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ABSTRACT

The mechanisms of resistance of Vicia faba L. to infection by Botrytis have been examined.

Inoculation of leaves detached from growth room grown plants with the avirulent species B. allii, B. cinerea and B. elliptica caused lesion development to occur at very few sites. At lesion free sites spore germination and germ tube growth were inhibited on the leaf surface. The cause of the inhibition of B. cinerea was shown to be a combination of nutritional competition between epiphytic bacteria and fungal spores and also the release into inoculum droplets of a water soluble inhibitor, probably arising from the interaction between conidia and epicuticular wax. The virulent pathogen, B. fabae was not inhibited on the leaf surface and caused lesion development at all inoculation sites. The mechanism by which this species may overcome inhibitors acting on the leaf surface is discussed.

Inhibition of B. cinerea germination was much less frequent on leaves from field grown plants indicating that post-penetration resistance mechanisms may be of more significance to the restriction of avirulent Botrytis spp. in the field.

Whereas B. cinerea typically produced limited lesions in field grown leaves, B. fabae produced lesions which ultimately spread throughout the leaf. Phytoalexin production by V. faba has been strongly implicated in the differential pathogenicity of Botrytis towards the broad bean plant. The antifungal activity of five phytoalexins from V. faba, the furanoacetylenes wyerol, wyerone,
wyerone acid and wyerone epoxide and the isoflavanoid medicarpin has been examined. All the phytoalexins were more active against germ tubes of _B. cinerea_ than _B. fabae_ but differential activity was more marked with wyerone derivatives than with medicarpin. The inhibitors were ranked in the following order of activity: wyerone epoxide, wyerone acid, wyerone, medicarpin and wyerol. The activity of wyerone acid was influenced by pH. _B. fabae_ was found to be less sensitive to wyerone acid than six other species of _Botrytis_ and studies with four isolates of both _B. cinerea_ and _B. fabae_ showed that tolerance to wyerone acid correlated with virulence. At concentrations slightly above those causing complete inhibition of fungal growth, wyerone, wyerone acid and wyerone epoxide were also shown to be toxic to _B. cinerea_ and _B. fabae_. Results suggested that the phytoalexins probably acted by binding to a specific receptor site within hyphae, but their precise mode of action was unclear.

Studies on the relationships between fungal growth and metabolism of wyerone, wyerone acid and wyerone epoxide showed that the differing abilities of _B. cinerea_ and _B. fabae_ to grow in the presence of the inhibitors was due primarily to differences in sensitivity rather than ability to metabolize the phytoalexins.

The time course of the growth and death of infection hyphae and accumulation of phytoalexins in epidermal tissue has been examined. Results suggested that antifungal concentrations of phytoalexins (particularly wyerone acid) accumulated sufficiently early, and in the correct location to account for the observed cessation in growth of _B. cinerea_. Within lesions caused by equally large numbers of conidia of _B. fabae_, however, phytoalexins failed to reach fungistatic concentrations. The biochemical mechanisms underlying the pathogenicity of _B. fabae_ to _V. faba_ are discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

This thesis reports further studies on the resistance of leaves of *Vicia faba* L. to infection and colonization by *Botrytis cinerea* Pers. and *B. fabae* Sard. Both species of *Botrytis* have been described as the causes of chocolate spot disease of broad and field beans (Wilson, 1937; Ogilvie & Munro, 1947; Leach, 1955). They both cause localized lesions to develop on leaves and pods but under normal field conditions only *B. fabae* is able to spread from initial infection sites to develop aggressive infection and to sporulate on rotted tissues (Leach, 1955).

The differential pathogenicities of *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae* towards *V. faba* have been demonstrated in the laboratory by inoculating detached leaves (Deverall & Wood, 1961; Purkayastha & Deverall, 1965a; Mansfield & Deverall, 1974a; Hargreaves, Mansfield & Rossall, 1977). For example, Mansfield & Deverall (1974a) found that conidia of *B. fabae* produced spreading lesions at nearly all inoculation sites but infection development was much more variable following inoculation with *B. cinerea*. The latter species produced *no* symptoms, limited lesions and spreading lesions at 26, 73.4 and 0.6% of 516 inoculation sites after 6 days. Germination and germ tube growth by *B. cinerea* were inhibited on the leaf surface at sites which failed to develop lesions, whereas germ tubes often terminating with appresoria were produced from conidia at lesion sites where restriction of fungal growth occurred after short infection hyphae had been produced.

Preliminary studies on the mechanisms of the inhibition of *B. cinerea* on the leaf surface of *V. faba* were reported by Rossall.
(1974). In the research reported here this resistance mechanism has been further examined. The involvement of phytoalexins in the restriction of fungal growth at sites where lesions develop has also been assessed.

(a) **Inhibition of fungi on the leaf surface**

Once deposited on the leaf surface initiation of infection processes depends on germination of the fungal spore. With the exception of powdery mildews, spores of most fungal pathogens require free water in order to germinate (Manners & Hossain, 1963; Schnathorst 1965). The environment existing within a water droplet containing spores of a fungal pathogen supported on the surface of a leaf is relatively complex (Blakeman, 1973). It reflects the interaction between pathogen, plant and leaf surface saprophytic microflora, each responding to changes in physical conditions. The existence of such a three-membered biological system has long been accepted for the zone around plant roots, termed the rhizosphere by Hiltner (1904), but such a system has only recently been accepted as responsible for events on the leaf surface, in the phylloplane. Biological and biochemical changes within such droplets, henceforth termed inoculum droplets, may prevent or induce germination of fungal spores.

Inhibitors and stimulants accumulating within inoculum droplets may be products of the host plant, saprophytic microflora, the fungal pathogen itself or be produced as a result of the interaction between any or all three members of the phylloplane system. As early as 1922 the investigations of Brown suggested that leaves and petals may act as sources of both stimulants and inhibitors of fungal development in overlying inoculum droplets.
The accumulation of nutrients in inoculum droplets has been reviewed by Tukey (1971). A large number of substances have been recorded in leachates derived from plant leaves, including simple sugars, all known amino-acids, growth regulators, organic acids, vitamins, all essential minerals and many trace elements. These nutrients may be utilized by both parasitic and saprophytic microorganisms in the inoculum droplet.

Fraser (1971) has shown that saprophytic bacteria remove nutrients from inoculum droplets on leaves of chrysanthemum (Chrysanthemum morifolium). The increase in numbers of bacteria after two or three days caused bacterial uptake of nutrients to equal the rate of their release from the leaf. Consequently sugars and amino-acids were almost undetectable in inoculum droplets at these times after inoculation (Blakeman & Fraser, 1971).

Leakage of nutrients from spores of pathogenic fungi on leaf surfaces can also make a significant contribution to enrichment of droplets. Botrytis cinerea has been shown to enrich droplets on chrysanthemum leaves with amino acids and sugars, resulting in an increase in the numbers of epiphytic bacteria (Fraser, 1971).

The presence of saprophytic micro-organisms in the inoculum droplet may directly inhibit the development of pathogens. The first observation of such an inhibitory process occurring in vivo was made by Crosse (1965). He concluded that saprophytic bacteria, which were a regular constituent of natural inoculum, were able to reduce the severity of leaf scar infection of cherry caused by the pathogen Pseudomonas mors-prunorum. Fraser (1971) suggested that the reason Mycosphaerella ligulicola germinated well on chrysanthemum leaves and
**B. cinerea** was inhibited was that the latter released greater amounts of nutrients into the inoculum droplet, stimulating increased numbers of saprophytic bacteria which inhibited spore germination. The implication that the activity of bacterial saprophytes is directly inhibitory to **B. cinerea** spore germination has also been made by Blakeman & Fraser (1971) and Blakeman (1972) for chrysanthemum and beetroot leaves respectively, and by Clark & Lorbeer (1976 & 1977) for spore germination of **B. cinerea** and **B. squamosa** on onion leaves.

Fraser (1971), Blakeman & Fraser (1971) and Blakeman (1972) demonstrated that leaf surface bacteria did not produce stable antifungal compounds. Evidence has been obtained by Sztejnberg & Blakeman (1973a) to indicate that the mechanism of bacterial inhibition on leaf surfaces is one of nutrient competition, whereby bacteria set up a steep concentration gradient from within to the outside of the spore, resulting in an increased rate of loss of nutrients from its endogenous reserves. Competition for nutrients derived from the leaf would add to this effect, resulting in spore starvation.

Studies using $^{14}$C labelled compounds by Brodie & Blakeman (1975 & 1976) and Blakeman & Brodie (1977) confirmed that bacteria on beetroot leaf surfaces adversely affected germination of **B. cinerea** conidia by utilizing nutrients, especially amino acids, derived from both conidia and from exogenous sources. Similar conclusions were reached by Ko & Lockwood (1967) in a study of the mechanism of soil fungistasis. However, Leben (1964) and Leben & Daft (1965) showed that Colletotrichum lagenarium, which causes anthracnose of cucumber, could be inhibited by cell-free diffusates obtained from a bacterium from cucumber leaves. Diffusates were later shown to contain antifungal antibiotics.
Fokkema (1973), investigating the infection of rye by Drechslera sorokiniana (Helminthosporium sativum) in the presence of rye pollen, has shown that saprophytic fungi can also inhibit the development of a fungal pathogen. The growth of a superficial mycelium of the pathogen on the leaf surface and the subsequent necrosis of the leaf were reduced in the presence of fungal saprophytes, including Aureobasidium pullulans, Sporobolomyces spp., Cryptococcus spp., and Cladosporium spp., which were dominant in the phyllosphere of rye. Fokkema concluded that nutrient competition was the cause of the antagonism between fungal saprophyte and parasite. Fokkema & Lorbeer (1974) have also shown saprophytic fungal flora of onion leaves to be weakly antagonistic towards B. cinerea and Alternaria porri, but not to the onion pathogen B. squamosa.

Within an inoculum droplet germination of spores of a fungal pathogen can also be influenced by interactions with the cuticle, more particularly the epicuticular waxes of the leaf. The role of chemical substances associated with the cuticle in defence against plant disease has been reviewed by Martin (1964). Subsequent research has brought about conflicting evidence concerning the precise role of cuticles in resistance, and Martin and Juniper (1970) were reluctant to conclude that cuticles have much direct inhibitory or stimulatory effect on potential parasites. They considered that resistance might be conferred indirectly by physical effects on inoculum droplets. Heather (1967) suggested that resistance of Eucalyptus bicostata to Phaseoseptoria eucalypti was associated with both the antifungal nature of the surface wax and the limitation of deposition of inoculum resulting from the strongly hydrophobic nature of the leaf surface.

There are, however, several reports that cuticles may influence the
development of fungal pathogens if inoculum droplets are able to adhere to the leaf surface. Certain factions of surface waxes of leaves of apple (Malus domestica) have been shown to inhibit powder mildew (Podosphaera leuchotricha), (Martin, Batt & Burchill, 1957), and surface wax is thought to contribute to the antifungal properties of Ginkgo biloba (Johnston & Spronston, 1965). Venkata Ram (1962) showed that the wax of the tea leaf (Thea sinensis) contains some fractions which stimulate the growth of germ tubes of Pestalotia theae and others that completely inhibit spore germination. Blakeman and Sztejnberg (1973) have shown that germination of B. cinerea was inhibited on waxes extracted from leaves of beetroot and that germination in vivo was improved on leaves where the wax deposit had been reduced by spraying with water or by treatment with chemicals such as trichloracetic acid or ethyl N, N-dipropylthiocambamate (E.D.T.C.). Lampard & Carter (1973) found components of a chloroform extract of wax of berries and leaves of coffee (Coffea arabica) toxic to a range of fungi, including Colletotrichum coffeanum, the causal organism of coffee berry disease.

Cruickshank, Perrin & Mandryk (1977), however suggested that fungitoxic diterpenediols associated with tobacco leaf wax influenced epidermiology of blue mould (Peronospora hyoscyami) but were not involved in the resistance of tobacco to the disease. Schutt (1971) found that total wax and several wax fractions from various Pinus spp. stimulated germination and growth of spores of B. cinerea and Lophodermium pinastri. The less resistant the species from which the wax was extracted, the greater the stimulatory effect of the wax.

It is also possible that microorganisms within the inoculum droplet may modify citucular wax, causing the production of fungal stimulants or inhibitors (Rossall, 1974).
It is clear, therefore that the biological and biochemical processes occurring within inoculum droplets can lead to the inhibition of spore germination. These processes need not involve the metabolism of underlying host cells following inoculation. Reports of metabolic changes being induced in cells before penetration and affecting fungal development on the leaf surface are less common. However, Sinha & Trivedi (1969) demonstrated that fungitoxic substances accumulate in droplets of cell-free diffusates of Helminthosporium oryzae incubated on whole rice leaves and suggested that the inhibitors arose from changes induced within epidermal cells.

(b) Involvement of phytoalexins in disease resistance

The original concept of phytoalexins as antifungal principles produced by plants in response to infection was postulated by Muller & Borger (1941), who examined the production of antifungal compounds by cells of potato tubers as they underwent hypersensitivity to infection by hyphae of incompatible races of Phytophthora infestans. However, the first compound to be isolated, characterized and termed a phytoalexin was pisatin from pea (Cruickshank & Perrin, 1960; Perrin & Bottomley, 1962).

Phytoalexins were defined by Muller (1956) as "antibiotics which are the result of an interaction of two different metabolic systems, the host and the parasite, and which inhibit the growth of microorganisms pathogenic to plants". Although several authors have proposed revisions of this interpretation (Wood, 1967; Deverall, 1972 & Ingham, 1973) a generally acceptable explicit definition of a phytoalexin is lacking. Van Etten & Pueppke (1976) applied the term phytoalexin to "antimicrobial plant metabolites which undergo enhanced
or de novo synthesis, i.e. induction, in response to microbial infection". This may be considered a commonly accepted working definition.

The involvement of phytoalexins in disease resistance has been the subject of many reviews, including those by Cruickshank (1963); Cruickshank, Biggs & Perrin (1971); Deverall (1972 & 1977); Ingham (1972) and Kuc (1972 & 1976).

Although phytoalexins have been discovered in several plant families (Kuc, 1976; Deverall, 1977) the majority of published works concern the production of phytoalexins by the Leguminosae and the Solanaceae. Phytoalexins of the Solanaceae characterised to date are all chemically related terpenoids. The involvement of terpenoid phytoalexins in resistance has recently been considered by Kuc, Currier & Shih (1976).

Only phytoalexins of the Leguminosae will be considered here. A number of leguminous plants produce phytoalexins which are closely related to each other, having a pterocarpanoid structure (Fig.1); for example pisatin from pea (Perrin & Bottomley, 1962), phaseollin from French bean (Perrin, 1964; Cruickshank & Perrin, 1963a), glyceollin (formerly hydroxyphaseollin) from soy bean (Burden & Bailey, 1975) and medicarpin from alfalfa (Smith, McInnes, Higgins & Millar, 1971).

The early investigations of phytoalexin production by infected plants identified only a single compound from each plant, and attempts were made to explain resistance of a host to fungal invasion in terms of the accumulation of a single inhibitor (Bailey & Deverall, 1971; Cruickshank & Perrin, 1963b & 1971; Higgins, 1972 and Mansfield & Deverall, 1974b). Latterly, however, it has been shown that in a number of host-parasite interactions more than one phytoalexin is
Fig.1  Structures of some pterocarpanoid phytoalexins of the Leguminosae.
produced, and the possible involvement of a multi-phytoalexin response in disease resistance has been considered (Bailey, 1974; Pueppke & Van Etten, 1975 & 1976; Smith, Van Etten & Bateman 1975; Hargreaves & Mansfield, 1975 and Hargreaves, Mansfield & Rossall, 1977). For example, the following compounds have now been described as phytoalexins from *V. faba* (see Fig.2); wyerone (Fawcett et al, 1968a, 1969) wyerone acid (Letcher, Widdowson, Deverall & Mansfield, 1970); wyerone epoxide (Hargreaves, Mansfield, Coxon & Price, 1976); wyerol (Hargreaves, Mansfield & Coxon, 1976a) and medicarpin (Hargreaves, Mansfield & Coxon, 1976b).

Wyerone was originally believed to be a preformed inhibitor (Deverall, 1972; Smith, 1973), but it has now been shown to be a phytoalexin produced as a result of infection (Fawcett, Parn & Spencer, 1971; Keen, 1972).

To date *V. faba* is the only plant examined which produces furano-acetylenic phytoalexins, although safflower (*Carthamus tinctorus*) produces the polyacetylenic phytoalexins safynol and dihydrosafynol (Allen & Thomas, 1971a & b).

The production of medicarpin is of interest as broad bean is the only plant to produce structurally unrelated phytoalexins (Kuc, 1972; Stoessel, Strothers & Ward, 1976). It also demonstrates a common property of broad bean and other members of the *Leguminosae* - that is the formation of a pterocarpanoid phytoalexins (Ingham & Harborne, 1976).

The basis of the phytoalexin theory is that during a resistant reaction the inhibitors accumulate to antifungal concentrations around invading fungal hyphae, preventing their growth. During a susceptible
Fig. 2 Structures of known phytoalexins from *V. faba*.
response inhibitory concentrations are not achieved and consequently the virulent fungus is able to colonize the tissue.

The cause of a susceptible response may be the result of one or more of several possible host parasite interactions. Lower levels of inhibitors are often recovered from tissues undergoing such a response than from tissues exhibiting resistance (Bailey & Deverall, 1971; Higgins & Millar, 1968; Keen 1971; and Mansfield & Deverall, 1974b). Differential accumulation of phytoalexins has been explained in terms of differential induction (Keen, 1971; Varns & Kuč, 1971 and Bailey & Deverall, 1971) and differential abilities to metabolize phytoalexins which are produced to non-antifungal compounds (Higgins, 1972; Mansfield & Widdowson, 1973; Stoessel, Unwin & Ward, 1973; and Van Etten & Smith, 1975). It is also possible that a virulent parasite is less sensitive to the phytoalexins than a non-pathogen. However, it is difficult to differentiate between tolerance and ability to metabolize phytoalexins on the basis of published data, as discussed by Van Etten & Pueppke (1976). Possible differences between virulent and avirulent parasites in terms of phytoalexin production are summarized in Fig. 3.

The accumulation of phytoalexins in different tissues of V. faba following inoculation with B. cinerea and B. fabae was reported by Hargreaves (1976) and Hargreaves et al (1977). Within leaf tissue, wyerone acid was the predominant phytoalexin, achieving a level some 4–5 times that of wyerone during resistant reactions. In susceptible reactions caused by B. fabae, however, phytoalexins accumulated much more slowly over the first 2d after inoculation, then their levels decreased to zero by 3d, suggesting the virulent pathogen was metabolizing the inhibitors.
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FIG. 3 Possible schemes for the involvement of phytoalexins in disease resistance and susceptibility (From Wood, 1974)
Both *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae* have been shown to metabolize wyerone, wyerone acid and wyerone epoxide to less antifungal compounds *in vitro* (Hargreaves, Mansfield & Coxon, 1976a; Mansfield & Widdowson, 1973; Hargreaves, Mansfield, Coxon & Price, 1976). A summary of the structural changes involved is given in Fig. 4. The metabolites of wyerone acid and the epoxide were shown to accumulate in spreading lesions in pod seed cavities caused by *B. fabae* (Hargreaves, 1976), and it was suggested that wyerone may have been detoxified after prior conversion to the acid (Mansfield & Widdowson, 1973; Hargreaves, 1976). In limited lesions caused by *B. cinerea* no metabolites were detected and phytoalexins accumulated to much greater concentrations. These findings suggested that the virulence of *B. fabae* was in some way related to its ability to metabolize phytoalexins *in vivo*.

(c) Objectives of research

(i) Inhibition of conidal germination on the leaf surface

The cause of the failure of *Botrytis* conidia to germinate on broad bean leaves has been further examined. The involvement of phylloplane epiphytic bacteria and epicuticular wax in the inhibition have been assessed, and studies on the effects of nutrients on the inhibitory processes involved have been related to the possible significance of leaf surface inhibition under field conditions.

(ii) Role of phytoalexins in the differential pathogenicities of *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae*

The antifungal activity of purified phytoalexins against germ tubes of *Botrytis* at a similar growth stage to infection hyphae inhibited within epidermal tissue was examined, and the relationships between tolerance and ability to metabolize the phytoalexins has been determined. More critical studies have been made of the timing of the
Fig. 4  In vitro metabolism of wyerone (A), wyerone epoxide (B) and wyerone acid (C) by *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae*. (From Hargreaves, 1976).
cessation in growth of infection hyphae and phytoalexin accumulation in epidermal tissue and attempts were made to assess the fungitoxicity of phytoalexins both in vitro and in vivo. Preliminary studies on the mode of action of wyerone acid were also carried out.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. **Plant Material**
   
   (a) **Source of seeds**
   
   Broad bean (*Vicia faba* L.) cv. "Aquadulce claudia" was used throughout the project. Seeds were supplied by "Sutton and Sons Ltd.", Reading.

   (b) **Growth of plants in growth rooms**
   
   Seeds were germinated between layers of moist tissue paper at 20°C in the dark. After 3-4d seeds with an emergent radical were sown in "John Innes No.2" potting compost, in 6" diameter pots, three seeds per pot. Pots were kept on trolleys in a growth room at 20°C with a 16h photoperiod, illumination being provided by a bank of "Phillips Reflectalite" fluorescent tubes supplemented with tungsten filament lamps to give a light intensity of c. 120W/m² in the region of plant material. Plants were watered daily from the base of the pots to avoid damaging leaves. Four fully expanded bifoliate leaves were produced 3-4 weeks after planting.

   (c) **Growth of plants under field conditions for studies on leaves and pods**
   
   Seeds were sown in Stirling University research gardens from December until March to give plants for use in the following Spring and Summer. Healthy, undamaged bifoliate leaves were detached from plants by cutting the petiole near to the stem with a razor blade. Cut petioles were immediately wrapped in moist tissue paper and leaves were rapidly transported to the laboratory in plastic boxes lined with wetted paper towels. Pods were harvested from
plants as soon as the seeds had swollen. All field grown material was used as soon as possible after collection.

(d) Growth of French bean and tomato plants

Tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum*) cv. "Money Maker" and French beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) cv. "Canadian Wonder" seeds were sown individually in "John Innes No.2" potting compost in 3" diameter pots. Plants were grown under conditions identical to those described for the growth of broad beans in growth rooms. Leaves were removed from plants when four fully expanded leaves developed.

2. Fungi

(a) Origin and maintenance of stock cultures

Cultures of species of *Botrytis* and *Cladosporium herbarum* were originally obtained from Dr. J. W. Mansfield. Stocks were initially maintained on slants of acidic V8 juice agar at 4°C and under sterile liquid paraffin. However, as it proved difficult to maintain pathogenicity of *Botrytis* cultures using these techniques, stocks were subsequently stored under liquid nitrogen. Aliquots of c. 1 ml of suspension of mycelial fragments and conidia in 10% (v/v) glycerol were injected into glass ampoules and sealed. Ampoules were stored in a "Vivostat" (British Oxygen Company) and a fresh ampoule from the stocks was used for each subsequent subculture of strains onto agar.

(b) Production of conidia

Conical flasks (250 ml) containing c. 40 ml of the appropriate medium were inoculated with the fungus after the surface of the agar had been wetted with sterile distilled water (SDW). Fungus was introduced as a small piece of agar containing sporulating
mycelial or as a suspension from a thawed liquid nitrogen storage ampoule. The fungus was spread uniformly across the surface of the medium by gentle shaking. Cultures were grown at 20°C under "Phillips, Black Light" fluorescent tubes with an emission spectrum of 310-410 nm and a maximum at 360 nm, with a 16h photoperiod.

(c) Preparation of conidial suspensions

Suspensions of Botrytis conidia were prepared from 10-14d old cultures. About 20 ml SDW was added to flasks containing the sporulating culture and conidia were released into suspension by scraping with a glass rod. Mycelial debris was removed by filtering through four layers of sterile muslin. The suspension was collected and washed twice with SDW following centrifugation at 1000g for two minutes. The concentration of conidia was estimated by haemocytometer counts and a suspension of required concentration made by appropriate dilution. Unless stated otherwise for specific experiments, concentrations of $5 \times 10^5$ and $10^5$ conidia/ml were prepared for experiments on leaf surface inhibition and studies involving phytoalexins respectively. Where conidia were to be suspended in a medium other than water, volumes of standard suspension were centrifuged prior to resuspension in the appropriate media.

Isolates of B. cinerea and B. fabae routinely used were identified as Bc 39 and BF PBI6, and Bc Vn 2 and BF VF 2 for leaf surface and phytoalexin studies respectively.
3. **Culture media**

(a) **Medium X** (Last and Hamley, 1956)

Content:

(i) Glucose 10g
Mycological peptone 2g
Casein hydrolysate (acid) 3g
KH₂PO₄ 1.5g
NaNO₃ 6g
KCl 0.5g
Yeast nucleic acids 0.5g
Distilled Water 200 ml

(ii) MgSO₄·7H₂O 0.5g
Distilled Water 100 ml

(iii) Oxoid agar No.3 30g
Distilled Water 700 ml

Preparation: The solutions (i) and (ii) were prepared whilst (iii) was placed in a boiling water bath. When the agar had dissolved, the three solutions were mixed. Aliquots (40 ml) were disposed into 250 ml conical flasks which were sealed with cotton wool bungs before autoclaving for 20 min. at 1 Kg cm⁻².

(b) **Acid V8 Juice Agar**

Content:

V8 Juice (Campbell's Soups Ltd.) 200 ml
Distilled water 800 ml
Oxoid agar No. 3 20g

Preparation: The agar and distilled water were placed in a boiling water bath until the agar had dissolved, the V8 Juice was
added and the pH of the mixture was adjusted to 5.0 with 1M NaOH solution. Ten ml aliquots were dispensed into McCartney bottles and autoclaved as described above. The bottles were allowed to cool at an angle to give slants for maintenance of stock cultures.

(c) Synthetic pod nutrients (SPN)

Content:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>5g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casein hydrolysate (acid)</td>
<td>380mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KH$_2$PO$_4$</td>
<td>100mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgSO$_4$.7H$_2$O</td>
<td>50mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distilled water</td>
<td>1 litre</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preparation: The pH of the mixture was adjusted to the desired value either by addition of galacturonic acid or by mixing with galacturonate buffer as described below. After being dispensed into appropriate bottles the mixture was autoclaved in the usual way.

(d) Galacturonate buffered SPN

A 20mM solution of galacturonic acid was titrated against 20mM NaOH to give a 10mM solution of sodium galacturonate. This salt was mixed with 10mM galacturonic acid (pK ≈ 3.3) to give a 10mM galacturonate buffer of desired pH. The resulting buffer was then added to double strength SPN to give SPN buffered with 5mM galacturonate buffer. The pH to which the buffer had to be adjusted to give the required final SPN pH was determined experimentally. For example galacturonate buffered SPN at pH 4.0 required mixing of equal volumes of double strength SPN and 10mM buffer at pH 3.8.
4. Chemicals

All chemicals used were of analytical grade whenever possible. Organic solvents (supplied by British Drug Houses Ltd. (BDH) and Fisons Ltd.) used in tissue extraction were redistilled before use as some batches of diethyl ether (Et₂O) were found to contain antifungal substances.

Spray reagents for thin layer chromatography (TLC) were prepared according to the methods described in 'Dyeing Reagents for Thin Layer and Paper Chromatography' produced by E. Merck Ltd., Darmstadt, W. Germany. Sprays used are summarized below.

(i) 2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazine (2,4-DNP)

Spray solution: 0.4% (v/v) 2,4-DNP in 2M HCl

Treatment: Above solution sprayed consecutively with 0.2% (v/v) solution of potassium hexacyano perate III in 2M HCl. After spraying saturated ketones show blue colour immediately and saturated aldehyde derivatives show an olive green colour more slowly. Unsaturated carbonyl derivatives change only slowly or not at all.

(ii) Lead (IV) acetate-rosaniline

Spray solution I, 3g of lead (II, IV) oxide was dissolved in 100 ml acetic acid with occasional stirring until complete dissolution.

Spray solution II, 0.05g roasniline base was dissolved in a mixture of 10 parts glacial acetic acid and 90 parts acetone.

Treatment: Spray with solution I followed after 4-5 minutes with solution II. 1,2 diols appear as pink spots on a white background.
(iii) **Picric acid**

Spray solution: 0.05M ethanolic picric acid solution.

Treatment: Following spraying chromatograms were placed in a chamber containing $\text{Et}_2\text{O}:\text{EtOH}:\text{glacial acetic acid} (80:20:1, v/v)$ for 30 minutes then into a chamber containing ammonia for 1-2 minutes. **Epoxides** appeared as orange spots on a yellow background.

(iv) **Rhodamine 6G** (Halloway & Challen, 1966)

Spray solution: 1% aqueous rhodamine 6G.

Treatment: Above solution sprayed onto plates. Compounds appeared as deep pink spots on pale pink background. Used to locate constituents of extracted wax.

(v) **Universal indicator (BDH)**

Spray solution: Undiluted indicator.

Treatment: Sprayed directly onto chromatograms, **acidic groups** appeared pink on green background.

(vi) **Vanillin - sulphuric acid**

Spray solution: vanillin (3g) was dissolved in 100ml EtOH containing 0.5ml concentrated sulphuric acid.

Treatment: After spraying, chromatograms were heated to 120°C. **Higher alcohols** gave a blue colouration.

5. **Measurement of pH**

Measurements were made with a Pye Unicam PW of 418 pH meter fitted with a Radiometer GK 2321C semi-micro electrode.
6. **Determination of pKa of wyerone acid**

The pKa of the phytoalexin wyerone acid was determined by Dr. B. Cox, Chemistry Department, Stirling University. Measurements were made by recording the shift in λ maximum of the UV absorption spectrum of the dissociated and undissociated forms of the acid at different pH values.

7. **Spectral analysis**

Ultraviolet (UV) spectra were obtained on a Pye Unicam SP1800 spectrophotometer. Analysis of potassium, magnesium and sodium concentrations in solutions was carried out using a Perkin Elmer 103 atomic absorption spectrophotometer. Mass spectral analysis was carried out by Dr. D. Dance, Chemistry Department, Stirling University, using a Jeol JMS-D100 mass spectrophotometer.

8. **Extraction and redeposition of leaf surface waxes**

Chloroform has been widely used as a solvent for wax extraction since it was shown to remove wax effectively from the surface of leaves (Fernandes, Baker & Martin, 1964). Fully expanded bifoliate leaves were detached from growth room grown plants and dipped in chloroform (CHCl₃) for one second only to minimise the possibility of co-extraction of cellular components of the leaf together with epicuticular wax. For each leaf extracted 1 ml of solvent was used. Wax solution was used immediately or stored at -20°C in the dark.

Several methods of wax redeposition were developed for studies on its antifungal properties.

(a) **Watch glass redeposition**

Wax solution (5 ml) was pipetted onto a clean watch glass and
the solvent allowed to evaporate slowly in a fume cupboard. Dishes were inoculated only when the characteristic smell of CHCl₃ was no longer detectable.

(b) **Sprayed glass slide redeposition**

Aliquots (2 ml) of wax solution were sprayed onto glass slides with an atomiser spray powered by oxygen free nitrogen (OFN) at 1 Kg/cm² from a distance of 6-8". The solvent rapidly evaporated on contact with the slide, leaving a uniform layer of redeposited wax. Slides were placed in a vacuum desiccator for 2h before use to ensure complete removal of CHCl₃.

(c) **TLC plate redeposition**

Precoated cellulose TLC plates (Merck, 5781) were cut to the size of the microscope slides with a diamond glass cutter and sprayed with wax solution as described above.

(d) **Glass fibre disc redeposition**

A modified version of the technique described by Jeffree, Baker & Holloway (1975) was used. The apparatus required was constructed as illustrated in Fig.6. Wax solution was slowly poured into the sealed apparatus through the glass fibre disc (Whatman, GF/C) to ensure the wick was fully saturated. The apparatus was then placed in a fume cupboard, where the draught aided the evaporation of the solvent. After the evaporations of 5 ml of solution from the graduated glass jar, the disc was removed and placed in a vacuum desiccator for at least 12h to ensure complete removal of solvent.
Fig. 6  Apparatus for wax redeposition.
9. **Inoculation techniques**

(a) **Growth room grown leaves**

The first to fourth fully expanded bifoliate leaves were cut from plants with a sharp razor blade. Cut petioles were immediately wrapped in moist tissue paper. Detached leaves were placed inside transparent plastic boxes (17.5 × 11.5 × 5.0 cm) with tight fitting lids, with the abaxial or adaxial surface uppermost as required. Boxes were lined with tissue paper moistened with tap water. The leaf laminae were supported on plastic mesh or test tubes and petiole wicks made to touch the moist box lining. A maximum of three leaves were placed in each box.

Droplets (20μl) of inocula were placed on the surface of each leaf using a micrometer syringes (Agla) fitted with a hypodermic needle. When inoculating conidial suspension, conidia tended to settle out. In order to maintain homogeneity an air bubble was introduced into the barrel of the syringe when it was filled, and after five droplets had been applied to the leaf the syringe was inverted so that the air bubble stirred the suspension. The number of droplets per leaf varied in individual experiments.

When large volumes of diffusate were required, leaves were inoculated using a sterile pasteur pipette, the use of a micrometer syringe being too time consuming. As many droplets as possible were placed on each leaf, the limiting factor being that if too many droplets were placed on the leaf they coalesced and tended to run off. Leaves were incubated in a growth cabinet at 18 ± 1°C and illuminated for 16h each day by a bank of fluorescent tubes.
(b) **Field grown leaves**

Leaves, transported to the laboratory as described earlier, were carefully examined for signs of damage and soil contamination. Damaged leaves were discarded and contaminated leaves gently washed in a stream of distilled water and blotted dry with tissue paper. Arrangements in plastic boxes and subsequent inoculation and incubation was as described for growth room grown material, except that, being larger only one or two leaves were placed in each box.

(c) **Glassware and fibreglass discs**

Glass slides, watch glasses and fibre glass discs were supported as necessary on test tubes and plastic mesh in plastic boxes lined with moist tissue paper. Inoculation and incubation was as described for leaves.

(d) **Cotyledons**

Broad bean seeds were germinated for 36-48h between wet paper towels in plastic trays at 25°C. The testas from imbibed seeds were carefully removed and undamaged cotyledons separated and placed rounded (abaxial) side downwards on plastic mesh over moist tissue paper in large plastic boxes. Cotyledons bearing signs of bacterial rot and discoloration were discarded. Cotyledons were then inoculated by flooding the upper surface with inocula with the aid of a pasteur pipette or a "Shandon" laboratory spray gun. Inoculated tissues were incubated at 18°C in the dark.

(e) **Pods**

Pods were washed in tap water immediately after collection to remove residual soil, drained and left to dry. The clean dry pods were opened with a sharp razor blade, exposing the seed cavities.
Seeds were discarded and the half pods cut into pieces bearing two or three seed cavities. Larger segments tended to curl up on incubation. Before inoculation seed cavities which showed signs of natural infection or which had little endocarp tissue were discarded. The half pod pieces were placed on moist tissue paper in large plastic boxes and inoculated using a sterile 10 ml pipette. Approximately 0.3 ml of inocula was placed in each cavity, and the tissues were incubated in sealed boxes at 18°C in the dark.

10. Measurement of infection on leaves

A pictorial key to the system used is given in Figure 7 (from Mansfield, 1972). Allocation to grades was made on the basis of the percentage browning/blackening of the leaf tissue under the inoculum droplet. Lesions spreading beyond the area of the droplet were graded on the extent of spread beyond the infection site.

11. Preparation of leaf material for light microscopy

(a) Examination of growth on the leaf surface

Leaf discs bearing inoculum droplets were cut from detached leaves and bleached with chlorine gas generated by the oxidation of concentrated HCl by KMnO₄ crystals. Ammonia vapour was blown over the bleached discs to neutralise excess chlorine (Janes, 1962). A drop of cotton blue lactophenol stain was added to each inoculum droplet and a cover slip put in place. This method rendered conidia clearly visible for microscopical examination without dislodging them from the leaf surface.

(b) Examination of growth within epidermal cells

Shallow cuts in the form of a square immediately around an
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade boundaries</th>
<th>Grade mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% → 6%</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% → 12%</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7% → 12%</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13% → 25%</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26% → 50%</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51% → 75%</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76% → 99%</td>
<td>87.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>some spread</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2mm ring</td>
<td>S₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2mm</td>
<td>S₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4mm ring</td>
<td>S₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;6mm wide ring of browning beyond the infection site</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7  Grading system for measurement of infection on leaves.
inoculum droplet on a leaf were made with a sharp razor blade. With care it was then possible to peel off the epidermis underlying the droplet using fine curved forceps. Abaxial epidermis was more easily removed. Detached tissue was mounted on a glass slide in water for microscopical observation of growth of infection hyphae within the epidermis and visible cellular responses by the plant.

12. Preparation of diffusates

(a) Leaf diffusates

Droplets were collected from the leaf surface using a sterile pasteur pipette. The bulked droplets, henceforth termed diffusate, were transferred to a centrifuge tube surrounded by crushed ice, and centrifuged at 1000g for two minutes to remove any conidia and leaf surface debris which were present. Bacteria (including *Sporobolomyces* spp.) were removed from diffusates when required by filtration through a membrane filter (13 mm diameter, pore size 0.45 μm) held in a "Swiny adaptor" (Millipore Corporation). Bacteria were recovered from the filter by passing a volume of SDW, equal to the original volume of diffusate, through the filter in the reverse direction, then by shaking the membrane in the resulting suspension for one minute. All diffusates and bacterial suspensions were used immediately after collection.

(b) Diffusates from glass

Droplets were collected from glassware and fibreglass discs and treated as described for diffusates from leaves.

(c) Pod diffusates

With small volumes of diffusates, inoculum droplets were collected from pod seed cavities using a pasteur pipette; however, large volumes
were collected under suction into a Buchner flask surrounded by crushed ice. After collection the diffusate was either used immediately or stored at -20°C in the dark.

Throughout all collections, and subsequent extraction procedures described, illumination was kept to a minimum.

13. Analysis of nutritional status of diffusates

(a) Total carbohydrate

Determination of total carbohydrate in diffusates was made by measuring the colour reaction given with anthrone reagent (Hewitt, 1958). Anthrone reagent was made up, containing 0.2g anthrone, 8 ml EtOH, 30 ml distilled water and 100 ml concentrated H$_2$SO$_4$. Aliquots (1 ml) of diffusates were mixed with 10 ml aliquots of anthrone reagent and heated for seven minutes in a boiling water bath. After cooling absorbance was measured at 620 nm. Concentrations of total carbohydrate were expressed as μg glucose/ml of solution following comparison with a glucose calibration curve.

(b) Total amino acid

The level of total amino acid in diffusates was measured using the colour reaction given with ninhydrin (Rosen, 1957). Samples (1 ml) of diffusate were added to 0.5 ml cyanide-acetate buffer (pH 5.0-5.2) and 0.5 ml 3% (w/v) ninhydrin solution in methyl cellosolve. After heating for 15 minutes in a boiling water bath absorbance was measured at 570 nm following suitable dilution with isopropyl alcohol : water (1:1, v/v). Concentration of total amino acid in diffusate was expressed as μg valine/ml following comparison with a valine calibration curve.
14. Preparation of tissue for extraction

(a) Leaf epidermal strips

Strips of epidermis were peeled from the leaf underlying inoculum droplets as described earlier. Excised strips were collected in a beaker of known weight cooled on crushed ice. The total weight of tissue was calculated before extraction.

(b) Pod endocarp

Pod endocarp tissue was removed from previously inoculated pod segments by scraping it out with a small spatula. It was collected in a beaker of known weight, cooled on ice. Tissue collected was extracted immediately or stored at -20°C in the dark.

(c) Cotyledons

Infection sites were sliced from inoculated cotyledons with a razor blade and stored as described for pod endocarp tissue.

As described for diffusates, throughout all tissue collections and extraction illumination was kept to a minimum.

15. Extraction procedures

(a) Diffusate

All diffusates were extracted by partitioning with diethyl ether (unless stated otherwise) by shaking three times with twice the volume of solvent. Large volumes (> 10 ml) were extracted in a separating funnel whereas small volumes were partitioned in a test tube after agitation with a pasteur pipette. If the residual water phase was required for antifungal activity determinations, excess solvent was removed by bubbling through a stream of OFN until the ethereal smell was no longer detectable.
(b) Tissue

(i) Leaf tissue

The small quantities of leaf tissue collected for phytoalexin estimation were extracted by grinding four times in diethyl ether (Et₂O) (1:50, w/v) with a glass homogeniser (MSE). A maximum of 0.2g of tissue was extracted on each occasion and care was taken to keep the extracts as cool as possible.

(ii) Cotyledon and pod tissue

Cotyledon and pod tissue were extracted for bulk collection of phytoalexins. Excised infected cotyledon tissue was initially coarsely ground for 5 seconds in an omnimixer (Sorval) at half speed. Conical flasks (500 ml) were then approximately one third filled with the ground tissue, which was covered with Et₂O. Flasks were placed on an orbital incubator at 4°C and 60 r.p.m. for a minimum of 12h. The supernatant ether was carefully decanted off and replaced with a similar volume of fresh solvent. After shaking for a further one hour, flasks were placed in a freezer at -20°C. When the tissue became completely frozen, the liquid ether phase was bulked with the original extract. Extraction was carried out with c. 10 ml Et₂O/g of tissue.

Infected pod tissue was extracted after first grinding in Et₂O (1:1, w/v) in an omnimixer for three 15 second bursts at half speed. The resultant slurry was shaken three times with twice its volume of ether in a separating funnel and the ether collected. After the third extraction the residual water phase was frozen to remove remaining ether to add to the extract.

All collected ether extracts were dried in vacuo at 25°C in a
rotary evaporator (Büchi, Rotavap R). Traces of water present in extracts were evaporated following the addition of a little EtOH and dried extracts were redissolved in a suitable solvent for bioassays or further purification.

16. Chromatography

(a) Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC)

All analytical TLC was carried out using precoated plates (Merck, silica gel 60 F$_{254}$, 0.25mm thick). Extracts were applied to chromatograms in MeOH or CHCl$_3$ as necessary using drawn out pasteur pipettes. Plates were developed in solvent systems described in individual experiments, after which they were dried and bands located by spray reagents or examination under UV light at 254 or 366nm (Camag Universal Lamp). Bands detected were marked with pencil. Located phytoalexins were eluted with MeOH or CHCl$_3$ after being carefully removed from the plate with a spatula. Recovered silica gel was suspended in solvent shaken with a "Whirlimixer" when centrifuged at 1000g for 5 minutes. Concentrations of phytoalexins in the resultant supernatant could be estimated by UV absorption spectrophotometry by reference to published molecular extinction coefficients for the compounds as described later.

(b) Preparative layer chromatography (PLC)

Preparative chromatography was carried out on plates coated in the laboratory. Glass plates (20 x 20 cm) were thoroughly washed in hot detergent solution (Teepol) then rinsed with tap water and dried. The plates were loaded onto a "Shandon" Unoplan leveler model P. Silica gel ("Merck", GF$_{254}$ type 60) was weighed into a conical flask and mixed with distilled water (1:2, w/v). The
mixture was shaken vigorously for 90 seconds and the slurry spread onto the plates as a layer 1-1.5 mm thick within 15 seconds. After 5 minutes the plates were transferred to a drying rack and the rack placed horizontally in an oven at 110°C for 10 minutes. The plates were finally activated by storage in the oven for a further 50 minutes in the vertical plane. The plates were used the same day or stored in a desiccator.

Methanolic extracts were applied to the plates with a pasteur pipette fitted with a small cotton wool wick to avoid damage to the delicate surface of the absorbent layer. After development in a suitable solvent system bands were detected using UV light as described for TLC, and marked with a sharp pointer. Elution was carried out using MeOH or CHCl₃ depending on the polarity of the compound. Silica gel was removed from the plates by filtering through cotton wool.

Both analyticl and preparative chromatography was carried out in chromatography tanks lined with tissue paper soaked in solvents used.

(c) Gel filtration

Gel filtration was carried out on a 2.5 x 75 cm column packed with "Sephadex LH20" in MeOH. Extracts (from c.100g FW tissue) were applied to the top of the column in 2 ml MeOH, and the flow rate adjusted to 2 ml/minute. Separation of components of the extract was monitored using an "LKB uvicord 4700" uv absorptiometer at 254 nm and a flat bed recorder (Servoscribe 1s). Fractions were collected at 10 minute intervals with an "LKB 7000" fraction collector. Further separation of constituents of individual fractions was carried out using TLC or PLC.
17. Summary of purification procedures for phytoalexins and phytoalexin metabolites

(a) Phytoalexins

Milligram quantities of phytoalexins were purified from cotyledon and pod tissue extracts for the preparation of stock solutions.

(i) Wyerone

Cotyledon tissue (c. 100g) collected 6d after inoculation with B. fabae, was extracted with Et₂O and applied to the top of a column of "Sephadex LH20" for gel filtration in MeOH. Fractions containing wyerone were collected from 2 hours 50 minutes until 3 hours 20 minutes after application, bulked and dried down in vacuo at 25°C. This semi-purified extract was loaded onto PLC plates in MeOH (1g FW tissue/cm plate) and plates were developed in Hexane:Acetone (2:1, v/v) and CHCl₃: Petroleum spirit (60-80° BR) (2:1, v/v). Wyerone was identified as a large band, fluorescing blue (366 nm), at Rₑ ≈ 0.6. Precise measurement of Rₑ values was especially difficult on preparative plates as compounds tended not to run as fine bands and some variation between batches of plates was unavoidable. The centre of the wyerone band only was collected and eluted with CHCl₃ and redissolved in a known volume of MeOH.

(ii) Wyerone epoxide and wyerol

Procedures for the purification of wyerone epoxide and wyerol were identical to wyerone. Wyerone epoxide was recognized on chromatograms as a small blue fluorescent (366 nm) band immediately below wyerone at Rₑ ≈ 0.5. Following elution of this band contaminating wyerone was removed by repeated development (up to three times) of fresh PLC chromatograms in CHCl₃: Petroleum spirit (2:1, v/v). Pure wyerone epoxide was detected below contaminating...
wyeron and eluted with CHCl₃ before redissolution in MeOH.

Wyerol was identified on the original chromatograms as a non-fluorescent band which quenched background fluorescence (254 nm) at $R_f \leq 0.4$. Elution was carried out with CHCl₃.

(iii) *Wyerone acid*

Wyerone acid was purified from an extract of pod endocarp tissue collected 5d after inoculation with *B. cinerea*. The extract was applied to the "Sephadex" column for gel filtration in MeOH. Fractions containing wyeron acid were collected from 3h until 3h 30 minutes after application, then applied to PLC plates and developed as described for the purification of wyeron. The acid was identified on chromatograms as a blue fluorescent band (366 nm) as $R_f \leq 0.05$. After elution in MeOH wyeron acid was reapplied to PLC plates (2g FW tissue/cm plate) for further purification by development in Et₂O:MeOH (6:1, v/v). The phytoalexin was eluted from plates in MeOH as the centre of a large blue fluorescent band (366 nm) at $R_f \geq 0.3$.

(iv) *Medicarpin*

Medicarpin was obtained from the same extract as wyeron acid. Fractions containing medicarpin were collected from the "Sephadex" column from 6h until 6h 30 minutes after application and bulked fractions were applied to PLC plates in MeOH. The phytoalexin was recovered as a dark quenching band (254 nm) at $R_f \leq 0.3$ after two repeated developments in CHCl₃. Elution was carried out using MeOH.

A phytoalexin was considered pure if it ran as a single spot when developed on analytical TLC plates and if its UV absorption spectrum corresponded with the known spectrum of the compound.
Spectra of wyerone, wyerone acid, wyerone epoxide, wyerol and medicarpin are given in Figs. 8-12 respectively.

(b) Phytoalexin metabolites

(i) Reduced wyerone acid

Reduced wyerone acid was purified from an ether extract of pod endocarp tissue (c. 200g) 5d after inoculation with B. fabae. The extract was applied to the "Sephadex LH20" column in MeOH. Fractions containing reduced wyerone acid were collected from 5h until 5h 30 minutes after application and subjected to PLC. Plates were developed in Et₂O MeOH (8:1, v/v) and reduced wyerone acid was recognized as a dark quenching band (254 nm) at Rₚ 0.4. The band was eluted with MeOH.

(ii) Wyerol epoxide and dihydrodihydroxy wyerol

Wyerol epoxide and dihydrodihydroxy wyerol were prepared from wyerone epoxide by in vitro metabolism by mycelium of B. cinerea and B. fabae respectively. Aliquots (10 ml) of wyerone epoxide (25 μg/ml) in SPN were added to 40 conical flasks (50 ml). Twenty flasks each were inoculated with a 0.5 cm disc of agar bearing speculating mycelium of B. cinerea or B. fabae. Flasks were incubated in the dark at 18°C on an orbital incubator at 120 rpm. Loss of the phytoalexin was monitored by UV spectrophotometry against an SPN blank, reduction in absorption at 347 cm corresponding to disappearance of the inhibitor. Reduction in absorption at 347 nm closely paralleled an increase at 310 nm, and when no further reduction was observed (24h with B. fabae and 48h with B. cinerea) the contents of the flasks were extracted with Et₂O. Extracts were redissolved in MeOH and subjected to PLC. Plates were developed in Hexane:Acetone (2:1, v/v). Wyerol epoxide was recognised in the B. cinerea
Fig. 8 UV absorption spectrum of wyerone in methanol
Fig. 9 UV absorption spectrum of wyerone acid in methanol
Fig. 10  UV absorption spectrum of wyerone epoxide in methanol
Fig. 11  UV absorption spectrum of wyerol in methanol
Fig. 12 UV absorption spectrum of medicarpin in methanol
metabolism extract as a quenching band (254 nm) at \( R_f \approx 0.5 \) and dihydrodihydroxy wyerol was similarly identified in the \( B. fabae \) extract at \( R_f \approx 0.2 \). Compounds were eluted in \( \text{MeOH} \).

Following elution, structures of the two metabolites were confirmed by development of spots of the compounds on analytic TLC plates in \( \text{Hexane}:\text{Acetone} \ (2:1, \text{v/v}) \). After location with UV radiation (254 nm) plates were sprayed with picric acid and lead IV acetate-rosaniline to confirm the presence of an epoxide and a diol respectively. Criteria for purity of metabolites were as described for phytoalexins. The UV absorption spectrum of reduced wyerone acid is given in Fig. 11, having a characteristic \( \lambda \) maximum at 300 nm. Wyerol epoxide and dihydrodihydroxy wyerol spectra had \( \lambda \) maximum at 310 nm, being similar to that described for wyerol (Fig. 11).

18. Use of UV absorption spectra to estimate concentrations of phytoalexins and phytoalexin metabolites

Concentrations of phytoalexins and their metabolites in stock solutions and in extracts of plant material were determined from UV absorption spectra of the purified compounds. The following formula was used:

\[
\text{Yield (µg) = Absorbance at } \lambda \text{ maximum } \times \text{Volume of solvent (ml)} \times \text{Conversion factor}
\]

Conversion factors, calculated from molecular extinction coefficients \( (\epsilon) \), were: wyerone, 9.55; wyerone acid, 9.04; wyerone epoxide, 9.75; wyerol, 9.29; medicarpin, 38.78; reduced wyerone acid, 9.80; wyerol epoxide, 13.80 and dihydrodihydroxy wyerol, 16.30, (Hargreaves, 1976).
Fig. 13  UV absorption spectrum of reduced wyerone acid in methanol
19. **Bioassays**

(a) **TLC plate bioassay**

Antifungal substances were detected in chromatograms of extracts by the method devised by Klarmann and Stamford (1968). Spores of *Cladosporium herbarum* in Czapek-dox liquid medium at pH 5.0 were sprayed on developed chromatograms and incubated at 25°C in moist chambers for four days. Inhibitory zones were detected as areas of white silica gel where the dark green fungus failed to grow.

(b) **Slide bioassay**

(i) **Against conidia**

The method used was based on that of Purkayastha & Deverall (1965b). The biological activity of solutions on bacterial suspensions was assayed against germination and germ tube growth of *Botrytis* conidia. Glass slides were first washed by rubbing in hot teepol solution. They were then placed in stainless steel racks and soaked overnight in a 2% solution of a surface active detergent (Decon 90, Quadralene or Haemosol). Slides were thoroughly rinsed with tap water and finally distilled water before drying at 120°C. This cleaning technique was found to give slides which gave no deleterious effects on germination of spores or spread of bioassay droplets.

Droplets (20 μl) of solutions or bacterial suspensions to be bioassayed were placed on slides using an "Eppendorf" pipette. Two droplets were placed on each slide and a minimum of two slides were prepared for each treatment. Slides were supported on test tubes in plastic boxes lined with moist tissue paper. Droplets (1 μl) of conidial suspension (5 × 10⁵ conidia/ml) in SDW or SPN as required was added to the centre of each droplet with a micrometer
syringe (Agla). Bioassays were incubated at 18°C in the dark, for 24 hours unless stated otherwise.

At the end of the incubation the conidia were fixed and stained by the addition of a small drop of cotton blue lactophenol to each bioassay droplet. Percentage germination was estimated as the mean of five replicate counts of 100 conidia between four replicate droplets. Germination was considered the production of a germ tube of any length. Germ tube length was recorded as a mean of at least 35 randomly selected germ tubes between replicates. Germ tubes with a mean length less than $\leq 100$ μm were measured with a calibrated micrometer eyepiece whereas with larger ones, measurement was made using camera lucida drawings and a map measurer. The total length of all germ tubes produced by each conidium was recorded. Statistical comparison between mean length of germ tubes and control germ tube length was made using a 'D-test' when required.

(ii) Against germ tubes (sporelings)

The antifungal activity of phytoalexins was routinely measured against germ tubes (sporelings) rather than conidia. Glass slides were washed and arranged in plastic boxes as described previously. Each slide was inoculated with two droplets (20 μl) of buffered SPN. Droplets (1 μl) of Botrytis conidial suspensions ($5 \times 10^5$ conidia/ml) were added to the centre of each droplet and the slides were incubated for 6 hours or longer as required at 18°C. After incubation conidia had germinated and produced germ tubes $\leq 50$ μm in length. Surrounding droplets of SPN were removed by tilting the slide and absorbing displaced liquid with filter paper. Weighing experiments indicated that 90% of the original volume was removed using this method. Sporelings were clearly visible, adhered strongly to the glass.
Droplets (20 μl) of phytoalexin solutions in buffered SPN were then placed over sporelings and the bioassays were incubated in the dark at 18°C for 18 hours unless otherwise stated. Concentrations of phytoalexin solutions were adjusted to compensate for dilution by residual SPN around sporelings. Dissolution of phytoalexins, except polar wyerone acid, in SPN was aided by the addition of 2% MeOH. This had no inhibitory effect on control fungal growth. At the end of incubation bioassays were stained with cotton blue lactophenol and germ tube lengths were estimated by measuring a minimum of 35 randomly selected germ tubes between replicate droplets.

Antifungal activity of phytoalexins against conidia and sporelings were expressed as ED$_{50}$ and minimum inhibitory dose (MID) concentrations. The parameters were measured from graphs of germ tube growth against phytoalexin concentration.

20. **Assessment of death of conidia and sporelings**

(a) **By solution replacement**

Assessment of viability of conidia and sporelings after incubation in the presence of phytoalexins was made by replacing the inhibitory solution with fresh SPN and observing subsequent growth. Bioassay droplets were removed with filter paper as described earlier and replaced with droplets (20 μl) of fresh SPN. This was left in place for c. 10 minutes to wash the sporelings then replaced with a second droplet of SPN. Bioassays were incubated at 18°C for a further 12-18 hours then fixed and stained by the addition of a small droplet of cotton blue lactophenol. Comparison of dead and living structures was made by microscopical examination, dead sporelings having a germ tube length of only c. 50 μm whereas living ones having
grown to >200 μm. Four replicate counts of 100 structures were made for each treatment.

(b) By vital staining

Viability was also assessed by vital staining. The following stains were tested: 0.01% aqueous fluorescein diacetate (Rotman and Papernst, 1966); 0.5% Evans blue, 0.1% neutral red and 0.1% phenosafranine (Widholm, 1972) and 0.5% trypan blue (Hargreaves, personal communication). After incubation in the presence of phytoalexins a droplet (~10 μl) of stain was added to the bioassay droplets before microscopical examination. Sporelings were only counted as dead when the entire structure, conidium and germ tube, gave a positive reaction in the presence of a particular stain.

Cover slips were not put in place until immediately prior to examination lest anaerobiosis should have a deleterious effect on the fungal structures. Four replicate counts of 100 sporelings or conidia were made for each treatment.

21. Relationship between growth of germ tubes and metabolism of phytoalexins

Studies on the relationship between germ tube growth and phytoalexin metabolism were carried out in plastic petri dishes (5 cm. diameter). Preliminary investigations demonstrated that 4.5 ml of aqueous solution was necessary to overcome surface tension and completely cover the base of the dish. Aliquots (4.5 ml) of conidial suspensions of B. cinerea and B. fabae (2.5 x 10⁴ conidia/ml) in buffered SPN were placed in petri dishes and incubated for six hours at 18°C in humid conditions. The SPN was then carefully decanted off, leaving a uniform layer of sporelings (germ tube length ~50 μm) adhered to the dish. Portions (4.5 ml) of phytoalexin solutions at
the desired concentration in SPN were added to each dish. Dishes were incubated under standard conditions for varying periods, after which bathing solutions were decanted off. Concentrations of phytoalexins in these solutions was estimated by UV spectroscopy against an SPN blank. Loss of absorbance at λ maximum for each phytoalexin corresponded to loss of the inhibitor from solution. Growth of sporelings was examined in the same dishes after staining with cotton blue lactophenol, as described for slide bioassays.
EXPERIMENTAL WORK AND RESULTS

SECTION I

THE INHIBITION OF BOTRYTIS ON LEAVES OF VICIA FABA

CHAPTER 1

QUANTITATIVE STUDIES ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF BOTRYTIS ON THE ADAXIAL LEAF SURFACE OF GROWTH ROOM GROWN PLANTS

Twelve fully expanded bifoliate leaves were inoculated with six droplets (10 μl) of conidial suspensions of B. cinerea (isolates 39 and SR), B. elliptica and B. fabae (isolate PBI6) on separate half leaflets. The development of symptoms was recorded, after incubation for 24 and 48h, using the grading system illustrated in Fig.7. Results obtained (Table 1) show that each of the avirulent species caused lesions at less than 20% of inoculation sites after 24h incubation. By 48h a small increase in the number of lesions and symptom severity was recorded.

However, B. fabae caused the production of lesions of at least grade 19 at all sites 24h after inoculation, and by 48h over 10% had spread from the original site of inoculation.

Microscopical observation showed that the germination and germ tube growth of B. cinerea was inhibited at symptomless sites compared to that at sites where visible symptoms had developed (Plate 1, a and b). The growth of B. cinerea conidia 24h after inoculation of glass is shown in Plate 1(c). These observations suggested that the development of conidia on leaves was inhibited at symptomless
Plate 1

Growth of *B. cinerea* (39) conidia 24h after inoculation onto:

(a) leaves (no lesion site);
(b) leaves (lesion site);
(c) glass slide

Bar = 50 μm
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infection grade</th>
<th>B. cinerea (39)</th>
<th>B. cinerea (SR)</th>
<th>B. elliptica</th>
<th>B. fabae</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24h</td>
<td>48h</td>
<td>24h</td>
<td>48h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
sites, and stimulated at sites where lesions developed.

The rates of germination of *B. cinerea* (39 and SR) *B. elliptica* and *B. fabae* (PBI6; used in further studies unless stated otherwise) were then compared on glass slides and on leaves over a 24h period. Symptomless sites were selected for examination of the avirulent species. Sites inoculated with *B. fabae* were selected at random. Symptoms were first observed at 16h and 20h after inoculation of leaves with *B. fabae* and the other species respectively. Data obtained are given in Fig.14. *B. fabae* germinated more rapidly than *B. cinerea* or *B. elliptica* on both substrates, giving ~90 and 100% germination on glass slides and leaves respectively. Spores of all species germinated more slowly on the leaf surface than on glass, and the avirulent species achieved only ~10% germination after 24h on leaves compared to ~85% on glass.
Fig. 14 Time course for germination of *Botrytis* spp. on glass slides (open symbols) and leaves of *V. faba* closed symbols.

*B. cinerea* (39) = ▲ △  
*B. cinerea* (SR) = ▼ ▼  
*B. elliptica* = ■ □  and  
*B. fabae* = ● ○
CHAPTER 2

STUDIES ON THE CAUSES OF INHIBITION OF GERMINATION OF CONIDIA ON LEAF SURFACES

(a) The antifungal activity of diffusates from broad bean leaves

The presence of antifungal activity within bulked inoculum droplets was examined as an indication of the possible cause(s) of inhibition of Botrytis on the leaf surface.

Forty fully expanded bifoliate leaves were inoculated on the adaxial surface with as many droplets (10 μl) as possible of SDW and conidial suspensions of B. cinerea (39; used in further studies unless stated otherwise), B. elliptica and B. fabae on separate half leaflets. After incubation for 24h the droplets were collected and bulked to form diffusates. Diffusates were obtained from symptomless sites following inoculation with B. cinerea or B. elliptica and from above flecked lesions following inoculation with B. fabae. After centrifugation to remove fungal spores and sporelings, aliquots of the diffusates were sterilized by passage through a 0.45 μm membrane filter. Unfiltered and sterile diffusates were bioassayed against conidia of B. cinerea and B. fabae.

The results obtained are given in Table 2. All unfiltered diffusates were inhibitory towards both B. cinerea and B. fabae. The most active diffusates were those obtained from sites inoculated with B. cinerea and B. elliptica. Diffusates from sites inoculated with B. fabae or SDW alone exhibited less antifungal activity, and all diffusates were slightly more active against B. cinerea than B. fabae.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of diffusate</th>
<th>% germination</th>
<th>Germ tube length</th>
<th>B. cinerea</th>
<th>% G</th>
<th>GTL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>89.2 (83-94)</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>88.4 (82-94)</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (Unfiltered)</td>
<td>44.6 (36-50)</td>
<td>23.4*</td>
<td>56.0 (49-62)</td>
<td>30.6*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>on leaf (Filtered)</td>
<td>70.2 (66-76)</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>76.6 (70-81)</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. cinerea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>on leaf (Unfiltered)</td>
<td>24.0 (18-28)</td>
<td>18.8*</td>
<td>28.4 (21-34)</td>
<td>23.7*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Filtered)</td>
<td>34.4 (26-35)</td>
<td>21.0*</td>
<td>38.8 (34-43)</td>
<td>28.2*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. elliptica</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>on leaf (Unfiltered)</td>
<td>21.2 (15-24)</td>
<td>19.1*</td>
<td>29.0 (24-33)</td>
<td>25.3*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Filtered)</td>
<td>28.4 (20.33)</td>
<td>23.7*</td>
<td>40.3 (36.46)</td>
<td>26.3*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. fabae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>on leaf (Unfiltered)</td>
<td>63.6 (58-59)</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>75.4 (68-81)</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Filtered)</td>
<td>&gt;95*</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>&gt;95*</td>
<td>61.7*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Mean of 5 replicates; figures in parentheses, maximum range between replicates
b Mean of 35 germ tubes
c Growth in SDW on glass slides
+ Range of replicates outside range of control
* Significantly different from control (p = 0.001)
Membrane filtration removed some activity in all treatments. Filtered \textit{B. cinerea} and \textit{B. elliptica} diffusates retained considerable inhibitory activity, but bulked water droplets were only weakly active. By contrast, \textit{B. fabae} diffusate became stimulatory to both fungi assayed following filtration.

These results suggested that the presence of epiphytic microorganisms within diffusates rendered them inhibitory to germination of \textit{Botrytis} conidia. In addition certain diffusates, particularly those collected from sites inoculated with \textit{B. cinerea} and \textit{B. elliptica} contained antifungal principle (or principles) which was not removed by membrane filtration.

(b) Comparative studies on the inhibition of germination of \textit{Botrytis} on leaves of broad bean, French bean and tomato plants

Before studying the inhibition of germination of \textit{B. cinerea} conidia on the surface of broad bean leaves in greater depth, it was decided to compare the development of a range of \textit{Botrytis} species on leaves of broad bean, French bean and tomato plants, grown under identical conditions. The percentage germination of conidia of \textit{B. allii}, \textit{B. cinerea} (39 and SR), \textit{B. elliptica} and \textit{B. fabae} (PBI6 and SSI) on leaves and glass slides was examined 24h and 48h after inoculation. On tomato and French bean leaves fewer than 10% of inoculation sites had developed visible symptoms by 48h after inoculation with any fungus. Fungal development was therefore examined at symptomless sites on these plants and on broad bean leaves inoculated with avirulent species. \textit{B. fabae} had produced lesions at all sites on leaves of broad bean 1d after inoculation.

With the exception of \textit{B. fabae} on broad bean leaves, germination
### The germination of *Botrytis* conidia on leaf surfaces and glass slides

#### (a) 24h after inoculation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fungus</th>
<th>Broad bean</th>
<th>French bean</th>
<th>Tomato</th>
<th>Glass slide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>B. allii</em></td>
<td>4.2 (2-5)</td>
<td>8.4 (6-11)</td>
<td>1.8 (4-9)</td>
<td>86.6 (82-89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. cinerea</em> (39)</td>
<td>12.2 (9-16)</td>
<td>24.0 (20-30)</td>
<td>19.2 (15-23)</td>
<td>91.2 (84-96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. cinerea</em> (SR)</td>
<td>7.6 (5-9)</td>
<td>15.2 (11-18)</td>
<td>14.0 (10-18)</td>
<td>85.4 (79-90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. elliptica</em></td>
<td>12.0 (6-16)</td>
<td>21.0 (17-25)</td>
<td>15.0 (11-19)</td>
<td>84.8 (79-90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. fabae</em> (PB16)</td>
<td>100 b</td>
<td>48.2 (40-54)</td>
<td>44.2 (39-49)</td>
<td>94.0 (87-97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. fabae</em> (SS1)</td>
<td>100 b</td>
<td>40.6 (37-45)</td>
<td>41.8 (36-47)</td>
<td>87.6 (80-92)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### (b) 48h after inoculation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fungus</th>
<th>Broad bean</th>
<th>French bean</th>
<th>Tomato</th>
<th>Glass slide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>B. allii</em></td>
<td>5.6 (3-9)</td>
<td>10.0 (7-13)</td>
<td>8.4 (6-11)</td>
<td>67.8 (84-91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. cinerea</em> (39)</td>
<td>16.2 (11-19)</td>
<td>28.8 (25-32)</td>
<td>21.0 (17-24)</td>
<td>95.0 (90-98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. cinerea</em> (SR)</td>
<td>10.2 (7-12)</td>
<td>14.6 (11-17)</td>
<td>14.8 (11-19)</td>
<td>87.2 (84-92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. elliptica</em></td>
<td>14.0 (10-17)</td>
<td>22.2 (18-26)</td>
<td>20.0 (16-23)</td>
<td>91.4 (87-93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. fabae</em> (PB16)</td>
<td>100 b</td>
<td>56.2 (50-63)</td>
<td>48.2 (44-53)</td>
<td>94.8 (90-98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. fabae</em> (SS1)</td>
<td>100 b</td>
<td>51.4 (46-57)</td>
<td>40.8 (37-45)</td>
<td>86.2 (83-90)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a - Mean of 5 replicate counts of 100 conidia; figures in parentheses, maximum range between replicates

b - Visible symptoms present
and germ tube growth by all species of Botrytis was inhibited on each of the plants examined. Germination of conidia of B. cinerea (39 and SR), B. allii and B. elliptica was lower on broad bean than on the other leaves.

The antifungal activity of bulked inoculum droplets collected 24h and 48h after inoculation on to leaves of broad bean, French bean and tomato, and also onto glass slides were then compared before and after membrane filtration. B. cinerea (39) was used to assay the diffusates, collected from four leaves or slides for each assessment.

Diffusates obtained from glass slides inoculated with water, either before or after filtration, allowed the same growth of B. cinerea (39) conidia as in fresh SDW controls. Conidial suspensions collected from glass slides gave diffusates which stimulated growth both before and after filtration. For example, diffusates collected after 24h incubation from B. allii allowed 92.4% germination and growth of germ tubes 44.7 µm in length, and in diffusates from B. fabae 96.8% germination and germ tubes of 52.9 µm were recorded.

The results of bioassays on diffusates from leaves is recorded in Tables 4, 5 and 6. One day after inoculation diffusates from all the interactions examined were antifungal before membrane filtration. Diffusates obtained from broad bean leaves inoculated with B. fabae were the least inhibitory, causing a reduction in germination but not of mean germ tube length. Membrane filtration reduced inhibitory activity of all diffusates collected after 24h, B. fabae diffusates from broad bean becoming stimulatory. However, all the other conidial suspensions retained some inhibitory activity
TABLE 4  The growth of conidia of *B. cinerea* (39) in diffusates recovered from broad bean leaves inoculated with suspensions of *Botrytis* in SDW or water alone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of diffusate</th>
<th>Diffusates collected 24h after inoculation</th>
<th>Growth of <em>B. cinerea</em> conidia in bioassays</th>
<th>Diffusates collected 48h after inoculation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unfiltered</td>
<td>Filtered</td>
<td>% G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control^c^</td>
<td>86.2 (80-91)</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>68.2 (62-75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water on leaf</td>
<td>40.0 (36-45)</td>
<td>25.3**</td>
<td>31.0 (26-38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bc39 on leaf</td>
<td>20.6 (18-24)</td>
<td>17.0**</td>
<td>38.6 (32-45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BcSR on leaf</td>
<td>22.8 (20-27)</td>
<td>23.4**</td>
<td>100^+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF FBI6 on leaf^d^</td>
<td>67.8 (60-72)</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>39.8 (34-47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF SSI on leaf^d^</td>
<td>60.4 (55-66)</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>28.8 (22-32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. allii on leaf</td>
<td>28.0 (24-36)</td>
<td>21.5**</td>
<td>28.8 (22-32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. elliptica on leaf</td>
<td>20.0 (17-24)</td>
<td>21.0*</td>
<td>28.8 (22-32)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a - Percentage germination, mean of 5 replicate counts of 100 conidia; figures in parentheses refer to range between replicates
b - Mean length of 35 germ tubes (um)
c - Growth in SDW on glass
d - Diffusate collected from inoculation sites with visible symptoms; all other diffusates from symptomless sites
+ - Range of replicates outside range of control
* - Significantly different from control (p = 0.005)
** - Significantly different from control (p = 0.001)
### TABLE 5

The growth of conidia of *B. cinerea* (39) in diffusates recovered from French bean leaves inoculated with suspensions of *Botrytis* in SDW or water alone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of diffusate</th>
<th>Diffusates collected 24h after inoculation</th>
<th>Growth of <em>B. cinerea</em> conidia in bioassays</th>
<th>Diffusates collected 48h after inoculation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unfiltered % G</td>
<td>GTL</td>
<td>Filtered % G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>84.0 (80-90)</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>87.0 (81-92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water on leaf</td>
<td>51.2 (46-55)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>27.8*</td>
<td>76.8 (71-82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bc39 on leaf</td>
<td>30.4 (26-33)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>22.6*</td>
<td>68.8 (64-75)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCSR on leaf</td>
<td>33.2 (30-37)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>23.1*</td>
<td>72.8 (68-77)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF PBI6 on leaf</td>
<td>32.4 (27-37)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20.2*</td>
<td>50.6 (45-54)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF SS1 on leaf</td>
<td>30.2 (27-34)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>23.5*</td>
<td>52.8 (47-58)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. allii on leaf</td>
<td>35.2 (30-41)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>26.0*</td>
<td>72.4 (67-77)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. elliptica</em> on leaf</td>
<td>27.8 (23-35)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>21.0*</td>
<td>48.8 (43-55)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*<sup>a</sup> - Percentage germination, mean of 5 replicate counts of 100 conidia; figures in parentheses refer to ranges between replicates

*<sup>b</sup> - Mean length of 35 germ tubes (µm)

*<sup>c</sup> - Growth in SDW on glass

<sup>+</sup> - Range of replicates outside range of control

* - Significantly different from control (p = 0.001)
### TABLE 6
The growth of conidia of *B. cinerea* (39) in diffusates recovered from tomato leaves inoculated with suspensions of *Botrytis* in SDW or water alone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of diffusate</th>
<th>Diffusates collected 24h after inoculation</th>
<th>Diffusates collected 48h after inoculation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unfiltered</td>
<td>Filtered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% G a</td>
<td>GTL b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control c</td>
<td>87.4 (82-93)</td>
<td>33.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water on leaf</td>
<td>45.2 (40-49) *</td>
<td>57.0 (52-65) *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bc39 on leaf</td>
<td>25.8 (21-29) *</td>
<td>59.8 (51-64) *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BcSR on leaf</td>
<td>29.2 (25-34) *</td>
<td>24.5 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF PBI6 on leaf</td>
<td>28.8 (24-34) *</td>
<td>58.0 (51-65) *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF SS1 on leaf</td>
<td>27.0 (21-32) *</td>
<td>23.2 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. allii</em> on leaf</td>
<td>29.6 (25-33) *</td>
<td>59.0 (51-66) *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. elliptica</em> on leaf</td>
<td>26.6 (20-30) *</td>
<td>55.8 (50-62) *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a - Percentage germination, mean of 5 replicate counts of 100 conidia; figures in parentheses refer to range between replicates
b - Mean length of 35 germ tubes (um)
c - Growth in SDW on glass
+ - Range of replicates outside range of control
* - Significantly different from control (p = 0.001)
after filtration, this residual activity being greatest in diffusates from broad bean leaves. Filtration of water droplets collected after incubation for 24h on tomato and French bean leaves removed inhibitory activity, whereas some residual activity was noted in water diffusates from V. faba at this time.

Two days after inoculation B. fabae diffusates from V. faba were stimulatory. All other diffusates were inhibitory to germination of B. cinerea. Droplets recovered from broad bean leaves were most active. In particular, B. cinerea diffusates completely inhibited conidial germination even after membrane filtration which reduced inhibition in other diffusates collected after 48h.

In conclusion, results suggested that epiphytic microorganisms removed by membrane filtration were the main cause of inhibition of germination of B. cinerea conidia in diffusates. Removal of such microorganisms usually allowed increased fungal growth. In addition, some residual activity was noted in certain filtered diffusates, being most notable in conidial suspensions of B. allii, B. cinerea and B. elliptica incubated on broad bean leaves. On the basis of these findings, the examination of the inhibition of B. cinerea on leaves of V. faba was continued.

(c) Time course studies on the accumulation of inhibitory activity in inoculum droplets incubated on broad bean leaves

Results described in Fig.9 and Table 2 showed that by 6h after inoculation the germination of B. cinerea had reached 40-50% on glass slides but was less than 5% on leaves at this time and increased little over the next 48h. Mansfield and Deverall (1974a) also suggested that at symptomless sites on leaves of V. faba surface growth of B. cinerea ceased by 12h. It is therefore clear that if
the factors causing the antifungal activity detected in diffusates
collected from broad bean leaves 24h and 48h after inoculation are
also responsible for inhibition of germination in situ then they must
be present within inoculum droplets by at most 6h after inoculation.
The kinetics of the accumulation of inhibitory principles detected
in diffusates was therefore examined.

Droplets of water and of B. cinerea conidial suspension were
incubated for 6, 12, 24 and 48h on broad bean leaves and bioassayed
before and after membrane filtration in the usual way. In addition
the antifungal activity of membrane filter washings was also examined.
Washings were recovered by passing a volume of SDW equivalent to the
original volume of diffusate through the filter in the reverse
direction to the original filtration in order to dislodge any micro­
organisms trapped by the filter. Samples of filter washings were
plated out onto nutrient and V8 juice agar, and after incubation it
could be seen that the population of microorganisms within filter
washings was largely bacterial, with a small proportion of pink yeasts
(Sporobolomyces spp.). Henceforth microbes removed from inoculum
droplets by membrane filtration will be termed bacteria.

Bioassay results obtained are given in Table 7. Prior to
membrane filtration the diffusate obtained from leaves inoculated
with conidial suspension was inhibitory after 6h incubation, but
that obtained from water alone failed to inhibit the development of
B. cinerea (39) conidia until 24h after inoculation. After 2d
B. cinerea diffusate was totally inhibitory but water diffusate still
supported some germination and germ tube growth. The bacterial
suspensions obtained from filter washings after sterilization of
B. cinerea diffusates were more active than those recovered from water
TABLE 7  Antifungal activity of unfiltered and filtered diffusates and of filter washings collected at different times after inoculation onto broad bean leaves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of diffusate</th>
<th>Growth of <em>B. cinerea</em> (39) conidia in bioassays of diffusates collected at different times after inoculation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% G(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6h</td>
<td>GTL(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conidia on leaf(^c)</td>
<td>43.0 (38-54)(^+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane filtered conidia on leaf</td>
<td>63.8 (55-70)(^+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter washings</td>
<td>62.8 (54-70)(^+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water on leaf</td>
<td>75.0 (68-81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane filtered water on leaf</td>
<td>89.4 (87-93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter washings</td>
<td>76.8 (69-81)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Growth in SDW control: 80.0 (75-87) 38.6

\(a\) - Percentage germination, mean of 5 replicate counts of 100 conidia; figures in parentheses refer to range between replicates

\(b\) - Mean length of 35 germ tubes (μm)

\(c\) - *B. cinerea* (39) in SDW

\(+\) - Range of replicates outside range of control

\(*\) - Significantly different from control (\(p = 0.05\))

\(**\) - Significantly different from control (\(p = 0.001\))
# TABLE 7

**Antifungal activity of unfiltered and filtered diffusates and of filter washings collected at different times after inoculation onto broad bean leaves**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of diffusate</th>
<th>Growth of <em>B. cinerea</em> (39) conidia in bioassays of diffusates collected at different times after inoculation</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% G&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; 6h</td>
<td>% G&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; 12h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conidia on leaf&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>43.0 (38-54)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>46.4 (42-50)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane filtered conidia on leaf</td>
<td>63.8 (55-70)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>66.2 (62-70)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter washings</td>
<td>62.8 (54-70)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>54.4 (50-60)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water on leaf</td>
<td>75.0 (68-81) 36.2</td>
<td>80.0 (72-85) 37.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane filtered water on leaf</td>
<td>89.4 (87-93) 39.0</td>
<td>90.8 (88-94) 35.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter washings</td>
<td>76.8 (69-81) 38.5</td>
<td>70.0 (60.74)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Growth in SDW control 80.0 (75-87) 38.6

- **a** - Percentage germination, mean of 5 replicate counts of 100 conidia; figures in parentheses refer to range between replicates.
- **b** - Mean length of 35 germ tubes (μm).
- **c** - *B. cinerea* (39) in SDW.
- **+** - Range of replicates outside range of control.
- ***** - Significantly different from control (p = 0.05).
- **** - Significantly different from control (p = 0.001).
droplets incubated on the leaf surface. Slight activity was detected in the former after 6h incubation on the leaf, whereas the latter only became marginally antifungal by 12h.

Differences were also observed between the activity of conidial suspension and water droplets following membrane filtration. That collected from B. cinerea inoculation sites was antifungal as early as 6h after inoculation, becoming totally inhibiting by 48h, whereas diffusate from leaves inoculated with SDW had only a very slight activity after 24h (not significant) and was still only moderately antifungal by 48h.

In conclusion, the results suggest that the presence of conidia within inoculum droplets caused an increase in antifungal activity of the total diffusate. Increased activity was also detected in membrane filtered diffusate and in the bacterial suspension obtained from filter washings. As previous results had indicated that a suspension of Botrytis conidia incubated in SDW produced a stimulatory diffusate, the increased antifungal activity probably arose from an interaction(s) between conidia and other components of the inoculum droplet.

It would appear that in diffusate collected from sites on the leaf inoculated with conidial suspension, antifungal activity began to accumulate sufficiently early to account for the inhibition of conidia observed in situ. Bacteria and the residual water soluble inhibitor remaining after filtration appeared to contribute equally to total activity of diffusates during the first 24h after inoculation. In further studies some characteristics of both sources of inhibition were examined.
CHARACTERISATION OF POSSIBLE SOURCE OF INHIBITION OF GERMINATION OF \textit{B. cinerea} CONIDIA ON LEAVES OF \textit{V. faba}

(a) \textit{Studies on the inhibitory activity of bacteria within inoculum droplets}

There are two possible mechanisms by which bacteria could inhibit germination and germ tube growth of conidia of \textit{B. cinerea}; the production of an antifungal compound or compounds by the bacteria or inhibition resulting from nutritional competition between bacteria and conidia. The following experiment was carried out to examine the possible production of a water soluble inhibitor by bacteria \textit{in vitro}.

Bifoliate bean leaves were inoculated with droplets (10 $\mu$l) of a suspension of \textit{B. cinerea} conidia. After incubation for 24h droplets were collected and a 10 ml sample of the resulting diffusate membrane filtered. Bacteria were recovered by washing the filter with 10 ml of SDW, and samples of filter washings were bioassayed against \textit{B. cinerea} conidia. Other aliquots of the bacterial suspension were inoculated onto glass slides which were also inoculated on the opposite half with control droplets (10 $\mu$l) of SDW. After incubation for 24h droplets were collected from the glass slides and membrane filtered. The cell free diffusates obtained were then bioassayed against \textit{B. cinerea} in the usual way and their effect on the development of conidia compared with that of the original bacterial suspension. The results obtained (Table 8) illustrate that whilst the bacterial suspension obtained from filter washings was inhibitory, membrane filtered diffusates obtained from droplets of bacterial suspension and SDW incubated on glass slides gave similar growth to SDW controls.
TABLE 8  The antifungal activity of bacterial suspensions from broad bean leaves before and after membrane filtration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of diffusate</th>
<th>Growth of B. cinerea conidia in bioassays</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage germination&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Germ tube length&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water control</td>
<td>86.2 (81-91)</td>
<td>40.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane filtered water on glass</td>
<td>87.0 (78-93)</td>
<td>39.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacterial suspension</td>
<td>33.6 (29-37)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>24.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane filtered bacterial suspension</td>
<td>88.4 (83-92)</td>
<td>41.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a - Mean of 5 replicate counts of 100 conidia; figures in parentheses refer to range between replicates

b - Mean length of 35 germ tubes (um)

+ - Range of replicates outside range of control

* - Significantly different from control (p = 0.001)
This demonstrated that bacteria did not produce an inhibitor.

Subsequent studies to attempt to ascertain the role of leaf surface bacteria in inhibiting germination of Botrytis conidia on bean leaves in situ were made by endeavouring to remove them from the biological system described within the injection droplet. This was attempted by incorporation of the broad spectrum antibiotic chloramphenicol with inoculum droplets and by the growth of a sterile broad bean plant. However, both techniques failed to give satisfactory results, as described in Appendix 1.

(b) Studies on the water soluble inhibitor remaining in inoculum droplets after membrane filtration

(i) Source of the water soluble inhibitor

Previous results, given in Tables 2, 4 and 7, suggested that antifungal activity remaining in diffusates after membrane filtration were of leaf origin, more particularly arising through the interaction between conidia of avirulent fungi and the leaf. However, the inhibitory activity could arise from several possible interactions between the three components of the phyllosphere, the leaf, conidia and epiphytic bacteria. All the possible interactions between these components were therefore examined for their abilities to produce inhibitors.

Droplets (10 μl) of SDW, filter washings prepared as previously described and suspensions of B. cinerea conidia in SDW or in filter washings were inoculated onto leaves and glass slides. After incubation for 24h droplets were collected from each treatment and the resulting diffusates membrane filtered. The cell free diffusates were then bioassayed in the usual way.
Results of the bioassays are given in Table 9, from which it can be seen that the interaction between the leaf and conidial suspension produced diffusates with the greatest inhibitory activity. No inhibitors were produced by bacteria or conidia, either alone or together when incubated on glass slides, conidial diffusates being slightly stimulatory. With leaf inoculations the bacterial suspension caused the formation of diffusates no more antifungal than those obtained from inoculation with SDW alone, and a combination of bacteria and conidia produced a slightly less active diffusate than spores alone. This reduced activity may have been a result of suppression of conidial metabolism on the leaf surface by bacteria added to the inoculum droplet. Results obtained therefore confirmed that epiphytic bacteria did not produce stable inhibitors and demonstrated that the antifungal activity of filtered diffusates recovered from leaves was produced as a result of the interaction between conidia and the leaf.

(ii) Organic extraction of diffusate

Organic extraction of diffusate obtained from symptomless sites following inoculation of leaves with conidia of *B. cinerea* (henceforth termed diffusate) was attempted with diethyl ether (Et₂O) and chloroform (CHCl₃), the extraction procedures being similar for each solvent. An aliquot of diffusate was shaken three times with twice its volume of solvent, and the resulting organic phases were bulked together. Residual solvent was removed from the water phase by the passage of a stream of oxygen free nitrogen (OFN) until the characteristic smell of the solvent was no longer apparent. The organic phase was then taken to dryness at 25°C in vacuo and redissolved in a volume of SDW equal to the original volume of diffusate. Dissolution
TABLE 9  Production of antifungal activity residual in diffusates after membrane filtration by possible interactions within the phyllosphere

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of diffusate</th>
<th>Growth of <em>B. cinerea</em> (39) conidia in bioassays</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage germination^a^ Germ tube length^b^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control^c^</td>
<td>86.8 (81-92) 40.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water on leaf</td>
<td>68.0 (61-72)^+ 36.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conidia on leaf</td>
<td>32.6 (30-36)^+ 19.6**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter washings on leaf</td>
<td>69.8 (64-76)^+ 36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conidia and filter washings on leaf</td>
<td>38.4 (35-43)^+ 27.9**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water on glass</td>
<td>84.0 (79,90) 38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conidia on glass</td>
<td>97.2 (94-100)^+ 51.2**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter washings on glass</td>
<td>84.6 (81-90) 38.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conidia and filter washings on glass</td>
<td>94.8 (92-98) 45.6*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a^ Mean of 5 replicate counts of 100 conidia; figures in parentheses refer to range between replicates

^b^ Mean length of 35 germ tubes (μm)

^c^ Control growth in SDW

^+^ Range of replicates outside range of control

**^ Significantly different from control (p = 0.001)

*^ Significantly different from control (p = 0.01)
was aided by the prior addition of ethanol to give a final concentration of 2% (v/v). This concentration was found to have no deleterious effects on germination and germ tube growth of conidia in controls.

The antifungal activities of the total diffusate, ether phase and aqueous phase were then determined. The data shown in Table 10 are the means of three replicate extraction of diffusate with Et₂O. It is clear that Et₂O extraction removed inhibitory activity from the diffusate, the residual aqueous phase becoming stimulatory. However, the redissolved ether soluble fraction did not inhibit germination or germ tube growth by B. cinerea. Partitioning with chloroform (CHCl₃) gave similar results, indicating that the inhibitor(s) removed by extraction was probably unstable or volatile.

(iii) UV absorption spectrum of filtered diffusates

The phytoalexins produced by broad bean tissue as listed by Hargreaves, Mansfield and Rossall (1977) all have characteristic UV absorption spectra (Hargreaves, 1976). Wyerone derivatives have a peak of UV absorption ranging from 347-360 nm and medicarpin has characteristic peaks at 282 and 287 nm. To examine the possibility that the water soluble inhibitor was a known phytoalexin or a mixture of them, the UV absorption spectrum of a cell free diffusate collected 24h after inoculation of leaves with conidia of B. cinerea was recorded. The spectrum obtained is illustrated in Fig.15. Above 200 nm no peaks of UV absorption were detected, suggesting the inhibitory activity in the diffusate was not due to the presence of a known phytoalexin or phytoalexins.
### Table 10: The antifungal activity of filtered diffusate and of its ether and water soluble phases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of fraction for bioassay</th>
<th>Growth of <em>B. cinerea</em> (39) conidia in bioassays</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage germination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control c</td>
<td>86.2 (80-92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total diffusate</td>
<td>32.6 (24-39)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water soluble fraction</td>
<td>96.0 (92-100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ether soluble fraction</td>
<td>79.8 (74-85)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **a** - Mean of 5 replicate counts of 100 conidia; figures in parentheses refer to range between replicates
- **b** - Mean length of 35 germ tubes (µm)
- **c** - Control growth in SDW
- **+** - Ranges of replicates outside range of control
- ***** - Significantly different from control (p = 0.001)
(iv) Detection of antifungal activity on TLC plates bioassay

Thin layer chromatography plate bioassays with Cladosporium herbarum have been extensively used to demonstrate the accumulation of phytoalexins in tissues of V. faba following infection by Botrytis. The detection of an inhibitor(s) in diffusate was therefore attempted using this technique.

Before application to TLC plates, the diffusates were dried and redissolved in ethanol. Water was removed by rotary evaporation in vacuo at 40°C or by freeze drying. Loading rates of plates ranged from 1-5 ml diffusate per cm of origin. Chromatograms were assayed before and after development in a range of solvent systems which allowed separation of the known phytoalexins from V. faba. Solvent systems used were:

1. Hexane: Acetone (2:1), followed by chloroform:
   Petroleum spirit (60-80°) (2:1)
2. Chloroform
3. Chloroform: Petroleum spirit (60-80°) (2:1)
4. Diethyl ether: Methanol (8:1)

No inhibitory zones were produced when diffusates were assayed on TLC plates before or after development in these systems. These results confirmed that inhibitory activity was not caused by known phytoalexins and once again indicated the volatile or unstable nature of the active principle present in diffusate.

(e) Studies on the role of epicuticular wax in leaf surface inhibition

Several authors have suggested that epicuticular wax may inhibit the development of fungal propagules on the leaves of various plants. Experiments were therefore designed to examine the role of waxes in
the inhibition of germination of *B. cinerea* conidia on the surface of broad bean leaves.

(i) The growth of *B. cinerea* conidia on redeposited wax

The growth of *B. cinerea* conidia on layers of redeposited wax extracted from bean leaves was examined. Two replicate wax layers were prepared by redeposition of wax onto watch glasses, glass slides, cellulose TLC plates and fibre glass discs. These layers were inoculated with droplets (10 µl) of a suspension of *B. cinerea* conidia and after 24h incubation the growth of conidia was compared to growth on control substrates to which wax free chloroform had been added. Results obtained (Table 11) clearly show that growth of *B. cinerea* was inhibited on wax redeposited by each of the methods tested.

(ii) The antifungal activity of diffusates from wax

Because of their observed antifungal activity epicuticular waxes were considered as a potential source of the water soluble inhibitor detected in diffusates from leaves. The presence of inhibitory activity in droplets of water on *B. cinerea* conidial suspension was examined after their incubation for 24h on redeposited wax.

Six glass slides and four fibre glass discs were treated with wax solution or chloroform to give wax layers and solvent controls respectively. The substrates obtained were inoculated with droplets (10 µl) of *B. cinerea* conidial suspension and SDW on opposite halves. After incubation for 24h the droplets were collected and the resulting diffusates membrane filtered, and bioassayed against conidia of *B. cinerea*. The results (Table 12) show that conidia incubated on wax gave rise to antifungal diffusates with both methods of redeposition tested. By contrast water incubated on the wax layers gave stimulatory
TABLE 11  Growth of B. cinerea conidia on redeposited broad bean leaf wax

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method of wax redeposition</th>
<th>Growth of B. cinerea in bioassays ( ^a )</th>
<th>Wax solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solvent control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>\textsuperscript{b} ( % G )</td>
<td>\textsuperscript{c} GTL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watch glass</td>
<td>87.4 (80-93)</td>
<td>39.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass slide</td>
<td>84.6 (80-87)</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC plate</td>
<td>90.2 (84-92)</td>
<td>33.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibre glass</td>
<td>84.0 (80-87)</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^a \) - Results represent the mean of two repeated experiments

\( ^b \) - Percentage germination, mean of 5 replicate counts of 100 conidia; figures in parentheses refer to range between replicates

\( ^c \) - Mean length of 35 germ tubes (\( \mu m \))

\( ^+ \) - Range of replicates outside range of solvent control

* - Significantly different from solvent control (\( p = 0.001 \))
| Source of diffusate                  | Growth of B. cinerea conidia in bioassays |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------||---|
|                                    | Chloroform control                       | % G | GTL |
| Water on glass slides             | 81.2 (77-85)                             | 33.2 |  |
| Conidia on glass slides           | 87.8 (82-94)                             | 44.0* |  |
| Water on fibre glass disc         | -                                        | -   |  |
| Conidia on fibre glass disc       | -                                        | -   |  |
|                                    | Wax redeposition                         | % G | GTL |
|                                    |                                          | 93.8 (90-97)* | 51.2** |
|                                    |                                          | 54.2 (50-59)* | 24.6** |
|                                    |                                          | 95.0 (91-98) | 60.0** |
|                                    |                                          | 57.2 (52-63)* | 25.2** |

Control growth\(^c\)  
84.2 (80-91) 37.0\(\mu\)m

\(^a\) Percentage germination, mean of 5 replicate counts of 100 conidia; figures in parentheses refer to range
\(^b\) Mean length of 35 germ tubes
\(^c\) Control growth in SDW
- No data available, droplets soaked into fibre glass disc
+ Range of replicates outside range of control
* Significantly different from control (\(p = 0.002\))
** Significantly different from control (\(p = 0.001\))
diffusates. These results indicate that conidia may cause the release of an antifungal principle from redeposited wax and that wax also appears to contain stimulatory compounds.

Further studies on the inhibitory properties of diffusates from wax were carried out using a suspension of wax particles in liquid shake culture. Wax solution or chloroform control (5 ml) was added to 5 ml SDW in a conical flask (50 ml) and the solvent removed by bubbling a stream of OFN through the liquid until the characteristic smell was no longer detectable. Aliquots (5 ml) of a suspension of conidia of \textit{B. cinerea} were added to flasks to give the spare concentration required. Two replicate flasks were prepared for each of the treatments described in Table 13. The flasks were then placed on an orbital incubator (60 rpm) at 20°C. After incubation for 24h aliquots of the suspension were removed, membrane filtered and bioassayed against conidia of \textit{B. cinerea}. Results (Table 13) confirmed the proposed release of inhibitory activity from wax by conidia of \textit{B. cinerea}. Increasing concentrations of conidia causing an increasing inhibitor activity, but conidia and wax alone giving rise to stimulatory diffusates.

(iii) Extraction of diffusate from wax with diethyl ether

Half of a sample of diffusate collected from wax coated slides 24h after inoculation with droplets (10 μl) of \textit{B. cinerea} conidial suspension was shaken three times with twice its volume of diethyl ether. The water and ether soluble phases were prepared for bioassay as described for leaf diffusates and the inhibitory properties of the two fractions compared with those of total cell free diffusate. The results, given in Table 14, are similar to those obtained with leaf diffusate. Ether extraction removed inhibitory activity from
TABLE 13

The antifungal activity of diffusates obtained from suspensions of wax incubated with water or conidia of B. cinerea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of diffusate</th>
<th>Growth of B. cinerea conidia in bioassays</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% germination\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDW control</td>
<td>83.0 (78-80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform control</td>
<td>81.8 (77-86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^5$ conidia ml(^{-1}) + chloroform</td>
<td>92.6 (85-95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 \times 10^5$ conidia ml(^{-1}) + chloroform</td>
<td>96.2 (92-100)$^+$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^6$ conidia ml(^{-1}) + chloroform</td>
<td>100$^+$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water and wax</td>
<td>96.2 (92-100)$^+$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^5$ conidia ml(^{-1}) + wax</td>
<td>71.0 (67-75)$^+$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 \times 10^5$ conidia ml(^{-1}) + wax</td>
<td>63.2 (59-67)$^+$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^6$ conidia ml(^{-1}) + wax</td>
<td>59.8 (56-65)$^+$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} - Mean of 5 replicate counts of 100 conidia; figures in parentheses refer to range between replicates

\textsuperscript{b} - Mean length of 35 germ tubes (\textmu m)

$^+$ - Range of replicates outside range of control

* - Significantly different from control (p = 0.01)

** - Significantly different from control (p = 0.002)

*** - Significantly different from control (p = 0.001)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of diffusate</th>
<th>Growth of <em>B. cinerea</em> conidia in bioassays</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage germination(^{a})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control(^{c})</td>
<td>87.8 (80-91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ether extracted control(^{d})</td>
<td>89.0 (83-92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total diffusate</td>
<td>56.8 (50-59)(^{+})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water solubles</td>
<td>100(^{+})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ether solubles</td>
<td>89.2 (84.91)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) Mean of 5 replicate counts of 100 conidia; figures in parentheses refer to range between replicates

\(^{b}\) Mean length of 35 germ tubes (\(\mu m\))

\(^{c}\) Control growth in SDW

\(^{d}\) Growth in SDW following extraction with Et\(_2\)O

\(^{+}\) Range of replicates outside range of control

\(^{*}\) Significantly different from control (\(p = 0.001\))
the water phase, which became stimulatory, however, the redissolved ether soluble phase did not inhibit germination and germ tube growth of *B. cinerea* conidia. This suggests that the inhibitor(s) released from wax may also be volatile or unstable.

(iv) **UV absorption spectrum of diffusate obtained from redeposited wax**

The UV absorption spectrum of a cell free diffusate collected 24h after inoculation of wax coated glass slides with conidia of *B. cinerea* was recorded. Above 200 nm no peaks of UV absorption were detected. The spectrum obtained was similar to that recorded for diffusates from leaves, illustrated in Fig.15.

(v) **Detection of antifungal activity on TLC plate bioassay**

The detection of an inhibitor(s) in diffusate obtained from a suspension of wax 24h after inoculation with conidia of *B. cinerea* (5 × 10^5 conidia/ml) was attempted using a TLC plate bioassay using *C. herbarum*. Plates were loaded at a rate of 1-5 ml diffusate per cm of origin as described for leaf diffusates, and bioassayed before and after development in the range of solvents also described for TLC plate bioassay of diffusate from leaves. No inhibitory zones were detected, once again indicating the volatile or unstable nature of the inhibitory principle associated with diffusate obtained from extracted leaf wax.

(vi) **Analysis of bean wax by thin layer chromatography**

Data described so far suggested that a water soluble inhibitor(s) was released from redeposited leaf wax by conidia of *B. cinerea*. The release of the inhibitor and its properties closely paralleled that of the inhibitor recovered from intact bean leaves. An attempt
Fig. 15 UV absorption spectrum of diffusate collected from lesion free sites on growth room grown bean leaves 24h after inoculation with B. cinerea
was therefore made to analyse wax in order to determine which components of the crude extract were inhibitory. Extracted wax was fractionated on TLC plates using the chromatographic system described by Holloway and Challon (1966). Identification of various fractions was based on Rf values on silica gel coated plates in three solvent systems, after visualization with rhodamine 6G, or specific spray reagents. Solvent systems used were: carbon tetrachloride, benzene : chloroform (7:3, v/v) and chloroform : ethyl acetate (1:1, v/v). Results obtained by the application of this system suggested that broad bean leaf wax was a mixture of hydrocarbons (alkanes and alkenes), primary and secondary alcohols, diols, sterols, esters, alkyl, ketones and possibly contained a trace of fatty acids.

The antifungal activity of various fractions was assayed by means of a modified TLC plate bioassay technique, enabling microscopical examination of B. cinerea conidia to be made on TLC plates. Plates were cut to the dimensions of a microscope slide (76 x 22 mm) using a diamond glass cutter. Wax solution (1 ml) was applied to each 'mini TLC plate' in 0.05 ml chloroform. Two replicate plates were prepared in this manner for each of the three solvent systems required to adequately separate all of the chemical groups previously identified, as described in Table 15. After development one replicate was visualized using rhodamine 6G to locate the position of each class of compound. This position was marked on the other plate which was subsequently bioassayed by spraying with a suspension of B. cinerea conidia (5 x 10^5 conidia/ml) in SDW. After incubation in humid conditions for 24h development of conidia at the location of each of the groups was assessed by microscopical examination after staining with cotton blue lactophenol. The results obtained (Table 15)
TABLE 15  Germination and germ tube growth of *B. cinerea* conidia on components of broad bean leaf wax separated by TLC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class of compound bioassayed</th>
<th>Solvent system&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>R&lt;sub&gt;F&lt;/sub&gt; Range</th>
<th>Growth of <em>B. cinerea</em> conidia in bioassays</th>
<th>Germ tube length&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>78.2 (74-95)</td>
<td>33.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkanes/alkenes</td>
<td>I, III</td>
<td>0.86 - 0.91</td>
<td>100&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>60.4**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkyl ketones</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.19 - 0.24</td>
<td>92.6 (87-96)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>37.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diols</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>0.16 - 0.20</td>
<td>95.8 (92-100)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>43.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatty acids</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>0.62 - 0.66</td>
<td>100&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>44.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary alcohols</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>0.17 - 0.23</td>
<td>51.0 (47-53)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>24.2**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary alcohols</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>0.49 - 0.53</td>
<td>93.4 (90-97)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterol esters</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.41 - 0.46</td>
<td>100&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>56.3**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Solvent systems used; I = carbon tetrachloride, II = Benzene = chloroform (7:3, v/v) and III = chloroform = ethyl acetate (1:1, v/v)

<sup>b</sup> Mean of 5 replicate counts of 100 conidia; figures in parentheses refer to range

<sup>c</sup> Mean length of 35 germ tubes (μm)

<sup>d</sup> Control growth on TLC plates after development in systems I, II and III without wax application

<sup>+</sup> Range of replicates outside range of control

* - Significantly different from control (p = 0.002)  ** - Significantly different from control (p = 0.001)
suggested that the only class of compounds separated from crude bean leaf wax which inhibited germination and germ tube growth of conidia of *B. cinerea* was the primary alcohols. All other groups located stimulated fungal growth.

(d) **Effect of glucose on leaf surface inhibition of** *B. cinerea*

(i) **Effect of glucose solutions on the development of lesions on broad bean leaves following inoculation with** *B. cinerea*

The effect of increasing concentrations of glucose, added to inoculum droplets of *B. cinerea* conidia, on the development of visible symptoms was examined. Half leaflets were inoculated, on the adaxial surface with droplets (10 μl) of a suspension of *B. cinerea* conidia containing 0.01, 0.1, 1.0 and 10% (w/v). Control leaves were inoculated with conidia in SDW alone. After incubation for 24h lesion development was recorded and the data obtained are given in Table 16. Increasing concentrations of glucose caused an increase in the percentage of sites at which symptoms were recorded. A concentration of 0.1% glucose was required to cause lesion formation at all inoculation sites.

(ii) **Effect of glucose on the antifungal activity of diffusates from inoculation sites on broad bean leaves**

The effect of glucose on the inhibitory principles associated with inoculum droplets removed from lesion free sites on broad bean leaves was examined. Diffusate was collected from broad bean leaves 24h after inoculation with droplets (10 μl) of a conidial suspension of *B. cinerea*. Half the diffusate was sterilized by membrane filtration and a bacterial suspension was prepared by washing the membrane filter in the usual way. Aliquots of total and filtered diffusate and of filter washings were then mixed with SDW or glucose
TABLE 16  The effect of glucose on lesion development 24h after the inoculation of broad bean leaves with a suspension of B. cinerea conidia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infection grade</th>
<th>Percentage of inoculation sites in grades in presence of glucose&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> - Glucose concentrations expressed as percentage (w/v)
solutions in a ratio of 3:1 to give final glucose concentrations of 0, 0.01, 0.1, 1.0 and 10% (w/v). This procedure was adopted to reduce dilution of the diffusates. The diffusates ± glucose were bioassayed against conidia of \textit{B. cinerea} and the results illustrating the effect of the sugar on antifungal activity are given in Table 17.

The activity of total diffusate obtained from inoculation of leaves with conidia was overcome by glucose, a concentration of 1.0% being required to stimulate germination and germ tube growth of conidia to a level above that of SDW controls. The inhibitory effect of sterilized diffusate was overcome by 0.1% glucose, whereas that of filter washings was still slightly antifungal at this concentration, 1.0% glucose being required to cause stimulation of conidial growth. It is clear from the results obtained that the cause of inhibition of \textit{B. cinerea} conidia on leaves of the broad bean \textit{in situ} and the inhibitory activity associated with inoculum droplets can both be overcome by the presence of glucose.

(iii) \textbf{Effect of glucose on the inhibition associated with redeposited wax}

An examination was carried out on the effects of glucose on the inhibition of \textit{B. cinerea} observed on redeposited wax, and on the inhibitory activity of diffusates obtained from the interaction between wax and conidia (5 $\times$ 10$^5$ conidia/ml) in liquid culture.

Glass slides coated with a layer of extracted wax were inoculated with droplets (10 $\mu$l) of a suspension of conidia of \textit{B. cinerea} containing 0.01, 0.1, 1.0 and 10% (w/v) glucose. Control slides (coated and uncoated) were inoculated with conidia in SDW alone. After incubation for 24h percentage germination and germ tube growth
TABLE 17 The effect of glucose on the antifungal activity of unfiltered and filtered diffusates and of filter washings, collected 24h after inoculation of broad bean leaves with *B. cinerea* (Adapted from Rossall, 1974)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of diffusate</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0.01%</th>
<th>0.1%</th>
<th>1.0%</th>
<th>10%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%G</td>
<td>GTL</td>
<td>%G</td>
<td>GTL</td>
<td>%G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conidia on leaf (Total)</td>
<td>18.2 (14-22)</td>
<td>18.1**</td>
<td>27.6 (20-32)</td>
<td>25.9**</td>
<td>47.0 (41-51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane filtered conidia on leaf</td>
<td>21.6 (19-25)</td>
<td>20.8**</td>
<td>39.4 (34-46)</td>
<td>46.0*</td>
<td>82.2 (79-87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane filter washings</td>
<td>27.2 (24-31)</td>
<td>19.4**</td>
<td>47.0 (43-51)</td>
<td>25.4**</td>
<td>69.2 (66-73)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Growth in SDW control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>%G</th>
<th>GTL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85.0 (80-91)</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a - Glucose concentration expressed as percentage (w/v)
b - Percentage germination, mean of 5 replicate counts of 100 conidia; figures in parentheses refer to range between replicates
c - Mean length of 35 germ tubes (µm)
+ - Range of replicates outside range of control
* - Significantly different from control growth in SDW (p = 0.01)
** - Significantly different from control growth in SDW (p = 0.001)
were recorded and the results obtained are given in Table 18. The inhibitory effect of the wax was overcome by the presence of glucose, 0.1% supporting conidial growth in excess of that of control growth in the absence of wax.

Aliquots of wax diffusate were mixed with glucose solutions or SDW in a ratio of 3:1 (diffusate:glucose) to give final glucose concentrations of 0, 0.01, 0.1, 1.0 and 10% (w/v). This procedure was adopted to reduce dilution of the diffusate. Diffusates ± glucose were bioassayed against conidia of B. cinerea and the results (Table 19) show that the antifungal activity of the diffusate was readily overcome by the sugar, 0.01% glucose being sufficiently concentrated to overcome the inhibitory effect. It is clear from these results that the inhibitory activity associated with extracted broad bean leaf wax is overcome by glucose both on redeposited wax in situ and in diffusates obtained from the wax.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration of glucose (µg/ml)</th>
<th>Percentage germination(^a)</th>
<th>Germ tube length(^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control(^c)</td>
<td>84.8 (82-88)</td>
<td>37.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>51.2 (44-55)(^+)</td>
<td>20.1(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>72.8 (68-79)(^+)</td>
<td>25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>96.2 (92-100)(^+)</td>
<td>89.9(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>100(^+)</td>
<td>&gt; 200(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>100(^+)</td>
<td>&gt; 200(^*)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Mean of 5 replicate counts of 100 conidia; figures in parentheses refer to range between replicates

\(^b\) Mean length of 35 germ tubes (µm)

\(^c\) Control growth on clean glass slides in SDW

\(^+\) Range of replicates outside range of control

\(^*\) Significantly different from control (p = 0.001)
TABLE 19  The effect of glucose on the antifungal activity of membrane filtered diffusate obtained by incubating wax suspension with B. cinerea conidia for 24h

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration of glucose (µg/ml)</th>
<th>Percentage germinationa</th>
<th>Germ tube lengthb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controlc</td>
<td>88.0 (84-91)</td>
<td>38.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>61.2 (55-65)+</td>
<td>25.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>94.0 (89-96)</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>100+</td>
<td>169*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>100+</td>
<td>&gt;200*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>100+</td>
<td>&gt;200*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a - Mean of 5 replicate counts of 100 conidia; figures in parentheses refer to range between replicates
b - Mean length of 35 germ tubes (µm)
c - Control growth in SDW
+ - Range of replicates outside range of control
* - Significantly different from control (p = 0.001)
CHAPTER 4

STUDIES ON THE INHIBITION OF _B. cinerea_ CONIDIA ON LEAVES FROM FIELD GROWN _V. faba_ PLANTS

In order to assess the significance of the inhibition of _Botrytis_ on leaf surfaces to the expression of disease resistance in the field, it was considered important to examine infection development in field grown leaves.

(a) Lesion development in field grown leaves following inoculation with _B. cinerea_

Twenty fully expanded bifoliate leaves were detached from young field grown plants with between four and six mature leaves. Leaves were carefully examined and particles of soil on their adaxial surfaces removed by gentle washing with distilled water. After drying where necessary with tissue paper, leaves were inoculated on the adaxial surface with six droplets (10 μl) of _B. cinerea_ (39) conidial suspension, and SDW on separate leaflets. Following incubation for 24 and 48h the leaves were examined for lesion development using the system described in Fig. 7. No symptoms developed at sites inoculated with water alone. The symptoms produced on the leaflets inoculated with _B. cinerea_ are described in Table 20. In contrast to results obtained with growth room grown leaves (Table 1) lesions had developed at nearly all sites 48h after inoculation. Microscopical examination 24h and 48h after inoculation showed that germination of _B. cinerea_ was less than 20% at sites without symptoms, but >95% over lesions.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infection grade</th>
<th>Percentage of inoculation sites in infection grades after:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(b) Time course studies on the accumulation of inhibitory activity in inoculum droplets incubated on field grown leaves

The biological activity of diffusates recovered from field grown leaves was examined. Leaves were detached from plants and prepared as described previously. They were then inoculated with SDW and conidial suspension on separate leaflets and after incubation for 6, 12, 24 and 48h the droplets were collected to form diffusates. Droplets were only taken from symptomless sites. Lesions were first observed 12h after inoculation. Bioassays of total and membrane filtered diffusates and of membrane filter washings were carried out in the usual way.

Results obtained (Table 21) were generally similar to those reported for growth room grown leaves (Table 7). Inhibitory activity was recorded for unfiltered and filtered diffusates, and filter washings inhibited germination. Diffusates obtained from droplets of conidial suspension were more active than water alone. Unfiltered diffusates were, however, less active from field than growth room grown leaves. This reduced activity was associated with less inhibition in filtered diffusates; those collected from field grown leaves after incubation for 48h supported c. 40% germination of conidia in bioassays, whereas comparable growth room diffusates were totally inhibitory (see Table 7). Filter washings were as active from field as from growth room grown leaves.

In conclusion, results suggest that the inhibitory factors detected in diffusates recovered from growth room grown leaves also accumulate in droplets incubated on leaves from the field and that they may cause the inhibition of B. cinerea on the leaf surface. The reduced activity in filtered diffusates from symptomless sites
### TABLE 21

The antifungal activity of unfiltered and filtered diffusates and of filter washings collected at different times after inoculation onto broad bean leaves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Growth of B. cinerea conidia in bioassays of diffusates collected at different times after inoculation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conidia on leaf</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conidia on leaf</td>
<td>57.2 (50-63)⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane filtered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conidia on leaf</td>
<td>81.8 (77-87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane filter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>washings</td>
<td>61.4 (57-64)⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water on leaf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>77.0 (70-82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane filtered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water on leaf</td>
<td>83.6 (80-88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane filter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>washings</td>
<td>70.8 (66-74)⁺</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SDW control growth**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% G</th>
<th>GTL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>86.2 (80-91)</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **a** - Percentage germination, mean of 5 replicate counts of 100 conidia; figures in parentheses refer to range between replicates
- **b** - Mean length of 35 germ tubes (μm)
- **⁺** - Range of replicates outside range of control
- ***** - Significantly different from control (p = 0.01)
- **** - Significantly different from control (p = 0.002)
- ***** - Significantly different from control (p = 0.001)
on field grown leaves may have resulted from less release of the 
water soluble inhibitor or the presence of more nutrients in droplets 
on field than on growth room grown leaves. In order to distinguish 
between these possibilities, further studies were carried out on the 
effect of nutrients on the inhibition of B. cinerea on leaf surfaces.

(c) Studies on the involvement of nutrients in the formation 
of lesions by B. cinerea

(i) A comparison between lesion development on adaxial and 
abaxial surfaces of field and growth room grown leaves 
following inoculation with B. cinerea

Before attempting to analyse the role of nutrients in influencing 
the inhibition of germination of B. cinerea on the leaf surface of 
beans, more detailed comparative studies were carried out on the 
development of lesions following inoculation of the adaxial and 
abaxial surfaces of leaves from growth room and field grown plants.

Six leaves were prepared for each of the four treatments 
described and each leaf was inoculated with six droplets (10 μl) of 
a conidial suspension of B. cinerea. After incubation for 24h the 
leaves were examined for lesion formation and the results obtained 
are recorded in Table 22. It is clear from these results that growth 
room grown leaves were more resistant than those collected from the 
field and also that fewer symptoms developed on adaxial leaf surfaces.

(ii) The release of carbohydrates and amino acids into droplets 
incubated on leaves

During earlier experiments considerable variation in symptom 
development was observed between different leaves. In some cases 
all inoculation sites on a leaf remained symptomless, whereas on 
other leaves lesions were formed at most sites. This variation was 
most pronounced in batches of leaves collected from growth room
### TABLE 22
Lesion formation on the abaxial and adaxial surfaces of field and growth room grown leaves 24h after inoculation with B. cinerea (39)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infection grade</th>
<th>Percentage of inoculation sites in infection grades following inoculation of growth room grown leaves</th>
<th>Field grown leaves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abaxial surface</td>
<td>Adaxial surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
grown plants. It was decided to take account of this variation when examining the leakage of carbohydrates and amino-acids into inoculum droplets. This was achieved as follows. Each leaf was inoculated on one leaflet with droplets of SDW and on the other with a suspension of B. cinerea conidia. After incubation for 24h leaves were categorized as 'lesion' or 'no lesion' types depending on the number of sites developing symptoms (see Table 23). Water and B. cinerea diffusates were collected separately from 'lesion' and 'no lesion' leaves and assayed for amino acids and carbohydrates. The experiment was carried out using the abaxial and adaxial surfaces of both field and growth room grown leaves and because of the large number of leaves required amino acid and carbohydrate levels were determined in separate experiments. Diffusates obtained were separated into two aliquots for duplicate analyses.

Results obtained are presented in Table 23. They show that greater levels of both carbohydrates and amino acids leaked into droplets of conidial suspension than into water droplets incubated on corresponding leaves. Differences were greatest on 'lesion' type leaves probably because penetrated cells beneath inoculum droplets on these leaves tended to lose solutes more freely. Leakage of nutrients into water droplets demonstrated that higher levels of carbohydrates and amino acids were detected in leaves which had a greater tendency to produce lesions following inoculation with conidial suspension. Field grown leaves supported greater leakage than leaves detached from growth room grown plants and droplets recovered from the abaxial leaf surface contained higher nutrient levels than those from the adaxial surface. Differentiation between 'lesion' and 'no lesion' type leaves also gave large differences
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of leaves</th>
<th>Inoculum droplets</th>
<th>Concentration of amino acids and carbohydrates in diffusate</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Abaxial surface</td>
<td>Adaxial surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lesion&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No lesion&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth room</td>
<td>Conidial&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (C&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>58 (53-63)</td>
<td>15 (14-16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suspension&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (AA&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.27-0.35)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.09-0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (C&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>49 (47-51)</td>
<td>7 (6-8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(AA)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.07-0.09)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.03-0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field grown</td>
<td>Conidial&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (C&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>65 (60-70)</td>
<td>23 (20-26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plants</td>
<td>Suspension&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (AA&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>0.40 (0.36-0.44)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.20-0.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (C&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>54 (52-56)</td>
<td>18 (17-19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(AA)</td>
<td>0.12 (0.11-0.13)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.05-0.05)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> - 'Lesion' type leaves defined as: growth room grown, >50% inoculation sites developed symptoms; field grown, >90% developed symptoms. 'No lesion' type defined as: growth room, no inoculation sites developed symptoms; field grown <10% sites developed symptoms.

<sup>b</sup> - C refers to carbohydrate level expresses as µg glucose/ml; AA refers to amino acid level expresses as µM valine/ml. Figures in parentheses are range of two replicate determinations.
between concentrations of carbohydrates and amino acids detected in water diffusates. With growth room grown leaves a 6-7 times increase in total carbohydrate level was detected in water droplets between 'lesion' and 'no lesion' leaves and amino acids gave an approximately three fold difference. These results suggest that in leaves which have a propensity to develop symptoms following inoculation with *B. cinerea* conidia high levels of nutrients (carbohydrates and amino acids) probably tend to leak into inoculum droplets.

(iii) The effect of the addition of nutrients to droplets of conidial suspension incubated on growth room grown leaves

It is possible that the reason many sites on field grown leaves developed lesions following inoculation with *B. cinerea* related to the observed high levels of nutrients which leaked into water droplets following incubation on such leaves. The nutrients could overcome the inhibitory factors within infection droplets. Further studies to test this hypothesis were carried out by inoculating growth room grown leaves with *B. cinerea* in the presence or absence of solutions of carbohydrates and amino acids at concentrations detected in water droplets removed from leaves 24h after inoculation. Carbohydrate was supplied as glucose and amino acids as glutamine (Blakeman, 1975).

The concentrations of glucose and glutamine selected represented the levels of carbohydrates and amino acids detected in water diffusates from 'lesion' and 'no lesion' type growth room grown leaves following inoculation on the abaxial and adaxial surfaces (Table 23). Suspensions of conidia of *B. cinerea* were prepared in each of the four nutrient levels being assayed and ten leaves each for the upper and lower surfaces were inoculated with six droplets
(10 μl) of a different suspension on separate half leaflets. Control leaves were inoculated with conidia in SDW alone. After incubation for 24h the percentage of inoculation sites at which lesions had developed was calculated for each treatment and the results are given in Table 24. It can be seen from these results that when levels of glucose and glutamine, equivalent to the levels of carbohydrate and amino acids detected in water droplets collected from 'lesion' type leaves, were supplied to inoculum droplets of B. cinerea, visible symptoms were produced on growth room grown leaves at most sites by 24h after inoculation. The stimulation was most pronounced on leaves inoculated on the abaxial surface.

In conclusion, the greater ability of B. cinerea to cause lesions on field rather than growth room grown leaves appears to relate to the greater release of nutrients from field grown material. These results also suggest that in the field, the inhibition of conidia on the leaf surface may be of less significance to disease resistance than mechanisms of resistance effective after lesion formation.
### TABLE 24

The effect of glucose and glutamine on lesion formation on growth room grown leaves 24h after inoculation with *B. cinerea*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrient level with inoculum droplet&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Percentage of inoculation sites at which lesions developed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abaxial leaf surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) 3 μg/ml glucose</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02 μM/ml glutamine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) 7 μg/ml glucose</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03 μM/ml glutamine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 19 μg/ml glucose</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.06 μM/ml glutamine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) 49 μg/ml glucose</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08 μM/ml glutamine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Nutrient levels (1) - (4) respectively refer to carbohydrate and amino acid concentrations measured in water diffusates from growth room grown leaves: adaxial surface 'no lesion', abaxial surface 'no lesion', adaxial surface 'lesion' and abaxial surface 'lesion'.

<sup>b</sup> Lesion development with *B. cinerea* in SDW alone.
The antifungal activity of phytoalexins from \textit{V. faba} has previously been determined in a range of culture media. Mansfield (1972) demonstrated that wyerone acid was not antifungal towards \textit{B. cinerea} in distilled water. Deverall and Rogers (1972) showed that the activity of the phytoalexin was affected by pH and by unknown components of natural media. Pod nutrients, comprising the residual water phase recovered after ether extraction of inoculum droplets collected 24h after the inoculation of pod seed cavities with \textit{B. cinerea}, have also been used as a medium for bioassays (Deverall, 1967; Mansfield & Deverall, 1974b). However, Hargreaves (1976) concluded that batches of pod nutrients differed in their abilities to support growth of \textit{Botrytis} and developed a defined medium for bioassays named 'synthetic pod nutrients' (SPN) (Hargreaves, Mansfield & Coxon, 1976).

In all work on the antifungal activity of phytoalexins reported here SPN was used to support fungal growth. The pH of the medium was adjusted to the required level by addition of galacturonate buffer as previously described.
(a) Antifungal activity of phytoalexins against conidia of B. cinerea and B. fabae

In initial experiments antifungal activity of the phytoalexins was assayed against conidia. A range of concentrations of wyerone (5-80 μg/ml), wyerone acid (2.5-40 μg/ml) and wyerone epoxide (2-20 μg/ml) were prepared in SPN buffered to pH 4.0. Solutions of wyerone acid (10-300 μg/ml) were also prepared at pH 5.0. Activity of the phytoalexins was examined against both B. cinerea and B. fabae. Results obtained are illustrated in Figs. 16-19 as graphs of germ tube length against phytoalexin concentration. From these graphs it was possible to estimate ED$_{50}$ and minimum inhibitory dose (MID) concentration of each phytoalexin against both fungi. Values obtained are given in Table 25, from which it can be seen that all the phytoalexins tested were more active against B. cinerea than B. fabae. At pH 4.0 an approximate two-fold difference in ED$_{50}$ existed between the fungi. The inhibitory activity of wyerone acid was greatly reduced at pH 5.0, confirming the findings of Deverall & Rogers (1972). The most active compound tested at pH 4.0 was wyerone epoxide.

As it is unlikely that ungerminated conidia came into contact with high levels of phytoalexins in vivo, the activity of phytoalexins against short term tubes, comparable to the infection hyphae first exposed to the inhibitors within infected tissue, was examined in subsequent experiments.

(b) Antifungal activity of phytoalexins against sporelings of B. cinerea and B. fabae

A range of concentrations of wyerone (2.5-60 μg/ml), wyerone epoxide (1-20 μg/ml), wyerol (20-300 μg/ml) and medicarpin (5-100 μg/ml) were prepared in SPN buffered to pH 4.0 and 5.0. Solutions of wyerone acid were prepared from 2.5-30 μg/ml at pH 4.0 and from 20
Fig. 16  Dosage response curves for growth of germ tubes from conidia of *B. cinerea* (●) and *B. fabae* (■) following exposure to increasing concentrations of wyerone at pH 4.0
Fig. 17  Dosage response curves for growth of germ tubes from conidia of *B. cinerea* (○) and *B. fabae* (■) following exposure to increasing concentrations of wyerone acid at pH 4.0.
Fig. 18 Dosage response curves for growth of germ tubes from conidia of *B. cinerea* (●) and *B. fabae* (■) following exposure to increasing concentrations of wyerone epoxide at pH 4.0.
Fig. 19 Dosage response curves for growth of germ tubes from conidia of *B. cinerea* (○) and *B. fabae* (■) following exposure to increasing concentrations of wyerone acid at pH 5.0.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phytoalexin</th>
<th>B. cinerea</th>
<th>B. fabae</th>
<th>B. cinerea</th>
<th>B. fabae</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pH 4.0</td>
<td>pH 5.0</td>
<td>pH 4.0</td>
<td>pH 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ED₅₀</td>
<td>MID</td>
<td>ED₅₀</td>
<td>MID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyerone C</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>30-40</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>60-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyerone acid</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>15-20</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>30-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyerone epoxide C</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>6-8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>15-20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Not determined

a Concentration of phytoalexin (µg/ml) which reduced overall fungal growth by 50%; determined from graphs of fungal growth (product of percentage germination and germ tube growth) against phytoalexin concentration.

b Minimum concentration (µg/ml) which completely prevented germination; expressed as a range of concentrations from the highest at which some conidia germinated to the lowest at which no germination was observed.

c Assayed in SPN containing 2% MeOH.
to 300 μg/ml at pH 5.0. Activity of the phytoalexins was examined against germ tubes (sporelings) of *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae*. Results obtained at pH 4.0 are illustrated in Figs. 20-24, and a summary of ED₅₀ and MID concentrations, determined at both pH values is given in Table 26.

All the inhibitors assayed were more active against *B. cinerea* than *B. fabae*, but differential activity was much less apparent with medicarpin than the wyerone derivatives. Wyerone epoxide was the most active compound tested against sporelings, whereas wyerol possessed comparatively little antifungal activity. The effect of wyerone acid was once again greatly diminished at the higher pH, but pH had little effect on the other inhibitors. The addition of methanol did not significantly alter the activity of the acid. A comparison between Tables 25 and 26 suggests sporelings were more sensitive to wyerone derivatives than ungerminated conidia.

(c) **Effect of pH on antifungal activity of wyerone acid**

(i) **In relation to the pKa of the acid**

Preliminary experiments on the antifungal activity of wyerone acid against conidia and sporelings of *Botrytis* confirmed the findings of Deverall & Rogers (1972) that activity is reduced at high pH. A more detailed study of the effect of pH on activity of wyerone acid towards sporelings was therefore carried out.

The pKa of wyerone acid was determined to be 4.2. By use of the 'Henderson-Hasselbach' equation it was therefore possible to calculate the percentage of the acid which existed in an undissociated state at a given pH (Fig.25).
Fig. 20  Dosage response curves for the growth of germ tubes from sporelings of *B. cinerea* (•) and *B. fabae* (■) following exposure to increasing concentrations of wyerone at pH 4.0.
Fig. 21  Dosage response curves for the growth of germ tubes from sporelings of B. cinerea (●) and B. fabae (■) following exposure to increasing concentrations of wyerone acid at pH 4.0
Fig. 22  Dosage response curves for the growth of germ tubes from sporelings of *B. cinerea* (○) and *B. fabae* (■) following exposure to increasing concentrations of wyerone epoxide at pH 4.0
Fig. 22  Dosage response curves for the growth of germ tubes from sporelings of *B. cinerea* (○) and *B. fabae* (■) following exposure to increasing concentrations of wyerone epoxide at pH 4.0.
Fig. 23 Dosage response curves for the growth of germ tubes from sporelings of *B. cinerea* (■) and *B. fabae* (●) following exposure to increasing concentrations of wyerol at pH 4.0.
Fig. 24 Dosage response curves for the growth of germ tubes from sporelings of *B. cinerea* (●) and *B. fabae* (■) following exposure to increasing concentrations of medicarpin at pH 4.0.
TABLE 26  Antifungal activity of phytoalexins against sporelings of B. cinerea and B. fabae

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phytoalexins</th>
<th>B. cinerea (pH 4.0)</th>
<th>B. fabae (pH 5.0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ED&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>MID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyerone</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>20-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyerone acid&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.5 (3-3)</td>
<td>10-15 (10-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weyerone epoxide</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4.0-6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyerol</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>150-200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicarpin</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>50-75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> - Concentration of phytoalexin (µg/ml) which reduced germ tube growth by 50%; determined from graphs of germ tube growth against phytoalexin concentration.

<sup>b</sup> - Minimum concentration (µg/ml) which completely prevented germ tube growth; expressed as a range of concentrations from the highest at which some growth was measured to the lowest tested at which no growth was recorded.

<sup>c</sup> - Figures in parentheses give results of assays of wyerone acid activity obtained in the presence of 2% MeOH, otherwise no solvent was added for tests on this phytoalexin. Other inhibitors were all assayed in SPN containing 2% MeOH.
Fig. 25  The relationship between pH and ED\textsubscript{50} concentrations of wyerone acid towards sporelings of \textit{B. cinerea} (•) and \textit{B. fabae} (□) and between the percentage of the acid undissociated (△)
A range of concentrations of wyerone acid solutions were made up in SPN buffered to pH 3.5, 4.0, 4.5 and 5.0 and bioassayed against sporelings of *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae*. After incubation the pH of bulked bioassay droplets was measured and found not to differ from the original pH by more than ±0.05. *ED*$_{50}$ and *MID* concentrations at each pH were calculated graphically as described earlier, and the results obtained are given in Table 27. It can be clearly seen from these results that with increasing pH the activity of wyerone acid decreased towards both fungi. At high pH the differential sensitivity of *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae* became more pronounced.

In Fig. 20 *ED*$_{50}$ values are compared with the percentage of wyerone acid existing in an undissociated state at a given pH. Above pH 4.0 the relationship between pH and inhibitory activity of the phytoalexin is approximately linear. However, below this pH the linearity of the relationship ceases, suggesting that the antifungal activity of wyerone acid may reach a saturation level at a pH above that which results in complete protonation of the acid.

(ii) **Effect of pH on the activity of wyerone acid in natural pod nutrients**

The effect of pH on the activity of wyerone acid in natural pod nutrients was also examined. Inoculum droplets were collected from pod cavities 24h after inoculation with *B. cinerea* conidial suspension. Following extraction with diethyl ether the pH of the resultant water phase (pod nutrients) was measured to be 4.2. Aliquots were removed and the pH adjusted to 4.0 and 5.0 by the addition of 0.02M H$_3$PO$_4$ or NaOH respectively. A range of concentrations of wyerone acid were prepared in the three solutions and bioassayed against sporelings of *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae* as described earlier.
TABLE 27  The effect of pH on the antifungal activity of wyerone acid against sporelings of B. cinerea and B. fabae

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phytoalexins</th>
<th>3.5</th>
<th>4.0</th>
<th>4.5</th>
<th>5.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ED$_{50}^a$</td>
<td>MID$^b$</td>
<td>ED$_{50}$</td>
<td>MID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. cinerea</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>10-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. fabae</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>15-20</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>20-25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a - Concentration of wyerone acid (μg/ml) which reduced germ tube growth by 50%; determined from graphs of germ tube growth against wyerone acid concentration.

b - Minimum concentration (μg/ml) which completely prevented germ tube growth; expressed as a range of concentrations from the highest at which some growth was measured to the lowest tested at which no growth was recorded.
ED\(_{50}\) and MID concentrations were estimated graphically for each pH, and results obtained are given in Table 28. Comparison between these results and those for antifungal activity of wyerone acid in SPN (Tables 26 and 27) shows that the phytoalexin is slightly less active in the synthetic than in the natural medium at pH 4.0 and 5.0.

(d) **Antifungal activity of wyerone acid towards a range of species of Botrytis**

The activity of wyerone acid was examined against sporelings of a range of *Botrytis* species. ED\(_{50}\) and MID concentrations of the acid were determined graphically from plots of germ tube growth against wyerone acid concentrations at pH 4.0 as previously described and are given in Table 29. Sporelings of approximately 50 μm in length were used as a substrate for bioassays.

The least sensitive of the species tested was the aggressive bean pathogen *B. fabae*, the weakly pathogenic *B. cinerea* being the second least sensitive. *B. paeoniale* was the most readily inhibited species of the non-pathogens tested.

(e) **Antifungal activity of wyerone acid towards a range of isolates of *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae***

Comparative studies were performed on the sensitivity of four isolates of both *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae* to wyerone acid, and their pathogenicities towards leaves of field grown bean plants. Sporelings were used in bioassays, and ED\(_{50}\) and MID concentrations of the acid were determined graphically for each of the isolates tested as described previously. Results obtained are given in Table 30. Isolates of *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae* differed in their sensitivity to wyerone acid but the most sensitive *B. fabae* isolate (SS1) was less
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fungus tested</th>
<th>pH of bioassay droplets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>ED$_{50}$</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. cinerea</strong></td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. fabae</strong></td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a - Concentration of wyerone acid (µg/ml) which reduced germ tube growth by 50%; determined from graphs of germ tube growth against wyerone acid concentration.

b - Minimum concentration (µg/ml) which completely prevented germ tube growth; expressed as a range of concentrations from the highest at which some growth was measured to the lowest tested at which no growth was recorded.
### TABLE 29  Antifungal activity of wyerone acid at pH 4.0 against sporelings of species of Botrytis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species tested</th>
<th>Time to produce germ tube of ≤ 50 μm(h)</th>
<th>ED$_{50}$</th>
<th>MID$^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B. allii</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>5-7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. cinerea</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>10-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. elliptica</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>7.5-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. fabae</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>20-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. narcissicola</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5-7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. paeoniae</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.5-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. tulipae</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>10-15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ - Concentration of wyerone acid (μg/ml) which reduced germ tube growth by 50%; determined from graphs of term tube growth against wyerone acid concentration.

$^b$ - Minimum concentration (μg/ml) which completely prevented germ tube growth; expressed as a range of concentrations from the highest at which some growth was measured to the lowest tested at which no growth was recorded.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolates tested</th>
<th>ED$_{50}$</th>
<th>MID$^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. fabae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PBI6)</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>25-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(VF2)</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>20-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Wye)</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>15-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SS1)</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>15-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. cinerea</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Vn2)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>10-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(39)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>10-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(vine)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>10-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(rose)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>5-10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **a** - Concentration of wyerone acid (µg/ml) which reduced germ tube growth by 50%; determined from graphs of germ tube growth against wyerone acid concentration.

- **b** - Minimum concentration (µg/ml) which completely prevented germ tube growth; expressed as a range of concentrations from the highest at which some growth was measured to the lowest tested at which no growth was recorded.

**TABLE 30** Antifungal activity of wyerone acid at pH 4.0 against sporelings of a range of isolates of B. cinerea and B. fabae
inhibited than the most tolerant \textit{B. cinerea} (Vn 2) (Table 30).

Pathogenicity tests were carried out by inoculating the abaxial surface of leaves detached from field grown plants. Droplets (c. 10 \(\mu l\)) of conidial suspensions were placed on separate half leaflets with a sterile Pasteur pipette, six droplets per half leaflet. After incubation for one and three days lesion development caused by isolates of both fungi was recorded using the scheme illustrated in Fig. 7. Results obtained are given in Tables 31 and 32 for \textit{B. fabae} and \textit{B. cinerea} inoculations respectively. All isolates of \textit{B. fabae} were more pathogenic than \textit{B. cinerea}. On the basis of lesion development pathogenicities were ranked as follows:

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{l l l l l}
 & Pathogenic & Non-Pathogenic \\
\textit{B. fabae} & VF2 & PBI6 & Wye & SS1 \\
\textit{B. cinerea} & Vn2 & 39 & vine & rose \\
\end{tabular}
\end{center}

With the exception of \textit{B. fabae} isolates VF2 and PBI6, these rankings closely parallel the comparative sensitivities of different isolates to wyerone acid (Table 30). \textit{B. cinerea} (Vn2) produced lesions at all inoculation sites, being resistant to leaf surface inhibition.

\textbf{(f) Effect of wyerone derivatives on rates of growth of \textit{B. cinerea} and \textit{B. fabae}}

In previous experiments antifungal activity was recorded after exposure to phytoalexins for 18h. A series of experiments was designed to examine the patterns of growth of germ tubes in the presence of wyerone, wyerone acid and wyerone epoxide over a 24h period. Time course studies were carried out on the growth of \textit{B. cinerea} and \textit{B. fabae} in the presence of concentrations of each phytoalexin causing 50\% reduction in germ tube growth after 18h.
### TABLE 31  Lesion development on the abaxial surface of field grown leaves after inoculation with a range of isolates of B. fabae

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infection grade</th>
<th>BFVF2 1d</th>
<th>BFVF2 3d</th>
<th>BFPB16 1d</th>
<th>BFPB16 3d</th>
<th>BF Wye 1d</th>
<th>BF Wye 3d</th>
<th>BF SS1 1d</th>
<th>BF SS1 3d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentage of inoculation sites in infection grades.
### Table 32

Lesion development on the abaxial surface of field grown leaves after inoculation with a range of isolates of *B. cinerea*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infection grade</th>
<th>Bc Vn 2</th>
<th>Bc 39</th>
<th>Bc vine</th>
<th>Bc rose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1d</td>
<td>3d</td>
<td>1d</td>
<td>3d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results obtained are expressed graphically in Figs. 26-28.

At the concentrations of inhibitor selected both B. cinerea and B. fabae had similar growth patterns, however, clear differences existed between patterns of growth of Botrytis in the presence of wyerone acid compared to wyerone and wyerone epoxide. With the latter methylated derivatives a lag in growth over the first 6h incubation was observed, whereas with wyerone acid growth of germ tubes appeared to begin from the onset of incubation.

(g) Relationship between metabolism of phytoalexins and growth patterns of germ tubes

Experiments were designed to examine the relationship between the growth of Botrytis germ tubes and metabolism of phytoalexins. Disappearance of phytoalexins was monitored in bathing fluids collected from petri dishes in which fungal growth was subsequently measured. Metabolism of wyerone derivatives is associated with the reduction of the ketogroup to an alcohol, causing a shift in $\lambda$ max of the UV spectrum. In SPN solution the shifts are from 360, 366 and 350 nm to c. 315 nm for wyerone, wyerone acid and wyerone epoxide respectively, enabling loss of the phytoalexins to be measured spectrophotometrically.

Preliminary experiments were carried out to determine the concentration of each phytoalexin allowing germ tube growth of c. 280 µm after 24h. Replicate dishes of sporelings of both B. cinerea and B. fabae were incubated with each of the phytoalexins in SPN solution. At 4h intervals the concentration of remaining phytoalexin and germ tube length were estimated in two replicate dishes. Percentage loss of inhibitor was calculated allowing correction for changes detected in control petri dishes in the absence
Fig. 26  Rates of growth of *B. cinerea* (●) and *B. fabae* (■) in the presence of 3.4 and 6.9 µg/ml wyerone acid respectively.
Rates of growth of B. cinerea (○) and B. fabae (■) in the presence of 9.6 and 21.0 μg/ml wyerone respectively

Fig. 27
Fig. 28 Rates of growth of *B. cinerea* (●) and *B. fabae* (■) in the presence of 2.5 and 5.2 μg/ml wyerone epoxide respectively.
of sporelings. Fig. 29 illustrates the change in absorption of SPN solutions containing wyerone incubated with *B. cinerea*. Spectrum (a) is that of the pure phytoalexin at the onset of incubation, (b) when c. 50% had been metabolized and (c) at the end of the incubation period when the inhibitor was no longer detectable following ether extraction and TLC. Similar shifts in $\lambda$ max were obtained following the incubation of wyerone, wyerone acid and wyerone epoxide with both *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae*. Loss of phytoalexins beyond 80% could not be measured accurately using this technique as the edge of the metabolite spectrum, with $\lambda$ max at 315 nm, tended to give high values of absorption at 360 nm.

Graphs showing percentage loss of phytoalexins and concurrent germ tube growth are given in Figs. 30-32, for wyerone acid, wyerone and the epoxide respectively. The growth pattern of *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae* were very similar at the equally inhibitory concentrations of phytoalexins selected. In solutions of wyerone acid gradual metabolism of the phytoalexin paralleled germ tube elongation, whereas in the presence of methylated wyerone and wyerone epoxide, c. 60% of the inhibitors were metabolized before rapid growth occurred. This lag in growth was similar to that observed in droplets incubated on slides (Figs. 27 and 28). The concentration of phytoalexins present within SPN solutions at the time of onset of germ tube growth were 2.9 and 4.2 $\mu$g/ml wyerone and 0.7 and 3.0 $\mu$g/ml wyerone epoxide for *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae* respectively. *B. fabae* was therefore able to tolerate a greater phytoalexin concentration at the onset of rapid germ tube elongation. The results suggest that different relationships exist between sensitivity to and ability to metabolize wyerone acid and the methylated phytoalexins, wyerone and wyerone epoxide.
Fig. 29

UV absorption spectra of wyerone after exposure to sporelings of B. cinerea:

(A) 0h exposure
(B) 8h exposure
(C) 16h exposure
Fig. 30 Kinetic studies of germ tube extension of *B. cinerea* (O) and *B. fabae* (□) and percentage loss of wyerone acid (3.5 and 13.5 μg/ml respectively).

Bars refer to 95% confidence limits for germ tube lengths and range between replicates for phytoalexin loss.
Kinetic studies of germ tube extension of *B. cinerea* (○) and *B. fabae* (□) and percentage loss of wyerone (6.5 and 13.0 μg/ml respectively).

Bars refer to 95% confidence limits for germ tube lengths and range between replicates for phytoalexin loss.

Fig. 31
Fig. 32 Kinetic studies of germ tube extension of *B. cinerea* (○) and *B. fabae* (□) and percentage loss of wyerone epoxide (1.6 and 5.0 μg/ml respectively).

Bars refer to 95% confidence limits for germ tube lengths and range between replicates for phytoalexin loss.
CHAPTER 2

FUNGITOXICITY OF PHYTOALEXINS

Results reported in Chapter 1 demonstrated the fungistatic nature of the phytoalexins from *V. faba* to *Botrytis*. The following series of experiments were designed to examine if the inhibitors also killed fungal cells, that is if they were truly fungitoxic.

(a) Assessment of cell death

Several methods of determining the viability of spores and germ tubes of *Botrytis* were assessed.

(i) Visual appearance of fungal structure

In initial studies treatment of sporelings with high concentrations of wyerone acid was found to cause granulation of the cytoplasm within germ tubes (Plate 2b). In order to test if cytoplasmic granulation was a good indicator of death treated sporelings were examined 10h after wyerone acid solutions had been replaced with fresh SPN. In many cases, despite cytoplasmic granulation of primary germ tubes apparently healthy secondary germ tubes had grown from conidia (see Plate 2a, b and c). Clearly visual appearance of germ tubes was a poor indicator of sporeling death.

(ii) Use of vital stains

An unequivocal method of determining sporeling death was to replace phytoalexin solution with fresh SPN and examine the subsequent continued growth of surviving sporelings. However, this technique was extremely time consuming, and the prolonged
Plate 2

Sporelings of *B. fabae*:

(a) healthy

(b) 6h after exposure to 20 μg/ml wyerone acid
    (note cytoplasmic granulation)

(c) 16h after exposure to 20 μg/ml wyerone acid
    (note regrowth of apparently healthy germ tube from conidium)

Bar = 25 μm.
incubation period required also increased the likelihood of bacterial contamination of bioassay droplets. Of the range of stains used, Evan's blue, neutral red and phenosafranine were found to stain both living and dead fungal cells and data obtained using fluorescein diacetate were variable. Trypan blue, however, stained only dead tissue and a detailed comparison of viability, assessed using this stain and solution replacement, was therefore carried out.

Sporelings of _B. cinerea_ and _B. fabae_ were grown in droplets of SPN on glass then exposed to 10, 20 and 40 µg/ml wyerone acid and 20, 40 and 60 µg/ml wyerone acid respectively. After incubation for 8, 16 and 24h, the percentage of sporelings alive was assessed for each treatment. Four replicate droplets were tested for each technique. Results obtained, expressed as percentages of sporelings killed, are given in Table 33.

Results suggested that trypan blue staining is an accurate indication of fungal cell viability. SPN replacement tended to give slightly higher figures for percentage kill but this may have been a reflection of the presence of residual phytoalexin around sporelings after washing. At any given concentration _B. cinerea_ was more readily killed than _B. fabae_ and the percentage mortality increased with time of exposure for both fungi. In subsequent experiments trypan blue vital staining was used to assess the fungitoxicity of phytoalexins.
### TABLE 33

A comparison between trypan blue vital staining and solution replacement as methods of assessing death of sporelings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fungus</th>
<th>Time of incubation (h)</th>
<th>10 µg/ml</th>
<th>20 µg/ml</th>
<th>40 µg/ml</th>
<th>60 µg/ml</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stain</td>
<td>Replace</td>
<td>Stain</td>
<td>Replace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. cinerea</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18.5 ± 1.3</td>
<td>19.8 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>4.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>49.3 ± 2.3</td>
<td>53.3 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>8.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>61.3 ± 4.0</td>
<td>64.0 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. fabae</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13.0 ± 1.7</td>
<td>14.5 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19.3 ± 3.4</td>
<td>26.0 ± 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23.0 ± 2.6</td>
<td>26.8 ± 3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Not determined
(b) **Fungitoxicity of wyerone, wyerone acid and wyerone epoxide**

A range of concentrations of wyerone, wyerone acid and wyerone epoxide were prepared in SPN buffered to pH 4.0. Sporelings of *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae* were treated with the inhibitors and incubated for 24h, four replicate droplets being prepared for each assay. After incubation the mean percentage kill was calculated for each treatment from four replicate counts of 100 sporelings. A dead sporeling was recognized either by its failure to grow in SPN or by deep staining of both the germ tube and conidium with trypan blue.

Results obtained, given in Fig.33, have been plotted as linear regressions, permitting rapid comparison between treatments. In all cases *B. fabae* was less readily killed than *B. cinerea* and the minimum lethal dose (MID) concentrations to give 100% kill by 24h are summarized in Table 34. Those for *B. fabae* are c. 40% higher than those for *B. cinerea*. MID concentrations were higher than those causing complete inhibition of fungal growth (Table 26). The most toxic inhibitor tested was wyerone epoxide, followed by wyerone acid and wyerone, but the difference in toxicities between wyerone epoxide and acid was less than exhibited for fungistatic activity.

(c) **Effect of time of exposure on the toxicity of wyerone acid to Botrytis**

The effect of time of exposure on the toxicity of wyerone acid to sporelings of *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae* was examined. Sporelings of *B. cinerea* were exposed to 10, 20 and 40 μg/ml. At 4h intervals the percentage of sporelings dead was assessed in four replicate droplets for each treatment. Mean values calculated are given in Fig.34. For each concentration of wyerone acid tested the percentage of sporelings killed increased with time of incubation, and *B. cinerea* was killed more rapidly than *B. fabae*. 
Fig. 33

Effect of increasing concentrations of phytoalexin on the percentage of sporelings of *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae* killed after incubation for 24h.

(A) wyerone:  
- *B. cinerea* (○) \( y = 1.62x - 11.71 \)
- *B. fabae* (●) \( y = 1.05x - 14.86 \)

(B) wyerone acid:  
- *B. cinerea* (□) \( y = 3.54x - 20.57 \)
- *B. fabae* (■) \( y = 2.15x - 21.90 \)

(C) wyerone epoxide:  
- *B. cinerea* (△) \( y = 3.20x + 2.58 \)
- *B. fabae* (▲) \( y = 2.23x - 17.50 \)

Bars refer to range between four replicates
Phytoalexin concentration (µg/ml)

Fig. 33
Fig. 33 (cont.)
TABLE 34  Minimum concentrations of phytoalexins to kill 100% of a population of *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae* sporelings after 24h incubation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phytoalexin</th>
<th>Concentration of phytoalexin (µg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>B. cinerea</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyerone</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyerone acid</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyerone epoxide</td>
<td>30.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Time of incubation (h)

Fig. 34 Effect of time of exposure on toxicity of wyerone acid against sporelings of B. cinerea (open symbols) and B. fabae (closed symbols): 

Δ = 10 μg/ml,  ○ = 20 μg/ml,  □ = 40 μg/ml and ▲ = 60 μg/ml.
(d) **Effect of stage of fungal growth on toxicity of wyerone acid to Botrytis**

(i) **Comparison between sporelings and ungerminated conidia**

Sporelings and conidia of both *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae* were treated with a range of concentrations of wyerone acid. After incubation for 24h the mean percentage kill was calculated from four replicate counts for each treatment. Results obtained (Fig. 35) confirmed the greater tolerance of *B. fabae* and also indicated that ungerminated conidia were less sensitive than sporelings to the fungitoxic effect of wyerone acid.

(ii) **Comparison between sporelings of differing germ tube lengths**

All data referring to the fungitoxicity of wyerone derivatives towards sporelings was obtained using germ tubes of *Botrytis* approximately 50 μm in length. Such germ tubes are single cells. Experiments were designed to examine if larger, septate hyphae, as are produced by *B. fabae* within bean leaves, were more or less susceptible to the toxic effects of wyerone acid.

By extending the incubation period in SPN from 6-9h both *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae* produced sporelings with a mean length of c. 80 μm, mostly with a single septum in the non-branched germ tube, dividing the sporeling into conidium, cell 1 and cell 2. A comparison between the effect of wyerone acid on the death of sporelings with germ tubes of one or two cells was carried out. Sporelings of *B. cinerea* were exposed to 10, 20 and 40 μg/ml and those of *B. fabae* to 20, 40 and 60 μg/ml wyerone acid, and the mean percentage killed calculated from four replicated counts after incubation for 8, 16 and 24h. Results obtained are given in Table 35, and once more confirm that *B. cinerea* is more easily killed than *B. fabae*.
Comparison between the fungitoxicity of wyerone acid against sporelings (closed symbols) and ungerminated conidia (open symbols) of B. cinerea (○) and B. fabae (□).

- \( y = 3.54x - 20.57 \)  
- \( y = 3.56x = 36.82 \)  
- \( y = 2.15x - 21.90 \)  
- \( y = 2.29x - 42.11 \)

Bars refer to range between four replicates.

Fig. 35
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fungus</th>
<th>Time of incubation (h)</th>
<th>10 µg/ml</th>
<th>20 µg/ml</th>
<th>40 µg/ml</th>
<th>60 µg/ml</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 cell</td>
<td>2 cells</td>
<td>1 cell</td>
<td>2 cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. cinerea</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. fabae</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Not determined
by wyerone acid. In all cases two celled germ tubes were less readily killed than single celled structures.

(e) **Tolerance of 'secondary' germ tubes to wyerone acid**

During the development of a system to assess viability of sporelings the observation was made that following exposure to sub-lethal concentrations of wyerone acid new 'secondary' germ tubes could grow from conidia which appeared dead (Chapter 2(a)). Kinetic studies suggested that little metabolism of wyerone acid would be likely until the onset of growth of the germ tube (Fig.30), therefore the possibility that secondary germ tubes may have a greater tolerance of the phytoalexin was examined.

Sporelings of *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae* were grown to produce germ tubes of c. 50 μm in length then exposed to 10 and 20 μg/ml wyerone acid respectively. Following incubation for 18h 100 sporelings in four replicate droplets for each treatment were examined microscopically. Secondary germ tubes had been produced by 68% and 57% of sporelings of *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae*, having mean lengths of 65 μm and 59 μm respectively. The experimental procedure was repeated but after incubation for 18h the bathing fluids of both fungi were removed, sporelings washed in SPN and fresh wyerone acid solutions added, 5 μg/ml for *B. cinerea* and 10 μg/ml for *B. fabae*. Subsequent growth of secondary hyphae was compared to growth of fresh germ tubes c. 65 μm in length (7h sporelings). Results obtained are given in Table 36. Secondary germ tubes of pre-treated sporelings were less sensitive to wyerone acid than those of untreated sporelings of both *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae*. 
TABLE 36  Effect of wyerone acid on growth of pre-treated secondary germ tubes and untreated germ tubes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>B. cinerea&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>B. fabae&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pretreated sporelings</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untreated sporelings</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a  Mean growth of 50 germ tubes

b  B. cinerea and B. fabae exposed to 5 µg/ml and 10 µg/ml wyerone acid respectively
(a) **Effect of reduced wyrerone acid on the antifungal activity of wyrerone acid**

Within infected tissues *B. fabae* is exposed to the antifungal phytoalexin wyrerone acid and also the weakly active metabolites of the inhibitor produced by the fungus. The effect of the metabolite reduced wyrerone acid on the activity of wyrerone acid against *Botrytis* was therefore examined.

Sporelings (6h) of *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae* were exposed to 0 and 20 µg/ml wyrerone acid in SPN containing 0, 20 and 40 µg/ml reduced wyrerone acid. Subsequent germ tube growth was measured after incubation for 18h. A minimum of 50 germ tubes were measured from four replicate sites and the growth of germ tubes recorded is given in Table 37. Neither 20 nor 40 µg/ml reduced wyrerone acid caused any reduction in germ tube growth recorded after 18h incubation.

In the absence of reduced wyrerone acid, the phytoalexin completely inhibited *B. cinerea* and greatly limited growth of *B. fabae*. However, in the presence of the metabolite the activity of wyrerone acid was reduced. The effect was more pronounced with *B. fabae* where 20 and 40 µg/ml reduced wyrerone acid caused an increase in germ tube length of 250 and 400 µm respectively over growth in the absence of the metabolite. With *B. cinerea* the increase in growth was less pronounced. Subsequent experiments on this effect were carried out using *B. fabae*. 
### TABLE 37

**Effect of reduced wyerone acid on the antifungal activity of wyerone acid against sporelings of B. cinerea and B. fabae**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fungus</th>
<th>Concentration of wyerone acid (µg/ml)</th>
<th>Germ tube growth in SPN containing reduced wyerone acid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. cinerea</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. fabae</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>707</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> - No significant increase in germ tube length recorded
(b) **Effect of a range of structurally related compounds on the antifungal activity of wyerone, wyerone acid and wyerone epoxide towards B. fabae**

The previous experiment had shown that the metabolite reduced wyerone acid decreased the activity of its corresponding phytoalexin, wyerone acid. In the following experiments the effects of various phytoalexin metabolites and synthetic structurally related compounds on the antifungal activity of wyerone, wyerone acid and wyerone epoxide was examined. I am indebted to R.O. Cain, Chemistry Department, Stirling University for the synthesis of a range of furan derivatives.

Structures of the metabolites and synthetic furans examined are given in Table 38. The synthetic derivatives (compounds 5-10) ran as single spots visualized under UV radiation (254 nm) and with rhodamine 6G on TLC plates developed on CHCl₃, hexane : acetone (2:1, v/v) and Et₂O : MeOH (8:1, v/v). Their structures were confirmed by mass spectrometry. Concentrations of solutions of the synthetic derivatives were adjusted using the molecular extinction coefficients determined by Cain (1977).

The antifungal activities of compounds 1-10 (Table 38) were compared with that of wyerone, wyerone acid and wyerone epoxide. Solutions of all compounds were made up to a concentration of $2 \times 10^{-4}$ M (c. 50 μg/ml) in SPN buffered to pH 4.0. *B. fabae* sporelings were exposed to the compounds using standard slide bioassay techniques. After 18h incubation the growth of the germ tubes was compared with growth in SPN alone. At the concentration selected the three phytoalexins were completely inhibitory to germ tube growth. Wyerol caused a 9.3% reduction in germ tube growth but growth in solutions of other compounds lacking the keto-acetylenic moiety was
### TABLE 38

**Structures of wyerone derivatives studied**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assigned Number</th>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1               | Wyerol                           | \[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{CH}_3 \text{CH}_2 \text{CH} = \text{CH} \text{C} \equiv \text{C} \text{CH(OH)} \\
\text{CHCH COOMe}
\end{array}
\] |
| 2               | Reduced wyerone acid             | \[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{CH}_3 \text{CH}_2 \text{CH} = \text{CH} \text{CH}_2 \text{CH}_2 \text{CH(OH)} \\
\text{CHCH COOMe}
\end{array}
\] |
| 3               | Wyerol epoxide                   | \[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{CH}_3 \text{CH}_2 \text{CH} = \text{CH} \text{C} \equiv \text{C} \text{CH(OH)} \\
\text{CHCH COOMe}
\end{array}
\] |
| 4               | Dihydrihydroxy-wyerol            | \[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{CH}_3 \text{CH}_2 \text{CH(OH)} \text{CH(OH)} \text{C} \equiv \text{C} \text{CH(OH)} \\
\text{CHCH COOMe}
\end{array}
\] |
| 5               |                                  | \[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{CHCH COOMe}
\end{array}
\] |
| 6               |                                  | \[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{HOCH} \\
\text{CH=CH COOH}
\end{array}
\] |
| 7               |                                  | \[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{HOCH} \\
\text{CH=CH COOMe}
\end{array}
\] |
| 8               |                                  | \[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{CH}_3 \text{CH(OH)} \\
\text{CH=CH COOMe}
\end{array}
\] |
| 9               |                                  | \[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{CH}_3 \text{CH(OH)} \\
\text{CH=CH COOMe}
\end{array}
\] |
| 10              | Dihydrowyerol                    | \[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{CH}_3 \text{CH}_2 \text{CH}_2 \text{C} \equiv \text{C} \text{CH(OH)} \\
\text{CH=CH COOMe}
\end{array}
\] |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assigned Number</th>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Wyerol</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_3 \text{CH}_2 \text{CH} = \text{CH} \text{C} \equiv \text{C} \text{CH(OH)} \text{CHCH COOMe} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Reduced wyerone acid</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_3 \text{CH}_2 \text{CH} = \text{CH} \text{CH}_2 \text{CH}_2 \text{CH(OH)} \text{CHCH COOMe} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Wyerol epoxide</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_3 \text{CH}_2 \text{CH} = \text{CH} \text{C} \equiv \text{C} \text{CH(OH)} \text{CHCH COOMe} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Dihydrodihydroxy-wyerol</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_3 \text{CH}_2 \text{CH(OH)} \text{CH(OH)} \text{C} \equiv \text{C} \text{CH(OH)} \text{CHCH COOMe} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>( \text{HOC} \text{CH=CH COOMe} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>( \text{HOC} \text{CH=CH COOH} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>( \text{HOC} \text{CH=CH COOMe} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>( \text{CH}_3 \text{CO} \text{CH=CH COOMe} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>( \text{CH}_3 \text{CH(OH)} \text{CH=CH COOMe} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Dihydrowyerol</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_3 \text{CH}_2 \text{CH}_2 \text{CH}_2 \text{C} \equiv \text{C} \text{CH(OH)} \text{CH=CH COOMe} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
not significantly different from that in controls. The effect of compounds 1-10 on the antifungal activity of wyerone, wyerone acid and wyerone epoxide at concentrations of 30, 15 and 10 µg/ml respectively was examined.

Solutions of each phytoalexin were prepared in SPN in the presence or absence of each potential phytoalexin inhibitor at $2 \times 10^{-4}$ M. After incubation for 18h growth of B. fabae germ tubes from sporelings (6h) was measured in the usual way. None of the compounds (Table 38, 1-10) caused an increase in phytoalexin activity, but some caused a significant decrease (Table 39). Of the phytoalexin metabolites (Table 38, 1-4) only reduced wyerone acid was found to decrease the activity of the phytoalexins and the effect was most pronounced with wyerone acid. The synthetic derivatives varied in their activity, the ketone, compound 8, being particularly active against each phytoalexin. The presence of ketone, acetylenic and acidic groups appeared to influence the inhibition of antifungal activity and in general wyerone acid was more affected than the other phytoalexins.

(c) Studies on the mechanism of inhibition of the activity of acid by reduced wyerone acid

Experiments were designed to test the hypothesis that the metabolite may have been competing with the phytoalexin for a specific binding site within the fungus. Studies on enzyme kinetics have shown that inhibition of activity can be caused by the addition of a compound with a similar structure to the substrate. Inhibition is a result of the compound affecting the formation of the unstable enzyme-substrate complex, and can be either non-competitive with the enzyme or competitive, in which the inhibitors form an alternative
Germ tube lengths not significantly different (p = 0.05) from those in solutions containing phytoalexins alone.

**TABLE 39 Effect of wyerone derivatives on the antifungal activity of phytoalexins against germ tubes of B. fabae**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound Number</th>
<th>Percentage increase in germ tube length when compounds added to solutions of Weyerone&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Weyerone acid</th>
<th>Weyerone epoxide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>56.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Activity of wyerone, wyerone acid and wyerone epoxide (30, 15 and 10 µg/ml) respectively were assayed in presence or absence of compounds 1-10 (Table 38) at $2 \times 10^{-6}$M. Reduction in antifungal activity expressed as percentage increase in growth compared to controls in absence of compounds 1-10; Weyerone 56.1 - 247.5 µm, wyerone acid 51.9-194.0 µm and wyerone epoxide 52.5-155.2 µm.

<sup>b</sup> Germ tube lengths not significantly different (p = 0.05) from those in solutions containing phytoalexins alone.
unstable complex, thus competing with the original substrate for sites on the enzyme. If inhibition is of a competitive nature, by increasing the concentration of substrate at a constant enzyme and inhibitor concentration the inhibitory effect is overcome. In the case of non-competitive inhibition, however, inhibitory activity would occur at high substrate concentrations. Analogous studies were carried out using *B. fabae*, sporelings, wyerone acid and reduced wyerone acid. The effect of increasing concentrations of wyerone acid (substrate) on the inhibition of growth of germ tubes of *B. fabae* (enzyme) in the presence of constant levels of reduced wyerone acid (inhibitors) was examined.

*B. fabae* sporelings were exposed to a range of wyerone acid concentrations (1-10 μg/ml) in SPN in the presence or absence of 20 and 40 μg/ml reduced wyerone acid. Incubation time for bioassays was reduced from 18h to 3h to permit a more accurate measurement of the short germ tubes thus produced, and to minimise the possibility of phytoalexin metabolism. In preliminary tests no metabolism of the phytoalexin was detected in solutions of 1 and 2 μg/ml wyerone acid incubated with *B. fabae* sporelings under these conditions. Growth of germ tubes was recorded with a calibrated eye piece graticule. A minimum of 50 germ tubes were measured from the four replicate droplets of each treatment.

The antifungal activity of increasing concentration of wyerone acid is expressed in Fig.36 as fractional inhibition of germ tube growth in solutions lacking the phytoalexin but containing reduced wyerone acid when applicable. Values of fractional inhibitor of 1.0 and 0, therefore, indicate complete and no inhibition due to wyerone acid respectively.
Fig. 36 Fractional inhibition of *B. fabae* germ tube growth by wyerone acid alone (●) or in the presence of reduced wyerone acid 20 (■) and 40 (▲) μg/ml.
Under the bioassay conditions of short incubation period employed, reduced wyerone acid itself was slightly antifungal. Germ tube lengths after incubation in SPN alone or with 20 and 40 μg/ml reduced wyerone acid were 136.4 ± S.E. 8.9, 98.6 ± S.E. 6.5 and 88.0 ± S.E. 5.1 μm respectively. Results given in Fig.29 clearly show that increasing concentrations of reduced wyerone acid caused an increasing reduction in the activity of phytoalexin. However, the effect of the reduced derivative was greatly decreased with increasing concentrations of the phytoalexin. These observations support the hypothesis that reduced wyerone acid was inhibiting the activity of wyerone acid by acting in a competitive manner.

The experiment was repeated using germ tubes produced after only 4h incubation (25.6 ± 2.1 μm) enabling more precise measurements of germ tube growth to be made. Data obtained are presented as a double reciprocal plot in Fig.37. The linear relationships obtained with both lines intercepting the ordinate close to 1.0 are analogous to those describing the binding of ligands to enzymes or other macromolecules (Price & Dwek, 1974). Linearity can be most simply explained by postulating an equilibrium between binding sites in B. fabae, wyerone acid and a binding site/wyerone acid complex, formation of the complex resulting in inhibition of growth of B. fabae. Fractional inhibition of growth would therefore represent fractional saturation of binding sites by the phytoalexin. Furthermore, these studies strongly suggest that reduced wyerone acid competitively inhibits the binding of wyerone acid to a receptor site in B. fabae, probably by the formation of a less harmful binding site/reduced wyerone acid complex from a similar equilibrium. If the metabolite was reducing the activity of the phytoalexin by other
Fig. 37  Double reciprocal plot of fractional inhibition of *B. fabae* germ tube growth by wyerone acid alone (■) ($y = 1.195x + 0.927$) or in the presence of reduced wyerone acid 20 µg/ml (●) ($y = 2.943x + 0.805$).
means, the effect would not be overcome at high phytoalexin concentrations (Figs. 36 and 37) and the plot in Fig. 37 obtained in the presence of the reduced derivative would not intercept the ordinate at the same point as in its absence.

(d) **Effect of wyerone acid on leakage of cations from sporelings of Botrytis**

The mode of action of antifungal polyene antibiotics has been reviewed by Hamilton Miller (1973). Organisms sensitive to polyenes bind these substances, probably to sterols in the cell membrane, causing membrane malfunction whereby essential metabolites leak out. "Hystatin" has been shown to cause membrane lesions which result in the loss of metabolites including inorganic cations from fungal cells. The effects of nystatin and wyerone acid on sporelings were compared in an attempt to determine if the mode of action of wyerone acid was similar to that of the antibiotic.

Preliminary studies were made by measuring the leakage of Na\(^+\), K\(^+\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) ions from sporelings of *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae* using atomic absorption spectrophotometry. Aliquots (2 ml) of conidial suspensions of both fungi in SDW containing 0.5% (w/v) glucose were incubated in plastic bottle tops for 6h. Adhering sporelings were then washed with SDW and exposed to 2.5-50 µg/ml wyerone acid in SDW adjusted to pH 4.0 with 0.5M HCl. Control dishes were arranged, containing sporelings in acidified water alone and phytoalexin solutions in the absence of sporelings. Under these conditions the antifungal activity of wyerone acid was c. 30% greater than under standard bioassay conditions. The experiment was replicated three times and after 4h incubation bathing fluids were decanted off and the concentrations of K\(^+\), Na\(^+\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) determined for each replicate solution.
No detectable leakage of Na\(^+\) was recorded from sporelings of either *B. cinerea* or *B. fabae* following incubation with wyerone acid and concentrations of Mg\(^{2+}\) in diffusates were too low to measure accurately. However, 20 and 40 \(\mu\)g/ml wyerone acid induced \(K^+\) leakage from sporelings of both fungi. A more detailed analysis of \(K^+\) leakage was therefore carried out, comparing the effects of 20 and 40 \(\mu\)g/ml wyerone acid and 10 \(\mu\)g/ml nystatin on sporelings of *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae*.

Nine replicate dishes of sporelings were exposed to SDW, 20 and 40 \(\mu\)g/ml wyerone acid and 10 \(\mu\)g/ml nystatin as described above. Controls were prepared in the absence of fungi. After incubation for 1, 2 and 4h the concentration of \(K^+\) was recorded in three replicates for each treatment. Results given in Table 40 show the leakage of \(K^+\) induced in excess of that recorded in SDW controls and inhibitor controls. By 1h after solution replacement nystatin had caused leakage of \(K^+\) from both *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae* but wyerone acid had caused no leakage. After 2h the phytoalexin began to cause loss of \(K^+\) from sporelings, 20 \(\mu\)g/ml being less effective than 40 \(\mu\)g/ml wyerone acid. The concentration of \(K^+\) in bathing fluids continued to rise after 4h following treatment with nystatin, whereas wyerone acid treatment resulted in little change from the levels recorded after 2h.

These results suggest that induced leakage of \(K^+\) ions from sporelings treated with wyerone acid is probably not a result of the same change induced by the polyene antibiotic nystatin. The mode of action of the phytoalexin is therefore unlikely to be one of direct membrane disruption, ionic leakage being a secondary response from dead or damaged fungal cells.
TABLE 40  Leakage of potassium into incubation fluids of Botrytis induced by wyerone acid and the polyene antibiotic 'nystatin'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of incubation (h)</th>
<th>Induced leakage of potassium (K⁺) into incubation fluids (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wyerone acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. cinerea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. fabae</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a - No increase from control detectable
INFECTION HYphaE OF B. CINEREA AND B. FABAE WITHIN EPIDERMIS OF BEAN LEAVES

Previous experiments clearly demonstrated that the furan- and acetylenic phytoalexins from V. fabae are toxic to conidia and sporelings of B. cinerea and B. fabae. It was therefore of interest to investigate if infection hyphae died within limited lesions. In the experiments reported here, the growth and death of infection hyphae was recorded from 1-3d after inoculation of leaves with droplets containing a range of spore concentrations.

For each inoculation concentration six leaves were used, each leaf being inoculated with 24 droplets of conidial suspension. At daily intervals a minimum of three epidermal strips from different leaves were examined microscopically. At all inoculum concentrations tested <5% of conidia failed to produce infection hyphae, and hyphal length was estimated by measuring 20 hyphae with a calibrated eyepiece graticule. Percentage viability of infection hyphae was recorded after examination of a total of 80 hyphae in four replicate counts. Dead hyphae were recognised by their intense staining with trypan blue (see Plate 4a and b). Results from repeated experiments...
carried out in mid June and late August are given separately in Tables 41 and 42 respectively.

In general infection hyphae were shorter and more were killed in leaves collected early in the season. These effects were particularly marked with B. cinerea inoculations at $5 \times 10^5$ conidia/ml. The effect of spore concentration on length of infection hyphae was most pronounced with B. fabae, shorter hyphae being produced at low inoculum concentrations, but even with only ten spores per droplet ($5 \times 10^2$/ml) the percentage of B. fabae infection hyphae killed was still less than 50% by 3d after inoculation. With the exception of leaves inoculated with $5 \times 10^5$ conidia/ml, particularly late in the season, all B. cinerea infection hyphae were dead by 3d after inoculation. Little hyphal growth was observed between 1 and 3d. Results suggest that at spore concentrations of $10^5$ conidia/ml or less infection hyphae of B. cinerea were restricted by 1d and died by 3d after inoculation. At concentrations of $10^4$ conidia/ml or above, B. fabae infection hyphae grew rapidly, causing spreading lesions to develop.

Typical infection hyphae formed by B. cinerea and B. fabae are shown in Plate 3 (a and b) respectively. The avirulent species had produced a short infection hyphae compared to that formed by B. fabae. Plate 4 (a and b) shows similar inoculation sites following staining with trypan blue.
Plate 3

Infection hyphae within epidermal cells of *V. faba* 2d after inoculation:

(a) *B. cinerea*, note short infection hyphae (arrowed)

(b) *B. fabae*, note ramifying growth of intracellular hyphae, and disruption of host cell walls

Bar = 25 μm.
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Plate 4

Botrytis infection hyphae stained with trypan blue within bean epidermis.

(a) B. cinerea; note deep stained hyphae (arrowed) in contrast with unstained one.

(b) B. fabae; note unstained ramifying hyphae (arrowed) in contrast to deeply stained host wall and cytoplasm.

Bar = 25 μm
Trypan blue within hyphae (arrowed), staining hyphae and the stained host.
TABLE 41  Growth and death of infection hyphae of *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae* in epidermis of bean leaves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Concentration of conidia (/ml)</th>
<th>% killed(^b)</th>
<th>length(^c)</th>
<th>% killed</th>
<th>length</th>
<th>% killed</th>
<th>length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(5 \times 10^5)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(10^5)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(10^4)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(10^3)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. fabae</em></td>
<td>(10^5) (^d)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(10^4) (^d)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(10^3)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>&gt;150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(10^2)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Leaves harvested in mid-June, 1977  
\(^b\) Percentage of infection hyphae dead assessed with trypan blue vital stain  
\(^c\) Mean length of 20 infection hyphae (μm)  
\(^d\) <5% dead infection hyphae by 3\(d\), mean length by 1\(d\) > 100 μm
TABLE 42  Growth and death of infection hyphae of B. cinerea and B. fabae in epidermis of bean leaves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Concentration of conidia (/ml)</th>
<th>% killed</th>
<th>1 length</th>
<th>Time after inoculation (d)</th>
<th>% killed</th>
<th>2 length</th>
<th>% killed</th>
<th>3 length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. cinerea</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$10^5$</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td>795</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$10^4$</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$10^3$</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. fabae</td>
<td>$10^5$</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>mycelium</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>mycelium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$10^4$</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&gt;150</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$10^3$</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>121</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>&gt;150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a - Leaves harvested in late August, 1977

b - Percentage of infection hyphae dead assessed with trypan blue vital stain.

c - Mean length of 20 infection hyphae (μm).
(b) Comparative studies on the growth of infection hyphae of B. cinerea and B. fabae and the accumulation of phytoalexins within epidermal tissues and inoculum droplets

In further studies on the development of infection hyphae in relation to phytoalexin accumulation an inoculum concentration of $10^5$ conidia/ml was selected, as this was shown to cause a maximum response in underlying epidermal cells and gave clear differentiation between B. cinerea and B. fabae. Previous results suggested that infection hyphae of B. cinerea ceased growing by 1d after inoculation. The following experiments were designed to examine the growth of infection hyphae of B. cinerea and B. fabae within the first hours after inoculation in relation to the onset of phytoalexin accumulation within epidermal tissue.

(i) Growth of infection hyphae in the epidermis in relation to phytoalexin accumulation

The growth of infection hyphae and accumulation phytoalexins in epidermal tissue of field grown leaves was examined during the first 14h after incubation. Bifoliate leaves, detached from field grown plants in late June 1977, were inoculated on the abaxial epidermis with inoculum droplets (20 μl) containing conidia of B. cinerea (c. 2000 conidia/droplet). Leaves were incubated in sandwich boxes in the usual way. Four leaves were randomly selected for microscopical examination. Examination of epidermal strips prepared from inoculation sites was made at 2h intervals. Each time at least three separate sites were stripped from each leaf and the lengths of at least 12 infection hyphae were measured from each with a calibrated eyepiece graticule. Data collected for each leaf are given separately in Fig.38. Although some variation existed between leaves, growth of infection hyphae showed a similar pattern in each leaf. A rapid increase in hyphae length was observed between 4 and 10h after inoculation but thereafter a marked reduction
Fig. 38  Rates of growth of *B. cinerea* infection hyphae with bean leaf epidermis.

- , ■, △, ▼ refer to individual leaves.
in growth rate was apparent.

At the start of each two hourly microscopical examination, inoculum droplets and epidermal strips were collected from about 200 sites on six replicate leaves, giving c. 2ml diffusate and c. 0.1g tissue. Collection of epidermal strips took 1-1½h and a mean time of collection was calculated on each occasion. To enable microscopical examination and collection to be carried out concurrently I am indebted to Dr. J.W. Mansfield for providing assistance.

Tissues and diffusates collected were extracted with Et₂O. Levels of phytoalexins in epidermis were estimated by UV spectrophotometry of bands eluted from chromatograms of the Et₂O extracts developed in hexane:acetone (2:1, v/v) and chloroform:petroleum spirit (2:1, v/v), wyerone and wyerone acid were recognised as blue fluorescent bands at RF c. 0.6 and 0.1 respectively. Wyerone epoxide was not detected on plates, but it is possible contaminating chlorophylls obscured fluorescence arising from this compound.

Results given in Fig.39(A) illustrate the accumulation of phytoalexins within epidermis in relation to the mean length of infection hyphae previously described in Fig.38. The accumulation of phytoalexins within inoculum droplets was monitored following the development of chromatograms of diffusate extracts in Et₂O:MeOH (8:1, v/v). Wyerone acid (RF c. 0.3) was the only phytoalexin detected, and reached concentrations of 0.2, 0.3 and 0.6 μg/ml after incubation for 8, 10 and 13h respectively.

The experiment was repeated using leaves inoculated with B. fabae and comparative data for mean length of infection hyphae
Fig. 39

Growth of infection hyphae of *B. cinerea* (A) and *B. fabae* (B) in epidermis of bean leaves, in relation to accumulation of wyerone acid (○) and wyerone (■).

Bars refer to 95% confidence limits.
Fig. 39

(A) Length of infection hyphae (μm) [open symbols]

(B) Concentration of phytoalexins (μg) [F.M. (closed symbols)]

Time after inoculation (h)
and accumulation of phytoalexins within epidermis are given in Fig. 39(B). Epidermal tissue beneath B. fabae inoculation sites was considerably more disrupted than comparable tissue beneath B. cinerea sites. Removal of strips of pure epidermis for extraction was therefore more difficult and there was far greater contamination of epidermal tissue with underlying mesophyll.

Results obtained suggest that the cessation of growth of B. cinerea infection hyphae within epidermis is associated with the accumulation of phytoalexins, especially wyerone acid, which had reached levels of over 40 µg/g FW by 14h after inoculation. Growth of B. fabae infection hyphae was much more rapid and no check in growth rate was observed. Lower levels of phytoalexins accumulated in B. fabae infected tissues and it is possible that the inhibitors that were detected were present in contaminating mesophyll cells.

The accumulation of phytoalexin in B. fabae inoculum droplets was similar to that described for B. cinerea. Wyerone acid was again the only inhibitor detected. However, its level of accumulation was lower than that observed for B. cinerea. It was not detected until 10h after inoculation (0.3 µg/ml). At this time, examination of chromatograms did not disclose the presence of any known metabolites of wyerone acid.

(ii) **Accumulation of phytoalexins and phytoalexin metabolites in epidermal tissue and inoculum droplets of bean leaves inoculated with B. cinerea and B. fabae**

Earlier experiments suggested a good correlation between cessation in growth of B. cinerea infection hyphae and the accumulation of phytoalexins within infected tissue. Inhibited infection hyphae died within 3d of inoculation. The accumulation of phytoalexins
in inoculum droplets and underlying epidermis from 1-3d has therefore been examined to determine whether the inhibitors could be responsible for death of infection hyphae in vivo.

Bifoliate field grown leaves collected in mid June and late August 1977, were inoculated on the abaxial surface with ten droplets (c. 20 μl) of conidial suspension of B. cinerea and B. fabae on separate leaflets. At intervals of 1, 2 and 3d inoculum droplets were removed from c. 200 infection sites of both fungi. The underlying epidermis at each site was carefully peeled off and collected to give c. 0.1g tissue for each treatment. Diffusates and tissues were separately extracted with Et₂O and extracts subjected to TLC for analysis of wyerone derivatives.

Chromatograms of diffusate extracts were developed in Et₂O: MeOH (8:1, v/v). Wyerone acid (Rₚ c. 0.3) was the only phytoalexin to accumulate to measurable concentrations in inoculum droplets, although wyerone epoxide Rₚ c. 0.9 was present in trace amounts in some diffusates. In B. fabae diffusates wyerone acid metabolites were also detected, reduced wyerone acid at Rₚ c. 0.4 and wyerone acid metabolite 1 (tentatively identified as wyerol acid) at Rₚ c. 0.7. The chromatographic procedures used to separate components of tissue extracts are summarized in Fig.40. After elution of isolated bands, the concentration of eluted phytoalexins and metabolites were determined by UV spectrophotometry in the usual way. The accumulation of the phytoalexins and fungal metabolites of wyerone acid in leaves harvested in mid June and late August 1977, are given in Tables 43 and 44 respectively.

The most abundant phytoalexin in epidermal tissue was wyerone acid, which reached a maximum concentration in excess of 500 μg/g.
Crude extract applied to TLC plate

\[\text{TLC 1}\]

Chromatogram developed in Et\(_2\)O:MeOH (8:1. v/v) /or
8cm. Wyerone acid eluted as band at \(R_f \approx 0.3\).

Plate cut above wyerone acid then developed
5 cm in MeOH to produce fine origin.

Chromatogram developed three times in \(\text{CHCl}_3\).

\[\text{TLC 2}\]

Wyerone and wyerone epoxide eluted at \(R_f \approx 0.7\) and 0.6 respectively.

Fig. 40

Summary of chromatographic procedure to
separate wyerone, wyerone acid and wyerone
epoxide from epidermal tissue extracts.

Metabolites of wyerone derivatives could not be
separated from contaminating chlorophylls using
this system.
**TABLE 43**  Phytoalexin and phytoalexin metabolite accumulation in bean leaves\textsuperscript{a} inoculated with Botrytis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of extract</th>
<th>Phytoalexin or metabolite</th>
<th>Yield of phytoalexin (\textmu g/g FW or \textmu g/ml)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1d</td>
<td>B. cinerea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidermal strips</td>
<td>Wyerone</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>42.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wyerone acid</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wyerone epoxide</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wyerone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wyerone acid</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inoculum droplets</td>
<td>Wyerone epoxide</td>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Tr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wyerone acid metabolite 1\textsuperscript{d}</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced wyerone acid</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Leaves harvested in mid June, 1977
\textsuperscript{b} Not detectable on TLC plate
\textsuperscript{c} Trace, insufficient to measure spectrophotometrically
\textsuperscript{d} Tentatively identified as wyerol acid (Hargreaves, 1976)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of extract</th>
<th>Phytoalexin or metabolite</th>
<th>Yield of phytoalexin (µg/g FW or µg/ml)</th>
<th>B. cinerea</th>
<th>B. fabae</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1d</td>
<td>2d</td>
<td>3d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidermal strips</td>
<td>Wyerone</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wyerone acid</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wyerone epoxide</td>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inoculum droplets</td>
<td>Wyerone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wyerone acid</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wyerone epoxide</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wyerone acid metabolite ^d</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced wyerone acid</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a - Leaves harvested in late August, 1977
b - Not detectable on TLC plates
c - Trace, insufficient to measure spectrophotometrically
d - Tentatively identified as wyerol acid (Hargreaves, 1976)
FW 3d after inoculation with *B. cinerea*. Much lower levels of wyerone and wyerone epoxide were recorded.

Accumulation of furano-acetylenic compounds in leaves detached from plants early and late in the season showed similar trends, except that in general lower levels of inhibitors were present in older leaves.

Phytoalexin concentrations 1d after inoculation were lower in leaves inoculated with *B. fabae* than with *B. cinerea*. With *B. cinerea* concentrations of phytoalexins, particularly wyerone acid, continued to rise from 1-3d whereas with *B. fabae* the levels diminished. Loss of wyerone acid from such sites was associated with accumulation of the known metabolites of wyerone acid within inoculum droplets. Yields of the metabolites increased with time of incubation, suggesting that wyerone acid was constantly being produced and metabolized from 1-3d in lesions caused by *B. fabae*.

(iii) The antifungal activity of inoculum droplets removed from leaves

Earlier results (Tables 43 and 44) illustrate the accumulation of phytoalexins, primarily wyerone acid, in inoculum droplets recovered from above lesions in leaves from field grown plants.

The antifungal activity of bulked inoculum droplets from *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae* inoculations against sporelings of *B. cinerea* was therefore examined. Bifoliate leaves were inoculated on the abaxial epidermis with droplets (c. 20 μl) of *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae* conidial suspensions (10⁵ conidia/ml) on separate leaflets. At 1, 2 and 3d after inoculation droplets from ten leaves were collected for each treatment. Aliquots were sterilized by membrane filtration and the pH of diffusates determined. Membrane filtration was shown
to have no effect on the concentration of wyerone acid in test solutions. Diffusates were bioassayed against sporelings of *B. cinerea*. Results obtained are given in Table 45.

The results clearly show that bacteria removed from diffusates by membrane filtration contributed to inhibitory properties of all diffusates. After filtration *B. fabae* diffusates collected 1–3d after inoculation become highly stimulatory. The stimulatory effect of unfiltered diffusates diminished with time of incubation, probably due to an increase in bacterial numbers within inoculum droplets rich in nutrients released from infected cells.

*B. cinerea* diffusates collected 1d after inoculation were more antifungal before sterilization but by 2d sterile diffusates also became totally inhibitory. Increasing activity correlated with the increase in wyerone acid concentrations recorded in Tables 43 and 44, suggesting the phytoalexin may inhibit growth of hyphae on the leaf surface.

The pH of inoculum droplets did not fall as low as that described for diffusate from pods (Table 28). The antifungal activity of wyerone acid within inoculum droplets on leaves would therefore probably not be as great as in droplets in pod seed cavities.

(c) **Estimation of pH within infected plant cells**

Earlier results showed that wyerone acid was the most abundant phytoalexin to accumulate in epidermal tissue and inoculum droplets following the inoculation of leaves with *B. cinerea*. The activity of this inhibitor has been shown to be dependent on the pH of the solution in which it is dissolved. The pH within infected cells would therefore have a large bearing on the involvement of wyerone
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time after inoculation (d)</th>
<th>pH of diffusate $^b$</th>
<th>Growth of germ tubes in bioassays ($\mu$m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not filtered</td>
<td>Filtered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. cinerea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>NFG$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>NFG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. fabae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>_d</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Control growth in SDW 82 $\mu$m

a - Leaves harvested late July, 1977
b - pH of conidial suspensions at onset of incubation: 7.1, B. cinerea and 7.3 B. fabae
c - No significant increase in germ tube growth
d - Insufficient diffusate to record pH
acid in the restriction and death of infection hyphae. Attempts
were therefore made to estimate the pH at which infection hyphae were
exposed to phytoalexins with infected tissues.

Further studies were made on the pH of inoculum droplets
collected from pod seed cavities and leaves. The pH of individual
drops collected from pods was recorded with a semi-micro electrode
1-3d after inoculation of endocarp with conidial suspensions of
B. cinerea and B. fabae (10^5 conidia/ml). The mean pH for each
treatment was calculated and results obtained are given in Table 46.
The pH decreased with time of incubation, becoming less than 4.0 by
three days after inoculation with either fungus.

The pH of bulked droplets collected from leaves was determined
following inoculation with conidial suspensions of B. cinerea and
B. fabae (5 x 10^5 conidia/ml). The higher spore concentration was
used in order to maximise host response. By 1d the pH values of
B. cinerea and B. fabae droplets were 4.35 and 4.25 respectively.

The indicator methyl red was also used in attempts to determine
the pH at microsites within infected tissue. Epidermal strips were
stained with methyl red 12h after inoculation with B. cinerea or
B. fabae. Cytoplasm in live cells in close proximity to dead cells
containing infection hyphae stained red, indicating the pH was ≤ 4.5.
The pH of penetrated cells could not be determined as they had
assumed a brown colouration. Healthy cells distant from penetrati­
on sites stained yellow, suggesting the cytoplasmic pH was ≥ 6.0.

These results strongly suggest that the pH at infection sites
falls below that of healthy tissue. It seems probable that the pH
at which infection hyphae are exposed to wyerone acid within leaf
tissue is ≤ 4.5.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time after inoculation (d)</th>
<th>Mean pH of droplets following inoculation with:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. cinerea</td>
<td>B. fabae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$4.70 \pm 0.08 \text{ [S.E.]}$</td>
<td>$4.45 \pm 0.10$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$4.20 \pm 0.08$</td>
<td>$4.05 \pm 0.08$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$3.90 \pm 0.06$</td>
<td>$3.70 \pm 0.06$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a - pH of conidial suspensions at onset on incubation: B. cinerea 6.8, B. fabae 7.0
DISCUSSION

I INHIBITION OF BOTRYTIS ON THE LEAF SURFACE OF V. FABA

(a) Germination of Botrytis conidia on the leaf surface in relation to symptom development

Conidia of *B. cinerea* (isolates 39 and SR) suspended in SDW rarely caused lesion formation following inoculation of leaves detached from growth room grown plants even after incubation for 48h. This finding was similar to that of Mansfield & Deverall (1974a), but fewer lesions were produced in this study. It is not clear whether this reflects greater resistance of plants grown under growth room as opposed to greenhouse conditions or the lower pathogenicities of isolates of *B. cinerea* used in this research. *B. allii* and *B. elliptica* also failed to produce symptoms at all but a few sites of inoculation on broad bean leaves, whereas the virulent *B. fabae* (isolate PBI6) had produced lesions at all sites within 24h after inoculation.

Microscopical observation confirmed the suggestions of Possall (1974) and Mansfield & Deverall (1974a) that the failure of conidia of *B. cinerea* to produce a lesion was associated with poor germination and germ tube growth compared to that observed at lesion sites. Conidial development in SDW on glass slides indicated that conidia possessed sufficient endogenous nutrient reserves to germinate well and therefore growth on leaves was probably actively inhibited. Growth of *B. allii* and *B. elliptica* conidia was also greatly reduced at lesion free inoculation sites on leaves.

Purkayastha and Deverall (1965a) suggested that *B. fabae* conidia may have an advantage over *B. cinerea* in infection droplets by
virtue of possessing a greater inherent growth rate resulting from a larger volume of nutrient reserves. Garrett (1970) discussing the findings of Brown (1922) and Last (1960) postulated that the nutrient reserves of B. fabae conidia endowed them with a greater inoculum potential than a similar inoculum of B. cinerea. However, the inhibition of germination of conidia of B. elliptica, which have a similar volume to those of B. fabae, suggests that leaf surface inhibition may not be influenced solely by levels of endogenous nutrients within conidia.

Comparative studies using broad bean, French bean and tomato indicated that the failure of inocula to produce lesions by 24 and 48h after inoculation was always associated with inhibition of germination of conidia of B. allii, B. cinerea (39 and SR), B. elliptica, and B. fabae (PBI6 and SSI). With the exception of B. fabae inoculations, inhibition was most pronounced on broad bean leaves.

(b) The accumulation of inhibitory activity in inoculum droplets collected from lesion free sites

Preliminary attempts to explain the inhibition of germination of conidia on the leaf surface were based on the detection of antifungal activity in droplets removed from leaves. Previous workers have studied the inhibition of B. cinerea on leaves of V. faba. The first report on the biological activity of inoculum droplets was made by Brown (1922), who observed that water droplets incubated on broad bean leaves sometimes became inhibitory to B. cinerea in vitro. Purkayastha & Deverall (1965b) examined the inhibition of B. cinerea on broad bean leaf surfaces. In their research they detected more inhibitor in infection droplets than in water droplets incubated on the leaf surface, and concluded that a phytoalexin was involved in the
inhibitory process. However, they assumed that the same process of inhibition was in operation at non-lesion sites as at sites where lesions developed. Mansfield (1972) and Mansfield & Deverall (1974b) subsequently showed that although a phytoalexin, wyerone acid, was involved in restricting the growth of *B. cinerea* through broad bean leaves after lesion formation, wyerone acid played no part in the inhibition of conidial development on the leaf surface at inoculation sites where no symptoms were produced. The work reported in this thesis has confirmed that wyerone acid, and other phytoalexins subsequently identified in infected broad bean tissue (Hargreaves, 1976; Hargreaves, Mansfield & Rossall, 1977), were absent from infection droplets removed from sites at which no lesions developed.

Bailey (1969) has shown that the ability of leaf discs of pea (*Pisum sativum*) to produce the phytoalexin pisatin declined during senescence *in vitro*. He also suggested that hyphal growth on young leaves may be restricted by phytoalexins produced in response to fungal metabolites diffusing from germinating spores (Bailey, 1971). However, Mansfield, Dix and Perkins (1975) suggested that fungal penetration into the epidermis was an essential prerequisite for the induction of pisatin accumulation in intact pea leaves. It therefore seems unlikely that phytoalexins are generally involved in the inhibition of germination of conidia on leaf surfaces.

Factors other than phytoalexins have been found to cause the inhibition of conidial development on the leaf surface. Epiphytic bacteria have been shown to be strongly involved in the inhibition of *B. cinerea* conidia. Droplets collected from leaves of broad bean, French bean and tomato were found to be inhibitory, and antifungal activity was always reduced following the removal of bacteria by
membrane filtration. The possibility that this reduction of activity by filtration was caused by an antifungal principle(s) being bound to the membrane was discounted. Bacteria trapped on the filter were shown to be inhibitory to B. cinerea in vitro when resuspended in SDW. Inhibitory activity detected in water droplets by Brown (1922) and in inoculum droplets removed from lesion free sites (Purkayastha & Deverall, 1965b) was probably therefore due at least in part to the presence of bacteria within the droplets.

Results obtained suggested that bacteria did not produce the water soluble inhibitor detected in inoculum droplets and that no such inhibitor arose from possible interactions between bacteria and other components within the inoculum droplet. It seems probable that inhibition resulted from nutrient competition between bacteria and conidia as glucose overcame the effect in vitro and on the leaf surface in situ.

Blakeman & Fraser (1971) and Blakeman (1972) suggested a similar mechanism for the inhibition of B. cinerea on leaves of chrysanthemum and beetroot respectively, and this conclusion closely parallels the hypothesis put forward by Lingappa and Lockwood (1964) to explain soil fungistasis.

A continuously growing population of bacteria on the surface of leaves need not be postulated to explain the inhibition of fungal spores. The initial inoculum of bacteria could come from bacterial cells already on the leaf and from sedimentation of bacteria from the atmosphere into infection droplets. The presence of nutrients exuded into the surface from within the leaf is likely to cause multiplication of bacteria. The presence of B. cinerea conidia has
also been shown to promote bacterial growth in infection droplets as a result of the passage of amino acids from the conidia into the droplets (Fraser, 1971).

The work of Sztejnberg and Blakeman (1973a) provided further evidence to support the concept that *B. cinerea* conidia may be inhibited by nutrient competition between bacteria and conidia. They demonstrated that conidia leached of their endogenous nutrients exhibited poor germination and germ tube growth, and by incident-light fluorescence microscopy showed that bacteria isolated from beetroot leaves tended to aggregate around conidia. No lysis on damage to conidia was observed.

Brodie & Blakeman (1975 & 1976) suggested that antagonistic isolates of bacteria from beetroot leaves adversely affected germination of *B. cinerea* conidia by utilizing nutrients derived from conidia and exogenous sources. The capacity of bacteria to deplete levels of amino acids in amino acid/glucose solutions was thought to be the main mechanism whereby they inhibited conidia. Further research on the interaction between bacteria associated with broad bean leaves and *B. cinerea* conidia using techniques similar to those described by Sztejnberg & Blakeman (1973a) and Brodie & Blakeman (1975 & 1976), would possibly provide more evidence that nutrient competition is the main mechanism by which bacteria inhibit conidial development in this system.

The precise role of bacteria in inhibiting *B. cinerea* on the surface of the leaf *in situ* is unclear. Attempts to ascertain their role on beetroot leaves have been made by endeavouring to remove them from the biological system described for the inoculum droplet.
Sztejnberg & Blakeman (1973b) irradiated leaves with high energy short wave UV light to sterilize them prior to inoculation with B. cinerea. However, rapid recolonization of leaves by bacteria protected from direct exposure to radiation overcame the desired effect. Blakeman & Sztejnberg (1974) incorporated anti-bacterial antibiotics within B. cinerea inoculum droplets to try to suppress bacterial multiplication. Data obtained was, however, difficult to interpret as levels of antibiotic (streptomycin) which resulted in satisfactory germination of B. cinerea conidia not only reduced bacterial numbers but also caused an increased leakage of amino acids and carbohydrates. Improved fungal performance may, therefore, have been induced by the presence of excess nutrients. Further evidence to resolve this problem may be obtained from the inoculation of a sterile plant, although growth of a plant in aseptic conditions may affect things other than the bacterial population of its leaf surface.

It is of importance to consider the limitations of the work on the inhibition by bacteria reported in this thesis. As experiments were carried out using detached leaves, it is possible that the accumulation of photosynthetic assimilates in the leaf increased the availability of nutrients for leakage into the infection droplet; and hence promoted bacterial growth and subsequent inhibition of conidial development. Inoculation of intact plants would have been a closer approach to the natural system, although practical problems involved would have been difficult to overcome. The possibility that bacteria may produce an unstable or a highly volatile inhibitor, such as ethylene, cannot be completely overlooked.

Clark & Lorbeer (1976) suggested that bacteria on leaves of onions may be involved in the differential pathogenicities of
B. cinerea and B. squamosa. Subsequently, however, Clark & Lorbeer (1977) concluded that leaf surface bacteria alone probably do not prevent lesion formation by B. cinerea on onion leaves, Yoder & Whalen (1975) reached a similar conclusion for the infection of cabbage by B. cinerea. In the broad bean leaf system described here a water soluble inhibitor remaining after removal of bacteria from infection droplets was also probably involved in leaf surface inhibition.

After membrane filtration, diffusates recovered from broad bean leaves were more antifungal than those from leaves of French bean and tomato. The residual inhibitor within diffusates from broad bean leaves appeared to originate mainly from the interaction between the leaf and conidia. The properties of antifungal diffusates from symptomless leaf inoculation sites were identical to properties of diffusates obtained from the inoculation of redeposited extracted leaf wax, and as inhibitor was also observed in situ following inhibition of wax layers it would appear likely that the water soluble inhibitor detected in diffusates arose from epicuticular wax.

The involvement of cuticular waxes in chemically inhibiting the development of fungal pathogens has been suggested for several other host-parasite systems. An ether soluble acidic fraction of wax from apple leaves was shown to inhibit apple powdery mildew (Podosphaera leuchotricha) and Botrytis fabae (Martin, Batt & Burchill, 1957). This observation was made by treating apple and broad bean leaves with the extracted fraction of apple leaf wax prior to inoculation, and noting the subsequent reduction in germination of powdery mildew conidia and suppression of disease symptoms caused by B. fabae, respectively. Blakemann & Sztejnberg (1973) demonstrated that
reformed waxes extracted from beetroot leaves were inhibitory to conidia of *B. cinerea* in vitro.

Results reported in this thesis not only demonstrate the inhibitory activity of reformed waxes but also show that a water soluble inhibitor was released from wax into inoculum droplets. As the presence of conidia was necessary to cause release of inhibitory activity from wax it is possible that the released compound(s) may result from a partial degradation of wax. The induced antifungal activity in inoculum droplets cannot be considered a phytoalexin response if the inhibitory principle arises from epicuticular wax as phytoalexins are normally considered to arise from metabolic changes within plant cells.

Although leaves were dipped into chloroform for only one second to extract wax, the possibility that antifungal compounds from underlying plant cells may still have passed into solution cannot be totally disregarded. Blakeman & Atkinson (1975) suggested that a germination inhibitor associated with wax from chrysanthemum leaves may merely be co-extracted with the wax, being of cellular origin. However, chrysanthemum wax was extracted by dipping leaves into chloroform for 5s, thus increasing the likelihood of co-extraction.

Phenolic compounds have been implicated in the inhibition of fungi on the surface of leaves. Dix (1974) demonstrated the presence of antifungal compounds in the leaves of Norway maple (*Acer platanoides* L.) and tentatively linked the poor colonization by *Cladosporium* spp. with the presence of trihydroxy benzoic acid (gallic acid) on the surface of leaves. Recently Irvine, Dix & Warren (1978) have suggested that seasonal changes in levels of inhibitors including
gallic acid, which passed into solution from leaves of Norway maple, correlated well with changes in growth of phylloplane fungi. However, it is unlikely that phenolic compounds were responsible for the inhibitory activity associated with broad bean leaves and extracted wax as these could readily have been detected by UV spectroscopy and TLC bioassays.

Although more water soluble inhibitor was detected in droplets containing conidia, than in water incubated on the leaf surface, the release of the inhibitor in the absence of conidia cannot be ignored. It is possible that more than one inhibitory compound was produced; one may be freely available to pass into solution on the leaf surface and the other only pass into solution in the presence of conidia. Results suggested that the inhibitor(s) arising from intact leaves and extracted waxes was volatile or unstable.

The only component of wax separated by TLC shown to be inhibitory to conidia of B. cinerea was the primary alcohol fraction. Fukuda & Brannan (1971) demonstrated the production of the enzyme, alcohol oxidase, by B. cinerea, which oxidised primary alcohols to their corresponding aldehydes and liberated hydrogen peroxide. Hydrogen peroxide would not partition into Et$_2$O or CHCl$_3$ and removal of residual solvent from the aqueous phase by bubbling OFN through it would be likely to cause its decomposition. At a sufficiently high concentration hydrogen peroxide would be antifungal, as it is widely used as a disinfectant. It would be of interest to examine the presence of hydrogen peroxide in diffusates from leaves and redeposited wax using O-diamisidine dihydrochloride reagent, as described by Janssen & Ruelius (1968).
(c) **The effect of nutrients on leaf surface inhibition**

Although the inhibition of germination and germ tube growth on the leaf surface prevented lesion formation at most inoculation sites, symptoms did develop at some sites on growth room grown leaves. As glucose readily overcame the inhibition of conidial development this may reflect the presence of nutrients randomly distributed on the leaf surface before inoculation, either as constituents of the cuticle, or dried exudates from epidermal cells (Martin & Juniper, 1970). A second possibility is that nutrients accumulate after inoculation by exosmosis from the epidermis into the infection droplet, and that the rate of accumulation varies at different sites. The variation may be due to differences in cuticular construction and/or physiological conditions of subtending cells. Brown (1922) studied the significance of exosmosis into droplets on the infection of plants by *B. cinerea*. Droplets of water incubated on petals become stimulatory to *B. cinerea* conidia to different degrees depending upon sites. Random variation in exosmosis from healthy tissue is therefore not unknown. Brown (1922) also found that a rapid increase in conductivity in drops containing conidia coincided with the penetration of the epidermis. Therefore the stimulation of fungal growth observed at lesion sites may follow the successful penetration of an epidermal cell, thus releasing nutrients to overcome the fungistatic condition of the conidia. Further investigations are needed before any distinctions can be drawn between these alternative proposals to explain the production of lesions at some inoculation sites.

When inoculated onto field grown leaves in SDW, *B. cinerea* conidia caused the formation of visible symptoms at more inoculation sites than on corresponding leaves detached from growth room grown
plants. Inhibition of germination and germ tube growth of conidia at lesion free sites was once again associated with the accumulation of antifungal activity within inoculum droplets, although the activity of cell-free diffusates was always less than those from growth room leaves. Reduced inhibitory activity could have resulted from either a lesser production of the antifungal principle(s) or a larger release of nutrients into inoculum droplets from the leaf. It was not possible to examine the former alternative as no method of characterizing and estimating the levels of the water soluble inhibitor was discovered. Increased leakage of nutrients onto the surface of leaves as a result of weathering and microbial attack (such as may be experienced under field conditions) causing a deterioration in the properties of the cuticular surface may occur (Last & Deighten, 1965; Ruinen, 1966). Results obtained suggested that a strong relationship existed between the propensity of a leaf to develop lesions following inoculation with \textit{B. cinerea}, and its tendency to leach carbohydrates and amino acids into droplets incubated on the leaf surface. When levels of glucose and glutamine, as detected in aqueous diffusates from susceptible leaves, were incorporated with droplets of \textit{B. cinerea} inoculated onto the surface of growth room grown leaves, lesions developed at most sites.

It seems likely that because of the greater availability of nutrients on field grown leaves, the inhibition of conidia on the leaf surface may be of less importance in the field than order growth room or greenhouse conditions. These experiments also showed that the levels of glucose required to overcome the inhibitory effect were much lower in the presence of glutamine, thus supporting the conclusions of Blakeman & Brodie (1977) that limitation of amino acids rather than carbohydrates is likely to inhibit development of \textit{B. cinerea} conidia.
Conclusions on the inhibition of Botrytis on leaves of V. faba

It has been found that conidial development of avirulent Botrytis spp. is inhibited at inoculation sites where lesions failed to develop. Inhibition is probably the joint result of two processes. Leaf surface bacteria are inhibitory, and the process of inhibition by bacteria appeared to be one of nutrient competition between bacteria and conidia. A water soluble inhibitor (not a known phytoalexin) which probably arose from cuticular wax was also shown to be involved in the process of inhibition of germination. Results obtained indicated that although some inhibitor passed in solution in water droplets incubated on the leaf surface, more inhibitory activity was detected in droplets of conidial suspension on the leaf. A mechanism whereby conidia could induce the production of an unstable inhibitor from a wax component was suggested.

Both components in the inhibitory system could be overcome by glucose, or more especially by a mixture of glucose and glutamine, which promoted conidial development and subsequently allowed leaf infection. Results showed that B. fabae conidia were also inhibited in vitro by diffusates from leaves. It is probable that the reason this species was not inhibited in situ related to its ability to penetrate rapidly into epidermal cells and thereby release nutrients into the inoculum droplet overcoming any inhibitory factors which may have begun to accumulate.
(a) Antifungal activity of phytoalexins from V. faba

(i) Bioassay procedures

The antifungal activity of the five major phytoalexins recognised in tissues of V. faba following inoculation with B. cinerea and B. fabae has been examined (Hargreaves, 1976; Hargreaves et al, 1977). In vitro determinations of antifungal activity were designed with caution, as the composition of test media can influence the activity of specific compounds (Deverall & Rogers, 1972; Van Etten, 1973). Bioassays using radial growth of mycelium on agar have been used extensively in earlier work (for example Bailey, 1974; Mansfield & Deverall, 1974b; Smith, Van Etten & Bateman, 1975 and Smith, 1976). In such experiments growth of the fungus on plates was compared in the presence or absence of specific phytoalexin concentrations. Bailey, Skipp & Carter (1976), examining the activity of phaseollin against mycelial growth of Colletotrichum lindemuthianum, concluded that, as growth rates were non-linear at different phytoalexin concentrations, such assays based on one measurement only may be misleading for this and possibly other phytoalexins.

Use of agar media bioassays was also criticized by Skipp & Bailey (1976) who found that results obtained using this technique were influenced by the composition of agar media, mass, age and race of inocula, the incubation period and the solvents used to solubize the inhibitor. Duczek & Higgins (1976) suggested that discrepancies between the antifungal activity of the phytoalexins medicarpin and maackiain against Stemphylium botryosum and Colletotrichum lindemuthianum measured using germ tube and mycelial growth bioassays
was probably a reflection of the ability of the fungi to detoxify the inhibitors during the long incubation period required for the latter bioassay. Various types of bioassay used to measure fungitoxicity of isoflavanoid phytoalexins were compared by Skipp & Bailey (1977), who concluded that the most reliable indications of a phytoalexin’s fundamental activity were obtained using liquid media bioassays, particularly against sporelings. With the exception of preliminary studies against conidia, this type of bioassay was adopted in the research reported here. The pH of the liquid media was buffered to the value required.

(ii) Relative activity of phytoalexins

Results obtained suggested that all 'wyerone' type phytoalexins tested were more inhibitory against the weakly pathogenic B. cinerea than against the aggressive pathogen B. fabae. Medicarpin (a pterocarpanoid phytoalexin), however, exhibited comparatively little differential activity. Although the accumulation of medicarpin (Harvgreaves et al., 1977) may be of phylogenetic interest (Ingham & Harborne, 1976), the time course of its accumulation and low levels reached during resistant reactions suggest that compared to wyerone derivatives this phytoalexin is not of great significance in the inhibition of growth of invading hyphae.

At pH 4.0, wyerone epoxide was slightly more antifungal than wyerone acid. Both were more active than wyerone and wyerol was much less active than the other phytoalexins tested, presumably due to the reduction of the keto group to an alcohol. Since wyerol was only present at low levels in infected tissue (Hargreaves, 1976), it is likely that it does not play a significant role in the disease resistance of the broad bean.
All the "wyerone type" phytoalexins (except wyerol) possess the keto-acetylene moiety, which is probably responsible for the antifungal activity of these compounds (Fawcett, Spencer & Wain, 1969; Mansfield & Widdowson, 1973). Assuming that the site of action of the phytoalexins is within the fungal cytoplasm, it would be expected that the rate of phytoalexin uptake is an important factor determining antifungal activity. Because both wyerone and wyerone epoxide are relatively lipid soluble, due to the presence of the methyl ester group, they should move through the fungal cell membrane without hindrance, and it would be expected that wyerone would be as active as wyerone epoxide and wyerone acid. However, as this is not the case, factors other than phytoalexin uptake must be involved.

Differences in the activities of the compounds may reflect differing mode of action or rates of metabolism by the fungi or the presence of specific binding sites for each compound. The presence of certain moieties within any structure may facilitate binding to a receptor or enhance the inhibitory properties of a bound molecule.

Structural requirements of antifungal isoflavanoids have been examined by Van Etten (1976) and Van Etten & Pueppke (1976). They were not able to draw firm conclusions pertaining to structural/activity relationships. A common three-dimensional shape was not essential for antifungal activity and it was suggested that compounds with differing structures may have different sites and different modes of action.
(iii) Effect of pH of antifungal activity of phytoalexins

The activity of wyerone acid against conidia and sporelings was influenced by the pH of the medium, but pH had little effect on the other phytoalexins tested. Earlier work (Deverall & Rogers, 1972) showed that a similar effect was observed when the activity of wyerone acid was assayed against conidia only. Brown & Swinborne (1971) also demonstrated that pH influenced the activity of benzoic acid, an antifungal compound extracted from apple fruits.

The action of low pH on the activity of wyerone acid is probably through suppression of dissociation of the acid. The undissociated molecule may be readily taken up by the fungi as discussed for fungicides by Bryde (1965) and for organic acids by Rothstein (1965). In studies on the effect of pH on the toxicity of benzoic acid to bacteria and yeasts, it has been shown that only the undissociated molecule, which has a greater lipoid solubility than the ionised form, enters the cytoplasm (Bosund, 1962).

Although it can be suggested that only uncharged wyerone acid can pass into fungal cells without hindrance, the ionic form may be prevented from entering cells, perhaps by either absorption onto the cell wall or repulsion by groups of a similar charge. It must be stressed that it is not known whether wyerone acid must pass into the cytoplasm to produce growth inhibition effects. Bosund (1962) points out that there is evidence that benzoic acid and related inhibitors act by interfering with reactions connected with the cell membrane. It has also been suggested that phaseollin acts either on the plasma membrane or affects some process required for membrane function (Van Etten & Bateman, 1971).
As an alternative explanation for the effect of pH on wyerone acid activity, Deverall & Rogers (1972) suggested that pH may influence fungal membranes directly and facilitate the inhibitory activity of wyerone acid. Below pH 4.0 the increase in activity associated with a drop in pH appears to diminish, suggesting that the phytoalexin may achieve maximum activity at a pH above that at which it is fully dissociated. A precise knowledge of the mode of action of wyerone acid and the location of possible receptor sites within the cytoplasm or on cell membranes is necessary before a conclusive explanation of the effect of pH on wyerone acid activity can be reached.

(iv) **Mode of action of phytoalexins**

Attempts to determine if wyerone acid acted directly on fungal membranes were made by examining the induced leakage of cations from sporelings of *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae*. Although K⁺ leakage was detected at fungistatic concentrations, the rate of loss of ions was slower than that obtained using the polyene antibiotic "nystatin", which is known to affect fungal membranes directly. It is therefore unlikely that wyerone acid acts in a similar manner to the polyene antibiotic. Confirmation that wyerone acid acts at a different site from nystatin could be obtained by incorporating sterols, which act at an alternative binding substrate for polyenes, into the bioassay medium. However, the possibility that wyerone acid does act directly on fungal membranes cannot be completely discounted.

The possible existence of a specific binding site or sites for phytoalexins within germ tubes examined by assessing the effect of non-antifungal compounds with a similar structure to phytoalexins, on the antifungal activity of specific concentrations of the inhibitors.
The effect of reduced wyerone acid on the activity of wyerone acid towards sporelings of B. cinerea and B. fabae suggested that the protective influence of the reduced metabolite was more pronounced with B. fabae. However, this may merely be a reflection of the initial greater antifungal activity of the concentration of wyerone acid towards B. cinerea.

The relationships between the structure of potential phytoalexin inhibitors and their effects on wyerone, wyerone acid and epoxide are not clear. Reduced wyerone acid was the only active metabolite but a synthetic keto-furan (compound 8) was a more effective phytoalexin inhibitor. The presence of ketone, acetylenic and acid groups appeared to influence the inhibitor of antifungal activity and in general wyerone acid was more affected than other phytoalexins. However, all three phytoalexins were affected to varying degrees by some compounds, so the possibility of a common site of action cannot be overlooked. Further research is necessary to elucidate the structures of the most potent phytoalexin inhibitors and their relative effects on different phytoalexins.

Analysis of the relationship between wyerone acid concentration and fractional inhibiton of B. fabae germ tube growth by the phytoalexin in the presence or absence of reduced wyerone acid was carried out (Rossall, Mansfield & Price, 1977).

Results are most easily explained by postulating the existence of a binding site for wyerone acid on or in germ tubes, the formation of binding site, wyerone acid complex resulting in inhibition of germ tube growth. Reduced wyerone acid was thought to act by competing for such sites. The binding site may be the site of action of
wyerone acid or may be a site involved in the uptake of the compounds (wyerone acid in particular) into fungal germ tubes. However, in view of the lipophilic nature of the undissociated molecules it would seem probably that they enter cells passively, and competition for some receptor site within hyphae is therefore more likely. The greater sensitivity of species of Botrytis other than B. fabae to wyerone acid may therefore relate to the presence of more binding sites in the sensitive fungi. The presence of wyerone acid receptor sites in Botrytis requires confirmation by direct binding studies using radioactively labelled wyerone acid.

The possibility that reduced wyerone acid, and other phytoalexin metabolites, may interfere with the action of phytoalexins within infected tissue merits further investigations.

(v) **Differential sensitivity of fungi to wyerone acid**

The tolerance of *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae* to phytoalexins of *V. faba* in vitro correlated with their pathogenicity towards broad bean, sporelings of *B. fabae* being less sensitive to each phytoalexin than those of *B. cinerea*. The effect of wyerone acid, the predominant phytoalexin produced by bean leaves, on a range of Botrytis spp. non-pathogenic to bean was examined. No species other than *B. fabae* was more tolerant than the weakly pathogenic *B. cinerea*, including *B. elliptica* which has a large conidial volume, similar to that of *B. fabae*. It was also interesting to note that the germ tubes which grew fastest in SPN were not necessarily the least sensitive to the phytoalexin.

Van Etten (1973) also examined the antifungal activity of the phytoalexins, pisatin and phaseollin, towards a range of fungal species.
Apart from occasional discrepancies, he found pea pathogens were more tolerant of pisatin than non pathogens and pathogens of French bean were more tolerant of phaseollin than non-pathogens of bean.

However, Smith *et al* (1975) suggested that no correlation existed between fungal sensitivity to kievitone and pathogenicity towards French bean, and Bailey, Burden, Mynett & Brown (1977) demonstrated that *Septoria nodorum*, on non-pathogen of French bean, could metabolize the phytoalexin phaseollin to less antifungal derivatives.

The tolerance of different isolates of *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae* to wyerone acid was compared to their pathogenicities towards leaves of *V. faba*. In general, fungi most tolerant of the phytoalexin were most pathogenic towards bean leaves. However, it is not possible to conclude a causal link between pathogenicity and insensitivity to wyerone acid as other determinants of pathogenicity, such as the production of phytotoxic metabolites may be involved.

(vi) **Relationships between growth of fungal germ tubes and metabolism of phytoalexins**

The involvement of phytoalexin metabolism in the differential sensitivity of fungi towards wyerone derivatives was examined. Metabolic pathways involved in detoxification are summarized in Fig.4. Preliminary experiments on growth rates of germ tubes of *B. cinerea* and *B. fabae* in the presence of wyerone, wyerone acid and wyerone epoxide at approximately ED50 concentrations for each indicated that a lag in growth occurred with methyl esterified phytoalexins, but not with wyerone acid.

As suggested by Van Etten & Pueppke (1976) the kinetics of this growth in relation to disappearance of the inhibitor was investigated.
Experiments using wyerone acid suggested that germ tube growth and phytoalexin metabolism were closely paralleled. Apparent differences in the ability of the two fungi to metabolize wyerone acid (Deverall & Vessey, 1969; Mansfield & Widdowson, 1973) therefore probably reflected the difference in the differential sensitivity of both fungi to the phytoalexin. However, results obtained using wyerone and wyerone epoxide indicated that metabolism was a necessary precursor of germ tube growth of B. cinerea and B. fabae. It was therefore considered possible that for these two inhibitors differential rates of metabolism could explain their differing sensitivities. However, the onset of rapid growth by B. fabae always occurred when wyerone and the epoxide were present at levels about twice those allowing rapid growth of B. cinerea. It would seem, therefore, that the sensitivity of B. cinerea and B. fabae to each of the wyerone derivatives is not directly related to their abilities to metabolize the phytoalexins. It may be a reflection of the presence of fewer phytoalexin receptor sites in the virulent pathogen.

(vii) Fungitoxicity of phytoalexins

The fungitoxic properties of "wyerone" phytoalexins as distinct from their fungistatic effects were examined. A phytoalexin was considered fungitoxic if it killed fungal structures rather than merely inhibiting their growth. Some authors, however, do not differentiate between the two effects (e.g. Smith, 1978).

Wyerone, wyerone acid and the epoxide were all shown to be fungitoxic to sporelings of B. cinerea and B. fabae at concentrations slightly greater than those determined to give a complete fungistatic effect. These findings contrasted with those of Skipp & Bailey.
(1977) who suggested that medicarpin and kievitone were fungicidal to one day old sporelings at doses which completely inhibited growth. Pisatin, however, was rarely shown to kill fungal cells.

Although wyerone epoxide had been shown to be slightly more inhibitory to germ tube growth than wyerone acid, their fungitoxicities were very similar. Both were more toxic than wyerone. The similarities in the relationships of the fungicidal effects of the three phytoalexins to their inhibitory properties towards both B. cinerea and B. fabae suggests the site of action for toxicity is likely to be the same as that for inhibition of growth.

Death of sporelings was not instantaneous even at concentrations far greater than those required to completely inhibit growth. Within a population of sporelings some died more quickly than others, suggesting that individuals differed in sensitivity to the inhibitors.

Secondary germ tubes were occasionally formed from surviving conidia following the death of the primary germ tube after exposure to wyerone acid. This observation was similar to that made by Skipp & Bailey (1976) who observed the growth of unaffected hyphae of Colletotrichum lindemuthianum from interstitial cells of 1d old germ tubes even after exposure to high concentrations of phaseollin. Higgins (1978) also observed the resumption of growth of Stemphylium botryosum germ tubes after exposure to maackiaian, as a branch just behind the apex.

As the concentration of wyerone acid in the medium would not be likely to decrease before the onset of growth, it was likely that the secondary germ tubes produced in this way would be less sensitive to the phytoalexin. Experimental evidence confirmed this hypothesis.
The increased tolerance of secondary hyphae could be an expression of their greater ability to metabolize the phytoalexin or a reduced sensitivity to the inhibitors. A reduction in sensitivity could possibly be caused by the presence of fewer wyerone acid binding sites.

To attempt to elucidate the mechanism of survival of the secondary hyphae described, kinetic studies on the growth of hyphae and phytoalexin metabolism, as described for primary germ tubes, would provide valuable information pertaining to their relative ability to detoxify wyerone acid.

(viii) Effect of fungal growth stage on tolerance of phytoalexins

Results suggested that conidia were less sensitive to both the fungistatic and fungitoxic effects of wyerone acid. This may be a reflection of changes in wall and membrane permeability, propensity to metabolize the phytoalexins, or a change in binding sites, rendering the sporelings more vulnerable to phytoalexin attack.

Older sporelings, with septate germ tubes were also less easily killed by wyerone acid than young ones. The increased tolerance may be due to possible changes described above, or it is also possible that with longer germ tubes the ratio of binding sites to molecules of wyerone acid available reduces sufficiently to diminish the toxicity of the phytoalexins. There was no indication that terminal and subterminal cells differed in their sensitivity to wyerone acid, although spores were usually the last to die. This effect may once again reflect a difference in permeability and/or binding of the phytoalexin.
(b) Phytoalexin accumulation and activity in vivo

In order to be strongly implicated as specific mediators of resistance, phytoalexins must satisfy several fundamental requirements, and Daly (1972) emphasized the need for caution in asserting a role in disease resistance for each antimicrobial compound extracted from an infected plant. He emphasized that phytoalexins must be shown to accumulate within infected tissue to a concentration sufficiently high to cause the cessation in growth of the invading fungus, and be located at the same site as infection hyphae. Inhibitory levels must also be achieved by the time fungal growth is observed to stop.

(i) Localization and timing of phytoalexin accumulation and cessation of fungal growth

Phytoalexins must be localized around the site of inhibited infection hyphae to be considered as a causal agent of inhibition. Following inoculation, B. cinerea was restricted to the production of short infection hyphae within leaf epidermis, whereas B. fabae ramified through leaf tissue.

An an inoculum concentration of 2000 conidia/droplet (10^5 conidia/ml) virtually every epidermal cell was affected, therefore levels of phytoalexins determined in epidermal strips probably accurately reflected the levels to which infection hyphae were exposed in situ. The accumulation of phytoalexins in V. faba was associated with tissue necrosis and cellular browning, but the relationship between necrobiosis and phytoalexin accumulation is far from clear. Microspectrofluorimetric studies have shown that wyerone acid accumulates in live cells adjacent to dead cells in bean leaves infected with B. cinerea (Mansfield, Hargreaves & Boyle, 1974). The other phytoalexins may also accumulate primarily in living cells. Inhibitors may diffuse
out of live cells and/or may be released following their death and the
associated disruptions of plasmalemma and tonoplast.

Examination of inoculated epidermis with a fluorescence microscope
revealed the presence of many fluorescent cells around sites of
attempted penetration. Fluorescence in necrotic cells could have
been obscured by UV absorbing substances. As phytoalexins (primarily
wyerone acid) also progressively accumulated in inoculum droplets
overlying limited lesions caused by *B. cinerea*, when further growth of
infection hyphae was halted, it seems safe to assume the inhibitors
were not compartmentalized within cells. Direct fungal contact with
phytoalexins in situ is therefore very probable.

Hargreaves & Bailey (1978) have also suggested that phytoalexins
in hypocotyls of *Phaseolus vulgaris* are produced by live cells in close
contact with dead cells, and are then taken up and accumulated in the
dead cells. Yoshikawa, Yameuchi & Masuago (1978) also concluded
that glyceollin is localized around infection hyphae of *Phytophthora
megasperma* var. *sojae* during the resistant response of soy bean
hypocotyls.

The role of wyerone in disease resistance may be more important
than is indicated by its comparatively low antifungal activity.
Hargreaves *et al* (1976) suggest wyerone may be a precursor for the
more active wyerone acid, and that its activity may be enhanced by
binding to cell walls adjacent to invading hyphae. Wyerone deposited
on cellulose fibrils effectively inhibited germ tube growth of

Observations on the growth and viability of infection hyphae
within broad bean epidermis suggested that *B. cinerea* ceased growing
within the first day after inoculation and progressively died within the following two days. *B. fabae*, however, continued to grow throughout the incubation period and only a few infection hyphae died (except at the lowest spore concentration tested).

Detailed studies of the rates of growth of infection hyphae within the first few hours after inoculation suggested the involvement of phytoalexin accumulation (particularly wyerone acid) as a determinant in the cessation of growth of *B. cinerea*. With *B. fabae*, much lower levels of phytoalexin accumulation corresponded to rapid growth of hyphae. *B. cinerea* infection hyphae grew little or not at all from 10h to 3d after inoculation. During this time, however, the concentration of phytoalexins within the tissue continued to rise, probably causing the observed death of the hyphae.

Contradictory findings were, however, made by Jones Unwin & Ward (1975), who suggested that although capsidiol accumulation within pepper fruit was sufficiently high to explain the observed cessation in growth of *Phytophthora infestans*, it was fungistatic only and other unknown factors were responsible for fungal death in plant cells.

Studies examining ultrastructural changes of sporelings exposed to phytoalexins *in vitro* and changes occurring within inhibited infection hyphae may provide further evidence that phytoalexins were responsible for inhibition observed *in vivo*. However, as discussed earlier, within a population of sporelings, not all respond at the same rate, therefore it would be difficult to know precisely what effect one was examining at the ultrastructural level.

Differences observed in fungal growth and phytoalexin accumulation in replicate experiments at different times of the growth season may
reflect changes in the physical growth conditions of plants affecting the phytoalexin response, as described by Cruickshank, Veeraraghavan, & Perrin (1974) for medicarpin production by white clover. Bailey (1969) also concluded that pisatin production in pea declined with age.

(ii) Activity of wyerone acid in vivo

Accumulation of phytoalexins within epidermal tissue confirmed that the predominant inhibitor was wyerone acid. In vitro bioassays strongly suggested that the antifungal activity of this phytoalexin was dependent on pH, therefore the intracellular pH of infected tissue must be considered to attempt to confirm the role of wyerone acid accumulation in the observed inhibition of infection hyphae.

It proved difficult to obtain a precise measurement of intracellular pH, but results obtained suggest it was \( \leq 4.5 \). At this pH it is almost certain that the inhibition and death of \( B. \) cinerea infection hyphae can be explained on the basis of phytoalexin accumulation. More accurate measurement of pH could be attempted using a micro-electrode, however, Anderson & Higinbotham (1975) suggested that use of such electrodes can easily lead to erroneous results.

Further evidence to support the conclusion that the pH within infected epidermal cells is sufficiently low to enable accumulated wyerone acid to inhibit \( B. \) cinerea may be obtained by considering the results of test on the antifungal activity of diffusates. By 2d after inoculation, bulked droplets from \( B. \) cinerea inoculation sites were totally inhibitory to germ tubes after sterilization, although the concentration of wyerone acid within inoculum droplets was probably much less than that within epidermal cells.
(ii) **Differentiation between pathogenicities of B. cinerea and B. fabae**

Although phytoalexin accumulation can explain the inhibition of fungal hyphae during the development of limited lesion, the mechanisms underlying the pathogenicity of B. fabae are less well defined. The differential abilities of B. cinerea and B. fabae to colonize leaf and pod tissues appear to relate primarily to the interaction between these species and wyerone acid accumulation. Although metabolism of phytoalexins by host tissues may occur, the net accumulation of inhibitors within infected tissue is probably controlled primarily by a balance between phytoalexin production by the plant and degradation by the fungus. It has been suggested that wyerone acid is metabolized more rapidly by B. fabae than by B. cinerea (Deverall, 1967; Mansfield & Widdowson, 1973) and that this may tip the balance in favour of the pathogen. However, apparent differences in the rates of wyerone acid metabolism previously reported probably merely reflect the differential sensitivities of the fungi to the phytoalexin. B. fabae may be predisposed to metabolize wyerone acid because of its comparative tolerance of the inhibitor.

The accumulation of wyerone acid metabolites in diffusates from leaves from 1-3d after inoculation with B. fabae corresponded with the disappearance of the phytoalexin. However, it was not possible to estimate the levels of metabolites in tissue with the chromatographic system used so any indication of phytoalexin turnover cannot be made. The development of a more sophisticated analytical system, possibly using high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) may enable such measurements to be made. Within the first 12h after inoculation 'wyerone' phytoalexins accumulated more slowly in B. fabae inoculation sites than in B. cinerea lesions. At this stage no known metabolites
were detected in inoculum droplets. The ability of the pathogen to suppress phytoalexin accumulation is therefore worth consideration. As phytoalexin production is probably associated with live cells, \textit{B. fabae} may induce less phytoalexin production than \textit{B. cinerea} simply by killing more host cells before accumulation of the inhibitors can take place. Balusubramani, Deverall & Murphy (1971) suggested that \textit{B. cinerea} probably produced less polygalacturonase than \textit{B. fabae} in vivo, and would therefore be less likely to kill epidermal cells. Further support for the hypothesis can be drawn from the results of Purkayastha (1968) who demonstrated that culture filtrates produced by \textit{B. fabae} were more phytotoxic than those produced by \textit{B. cinerea}. Preliminary investigations (Mansfield, personal communication) have confirmed that \textit{B. fabae} is probably more able to kill epidermal cells of \textit{V. faba} before phytoalexin accumulation takes place. Limitation of growth of \textit{B. fabae} infection hyphae at the lowest spore concentrations tested may therefore reflect the failure of the few conidia within individual inoculum droplets to produce coalescing areas of necrosis, and thereby suppress phytoalexin production, as may occur at high spore concentrations.

\section*{III \hspace{1cm} GENERAL CONCLUSIONS}

Although results suggested that leaf surface inhibition may not be the main resistance mechanism under field conditions, the possibility that \textit{B. cinerea} may lose inoculum potential by expending energy overcoming the effects cannot be overlooked.

Once leaf surface inhibition is surmounted however, phytoalexins, especially wyerone acid, appear likely to be involved in the differential pathogenicities of \textit{B. cinerea} and \textit{B. fabae}. Accumulation of
wyerone acid occurred at the appropriate place and time and to levels sufficiently high to inhibit and ultimately kill *B. cinerea* infection hyphae. *B. fabae*, on the other hand, is able to parasitize leaf tissue probably because it is able to reduce phytoalexins to non-antifungal derivatives by virtue of being insensitive to them. It is also possible the virulent species suppresses phytoalexin production by rapidly killing host cells.

A useful tool for future research into the mechanisms involved in the pathogenicity of *B. fabae* towards *V. faba* may be the use of fungal mutants. If mutants could be isolated which are: insensitive to the phytoalexins; able to rapidly metabolize phytoalexins; or able to produce large quantities of phytotoxic metabolites, and if these characters can be tested singly or in combination, the relative importance of each factor in the pathogenicity of *B. fabae* could be critically assessed.
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APPENDIX

STUDIES ON THE ROLE OF LEAF SURFACE BACTERIA IN INHIBITING GERMINATION OF CONIDIA OF *B. CINEREA* IN SITU

(a) **Elimination of bacteria from infection droplets using antibiotics**

Preliminary attempts to assess the significance of phylloplane bacteria in inhibiting conidial germination on the leaf surface of broad beans *in situ* were made by endeavouring to reduce or eliminate numbers of bacteria with inoculum droplets using antibiotics. This technique was reported by Blakeman and Sztejnberg (1974a), who used streptomycin sulphate, sodium benzylpenicillin and chloramphenicol incorporated within droplets of *B. cinerea* conidial suspension inoculated onto beetroot leaves. The former two antibiotics are specific against gram-negative and gram-positive respectively and chloramphenicol is active against both. As no information concerning the composition of the bacterial flora of broad bean leaves was available chloramphenicol was adopted in the studies reported.

The effect of incorporation of the antibiotic within inoculum droplets of *B. cinerea* on lesion development was first examined. Bifoliate leaves were inoculated with droplets (10 µl) of a conidial suspension of *B. cinerea* containing 0, 25, 50 and 100 µg/ml chloramphenicol on separate half leaflets. After incubation for 24h lesion development on the leaves was recorded in the usual way and the results obtained are given in Table 47. The results clearly show that increasing concentrations of chloramphenicol caused an increase in lesion formation on leaves 24h after inoculation with *B. cinerea*. 
TABLE 47

The effect of chloramphenicol on lesion formation on growth room grown bean leaves 24h after inoculation with B. cinerea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesion grade</th>
<th>Percentage of inoculation sites in lesion grades in presence of chloramphenicol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> - Control lesion development of conidial suspension in SDW
The effect of the antibiotic on germination and germ tube growth of conidia of *B. cinerea* on leaves and glass slides was then examined. Bifoliate leaves and glass slides were inoculated with droplets (10 μl) of *B. cinerea* conidial suspension containing 0, 25, 50 and 100 μg/ml chloramphenicol on separate half leaflets and quarter slides respectively. Development of conidia was recorded 24h after inoculation, selecting symptomless sites on leaves. Results obtained (Table 48) suggest that although chloramphenicol caused an increase in fungal germination and germ tube growth at lesion free inoculation sites on leaves, it also stimulated growth on glass. It was therefore not possible to ascertain whether the effects of the antibiotic previously described in Table 47 were a result of reduction in bacterial numbers or merely caused by direct stimulation of conidial growth. Further studies using chloramphenicol to suppress bacterial numbers on leaves were therefore abandoned, although it is possible that other antibacterial compounds would yield results more easily interpreted.

**N.B.** Further attempts to elucidate the role of phylloplane bacteria in the inhibition of *B. cinerea* on broad bean leaves in situ were based on the growth of axenic plants. Although an aseptic environment suitable for plant growth was developed, it proved impossible to sterilize bean seeds without exposing them to treatments which also proved phytotoxic. The work was, therefore, also abandoned, and the question of the involvement of broad bean leaf surface bacteria inhibiting *B. cinerea* conidial germination in situ remains unanswered.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate inoculated</th>
<th>Concentration of chloramphenicol (µg/ml)</th>
<th>Growth of B. cinerea conidia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage germination&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.4 (8-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bean leaves</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17.0 (13-20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>24.2 (20-27)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>30.6 (26-33)&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>83.2 (80-87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass slides</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>94.8 (91-98)&lt;sup&gt;Δ&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100&lt;sup&gt;Δ&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100&lt;sup&gt;Δ&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Mean of 5 replicate counts of 100 conidia; figures in parentheses refer to range

<sup>b</sup> Mean length of 35 germ tubes (µm)

<sup>c</sup> Control growth on leaves or glass in absence of chloramphenicol

<sup>+</sup> Range of replicates outside range of leaf control growth

<sup>Δ</sup> Range of replicates outside range of glass slide control growth

<sup>*</sup> Significantly different from leaf control (p = 0.002)

<sup>**</sup> Significantly different from leaf control (p = 0.001)

<sup>+</sup> Significantly different from glass slide control (p = 0.001)
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