across the oil and customs sectors because of a corruption scandal; members of the ruling family had bribed a number of lawmakers. On 3 November 2011, an NIA figure Lari said, “It is true that as a movement we are not part of the opposition… because they [opposition] don’t have an agenda or a plan to tackle Kuwait’s challenges”.

The NIA, together with the government’s 15 ex-officio votes alongside other pro-government MPs, voted to drop the interpellation of the PM. On 16 November 2011, several opposition MPs led by al-Barrak rallied demonstrators towards the PM’s residence and thereafter stormed into the Majlis. After the continuation of protests, Nasser’s government resigned on November 28 and was replaced by Jabr al-Mubarak al-Sabah. The Emir dissolved the Majlis on 6 December 2011, and called for new elections. Although the common theme among the protesters was the government’s resignation, they did not seek to unseat the monarchy. On 5 January 2012, Lari, the NIA’s MP said, “those who broke into al-Majlis have attacked the prestige of the legislative institution and their [protesters and opposition] messages were not about democracy, they steered the country into dilemma, we agree that there is corruption but their approach to reform is wrong… in the past we were with the opposition… but now we don’t recognise them as a real opposition”.

Five interrelated factors contributed to the NIA’s disapproval of the protests and its support of PM Nasser al-Sabah: (1) Intra-royal family rivalries played a role as PM Nasser supported the Shia groups and some urban liberals to push back against the second generation royal rival populist Ahmed al-Fahd al-Sabah (former Deputy Prime Minister) who supported the broad tribal alliance and the youth. (2) Nasser’s tactic of divide and rule and the co-optation of the Shia resulted in the NIA becoming part of Nasser’s consecutive cabinets (2008–2011). (3) Nasser’s career as an ambassador to Iran before the 1979 revolution
helped him in maintaining networks in Iran. Nasser was perceived by many Sunni Islamists and tribes to be too close to Iran and the Shia groups at the expense of Kuwait’s relationship with the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries and Kuwaiti Sunnis. Nasser’s formal visits to Iran outnumbered his visits to GCC countries. These factors caused a number of Sunni Islamist MPs to impeach Nasser and positioned the NIA as an ally of pro-Shia Nasser. (4) The NIA viewed the protest movement as sectarian as although it was a broad coalition. It included anti-Shia figures such as Walid al-Tabtabai, an independent Salafi, Mohammad Hayif and Osama al-Minawr leaders of Tajammú al-Thawabt al-Umma, a Salafi group. There is anti-Shia sentiment among Salafists, and the Shia groups, particularly the NIA, feel that they need the regime’s protection. Safar al-Fadil, a member of the NIA and a former minister said, “There were radical Sunnis within the protests, and we [the NIA] were unable to support them…. They have attacked us in their ceremonies and described us as traitors.” However, some Shia figures supported the opposition, for example former MP Dr Hassan Juwhar, and some of them participated in the protests, such as Muntðhir al-Habib and Abbas Muhammad who were arrested for their involvement.

The elections in February 2012 resulted in a win for the opposition, which obtained 34 seats, including tribal and Islamist candidates (Muslim Brotherhood and Salafists) with al-Barrak gaining the highest number of votes. The Shia won seven seats which included two seats for the NIA. In a parliamentary session in March 2012, the NIA leader al-Samad criticised those who stormed the Majlis and denounced their actions and he cited examples of the damage of public properties.

The pro-government MPs in the Majlis were the minority and the Emir dissolved the pro-opposition Majlis on 18 June 2012, because the cabinet resigned after the publicisation of the parliamentary grilling by the opposition of the new PM Jaber al-Sabah and other cabinet members for corruption. Simultaneously, the Emir called for early elections and after two days the Constitutional Court reinstated the previous pro-government Majlis and disqualified the majority opposition parliament. Al-Barrak rejected this and on June 27 demonstrators mobilised against the Court’s decision and called for the lifting of immunity from MPs accused of receiving bribes. The NIA continued to oppose the opposition and Lari said in the support of the government that the Finance Minister al-Šhamali succeeded in confronting the questioning as “he entered [Majlis] with white clothes and left with white clothes”.

Although PM Jaber al-Sabah tried to reconvene the 2009 pro-government parliament in July and August in 2012, he failed to assemble the MPs because the majority of them refused. Thereafter, the Emir dissolved the parliament on 7 October 2012, for the second time and issued a decree to amend electoral law by reducing electorate votes from four votes to one, which the opposition opposed.\(^\text{137}\) The NIA had somewhat contradictory positions. Two days after the decree, al-Samad said “these [decrees] have constitutional flaws”.\(^\text{138}\) On 10 September 2012, al-Samad supported the reconvention of the 2009 Majlis and said “Those who say that Majlis in 2009 is void are words in the air”.\(^\text{139}\) The NIA’s inconsistencies show its dilemma between its close ties with the government and the decrees which were widely rejected.

On 15, 20, 21 October and 4 November 2012, Kuwait witnessed violent protests with tens of thousands mobilised against the decrees.\(^\text{140}\) On 20 December 2012, al-Samad said “We [the NIA] are not with the government because we love them but we don’t agree with the opposition”.\(^\text{141}\) Al-Samad also argued that “We are not a rubber stamp for the government and we are scrutinising the government including its budget and we disagreed with some unconstitutional actions of the government”.\(^\text{142}\) The NIA and al-Samad called the opposition’s actions in Majlis Nwāb al-Ta’zīyyām (MPs who cause trouble). Al-Samad stated that, “The opposition is opposing the government’s policies on every case in order to serve its own interests” and also “the opposition has illogical demands such as requesting nine ministers from the opposition to be in the government”.\(^\text{143}\)

On 30 November 2012, one day before the snap election, a peaceful protest was organised. This included all main opposition actors including Sunni Islamists, tribes and youths. As a part of this protest, they boycotted the elections known as Muqāṭṭāt al-Intikhabat. As a result, voter turnout was low, around 40 to 26 per cent according to the government and the opposition respectively. Many Shia groups supported the elections and they came out ahead, securing 17 seats above the average. The Shia winners included four different political groups: the NIA secured five seats, JPA two seats, Tajammū al-Risalah al-Insaniyyah one seat, al-Methaq al-Waṭānī one seat and the others were independent. The NIA celebrated its success and Lari said “This win is not against another or at the expense of another faction or sect”.\(^\text{144}\) This was an unprecedented achievement in electoral politics for the Shias due to the boycott of the opposition and it was seen as a political victory rather than a sectarian landslide.\(^\text{145}\)
On 16 June 2013, the Constitutional Court declared the existing Majlis illegitimate and called for new elections but the Opposition Coalition decided to continue the boycott of the elections in July 2013 as it demanded “the four votes system” and that the cabinet’s members should be elected from Majlis. The opposition coalition of December 2012 fragmented and while a proportion of this coalition did not join the July 2013 elections, some tribes and liberals defected and participated as they did not want to be excluded. Fewer voters boycotted the elections; the turnout was 52.5 per cent. The NIA grudgingly accepted the constitutional court’s decision and it campaigned for the new elections. Former NIA MP Mubarak al-Najada said, “The dissolved assembly had important accomplishments in terms of legislatures and brought stability to Kuwait, but we accept the Court’s decision even if I would lose [my seat]”. The Shias lost half of their seats in the 2013 elections and the NIA got three seats, the JPA one seat, al-Methaq one seat and the others were independents (see Figure 2).

Similar to the Islamic al-Dáwa Party, the NIA also went through phases of ideological and political modifications and adjustments from being an

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Political Groups</th>
<th>February 2012</th>
<th>December 2012</th>
<th>July 2013</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The NIA</td>
<td>2 seats</td>
<td>5 seats</td>
<td>3 seats</td>
<td>2 seats</td>
<td>1 seat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Åabd al-Samad</td>
<td></td>
<td>al-Samad Lari</td>
<td>al-Samad Lari</td>
<td>al-Samad Lari</td>
<td>al-Samad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahmad Lari</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mubarak Saleh al-Najada</td>
<td>Khalil Abl</td>
<td>Khalil Abl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hani Hussein Shams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The JPA</td>
<td>1 seat: Salah Ashour</td>
<td>Salah Ashour</td>
<td>Salah Ashour</td>
<td>Salah Ashour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Methaq</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 seat: Yousef Saleh Zalzala</td>
<td>Yousef Saleh Zalzala</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Tajammu al-Risalah al-Insaniyah</td>
<td>1 seat: Adnan Abdullaah Muţjawá</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2 Shia groups in Kuwait’s elections from 2012 to 2020
Notes: Kuwait Politics Database, March 11, 2021, https://www.kuwaitpolitics.org/
opposition to a supporter of the government. Al-Dáwa moved from an opposition political force that tried to topple the regime in Baghdad before 2003 to a political entity that is actively engaged in the political process post-2003 and became an integral part of the political class in Iraq. The changes within the NIA were less extreme as the NIA originally did not try to overhaul the entire political system in Kuwait like al-Dáwa. However, the shift shows the NIA’s willingness to adapt its political positions.

The re-emergence of sectarianism in 2015

The summer of 2015 witnessed two incidents that increased sectarian tensions in Kuwait. First, the bombing of the Shia al-Sadiq Mosque in June 2015 by Daesh which resulted in 27 deaths. Secondly, in August 2015, the authorities seized tons of weapons and explosives from two houses in Kuwait’s al-Ábdali area; 27 people were charged. Al-Samad condemned the authorities’ brutal measures including allegations of the interior ministry’s torture of the captives. Al-Samad questioned the Minister of Interior, saying “I wonder why the ministry did not take similar measures against those who were accused of their involvement in the bombing of al-Sadiq”. Whilst in November 2015, al-Samad applauded the Emir’s speech on Kuwait’s security in Majlis, he blamed the media for agitating over the al-Ábdali case and stirring up sectarianism because the media called for executions and the stripping of citizenship. Although in 2015 al-Samad stated that the NIA’s members were shocked by the al-Ábdali case, in 2019 the prominent NIA figure al-Mátuk was arrested and sentenced to five years in prison because he aided a suspect’s escape.

The NIA generally sided with the Shia MPs; for example, it opposed lifting Áb Hamid Dashti’s parliamentary immunity because he criticised Saudi Arabia’s war in Yemen. However, the NIA’s MPs disagreed with Dashti’s approach as he was perceived to be too provocative. Al-Samad said “there were MPs who said things harsher than Dashti but no one took a measure against them, therefore the move is driven by regional politics.” The NIA tried to maintain a balanced political posture and suggested that Kuwait should mediate between Saudi Arabia and Iran.

The NIA in the 2016 and 2020 elections

In October 2016, the Emir dissolved the Majlis by royal decree because of disputes between the government and the Majlis on austerity measures
caused by budget deficits; regional upheaval (the war on Daesh) and the two incidents in 2015 that fuelled various security tensions; and to appease the tribal groups who boycotted previous elections. In 2016, the opposition alliance further disintegrated and slowly returned to engage in electoral politics and there was a sense of competition between and within Shia groups.\textsuperscript{155}

On November 16, al-Samad stated that the NIA’s main goal of the 2016 elections was national unity and security. Al-Samad criticised the opposition, particularly the boycotters, as he believed that the opposition’s claim to stabilise Kuwait was not honest after they had steered protests over the last years.\textsuperscript{156} The opposition was the winner of the 2016 elections and gained 24 seats. The NIA’s presence was reduced from three to two seats and Lari lost his seat. The opposition’s participation and high turnout (around 70 per cent) on 26 November 2016, further reduced the Shia seats from nine to six.\textsuperscript{157} Similarly, in Kuwait’s parliamentary elections on 5 December 2020, the Shia again won six seats and the NIA’s position was further eroded as it won one seat and the other five Shias winners were independent. Thus, the Shias in this assembly feel that their voices have been curtailed because of the ascendance of the opposition Sunni Islamists.

\section*{Conclusion}

The NIA is a result of the most enduring Shia movement in Kuwait which has been active for almost half a century. The founders and supporters of the NIA through the SSC have maintained a political presence since the Iranian Islamic revolution. The members who founded the NIA are not monolithic, and the members are from a spectrum of Shia ideological strands and groups, including conservatives such as Khatt al-Imam, al-Dáwa activists and Shia moderates. The NIA gradually became a pragmatic political group that seeks the protection of Shia constituencies’ rights and political participation, and particularly having seats in the assembly as critical priorities. These priorities have driven the NIA’s political orientation instead of the Shia ideological tenets.

The NIA’s roots and its activities prior to its official formation in 1992 and until 2008 showed an oppositionist stance. This stance changed when the NIA realised that anti-Shia rhetoric was on the rise from Salafists, the opposition groups, and a large segment of the Kuwaiti media, particularly at the time of NIA’s mourning of Mughniyeh. The NIA figures viewed the political atmosphere as less tolerant of the NIA and other Shia groups. Thus, the NIA sided with the government as the ruling family...
provided a sort of political shelter. It aimed to secure a solid political presence without the support of the opposition.

In the 1980s and 1990s the government promoted the tribal and Sunni Islamist candidates against the Shia and liberals. Since 2003, Sunni Islamists have become more hostile towards the government as they became more politically conscious and by 2008 the government became closer to the Shia groups including the NIA. The latter sought more political support from the government partly because of rising sectarian tensions. The intra-ruling family (the pro-Shia PM Nasser versus the populist pro-tribal alliance and the youth Ahmed al-Fahd al-Sabah) competition provided an avenue for the Kuwaiti Shia groups. The intra-ruling family rivalries paved the way for the NIA to engage further in the political process and consolidate its ties with the government and powerful elements within the ruling family.

Although there are ideological and political differences between the NIA and Shirazi followers and other Shia groups, the NIA tries to put aside its differences when it perceives a threat against Shia groups. This was especially evident during the NIA and the Shia groups’ position regarding the protest period of 2011–2013 when anti-Shia rhetoric was on the rise in Kuwait, especially among Salafists. During the Arab Spring, the NIA sided with the government, which further distanced the NIA from the opposition political factions.

The case of al-Ábdali in 2015 and the lifting immunity from Dashti (a Shia MP) underlines the NIA’s delicate political position. The NIA post-Ta’byyn tries to maintain its pro-Shia position but not at the expense of its friendly relationship with the ruling monarchy. The NIA seeks to adhere to its pro-Shia stances (for example, by addressing and highlight Shia grievances and rights) and to appease Shia constituencies. While the 2016 and 2020 elections further weakened the NIA’s presence in Majlis, the NIA has over its long history of political participation, demonstrated a considerable degree of endurance.
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