Accepted refereed manuscript of: Papadopoulou A, Monaghan SJ, Bagwell N, Alves MT, Verner-Jeffreys D, Wallis T, Davie A, Adams A & Migaud H (2022) Efficacy testing of an immersion vaccine against Aeromonas salmonicida and immunocompetence in ballan wrasse (Labrus bergylta, Ascanius). *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 121, Art. No.: 505-515. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2021.09.044 © 2022, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

1 EFFICACY TESTING OF AN IMMERSION VACCINE AGAINST AEROMONAS 2 SALMONICIDA AND IMMUNOCOMPETENCE IN BALLAN WRASSE (LABRUS

3 BERGYLTA, ASCANIUS)

- 4 Athina Papadopoulou^{1,2}, Sean J. Monaghan¹, Nicola Bagwell², Mickael Teixeira Alves², David
- 5 Verner-Jeffreys², Tim Wallis³, Andrew Davie^{1,a}, Alexandra Adams¹, Herve Migaud^{1*}

6

- ⁷ ¹Institute of Aquaculture, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling FK9 4LA,
- 8 UK
- ⁹ ²Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science, Barrack Road, The Nothe,
- 10 Weymouth, Dorset, DT4 8UB, UK
- ³Ridgeway Biologicals Ltd. a Ceva Santé Animale company, Units 1-3 Old Station Business
- 12 Park, Compton, Berkshire RG20 6NE UK
- ^a Current address: Aquascot Ltd, Fyrish Way, Alness, Ross-shire, IV17 0PJ, UK.
- 14 Correspondence
- 15 Herve Migaud, Institute of Aquaculture, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Stirling,
- 16 Stirling FK9 4LA, UK
- 17 Email: herve.migaud@stir.ac.uk
- 18

19 Abstract

20 The development of effective vaccines is a critical step towards the domestication of emerging fish species for aquaculture. However, traditional vaccine delivery through intraperitoneal (i.p.) 21 22 injection requires fish to reach a minimum size and age and therefore cannot provide protection at early developmental stages when infection may occur. This study investigated 23 24 the effectiveness of immersion vaccination with respect to immunocompetence in a cleaner fish species (ballan wrasse, Labrus bergylta, Ascanius) used in Atlantic salmon farming as an 25 alternative means to control sea lice. The species is susceptible to atypical strains of 26 27 Aeromonas salmonicida (aAs) at early life stages (<15 g), when i.p. vaccination is not applicable. While immersion vaccination is currently used in commercial hatcheries, the 28 29 optimal fish size for vaccination, and efficacy of the vaccine delivered by this route has not yet 30 been established. Importantly, efficacy depends on the capability of the species immune

31 system to recognise antigens and process antigens to trigger and produce an adaptive 32 immune response, (process known as immunocompetence). In this study, the efficacy of a polyvalent autogenous vaccine administered by immersion in juvenile ballan wrasse and the 33 subsequent immune response induced was investigated after prime and booster vaccination 34 35 regimes. In addition, temporal expression (0 - 150 days post hatch) of adaptive immune genes including major histocompatibility complex (MHC II CD74 molecule) and immunoglobulin M 36 (IgM) was assessed using quantitative PCR (qPCR). Prime and/or boost vaccination by 37 38 immersion of juvenile ballan wrasse (0.5 g and 1.5 g corresponding to 80 and 170 days post 39 hatch (dph), respectively) did not provide significant protection against aAs vapA V after bath challenge under experimental conditions. Despite no evident protection > 80 dph, MHC II and 40 IgM transcripts were first reported at 35 and 75 dph, respectively, suggesting a window of 41 immunocompetence. The results provide important new information on the onset of adaptive 42 immunity in ballan wrasse and highlight that immersion vaccination in the species for 43 protection against aAs should be performed at later developmental stages (>1.5 g) in the 44 45 hatchery.

Key words: ballan wrasse, immersion vaccination, *Aeromonas salmonicida,* adaptive
immunity, IgM, MCH II.

48 **1. Introduction**

Atypical strains of Aeromonas salmonicida (aAs) are currently the most significant cause of 49 50 mortalities in hatchery and post cage deployment of farmed ballan wrasse (Labrus bergylta, Ascanius) [1]. The bacterium is highly heterogenous infecting a variety of fresh and marine 51 fish species and host specificity of strains has been reported [2]. Recently, partial sequencing 52 of the paracrystalline surface array protein gene (vapA gene) has successfully been used to 53 distinguish typical and atypical As strains to 23 vapA types [3, 4]. Ballan wrasse is susceptible 54 to aAs vapA type V and VI in Scotland and Norway, respectively [4]. The successful 55 56 development and application of vaccines to regulate bacterial challenges is increasingly 57 important for ballan wrasse, as an emerging species, however such developments are hampered by the lack of basic understanding of immunity and immunocompetence in the 58 59 species [5].

Vaccination is the most common disease prevention strategy in the finfish aquaculture industry [6]. Vaccines can be administrated by either injection (intraperitoneally (i.p.) or intramuscularly (i.m.), immersion (dipping or bathing) and in some cases orally in feed [7]. Each practise has advantages and disadvantages. For instance, i.p. injection is the most commonly used method for juvenile fish (>15 g) generating greater protection than immersion especially facilitated by including effective adjuvants, and provides a platform for standardised dosing of vaccine for
each individual fish [6, 8]. However, immersion is the preferred method for small fish (0.5 – 25
g) as it is less invasive, therefore minimising handling stress, is often more affordable and less
labour intensive [6, 8]. A combination of these practises is usually applied in marine fish which
are immunised initially by immersion (prime and booster may be required) at an early life stage
and then i.p. injection vaccinated before being deployed to sea cages for on growing or, in the
case of cleaner fish, for sea lice control [9].

72 Following immersion vaccination, immune related cells in the mucosa-associated lymphoid 73 tissue (MALT) uptake the antigen [10]. Several studies have investigated the efficacy of 74 immersion vaccination and antigen uptake however our understanding of the mucosal immune response in fish still remains limited [11]. A prerequisite for successful vaccination is a fully 75 76 developed functional immune system able to develop immunological memory. The ontogeny 77 of the immune system has been studied in various teleost fish species, showing species specific windows ranging from 8 days pre-hatching in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss, 78 79 L.) up to 5 and 10 month post hatch for carp (Cyprinus carpio, L.) and zebrafish (Danio rerio, Hamilton), respectively [12]. The anterior kidney and thymus are considered to be fully 80 developed prior to hatching in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss, L.) and Atlantic salmon 81 [13], while immunocompetence (presence of IgM) occurs later during alevin development and 82 is size dependant (fish of 20 – 30 mm in length) [14, 15]. In marine fish species, like sea bass 83 (Dicentrarchus labrax, L.), spotted wolffish (Anarhichas minor, L.) and Atlantic cod (Gadus 84 85 morhua, L.) studies have shown IgM positive lymphocytes between 1 and 10 weeks after 86 hatching [15]. There are three main immunoglobulin (Igs) isotypes in teleost fish including IgM, 87 IgD and IgT. Expression of functional Igs gives an indication of immune competence this would facilitate the identification of an immersion vaccination window prior to i.p. injection and after 88 immunocompetence has been achieved [16]. IgM is the first antibody produced in teleost fish 89 and it consistently appears at the mucosal sites in contrast with IgT that is not found in every 90 91 fish species [17]. Thus, IgM is broadly used as a marker for immune competence. Another important component of the adaptive immune system in fish is the major histocompatibility 92 complex (MHC) which is responsible for the recognition and presentation of antigen epitopes 93 94 on T cell receptors (TCRs) [18]. The MHC genes produce two membrane glycoproteins class I and II [19]. MHC II express glycoproteins which are present only in antigen presenting cells 95 (APCs) such as B cells and trigger the production of specific antibodies against exogenous 96 antigens [20]. The binding with T helper cells (Th) activates B cells and memory cells 97 98 differentiation [20]. Literature on ballan wrasse immunity is very limited and the ontogeny of the adaptive immune response has not been studied to date. Haugland, et al. [21] previously 99 100 characterised the cellular innate response, and Bilal, et al. [22], [23] have reported adaptive

immune responses with regards to the presence of IgM, T cell receptors (TCRs) and somatic
 mutations of lymphocytes. In addition, the relative expression of antibodies (IgM, IgT and IgD)
 and TCR genes has been studied in the gut of ballan wrasse [24].

In an attempt to address disease challenges due to aAs (vapA type V), juvenile ballan wrasse 104 105 in commercial Scottish hatcheries have typically been bath vaccinated at ca. 0.5 g, followed 106 by booster vaccination at 1.0 - 1.5 g (1:10 dilution for 3 - 4 h) and then administered an i.p. 107 injection booster between 10 and 15 g with a polyvalent autogenous vaccine (Ridgeway 108 Biologicals, DDT Germany). In recent experimental trials, the injectable version of this 109 commercial vaccine offered high protection to ballan wrasse (25 - 50 g) when fish were challenged with heterologous and autologous strains of aAs vapA type V (RPS 79 % and 91 110 %, respectively) [25]. However, it became apparent that the species can encounter and 111 112 succumb to bacterial disease in commercial hatcheries at earlier developmental stages (prior to i.p. vaccination) [26] and immunisation at earlier developmental stages is therefore needed. 113 The efficacy of the autogenous immersion vaccine currently used in wrasse hatcheries has 114 not been assessed and the size at which fish can be successfully vaccinated by immersion 115 remains to be determined. 116

The aims of this study were to 1) assess the efficacy of the immersion autogenous vaccine vaccination against aAs to evaluate if the current commercial strategy of bath vaccination was effective for the autogenous vaccine and 2) to determine the ontogeny of the farmed ballan wrasse adaptive immune system using temporal gene expression analysis of immune genes for antigen presentation (MHC II) and antibody production (IgM). Results will ultimately help to optimise the vaccination strategy for farmed juvenile ballan wrasse.

123 2. Materials and Methods

124 **2.1. Immersion vaccination and challenge trial**

125 **2.1.1. Fish acclimation**

Two batches of juvenile ballan wrasse $(0.3 \pm 0.15 \text{ g})$ at 60 days post hatch (dph) and 1.0 ± 0.2 126 g at 150 dph) produced from eggs collected from a common broodstock population were 127 128 obtained from a joint venture hatchery owned by MOWI (Scotland, UK) and Scottish Sea Farms and transported to the Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science 129 (Cefas, Weymouth UK). Fish were kept in aerated aquaria (150 L) during an acclimation period 130 of three weeks at a constant temperature of 15 ± 1 °C and photoperiod of 20 h light: 4 h dark. 131 Water flow was set at 4.0 L / min and dissolved oxygen (DO) maintained at 8.0 \pm 0.5 mg / L. 132 Fish were fed with Otohime Fish Diet B2 & C1 (Biokyowa, Japan) at 7 – 8 % of the body weight 133 134 for 7 h / day using automatic feeders.

135 2.1.2. Fish health assessment

The health status of the fish was assessed prior to transportation as described in a previous 136 study [25]. Briefly, whole larvae were screened for pathogens including common isolated 137 bacteria (aAs and Vibrionaceae) as well as amoebic gill disease (AGD) by histology, 138 bacteriology and molecular techniques [27-29]. In addition, fish health was assessed upon 139 arrival to Cefas facilities for biosecurity and precautious experimental measures and to confirm 140 141 that fish were not carrying any notifiable viral diseases as listed in the OIE manual of diagnostic tests for aquatic animals [30]. Furthermore, whole fish samples were fixed in 10% neutral 142 buffered formalin to confirm by histology that fish did not have any pathology prior to challenge. 143

144 **2.1.3.** Immersion vaccination and sampling

The two fish size cohorts post acclimation (small (S) - 0.5 g \pm 0.2 g at 80 dph and large (L) -145 1.5 ± 0.4 g at 170 dph) herein designated as small and large, were bath vaccinated with a 146 formalin inactivated multivalent autogenous vaccine provided by Ridgeway Biologicals Ltd 147 (IDT Biologika, Germany) in static sea water for 3 h at 15°C. The isolates contained in the 148 vaccine were recovered from diseased ballan wrasse sampled at commercial hatcheries in 149 150 Scotland and characterised as part of a previous study [26]. The vaccine used contained 9 151 bacterial strains, 4 of which were aAs (3 strains of vapA type V; and 1 strain of vapA type VI;) 152 and 5 isolates belonging to the Vibrionaceae family (Table 1). The vaccine was diluted 1:100 and the final vaccination doses are given in Supplementary Table 1. Mock vaccinated fish 153 154 were exposed to sterile sea water (33 ppt). Tissues (liver (L), spleen (S) and head kidney (HK)) were sampled from vaccinated and mock vaccinated groups (n= 6 per group) at pre-155 vaccination (0 h) and 24 h post prime and booster vaccination. Samples were preserved in 156 RNA stabilisation buffer [31] for later immune genes expression analysis. 157

158

Isolate ID	Bacterial species	Year of isolation	<i>vapA</i> type and /or isolate #
TW3/14	Aeromonas salmonicida	2014	V
TW4/14	Aeromonas salmonicida	2014	V
TW187/14	Aeromonas salmonicida	2014	V
TW184/16*	Aeromonas salmonicida	2016	VI
TW242/16	Aliivibrio logei	2016	Isolate 1
TW322/16	Aliivibrio salmonicida	2016	Isolate 1

Table 1. Bacterial isolates in the autogenous immersion vaccine.

TW130/16	Vibrio splendidus	2016	Isolate 1
TW319/16	Vibrio ichthyoenteri	2016	Isolate 1
TW138/16	Photobacterium indicum	2016	Isolate 1
(*) isolated fro	m lumpsucker.		

159

160 **2.1.4. Bacteria preparation for bath challenge**

From previous studies, the aAs vapA type V strain (TW 4/14) isolated from infected farmed 161 ballan wrasse in Scotland and used in the formulation of the vaccine, caused mortalities > 60 162 163 % in fish exposed by bath and i.p. injection under experimental conditions [25, 32]. This 164 homologous strain was used for the bath challenge to assess the efficacy of the immersion vaccine and it was grown in Tryptone Soya Broth (TSB) at 22°C for 48 h with continuous 165 166 shaking at 150 rpm. The bacteria were centrifuged at 2,000 x g for 10 min, bacterial pellets 167 were then washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and re - suspended in sterile sea water to an OD₅₅₀ 0.8. Colony forming unit (CFU) per mL were counted by the 10 – fold dilution 168 method. 169

170 **2.1.5. Bath challenge and sampling**

Fish were challenged as described in an established challenge model for the species [32]. 171 Briefly, all groups were starved for 24 h prior to challenge. Triplicate groups of vaccinated and 172 173 mock vaccinated fish were bath challenged with aAs vapA type V strain (TW 4 / 14) after 654-174 degree days (DD) post prime immunisation and after 495 DD post booster in small aerated 175 aquaria of 5 L for the small cohort and 8 L for the large cohort (50 fish / aquarium). The bacteria were adjusted to an OD₅₅₀ 0.8 (10⁸ - 10⁹ CFU / mL in 5 or 8 L of static sea water) and fish were 176 challenged for 4 h at 15 °C, as shown in Supplementary Figure 1. Control groups were 177 exposed to sterile sea water. The fish were then allocated to 30 L holding aguaria each with 178 179 water flows of 0.5 L / min, while all other parameters remained the same as outlined prior. The 180 fish were monitored for up to 30 days post infection (dpi). Ballan wrasse with obvious signs of disease were considered as moribund and were sacrificed using an overdose of MS-222 (40 181 182 ppm; Tricaine methane sulphonate, Sigma). Head kidney swabs were sampled from moribund 183 fish (n= 5 per tank) and plated onto tryptone soya agar (TSA) to confirm specific mortality due to aAs. Suspected aAs colonies were confirmed with the agglutination test (Mono-AQUA 184 BIONOR[™], BIONOR). In addition, liver, spleen and head kidney tissue samples (n= 299; 100 185 186 from L, 99 from S and 100 from HK) from all moribund fish were fixed in 100% ethanol for bacterial species / type confirmation with previously published conventional PCRs and qPCR 187 as described below [33, 34]. Tissues samples (L, S and HK; n= 6 per treatment for vaccinated, 188

mock vaccinated and control groups) were fixed in RNA stabilisation buffer after terminationof the challenge trial for gene expression analysis.

191 **2.1.6. DNA extraction and quality check**

DNA was extracted from preserved tissues using a salt precipitation method as described in Khanam, et al. [35]. The extracted DNA was re-suspended in 5 mM Tris, concentration measured and standardised at 100 ng / µL using NanoDrop® ND-1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Sample integrity was validated by gel electrophoresis. The samples were stored at - 20°C until use.

197 2.1.7. Conventional PCRs and Quantitative PCR (qPCR) for Aeromonas salmonicida

The presence of bacterial DNA was assessed on the V3-V4 hypervariable region of the 16S 198 rRNA gene – 16S PCR; if samples were negative no further testing was carried out as bacterial 199 200 load in the samples was considered below the assay detection limit. If positive, samples were then screened with a species-specific PCR (vapA) for presence of As DNA using a previously 201 published PCR protocol targeting the hypervariable region of vapA gene - vapA PCR [4]. An 202 aAs vapA type V specific PCR (aAs type V specific PCR) previously developed by our group 203 204 [36] was used to determine the presence or absence of aAs vapA type V. The primer pairs used for the tissue samples screening with conventional PCRs are listed in Table 2. The 205 relative molecular weight of the amplicons was compared against a 100 bp gene ruler (Thermo 206 207 Scientific) on 1 % agarose gel. Aeromonas salmonicida bacterial loads were assessed on 208 tissue samples that were confirmed positive for As DNA with the conventional PCRs using a 209 modified protocol [34] as described in [36]. The primers and qPCR conditions are listed in 210 Table 1. Any samples above 35 Cross point were considered negative.

Table 2. Primers used for amplification of broad-range bacterial DNA, Aeromonas salmonicida
 and atypical Aeromonas salmonicida vapA type V specific with conventional PCR and
 quantitative PCR.

Gene	Oligo sequence	Annealing (°C)	Product size (bp)	Publication
Bacterial <i>rRNA</i> 16S	F341: CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG R805: GACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC	54.0	465	[37]
<i>vapA</i> partial	F2: CTGGACTTCTCCACTGCTCA R3: ACGTTGGTAATCGCGAAATC	53.0	626	[4]
<i>vapA</i> partial	Vspec–F: CAACGGTTTCTGGAGTAATAACTTT Vspec – R: TGCATCAGCAACAGCGGTAGT	57.0	254	[33]

vapA

214

215 2.1.8. Immune gene expression

216 2.1.8.1. RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

RNA was extracted from samples preserved in TRI Reagent (Sigma, UK) following the manufacturer's instructions. The quality and quantity of the RNA was assessed by electrophoresis and was measured with a Nanodrop 1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Thermo Fisher Scientific), respectively. The RNA pellet was eluted in 15 μL of nuclease-free water prior to cDNA synthesis with QuantiTect® Reverse Transcription kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's protocol. Samples were stored at -20 °C until use.

223 2.1.8.2. Primers design and specificity

Primers were designed for two target genes (MHC II - CD74 molecule and IgM) to assess the 224 225 effect of bath vaccination on juvenile ballan wrasse adaptive immune responses. Furthermore, 226 a primer pair was also designed for a housekeeping gene (β -actin), while previously published elongation factor α (ELF α) primers [24] were used as the second housekeeping gene for 227 expression normalisation. The primers used for qPCR are provided in Table 3. Primers were 228 229 designed based on sequencing similarity of > 88 % using NCBI Primer - Blast software (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/ primer-blast/) [38] and following good primer design criteria 230 [39]. Validation of the newly designed primers was carried out and amplification products sent 231 232 for sequencing. In brief, separate PCR amplifications were performed for all the primers pairs in a total reaction of 10 µL each including; 1µL (1/20 cDNA synthesised of 250 ng of total RNA 233 from whole larvae, HK, L, S, 0.1 uM of each primer, 1 x Q5[®] Hot start high fidelity Master mix 234 (2x) (NEB biolabs) and nuclease free water to reach the desirable final volume. The following 235 thermal cycling conditions were used in a Biometra, TAdvanced: 1 cycle of initial denaturation 236 at 98 °C for 1 min, 30 cycles at 95 °C for 15 sec (denaturation), 56 °C for 15 sec (annealing) 237 238 and 72 °C for 15 sec (extension), followed by final extension 1 cycle at 72 °C for 2 min and a 239 cooling step at 4 °C. The relative molecular weight of the amplicons was calculated against a 240 100 bp marker (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Resulting PCR products were sequenced by 241 Eurofins to confirm identity.

Table 3. Primer pairs used for quantitative PCR (qPCR) to assess ballan wrasse adaptiveimmune responses.

Target gene	Oligo name	Sequence	Annealing (°C)	Accession number	Source
Major histocompatibility	MHC II F_5	CCAATGTTGCGTCTCCGATG	56	XM_020645324.2	This
(MHC II)	MHC II R_5	CACTGACTACAGCGTCCTGC			Study
	IgM F1	AGTCCCGTATGTCCTGTGGT		KX688616.1	
Immunoglobulin M (IgM)	IgM R1	CCCTTGCTCGTCTGTCCAAT	56		This study
	β-actin F4	GCCAGAAGGACAGCTACGTT			
Beta actin β-actin	β-actin R4	TCGATGGGGTACTTCAGGGT	56	XM_029281812.1	This study
Elongation factor alpha	ELFα F	ATTGATGCCCCTGGACAC	56		[24]
(ELF- α)	ELFa R	CCTCAAACTCACCGACACC			

244

245 2.1.8.3. Quantitative PCR (qPCR)

246 The expression of target genes; MHC II and IgM and housing keeping genes; β-actin and ELFα was measured on a LightCycler®480 instrument (Roche Diagnostics). Target gene 247 expression was normalised against the β -actin and ELF α housekeeping genes. Every reaction 248 contained a final volume of 10 µL, containing 1µL of cDNA (either sample or negative control; 249 nuclease free water), 5 µL of Luminaris Color HiGreen q PCR MasterMix (Thermo Fisher 250 Scientific), 0.5 µL of each forward and reverse primers, and 3.0 µL of nuclease free water. 251 Cycling conditions consisted of an initial activation of DNA polymerase at 95 °C for 10 min, 252 followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 15 sec, annealing at 56 °C for 30 sec, and 253 extension at 72 °C for 30 sec. The assay included duplicates of each sample, non-template 254 control and a serial dilution (from 10 to 10⁸ copies per 1 µl) of a linearised plasmid construct 255 pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega, France) which incorporated a PCR fragment of the target 256 gene. Melt curves were checked to ensure specific amplification. Crossing points (Cp) values 257 were calculated employing the second derivative maximum method in the LightCycler 258 259 software (Supplementary Table 2).

260 **2.2. Ontogeny of the adaptive immune system**

Whole ballan wrasse larvae were sampled and fixed in RNA stabilisation buffer from the same production tank at nine time points from 0, 15, 30, 60, 90, 115, 130 and 150 (dph) (0.3 ± 0.1 g at 60 dph and 1.0 ± 0.2 g at 150 dph) from two commercial hatcheries (site A and B) in Scotland (n= 20 per time point per hatchery). The samples were stored at - 20 °C until processing. Whole larvae were processed for times 0 – 60 dph. The head, tail, and dorsal area (dorsal fin and muscle) were removed prior to RNA extraction for larvae from 90 - 150 dph to enrich transcripts associated with target lymphoid organs and gut regions as shown in Figure 1. The ontogeny of immune response was assessed with qPCR as described below.



269

Figure 1. Juvenile ballan wrasse from > 90 days post hatch (dph) used in ontogeny study. Dashed line shows the regions where the head, tail, and dorsal area (dorsal fin and muscle) were removed from the larvae prior to RNA extraction to enrich transcripts associated with target lymphoid organs and gut.

274 **2.3. Statistical analysis**

The geometric mean was calculated for housekeeping gene concentration and used for 275 276 normalisation of target genes concentration (MHC II -CD 74 and IgM). The mean and the standard error were calculated for each target gene. MHC II-CD 74 and IgM expression was 277 278 assessed and visualised on a histogram graph using Sigma Plot (SYSTAT inc Software, Inc., 279 San Jose California USA). Statistical analysis was conducted using Minitab software 280 (Minitab18). Gene expression transcript concentrations were assessed with General Linear Model (GLM) for normality and homogeneity of variance and data were normalised using Log₁₀ 281 or natural log (LN). Determination of whether a difference in expression occurred for either of 282 283 the genes during the ontogeny and challenge trial studies was tested by ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test (significance level; p < 0.05). The results from qPCR for As bacterial load in 284 tissues samples from challenged fish in this experiment were tested using Mood's median 285 non-parametric test (significance level; p < 0.05). Statistical analysis on bath challenge data 286 were performed in statistical software R [40] for Kaplan - Meier survival curves, log-rank non-287

parametric tests test (significance level; p < 0.05) [41, 42] and pairwise comparison test (significance level; p < 0.05) [43].

290 **2.4. Ethics statement**

Animal procedures for bacterial infection and vaccination were performed under UK Government Home Office project licence PC5CD1B81 following approval by the Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Body (AWERB) at the Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (Cefas) and University of Stirling. Ballan wrasse were treated in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 Amended Regulations (SI 2012/3039).

297 3. Results

3.1. Immersion vaccine efficacy trial

299 **3.1.1. Cumulative mortalities**

300 Morbidities in the small cohorts for both the mock and vaccinated groups started at 8 dpi and 10 dpi, respectively, while morbidities in the large cohorts for prime vaccinated groups were 301 noted at 9 dpi (mock) and 13 dpi (vaccinated) (Figure 2). Morbidities were first recorded in the 302 303 booster vaccinated group from the large cohort at 8 dpi followed by the mock vaccinated at 9 dpi except from a single tank (T08 – 34) for which morbidities started earlier at 3 dpi even 304 though these fish received a similar infection dose than the others from the same group. The 305 survival rate from this tank was the lowest from all groups reaching 33.3 % (Figure 2). The 306 mean cumulative mortalities for the large fish challenged after booster vaccination were 307 308 calculated with and without tank T08 - 34 (Table 4). Mean cumulative mortalities (%) for 309 vaccinated and unvaccinated groups (either prime or booster) for both cohorts (small and 310 large) were not statistically different (Table 4). Survival rates (%) did not differ between 311 vaccinated and mock vaccinated groups (Figure 2). Control fish from both prime and booster vaccinated groups in the large population experienced 4 and 2 % mortalities, respectively, but 312 bacteriology and molecular analysis showed that they were not caused by aAs. No mortalities 313 314 were recorded in the control fish from the small cohort.







Days post challenge

Figure 2. Survival curves for juvenile ballan wrasse bath challenged with atypical *Aeromonas* salmonicida vapA type V upon prime (both cohorts) and booster (large cohort only) immersion vaccination with an autogenous vaccine at two developmental stages. Small (0.5 g \pm 0.2 g or ca. 80 days post hatch; dph) (A) and large cohort (1.5 \pm 0.4 g or ca. 170 dph) (B) following prime vaccination and large cohort (C) upon booster vaccination. The vaccinated group is presented as Vac (1-3) and mock vaccinated as Mock vac (1-3) on the legend.

324 Table 4. Challenge dose (CFU / mL) of atypical Aeromonas salmonicida vapA type V and 325 mean cumulative mortalities (%) with standard deviation (±SD) for ballan wrasse juveniles (n= 326 50). Small and large cohorts were prime vaccinated, and only a group of fish from the large cohort received booster vaccination. The immunisation period for prime and booster groups 327 328 were 645 and 420 DD, respectively. No mortalities were recorded for control groups. Nonspecific mortalities were recorded in one of the replicates from the vaccinated group of the 329 large cohort (T08-34). The mean cumulative mortalities were calculated with and without this 330 tank. No statistical difference (p < 0.05) was observed between the different groups or cohorts. 331

Cohort	Vaccination status	Mean CFU / mL	Mean cumulative mortalities (%)
Small	Vaccinated	2.98E+09	28.0 ± 8.7^{a}
	Mock vaccinated	5.23E+09	23.0 ±13.6ª
	Vaccinated	5.65E+08	34.0 ± 2.0^{b}
Large	Mock vaccinated	9.95E+08	37.0 ± 7.0^{b}
Largo	Booster vaccinated	3.77E+09	30.0 ± 7.0 (*54 ± 15.7) ^{c,d}
	Mock booster vaccinated	5.11E+09	40.0 ± 12.4 ^{c,d}

*Mean cumulative mortalities taking into account T08 – 34.

a,b,c,d statistical difference at trial termination p= 0.29, p= 0.232, p= 0.232, p= 0.691 without (T08 – 34), respectively.

336 **3.1.2. Bacteria presence and load in morbid fish**

332

Head kidney swabs from moribund fish plated on TSA had small pin head creamy a*As* like colonies and were positive with the agglutination test, except for three samples from tank T08-34 between 3 and 8 dpi. The *As* qPCR loads were similar for all tissue samples (HK, L and S) from both vaccinated and mock vaccinated fish with Cp value ranging from 21 to 35 (Table 5). The conventional PCR specific for a*As* type V confirmed the presence of a*As* type V bacteria in the same samples as qPCR. Large moribund fish (n= 3) from tank T08-34 which had been booster vaccinated and challenged with aAs type V were negative for all 3 screening methodsand bacteria did not grow on TSA plates.

Table 5. Bacterial load in tissues samples (head kidney, liver and spleen) from small and large cohort ballan wrasse (n= 15 per treatment 10 %) challenged with atypical *Aeromonas salmonicida vapA* type V following vaccination (prime and booster; only large) with a polyvalent autogenous vaccine or mock vaccination. Load was assessed by quantitative PCR and expressed as mean cross point (Cp), Cp values > 35 considered negative. No statistically significant differences between treatments were observed..

Cohort	Vaccination status	Mean bacterial load (Cp) in tissue		
		Kidney	Liver	Spleen
Small	Prime vaccinated	27.64 ± 4.71	25.87 ± 4.47	29.64 ± 5.79
	Prime mock vaccinated	27.90 ± 4.21	26.92 ± 4.73	26.88 ± 3.14
Large	Prime vaccinated	29.14 ± 2.55	28.74 ± 4.22	28.15 ± 3.31
	Prime mock vaccinated	27.62 ± 5.06	25.17 ± 3.10	24.50 ± 2.32
	Booster vaccinated	29.18 ± 3.77	27.94 ± 3.51	27.51 ± 3.72
	Booster mock vaccinated	27.69 ± 2.11	25.60 ± 3.20	28.39± 3.70

351

352 **3.1.3 Gene expression at pre- and post- vaccination and post- challenge**

Overall, gene expression (MCH II –CD 74 and IgM) was not significantly different in tissues or between groups (pre - and post- vaccination and vaccinated and mock vaccinated) after challenge with a*As vapA* type V (Figures 3 and 4) with a few exceptions. The relative expression of both target genes was statistically significantly higher in head kidney and spleen of both small and large booster control groups in comparison with challenged, mock and vaccinated fish of the same cohorts.

359





Figure 3. Box and whisker plots showing the normalised number of MHC II and IgM transcripts obtained by qPCR from head kidney (A, B), liver (C, D) and spleen (E, F) from small cohort ballan wrasse at pre- and post- prime (24 h) vaccination by immersion and post – bath challenge with atypical *Aeromonas salmonicida vapA* type V (n= 6 for each group). Prevaccinated and vaccinated groups are presented as pre and vac, respectively and mock vaccinated (vaccination control) as mock in the legend. Boxes represent the interquartile

- range, the line inside the box shows the median value and the whiskers, outside the boxes,
- 368 relate to the maximum and minimum values. Statistically significant differences (Tukey's test,
- p < 0.05) between groups are represented by superscripts.



371 Figure 4. Box and whisker plots showing the normalised number MHC II and IgM transcripts 372 obtained by qPCR from head kidney (A, B), liver (C, D) and spleen (E, F) tissues of large 373 cohort ballan wrasse at pre- and post- prime (24 h) vaccination by immersion and post – bath 374 challenge with atypical Aeromonas salmonicida vapA type V (n= 6 for each group). Boxes represent the interquartile range, the line inside the box shows the median value and the 375 whiskers, outside the boxes, relate to the maximum and minimum values. Pre-vaccinated and 376 vaccinated groups are presented as pre and vac, respectively and mock vaccinated 377 (vaccination control) as mock in the legend. Statistically significant differences (Tukey's test, 378 p < 0.05) between the groups are represented by superscripts. 379

380 **3.2. Ontogeny**

A significant increase in expression of both MHC II and IgM was noticed in both surveyed hatchery site populations. Expression levels of MHC II were significantly higher than basal levels (0 dph) from 35 dph (Figure 5 A). Temporal gene expression continued to increase until 115 dph when expression remained unchanged until the end of the study (150 dph). Additionally, the IgM expression levels significantly increased by 75 dph in comparison to earlier time points and continued to increase significantly until 130 dph (Figure 5 B). Similar trends were noticed both for MHC II and IgM expression for site B (Figure 5 C and D).



388

Figure 5. Box and whisker plots showing the normalised number of MHC II and IgM transcripts obtained by qPCR from whole ballan wrasse larvae (n= 6 for each group) from 0 to 150 days post hatch (dph) at site A (A, B) and B (C, D). Boxes represent the interquartile range, the line inside the box shows the median value and the whiskers, outside the boxes, relate to the maximum and minimum values. Statistically significant differences (Tukey's test, p < 0.05) between the time points are represented by superscripts.

395 4. Discussion

This study aimed to assess the efficacy of an immersion autogenous vaccine (Ridgeway 396 Biologicals LTB) used for early juvenile developmental stages (<15 g) in ballan wrasse 397 398 hatcheries in Scotland and then determine the ontogeny of key representative components of 399 the adaptive immune system of the species. This would ultimately assist the establishment of 400 a vaccination timeframe for farmed ballan wrasse for protection against aAs. The results showed no significant differences in the mean cumulative mortalities for immersion vaccinated 401 402 juvenile ballan wrasse (both small cohort and large cohorts) challenged with aAs by bath inoculation post immunisation. The expression of MHC II (CD74 molecule) and IgM transcripts 403 404 were first identified at 35 and 75 dph, respectively and were observed to increase until 405 termination of the study at 150 dph which suggests initiation towards maturation of adaptive406 immunity.

407 In the present study all vaccination groups (prime small (ca. 0.5 g) and large (ca. 1.5 g), and booster large) along with mock vaccinated fish were challenged against aAs type V post 408 409 immunisation (prime at 654 DD and booster at 495 DD) using a challenge model we developed 410 previously [32]. Morbidities between vaccinated and mock vaccinated fish were not statistically 411 different for any of the three groups indicating that the vaccine did not confer protection in the 412 fish under the conditions used in this study. This confirms previous reports in which immersion 413 vaccination was shown to have low potency [9]. Chakraborty, et al. [14] reported no protection, 414 but an apparent delayed infection (ca. 3 weeks) in juvenile lumpsuckers (ca. 2.9 g) vaccinated 415 with a commercial polyvalent vaccine against Vibrio anguillarum following a full vaccination 416 regime (prime and booster bath and i.p. injection). Vaccinated fish showed higher bacterial 417 loads in some cases, however no significant differences were found in the present study between vaccinated and mock vaccinated fish. Importantly, it must be acknowledged that 418 419 while qPCR detected the bacterial load within the tested tissues, it could not distinguish 420 between live and dead cells.

421 The vaccine protection was also evaluated in prime and booster immunised fish after challenge with aAs by profiling the relative expression of adaptive immune related genes 422 423 (MHC II – CD74 molecule and IgM). No statistically significant differences were noted between 424 treatments (mock and vaccinated fish) post challenge (up to 30 dpi) for any of the three groups. The relative expression levels of both target genes were higher in the head kidney and spleen 425 426 sampled from control fish in both the small and large cohorts in comparison to the challenged 427 mock and vaccinated fish of the same cohort. MHC II (CD74 molecule) expression was higher in the spleen of all groups but not in other tissues at the end of the challenge period. MHC II 428 429 expression was higher in liver tissues for all cohorts post infection in this study, suggesting a 430 functional role of MHC II in this tissue. These results agree with a previous report for miluy 431 croaker, Miichthys miiuy [44]. Antigen presentation of MHC II molecules may occur in other 432 organs like the liver, which very recently has been considered to have an immunological role in mammals [45, 46]. Yang, et al. [47] and Causey, et al. [48] suggested a similar role of the 433 434 liver in teleost fish as lymphocytes are present in the organ. In the present study, the total IgM expression levels were similar in the lymphoid organs for both mock and vaccinated groups 435 suggesting no vaccine-associated immunity against aAs. In a previous study, expression of 436 membrane IgM (mIgM) was located in different tissues in fish challenged with Photobacterium 437 damselae subsp. piscicida prior (spleen and gut) and post vaccination (only in spleen) [49]. 438 Thus, comparative analysis of gene expression with ELISA for specific antibody presence (IgM 439

and IgT) was undertaken previously by the authors and confirmed that vaccination resulted in
a systemic IgM response while the challenge resulted in a local IgT response only [49]. Despite
several attempts to collect blood samples using a capillary tube, blood isolation was not
successful in the present study due to the small size of the fish, and as such serology tests
could not be performed although we previously demonstrated enhanced serum IgM levels in
i.p. vaccinated wrasse that correlated with protection [25].

446 While the organogenesis in ballan wrasse has been described previously [50, 51], the onset 447 of the expression of immune related genes in tissues of ballan wrasse species has not been 448 described to date and the immune system of *L. bergylta* is currently only partially characterised [21-23]. In this study, the temporal expression of MHC II (CD74) and IgM transcripts was 449 investigated in newly hatched ballan wrasse larvae (0 dph) until 150 dph using qPCR in two 450 451 commercial hatcheries in Scotland. MHC II and IgM expression levels significantly increased 452 at 35 and 75 dph, respectively, and continued to do so in larvae originating from site A. MHC I and II are responsible for antigen recognition and presentation and play a significant role in 453 activation of adaptive immune response and memory [44]. Hence, the detection of MHC II 454 455 gene expression prior to IgM in the study was expected considering the role that MHC II plays in immunological processing in phagocytes [45]. Saravanan, et al. [52] were the first to 456 document expression of MHC II in Rohu (Labeo rohita) at 28 dph. Earlier expression of MHC 457 II molecules has been observed for sea bass (Dicentrarhus labrax) at 4 dph [47] and common 458 carp (Cyprinus carpio) at 28 dpf [48]. IgM mRNA transcripts were first detected at 5 dph with 459 460 a significant increase observed at 35 dph for olive flounder, Paralichthys olivaceus [49]. In 461 teleost fish maternal immune elements have a significant role in survival of eggs and newly 462 hatched larvae. Innate (lysozyme and antibacterial peptides) and adaptive (IgM) immune elements can pass from broodstock to offspring [15, 53, 54]. However, maternal immunity has 463 only a short duration of several weeks post hatch (3 - 4) [55]. For example, IgM decreased in 464 striped trumpeter (Latris lineata) by 100 dph [56]. In the present study, the temporal expression 465 profile observed for MCH II and IgM in ballan wrasse from 35 dph and 75 dph, respectively 466 continued to increase suggesting a relationship with the animal's size and immune 467 responsiveness appeared therefore to not be derived from maternal transfer. Differences in 468 expression patterns and relative gene expression were observed for MHC II (CD 74) and IgM 469 between the two hatcheries. This could be explained by the fact larvae from site B were mixed 470 471 with larvae from other developmental stages (earlier and later) during husbandry procedures 472 (*i.e.* grading) in contrast with larvae from site A whereby the same batch and tank of fish were sampled during the entire study. Thus, the results from site A are considered more reliable. 473

474 The ontogeny results indicated that expression of MHC II and IgM should have been initiated 475 at least for the large cohort used in the immersion vaccination study prior to vaccination (ca. 476 170 dph at prime vaccination). Indeed, the overall relative expression of MHC II and IgM was higher in the tissues (HK, L and S) of both fish sizes at vaccination in comparison with 477 478 ontogeny fish samples, which included multiple pooled organs including gut tissue. 479 Nevertheless, the mean cumulative mortality and the gene expression results of the immunised and bath challenged fish in this study indicates that the vaccine did not elicit 480 protection. It is important to mention that the detection and expression of these adaptive 481 482 immune genes only provides an indication of the onset of immunocompetence but not full immunological maturity [57]. Similarly, previous studies have demonstrated that presence of 483 lymphoid organs and initiation of IgM gene expression does not guarantee 484 immunocompetence [58-61] which may explain the result of the immersion vaccination. In 485 486 addition, there are various factors apart from immunocompetence that need to be considered for a successful vaccine e.g. the vaccine formulation, vaccine dose, the vaccination route, 487 488 vaccination time for immersion vaccines, the use of an adjuvant, and the challenge trial 489 including pathogen pressure / load, exposure time and virulence of the pathogen [62-64]. In 490 addition, multivalent vaccines need rigorous testing and careful selection of strains or different 491 species included in the formulation as combinations of antigens could interact synergistically 492 or antagonistically and stimulate, cross-react, compete and lead to immunosuppression [65]. 493 Nikoskelainen, et al. [66] reported that different multivalent vaccines composition against A. salmonicida subsp.salmonicida, Listonella anguillarum and Flavobacterium psychrophilum 494 had an effect in antibody production in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). The results 495 496 obtained from this study further illustrate the challenges of immersion vaccination against typical and atypical strains of As, for which historically immersion vaccination has proven 497 challenging and only few experimental successes have been reported with demonstrated 498 499 protection to fish [2, 67-69].

500 Overall, the results of the vaccine trial conducted in this study suggested that immersion 501 vaccination for farmed ballan wrasse should be applied at a later stage of the production cycle 502 (e.g. size > 1.5 g), at least for the aAs component of the vaccine. In addition, we reported for 503 first time, the onset of adaptive immune genes expression for ballan wrasse (MHC II - CD74 at 35 dph and IgM at 75 dph), which is critical for commercial vaccination strategies. Further 504 505 research is needed to better understand the adaptive immune system of juvenile ballan wrasse 506 including, its maturation and uptake and processing of antigens for ballan wrasse juveniles. 507 All of the above will help to optimise vaccine formulation and vaccination regimes in hatcheries and mitigate the high mortalities occurring during early developmental stages. Protection at 508 509 this early stage is vital to boost the cleaner fish sector productivity to supply the increasing

510 demand from salmon producers for healthy, disease free and effective delousing ballan 511 wrasse.

512 5. Acknowledgements

513 The authors would like to thank MOWI Scotland and Scottish Sea Farms for providing the 514 experimental animals, supported the fish transportation and overall collaboration during the 515 project. We also thank Ridgeway Biologicals for providing the bacteria isolates and the 516 vaccine, and the aquarists and bacteriology team at Cefas for their technical assistance during 517 the challenge trial.

518

519 6. Funding information

520 This project was co-funded through the Sustainable Aquaculture Innovation Centre (SAIC) 521 and University of Stirling (grant number: SL-2015-01).

522

523 7. Conflict of interest Statement

- 524 The authors declare no conflict of interest.
- 525

526 8. References

[1] B. Hjeltnes, B. Bang-Jensen, G. Bornø, A. Haukaas, C.S. Walde, The Health Situation in
 Norwegian Aquaculture 2018, Norwegian Veterinary Institute, Oslo, Norway, (2019), p. 132.

[2] B. Austin, D.A. Austin, Aeromonadaceae representative (*Aeromonas salmonicida*),
Bacterial fish pathogens, Springer 2016, pp. 215-321.

[3] S. Gulla, S. Bayliss, B. Bjornsdottir, I. Dalsgaard, O. Haenen, E. Jansson, U. McCarthy,
F. Scholz, M. Vercauteren, D. Verner-Jeffreys, T. Welch, T. Wiklund, D.J. Colquhoun,
Biogeography of the fish pathogen *Aeromonas salmonicida* inferred by *vapA* genotyping,
FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 366(7) (2019) fnz074. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnz074.

[4] S. Gulla, V. Lund, A. Kristoffersen, H. Sørum, D. Colquhoun, *vapA* (A-layer) typing
differentiates *Aeromonas salmonicida* subspecies and identifies a number of previously
undescribed subtypes, J Fish Dis 39(3) (2016a) 329-342. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jfd.12367</u>.

[5] A.J. Brooker, A. Papadopoulou, C. Gutierrez, S. Rey, A. Davie, H. Migaud, Sustainable
production and use of cleaner fish for the biological control of sea lice: recent advances and
current challenges, Vet Rec 183(12) (2018) 383. 10.1136/vr.104966.

[6] M. Dadar, K. Dhama, V.N. Vakharia, S.H. Hoseinifar, K. Karthik, R. Tiwari, R. Khandia, A.
Munjal, C. Salgado-Miranda, S.K. Joshi, Advances in aquaculture vaccines against fish

- pathogens: global status and current trends, Rev Fish Sci Aquac 25(3) (2017) 184-217.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/23308249.2016.1261277</u>.
- [7] I. Sommerset, B. Krossoy, E. Biering, P. Frost, Vaccines for fish in aquaculture, Expert
 Rev Vaccines 4(1) (2005) 89-101. <u>https://doi.org/10.1586/14760584.4.1.89</u>.
- [8] B.E. Brudeseth, R. Wiulsrod, B.N. Fredriksen, K. Lindmo, K.E. Lokling, M. Bordevik, N.
 Steine, A. Klevan, K. Gravningen, Status and future perspectives of vaccines for
 industrialised fin-fish farming, Fish Shellfish Immunol 35(6) (2013) 1759-68.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2013.05.029</u>.
- 551 [9] A. Toranzo, J. Romalde, B. Magariños, J. Barja, Present and future of aquaculture 552 vaccines against fish bacterial diseases, Options Mediterraneennes 86 (2009) 155-176.
- [10] J. Bogwald, R.A. Dalmo, Review on immersion vaccines for fish: An update 2019,
 Microorganisms 7(12) (2019) 627. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms7120627</u>.
- [11] E. Soto, M.J. Griffin, J.A. Tobar, 11 Mucosal vaccines, in: B.H. Beck, E. Peatman (Eds.), Mucosal Health in Aquaculture, Academic Press, San Diego, 2015, pp. 297-323.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-417186-2.00011-X</u>.
- [12] A. Zapata, B. Diez, T. Cejalvo, C. Gutierrez-de Frias, A. Cortes, Ontogeny of the
 immune system of fish, Fish Shellfish Immunol 20(2) (2006) 126-36.
 10.1016/j.fsi.2004.09.005.
- [13] B. Razquin, A. Castillo, P. Lopez-Fierro, F. Alvarez, A. Zapata, A. Villena, Ontogeny of
 IgM-producing cells in the lymphoid organs of rainbow trout, *Salmo gairdneri* Richardson: An
 immuno-and enzyme-histochemical study, Journal of Fish Biology 36(2) (1990) 159-173.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1990.tb05592.x</u>.
- 565 [14] S. Chakraborty, T. Cao, A. Hossain, H. Gnanagobal, I. Vasquez, D. Boyce, J. 566 Santander, Vibrogen-2 vaccine trial in lumpfish (*Cyclopterus lumpus*) against *Vibrio* 567 *anguillarum*, J Fish Dis 42(7) (2019) 1057-1064. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfd.13010.
- [15] B. Magnadottir, S. Lange, S. Gudmundsdottir, J. Bogwald, R.A. Dalmo, Ontogeny of
 humoral immune parameters in fish, Fish Shellfish Immunol 19(5) (2005) 429-39.
 10.1016/j.fsi.2005.03.010.
- [16] M.-G. Mao, J.-L. Lei, P.-M. Alex, W.-S. Hong, K.-J. Wang, Characterization of RAG1
 and IgM (mu chain) marking development of the immune system in red-spotted grouper
 (*Epinephelus akaara*), Fish Shellfish Immunol 33(4) (2012) 725-735.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2012.06.011.
- [17] H. Gong, Q. Wang, Y. Lai, C. Zhao, C. Sun, Z. Chen, J. Tao, Z. Huang, Study on
 immune response of organs of *Epinephelus coioides* and *Carassius auratus* after immersion
 vaccination with inactivated *Vibrio harveyi* vaccine, Front. Immunol. 11 (2021) 3766.
- [18] C. Monzón-Argüello, C.G. De Leaniz, G. Gajardo, S. Consuegra, Eco-immunology of
 fish invasions: the role of MHC variation, Immunogenetics 66(6) (2014) 393-402.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00251-014-0771-8</u>.
- 581 [19] A. Sato, F. Figueroa, B.W. Murray, E. Málaga-Trillo, Z. Zaleska-Rutczynska, H.
- 582 Sültmann, S. Toyosawa, C. Wedekind, N. Steck, J. Klein, Nonlinkage of major
- histocompatibility complex class I and class II loci in bony fishes, Immunogenetics 51(2)
- 584 (2000) 108-116.

[20] A.B. Wilson, MHC and adaptive immunity in teleost fishes, Immunogenetics 69(8)
 (2017) 521-528. 10.1007/s00251-017-1009-3.

[21] G.T. Haugland, A. Ronneseth, H.I. Wergeland, Flow cytometry analyses of phagocytic
 and respiratory burst activities and cytochemical characterization of leucocytes isolated from
 wrasse (*Labrus bergylta* A.), Fish Shellfish Immunol 39(1) (2014) 51-60.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2014.04.023</u>.

[22] S. Bilal, K.K. Lie, O.A. Karlsen, I. Hordvik, Characterization of IgM in Norwegian cleaner
fish (lumpfish and wrasses), Fish Shellfish Immunol 59 (2016) 9-17.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2016.09.063.

594 [23] S. Bilal, K.K. Lie, O. Saele, I. Hordvik, T Cell receptor alpha chain genes in the teleost
595 ballan wrasse (*Labrus bergylta*) are subjected to somatic hypermutation, Front. Immunol.
596 9(1101) (2018) 1101. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.01101</u>.

597 [24] S. Bilal, K.K. Lie, A.S. Dalum, O.A. Karlsen, I. Hordvik, Analysis of immunoglobulin and
598 T cell receptor gene expression in ballan wrasse (*Labrus bergylta*) revealed an
599 extraordinarily high IgM expression in the gut, Fish Shellfish Immunol 87 (2019) 650-658.
600 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2019.02.007</u>.

[25] J.G. Ramirez-Paredes, D. Verner-Jeffreys, A. Papadopoulou, S.J. Monaghan, L. Smith,
D. Haydon, T.S. Wallis, A. Davie, A. Adams, H. Migaud, A commercial autogenous injection
vaccine protects ballan wrasse (*Labrus bergylta*, Ascanius) against *Aeromonas salmonicida vapA* type V, Fish Shellfish Immunol 107 (2020) 43-53.

605 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2020.09.040</u>.

[26] A. Papadopoulou, T. Wallis, J.G. Ramirez-Paredes, S.J. Monaghan, A. Davie, H.

Migaud, A. Adams, Atypical Aeromonas salmonicida vapA type V and Vibrio spp. are
 predominant bacteria recovered from ballan wrasse Labrus bergylta in Scotland, Diseases of
 Aquatic Organisms 140 (2020) 47-54.

610 [27] A. Klindworth, E. Pruesse, T. Schweer, J. Peplies, C. Quast, M. Horn, F.O. Glockner,

Evaluation of general *16S* ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-

- generation sequencing-based diversity studies, Nucleic Acids Res 41(1) (2013) e1.
- 613 <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks808</u>.

[28] S. Yamamoto, H. Kasai, D.L. Arnold, R.W. Jackson, A. Vivian, S. Harayama, Phylogeny
of the genus *Pseudomonas*: intrageneric structure reconstructed from the nucleotide
sequences of *gyrB* and *rpoD* genes, Microbiology (Reading) 146 (Pt 10)(10) (2000) 23852394. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-146-10-2385.

- 618 [29] N.D. Young, I. Dykova, B.F. Nowak, R.N. Morrison, Development of a diagnostic PCR to 619 detect *Neoparamoeba perurans*, agent of amoebic gill disease, J Fish Dis 31(4) (2008) 285-620 95. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2761.2008.00903.x</u>.
- [30] Office International des Epizooties (OIE) Manual of diagnostic tests for aquatic animals,Chapter 2.3, (2018).
- [31] A. Oboh, M.B. Betancor, D.R. Tocher, O. Monroig, Biosynthesis of long-chain
- 624 polyunsaturated fatty acids in the African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*): Molecular cloning and
- 625 functional characterisation of fatty acyl desaturase (*fads2*) and elongase (*elovl2*) cDNAs7,
- 626 Aquaculture 462 (2016) 70-79. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2016.05.018</u>.

- [32] A. Papadopoulou, K. Garvey, T. Hill, J.G. Ramirez-Paredes, S.J. Monaghan, J.L. Baily,
 A. Davie, I. Katsiadaki, D. Verner-Jeffreys, T. Wallis, H. Migaud, A. Adams, Novel atypical
 Aeromonas salmonicida bath challenge model for juvenile ballan wrasse (Labrus bergylta,
 Ascanius), J Fish Dis (2020). 10.1111/jfd.13312.
- [33] A. Papadopoulou, A. Davie, S.J. Monaghan, H. Migaud, A. Adams, Development of
 diagnostic assays for differentiation of atypical *Aeromonas salmonicida vapA* type V and VI
 in ballan wrasse (*Labrus bergylta*, Ascanius), J Fish Dis In review (2020).
- [34] S. Gulla, S. Duodu, A. Nilsen, I. Fossen, D. Colquhoun, *Aeromonas salmonicida*infection levels in pre-and post-stocked cleaner fish assessed by culture and an amended
 gPCR assay, J Fish Dis 39(7) (2016b) 867-877. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfd.12420.
- [35] T. Khanam, A. Davie, B.J. McAndrew, D.J. Penman, DNA sampling from mucus in the
 Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*: minimally invasive sampling for aquaculture-related
 genetics research, Aquaculture Research 47(12) (2016) 4032-4037.
- 640 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/are.12809</u>.
- [36] A. Papadopoulou, A. Davie, S.J. Monaghan, H. Migaud, A. Adams, Development of
 diagnostic assays for differentiation of atypical Aeromonas salmonicida vapA type V and
 type VI in ballan wrasse (Labrus bergylta, Ascanius), J Fish Dis n/a(n/a) (2021).
 https://doi.org/10.1111/jfd.13334.
- [37] D.P. Herlemann, M. Labrenz, K. Jürgens, S. Bertilsson, J.J. Waniek, A.F. Andersson,
- Transitions in bacterial communities along the 2000 km salinity gradient of the Baltic Sea,
 The ISME journal 5(10) (2011) 1571-1579. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.41.
- [38] J. Ye, G. Coulouris, I. Zaretskaya, I. Cutcutache, S. Rozen, T.L. Madden, PrimerBLAST: a tool to design target-specific primers for polymerase chain reaction, BMC
 Bioinformatics 13(1) (2012) 134. 10.1186/1471-2105-13-134.
- [39] S. Taylor, M. Wakem, G. Dijkman, M. Alsarraj, M. Nguyen, A practical approach to RT qPCR-Publishing data that conform to the MIQE guidelines, Methods 50(4) (2010) S1-5.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2010.01.005.
- [40] R.C. Team, R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R 3.4. 4, Vienna,
 Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing., (2018).
- [41] T. Therneau, A Package for Survival Analysis in S. version 2.38 URL: <u>https://CRAN</u>, R project. org/package= survival (2015).
- [42] T. Therneau, P.M. Grambsch, The Cox model, Modeling survival data: extending theCox model, Springer, 2000, pp. 39-77.
- [43] A. Kassambara, M. Kosinski, Survminer: drawing survival curves using 'ggplot2'Rpackage version 0.4. 0. 2017, (2017).
- 662 [44] T. Xu, Y. Sun, G. Shi, Y. Cheng, R. Wang, Characterization of the major
- histocompatibility complex class II genes in miiuy croaker, PLoS One 6(8) (2011) e23823.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023823.
- [45] M.W. Robinson, C. Harmon, C. O'Farrelly, Liver immunology and its role in inflammation
- and homeostasis, Cell. Mol. Immunol. 13(3) (2016) 267-76.
- 667 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/cmi.2016.3</u>.

[46] P.A. Knolle, The Liver as a Lymphoid Organ, in: M.E. Gershwin, J.M. Vierling, M.P.
Manns (Eds.), Liver Immunology, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2014, pp. 55-64.
10.1007/978-3-319-02096-9_5.

[47] D. Yang, Q. Liu, M. Yang, H. Wu, Q. Wang, J. Xiao, Y. Zhang, RNA-seq liver
transcriptome analysis reveals an activated MHC-I pathway and an inhibited MHC-II
pathway at the early stage of vaccine immunization in zebrafish, BMC Genomics 13(1)
(2012) 319. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-13-319</u>.

[48] D.R. Causey, M.A.N. Pohl, D.A. Stead, S.A.M. Martin, C.J. Secombes, D.J. Macqueen,
High-throughput proteomic profiling of the fish liver following bacterial infection, BMC
Genomics 19(1) (2018) 719. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells6040048.

[49] M.C. Piazzon, J. Galindo-Villegas, P. Pereiro, I. Estensoro, J.A. Calduch-Giner, E.
Gómez-Casado, B. Novoa, V. Mulero, A. Sitjà-Bobadilla, J. Pérez-Sánchez, Differential
modulation of IgT and IgM upon parasitic, bacterial, viral, and dietary challenges in a
Perciform fish, Front. Immunol. 7 (2016) 637. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2016.00637.

- [50] E. Dunaevskaya, A.B. Amin, O.H. Ottesen, Organogenesis of ballan wrasse Labrus
 bergylta (Ascanius 1767) larvae, Journal of Aquaculture Research & Development 3(5)
 (2012) 142.
- [51] M.R. Gagnat, P.-A. Wold, T. Bardal, G. Øie, E. Kjørsvik, Allometric growth and
 development of organs in ballan wrasse (*Labrus bergylta* Ascanius, 1767) larvae in relation
 to different live prey diets and growth rates, Biology open 5(9) (2016) 1241-1251.
- [52] K. Saravanan, K. Rajendran, P. Gireesh-Babu, C. Purushothaman, M. Makesh,
 Ontogenic expression of adaptive immune genes in rohu, *Labeo rohita* (Hamilton-Buchanan,
 1822), (2017).

[53] T. Ellingsen, C. Strand, E. Monsen, J. Bogwald, R.A. Dalmo, The ontogeny of
complement component C3 in the spotted wolffish (*Anarhichas minor* Olafsen), Fish
Shellfish Immunol 18(5) (2005) 351-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2004.09.002.

[54] M. Seppola, H. Johnsen, S. Mennen, B. Myrnes, H. Tveiten, Maternal transfer and
transcriptional onset of immune genes during ontogenesis in Atlantic cod, Dev Comp
Immunol 33(11) (2009) 1205-11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2009.06.013</u>.

[55] P. Swain, S. Dash, J. Bal, P. Routray, P. Sahoo, S. Sahoo, S. Saurabh, S. Gupta, P.
Meher, Passive transfer of maternal antibodies and their existence in eggs, larvae and fry of
Indian major carp, *Labeo rohita* (Ham.), Fish Shellfish Immunol 20(4) (2006) 519-527.
<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2005.06.011</u>.

- [56] J. Covello, S. Bird, R. Morrison, A. Bridle, S. Battaglene, C. Secombes, B. Nowak,
 Isolation of *RAG-1* and *IgM* transcripts from the striped trumpeter (*Latris lineata*), and their
 expression as markers for development of the adaptive immune response, Fish Shellfish
 Immunol 34(3) (2013) 778-788.
- [57] Y. Corripio-Miyar, S. Bird, J.W. Treasurer, C.J. Secombes, RAG-1 and IgM genes,
- 706 markers for early development of the immune system in the gadoid haddock,
- 707 Melanogrammus aeglefinus, L, Fish Shellfish Immunol 23(1) (2007) 71-85.
- 708 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2006.09.006.

- [58] N.M. dos Santos, N. Romano, M. de Sousa, A.E. Ellis, J.H. Rombout, Ontogeny of B
 and T cells in sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*, L.), Fish Shellfish Immunol 10(7) (2000) 58396. <u>https://doi.org/10.1006/fsim.2000.0273</u>.
- [59] M. Mughal, M. Manning, Antibody responses of young carp, *Cyprinus carpio,* and grey
 mullet, *Chelon labrosus*, immunized with soluble antigen by various routes, Fish
 immunology, Elsevier, 1985, pp. 313-325. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-469230-</u>
 5.50030-1.
- [60] L. Patrie-Hanson, A.J. Ainsworth, Humoral immune responses of channel catfish
 (*Ictalurus punctatus*) fry and fingerlings exposed to *Edwardsiella ictaluri*, Fish Shellfish
 Immunol 9(8) (1999) 579-589. https://doi.org/10.1006/fsim.1999.0215.
- [61] I. Mulero, M.P. Sepulcre, I. Fuentes, A. García-Alcázar, J. Meseguer, A. García-Ayala,
 V. Mulero, Vaccination of larvae of the bony fish gilthead seabream reveals a lack of
 correlation between lymphocyte development and adaptive immunocompetence, Molecular
 Immunology 45(10) (2008) 2981-2989. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2008.01.017.
- [62] H.M. Munang'andu, B.N. Fredriksen, S. Mutoloki, R.A. Dalmo, O. Evensen, Antigen
 dose and humoral immune response correspond with protection for inactivated infectious
 pancreatic necrosis virus vaccines in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L), Vet Res 44(1) (2013)
 7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1297-9716-44-7</u>.
- [63] H.M. Munang'andu, S. Mutoloki, O. Evensen, Acquired immunity and vaccination
 against infectious pancreatic necrosis virus of salmon, Dev Comp Immunol 43(2) (2014)
 184-96. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2013.08.008</u>.
- [64] H.M. Munang'andu, S. Mutoloki, O. Evensen, An Overview of challenges limiting the
 design of protective mucosal vaccines for finfish, Front. Immunol. 6 (2015) 542.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2015.00542</u>.
- [65] R. Busch, Polyvalent vaccines in fish: the interactive effects of multiple antigens, Dev.Biol. Stand. 90 (1997) 245-256.
- [66] S. Nikoskelainen, S. Verho, S. Järvinen, J. Madetoja, T. Wiklund, E.-M. Lilius, Multiple
 whole bacterial antigens in polyvalent vaccine may result in inhibition of specific responses
 in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss), Fish Shellfish Immunol 22(3) (2007) 206-217.
- [67] K.R. Villumsen, I. Dalsgaard, L. Holten-Andersen, M.K. Raida, Potential role of specific
 antibodies as important vaccine induced protective mechanism against *Aeromonas salmonicida* in rainbow trout, PLoS One 7(10) (2012).
- 741 <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0046733</u>.
- 742 [68] Y. Santos, S. Garcia-Marquez, P.G. Pereira, F. Pazos, A. Riaza, R. Silva, A. El Morabit,
- F.M. Ubeira, Efficacy of furunculosis vaccines in turbot, *Scophthalmus maximus* (L.):
- evaluation of immersion, oral and injection delivery, J Fish Dis 28(3) (2005) 165-72.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2761.2005.00610.x.
- [69] M.R. Arkoosh, J.P. Dietrich, M.B. Rew, W. Olson, G. Young, F.W. Goetz, Exploring the efficacy of vaccine techniques in juvenile sablefish, *Anoplopoma fimbria*, Aquaculture
- 748 Research 49(1) (2018) 205-216. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/are.13449</u>.
- 749

750

751 Supplementary Tables

752

Supplementary Table 1. Vaccination doses (mL) are given below including calculated and
 actual amounts for small and large cohorts.

Size (g) at vaccination	Vaccination status	Fish number	Biomass at vaccination (kg)	Tank (L)	Actual vaccine volume (mL)
0.5	Vaccinated	207.0	0.10	141.0	39.0
0.5	Mock	272.0	0.14	159.0	44.0
1.5	Vaccinated	207.0	0.31	165.0	46.0
1.5	Mock	272.0	0.41	151.0	42.0
2.5	Booster vaccinated	210.0	0.32	155.0	43.0
2.5	Booster mock	275.0	0.41	151.0	42.0

755

Supplementary Table 2. Standard curves quality testing on transformed plasmids containing target and housekeeping genes (*IgM*, *MHC II*, β -actin and *ELFa*). Table contains the Cp upper and lower limit, efficiency and slope of the each assay.

Target gene	Cp lower / upper limit	Efficiency	Slope
MHC II	10.51 / 33.7	1.958	-3.437
lgM	9.99 / 34.1	1.929	-3.504

	β-actin	10.4 / 34.6	1.976	-3.381
	ELFα	10.5 / 32.3	1.924	-3.519
759				

761 Supplementary Figures



Supplementary Figure 1. Challenge of ballan wrasse juveniles with atypical Aeromonas
salmonicida vapA type V in small aerated aquaria (8 L).

769	Highli	ghts
770		
771 772 773 774 775 776	• • •	Autogenous vaccine offers no protection in farmed ballan wrasse at 0.5 g - 1.5 g against atypical <i>Aeromonas salmonicida</i> (a <i>As</i>) <i>vapA</i> V. Immersion vaccination for a <i>As</i> should be performed at bigger sizes > 1.5 g. Onset of adaptive immune genes responses reported for first time. MHC II and IgM transcript were first reported at 35 and 75 days post hatch.
777		