

1 Short communication

2 **Serological analysis of historical field samples reveals major inconsistency between PCR**
3 **and antibody ELISA for establishing KHV infection status of groups and individual koi**

4 Sean J. Monaghan^{1*}, Diana Chee^{1,2}, Alexandra Adams¹, Sven M. Bergmann³, Shin M.
5 Chong⁴, Jing Chen², Kim D. Thompson⁵

6

7 *¹Institute of Aquaculture, School of Natural Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling, FK9*

8 *4LA, Scotland UK; ²National Parks Board, Ministry of National Development, Singapore*

9 *Botanic Gardens, Singapore; ³Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut, Insel Reims, Greifswald, Germany;*

10 *⁴Virology Section, Animal Health Laboratory Department, Laboratories Group, Agri-Food*

11 *and Veterinary Authority of Singapore, Singapore; ⁵Moredun Research Institute, Pentlands*

12 *Science Park/Bush Loan, Penicuik, Midlothian, SCOTLAND, UK*

13

14

15

16

17 **¹ Corresponding author:** Sean J. Monaghan, Institute of Aquaculture, School of Natural

18 Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling, FK9 4LA, Scotland, UK

19

20 **Running head:** Discrepancies between ELISA and PCR testing for KHV in the field

21

22

23 **Abstract**

24 Koi herpesvirus (KHV) is the causative agent of a highly infectious and notifiable disease of
25 *Cyprinus carpio* L. Serology has the potential to identify koi or carp that have been
26 previously exposed to KHV and which may be possible carriers of the virus. In the present
27 study, sera (n=162) from groups of farmed koi carp, previously screened for KHV using a
28 variety of molecular methods as part of a surveillance program in Asia from 2008-2010, were
29 subsequently tested here individually by ELISA using plates coated with purified virus
30 (American isolate KHV-I, H361). Only 31% of koi from PCR-positive KHV fish groups or
31 populations associated with KHV disease (n=59/162) were seropositive when screened in the
32 ELISA at a serum dilution of 1/200, in contrast to 52.9% of seropositive koi that were KHV-
33 negative by PCR (n=103/162). Furthermore >34% of those seropositive/PCR negative fish
34 had titres of >1/400 (moderate-strong responders). This field data highlights the concerns
35 related to carp populations that have been screened for KHV using molecular methods alone
36 and supports the need for serology to accompany molecular testing in carp for this notifiable
37 virus.

38

39 **Keywords:** CyHV-3, serology, antibodies, ELISA, koi herpesvirus, field cases

40

41 **Abbreviations:** ARF, Aquatic Research facility; BCA, bicinchoninic acid assay; BSA,
42 bovine serum albumin; CEFAS, Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science;
43 CyHV-3, CCB, common carp brain cells; CPE, cytopathic effect; Cyprinid herpesvirus 3;
44 DNA, deoxyribonucleic acid; DPBS, Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline; EMEM, Eagle's
45 minimum essential medium; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbant assay; FBS, foetal
46 bovine serum; HRP, horse radish peroxidase; HSWB, high salt wash buffer; IoA, Institute of
47 Aquaculture; KF-1, Koi fin cells; KHV, Koi herpesvirus; KHVD, Koi herpesvirus disease;

48 LSWB, low salt wash buffer; Mab, monoclonal antibody; NEAA, non-essential amino acids;
49 OD, optical density; OIE, Office International des Epizooties; PCR, polymerase chain
50 reaction; qPCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction; SNT, serum neutralisation test;
51 TCID, tissue culture infectious dose; TE buffer, Tris-sodium chloride buffer; TMB, 3,3',5,5'-
52 Tetramethylbenzidine.

53 **1. Introduction**

54 Koi herpesvirus (KHV), taxonomically classified as Cyprinid herpesvirus 3 (CyHV-
55 3), is an *Alloherpesvirus* of the order *Herpesvirales* (Waltzek et al., 2005; Davison et al.,
56 2009) and the causative agent of the highly infectious and lethal disease of Koi (*Cyprinus*
57 *carpio* L.), koi herpesvirus disease (KHVD) (Hedrick et al., 2000). Koi herpes virus has
58 affected not only farmed carp (Gotesman et al., 2013), but also fisheries and wild carp stocks
59 (Uchii et al., 2009; Taylor et al., 2010).

60 A number of temperature manipulation studies have demonstrated latent- and / or
61 persistent-like infection of KHV (Gilad et al., 2003, 2004; St-Hilaire et al., 2009; Eide et al.,
62 2011; Reed et al., 2014), where low virus levels were detected without notable virus
63 replication. This makes detection of KHV in apparently latently or persistently infected,
64 clinically healthy fish, a challenge (Bergmann et al., 2010; Matras et al., 2012). The rapid
65 spread of KHV worldwide may have been attributed to false negative results following
66 screening of such fish by PCR, due to limitations in the sensitivity of molecular diagnostics
67 for detecting KHV DNA (Bergmann et al., 2010; Matras et al., 2012; Monaghan et al., 2015).
68 An alternative way to identify carrier fish may be through the detection of KHV-specific
69 antibodies in the serum of fish by ELISA, indirectly indicating that the fish is or has been
70 infected with the virus (Bergmann et al., 2017;; OIE, 2019; Soto et al., 2020).

71 The use of ELISA for monitoring the infection status of aquatic animals is rare
72 compared to terrestrial animals (La Patra, 1996; Denzin and Staak, 2000). Health certification
73 based on OIE guidelines for KHVD (OIE, 2019) currently places more emphasis on the use
74 of molecular methods due to difficulties in validating serological methods. Previous ELISAs
75 have not been entirely specific for KHV, especially at serum dilutions of $\leq 1/400$ (Adkison et
76 al., 2005; St-Hilaire et al., 2009), limiting the conclusions that can be drawn from a positive
77 outcome. Laboratory-based studies have highlighted the usefulness of serological diagnostics

78 where molecular methods may fail to detect subclinical infections (Matras et al., 2012; Soto
79 et al., 2020). However, there is limited data published pertaining to comparison of molecular
80 and serological KHV diagnosis discrepancies from field samples.

81 The aim of the present study was to determine the discrepancies between diagnostic
82 testing using antibody serology from a 1/200 serum dilution, and molecular testing from
83 samples of farmed fish groups previously screened by molecular methods. To achieve this,
84 serum samples from a KHV surveillance program conducted in Asia between 2008 and 2010,
85 including 35 independent groups from four different countries, were analysed using a whole
86 virus ELISA.

87

88 **2. Materials and Methods**

89 **2.1 Control fish sera**

90 High titre anti-KHV sera (1/1600), pooled from experimentally infected koi (kindly
91 provided by Dr. Keith Way, Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science;
92 CEFAS), were used as a positive control in the ELISA. This sera was previously used to
93 develop and optimise an ELISA based on whole-purified KHV (St-Hilaire et al., 2009). Sera
94 from mirror carp (30 – 40 g) obtained from a farm with no previous history of KHVD
95 (Hampshire Carp Hatcheries, Hampshire, UK) were used as a negative control. These fish
96 were negative when screened by ELISA (using protocol described below) and qPCR (Gilad et
97 al., 2004) just prior to analyses (within 2 weeks).

98 **2.2 Koi serum samples from Asian surveillance programme**

99 Sera were collected from 162 individual koi imported from China, Japan, Malaysia
100 and Singapore into Singapore by the Animal and Plant Health Laboratories, Agri-Food and
101 Veterinary Authority of Singapore (AVFA Lorong Chencharu, Singapore), between
102 December 2008 and December 2010. Sera used in the present study were kindly provided by

103 Ms. Yahui Wang (AVFA) and included sub-samples taken from a minimum of 30 non-
104 selectively randomly collected fish from each import consignment. The source of country,
105 clinical signs of koi and PCR results from all the cases were also recorded and provided to
106 the University of Stirling prior to ELISA analysis (Table 1). Six organ pools (brain, gill,
107 kidney, intestine, liver and spleen from 5 random non-selectively collected fish) for each
108 submitted case (group of fish), were tested for KHV using a commercial PCR kit (KHV
109 IQ2000 Test kit, GeneReach Biotechnology, Taiwan), the PCR described by Bercovier et al.
110 (2005) and Yuasa et al. (2005) and / or real-time PCR developed by Gilad et al. (2004),
111 providing 5 independent molecular testing outcomes. The analytical groups were divided into
112 2 categorical groups depending on their KHV status. Group 1 consisted of samples taken
113 from fish where KHV DNA had been detected in tissues by PCR as described or where
114 sampled fish had been historically associated with KHV infected fish (PCR positive or
115 clinically sick). Group 2 consisted of fish sampled from regions with no historic association
116 with KHV and where no KHV DNA had been detected in tissues by PCR (Table 1).

117 **2.3 Virus antigen production**

118 Common carp brain cells (CCB cells), derived from brain tissue of common carp, *C. carpio*
119 (Neukirch et al., 1999) were kindly provided by the Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut, Greifswald,
120 Germany. Virus culture and purification was undertaken as previously described (Monaghan
121 et al., 2016) using an American KHV isolate (KHV-I, H361) (Hedrick et al., 2000) with a
122 titre of $10^{4.4}$ TCID₅₀ mL⁻¹. The purified virus (0.9 - 1.4 mg mL⁻¹ - quantified with a Pierce
123 BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, USA) was stored at -70°C.

124 **2.4 ELISA for detecting anti-KHV antibodies**

125 The KHV ELISA protocol used was based on a previously published protocol (St-
126 Hilaire et al., 2009) with modifications. Deviations to the published protocol included the use
127 of Immulon-4 HBX 96-well microtitre plates (ThermoFisher Scientific) coated with 50 µL of

128 purified KHV or BSA (as a negative antigen control) at 0.3 µg per well in 0.05M carbonate-
129 bicarbonate buffer, pH 8.6 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), incubated overnight at 4°C.
130 Wash buffers included low salt wash buffer (LSWB: 0.02 M Trisma base, 0.38 M NaCl,
131 0.05 % Tween-20, pH 7.3) and high salt wash buffer (HSWB: 0.02 M Trisma base, 0.5 M
132 NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20, pH 7.7). Control sera was included as described above.. All test sera
133 were initially tested at 1/200 and 1/400 dilutions. Where remaining sera was available,
134 positive serum samples were re-screened at 1/200, 1/400, 1/800, 1/1600 and 1/3200 dilutions.
135 Fifty µL/well of mouse anti-carp IgM Mab (Aquatic Diagnostics Ltd., Stirling, UK), diluted
136 1:73.3 in 0.1% BSA in PBS, was used as the secondary antibody. The plates were read at 450
137 nm using a Synergy™ HT Multi-Mode Microplate Reader (BioTek Instruments, VT, USA).
138 Fish were considered positive when the OD_{450nm} was > 3 x greater than the mean background
139 OD_{450nm} (negative control wells) of that plate (sensitivity threshold/cut-off).

140 **2.6 Ethics statement**

141 All serological investigations, including anaesthesia and bleeding of fish, were
142 performed with approval from the Animal Welfare and Ethics Review Body (AWERB)
143 Committee of the University of Stirling, UK.

144 **3. Results**

145 When the sera from the groups of fish (defined field cases in Table 1) were screened
146 by ELISA, 54.3% (88/162) were sero-negative, and 45.1% (74/162) were seropositive at a
147 $\geq 1/200$ dilution. Of the seropositive samples, 6.2% were categorised as strong ($\geq 1/800$)
148 responders, 22.2% were moderate (1/400) responders and 16.7% were weak (1/200)
149 responders (Figure 1). Fish were divided into groups based on the PCR results and their
150 associations with KHV-positive sites, 36.4% (59/162) were allocated to **Group 1** (KHV +ve
151 by PCR and associated with infected farms/sites), and 63.6% (103/162) were allocated to
152 **Group 2** (KHV -ve by PCR and no association with infected farms/sites; Table 1). Only 31%

153 of koi from *Group 1* were seropositive (Figure 1B). In contrast, 52.9% of *Group 2* koi were
154 seropositive, although these had previously been reported negative for the presence of KHV
155 DNA by PCR (Figure 1C). Of the seropositive fish from *Group 1*, 12.1% were strong
156 responders, 8.6% were moderate responders and 10.3% were weak responders (Figure 1B).
157 The remaining fish were seronegative / non-responders. The majority of seropositive fish
158 from *Group 2* were moderate (29.8%) or weak responders (20.1%) (Figure 1C), although a
159 number of moderate responders from this group had much higher absorbance values
160 (OD_{450nm}) than the cut-off value when initially tested at a 1/400 dilution, and were later found
161 to be strong seropositive responders when rescreened at a dilution of $>1/1600$ ($n = 9$).
162 Furthermore, a number of fish had absorbance values greater than 2x the cut-off at a dilution
163 of 1/400 ($n= 6$), but were not titrated further due to lack of sera, thus were likely to have also
164 been strong responders (Table 1). Taken together $>34%$ of *Group 2* fish (PCR-ve and no
165 known association with KHV) were moderate/strong responders compared to $<21%$ of *Group*
166 *1* fish (KHV PCR+ve and associated with infected farms/sites).

167 The presence of anti-KHV antibodies was found in a large proportion of the
168 populations (69%) tested by ELISA, while testing negative by PCR (Table 2). When fish with
169 no prior suspicion of KHV infection were screened, more groups of these fish were found to
170 be seropositive (ELISA positive) (23/26) than were PCR positive (4/26) (Table 3).

171 Most populations of fish suspected of KHV infection were PCR positive and
172 seropositive (6/9), although there were two groups that were PCR positive but sero-negative
173 (2/9), and one group that was PCR negative, but seropositive (1/9) (Table 3). This clinically
174 healthy population belonged to group C3, a Malaysian farm previously associated with
175 KHVD in previous years (C1 and C2, see Table 1).

176

177 **4. Discussion**

178 Confirmation of KHV infection in the absence of clinical disease or mortality is still
179 only accepted based on virus detection using a combination of *in situ* hybridisation, PCR and
180 indirect fluorescent antibody test on carp tissues (OIE, 2019). However, 45% of all koi sera
181 screened from Asia against purified KHV by ELISA, in the present study, were seropositive
182 at a 1/200 dilution, despite a lack of clinical signs in the majority of fish (Figure 1A, Table 1,
183 2). This data supported the belief that KHV was present in apparently healthy koi populations
184 in Singapore and Malaysia in 2008, as previously reported for fish in Japan (Sano et al.,
185 2004). Nonetheless, many of the cases from the Asian surveillance program would otherwise
186 have been classified as sero-negative using published ELISA protocols at the time of
187 analysis. These protocols used high cut-off dilutions in order to prevent detection of cross-
188 reacting antibodies resulting from closely related aquatic herpesviruses such as CyHV-1 or
189 CyHV-2 and/or non-specific natural antibodies (Adkison et al., 2005; St-Hilaire et al., 2009;
190 Taylor et al., 2010). This represents a high level of risk of misclassifying latently infected fish
191 with low antibody titres.

192 The difference between KHV-specific antibody responses in fish from **Groups 1** and
193 **2** in the Asian surveillance program highlights the fact fish from the field are insufficiently
194 screened for KHV using molecular methods alone, which is commonly practiced.
195 Importantly, when ELISA testing was conducted on fish samples from farms with a previous
196 association with KHV, or suspected positive populations, those groups were subsequently
197 determined to be positive by either PCR, ELISA or both (Table 2). However, ELISA
198 screening of fish with no prior knowledge of their KHV-status, showed 23/26 groups to be
199 KHV-seropositive compared to only 4/26 by PCR (Table 3). Many of these seropositive fish
200 were moderate-strong (>1/400) responders, suggesting that these results were unlikely to be
201 due to false-positive reactions (Table 1, Figure 1C). Nonetheless, there were also populations
202 of fish testing positive by PCR that were seronegative, confirming that ELISA testing cannot

203 be substituted for molecular detection of virus DNA. Contrasting results between serological
204 and molecular KHV testing have been reported previously from laboratory-based studies
205 (Matras et al., 2012; Soto et al., 2020). Fish populations that were positive for anti-KHV
206 antibodies by ELISA but negative for KHV DNA by various PCRs, may have resulted from
207 low assay sensitivity (Bergmann et al., 2010; Monaghan et al., 2015). It should be noted that
208 at the time of sampling in 2008, tissue pools were carried out for molecular detection, which
209 is no longer advised (OIE, 2019). Dilution of viral DNA can occur by pooling tissue samples,
210 as some tissues will contain lower concentrations of virus DNA, especially during potential
211 latent or persistent infections (Gilad et al., 2004; Bergmann et al., 2010; Eide et al., 2011).
212 Nonetheless, similar diagnostic discrepancies have been reported when using non-pooled gill
213 and blood samples, and variable detection sensitivities of PCR may also be influenced by
214 water temperatures during clinical KHVD (Matras et al., 2012; Soto et al., 2020).

215 KHV serology has proved useful for epidemiological screening of carp populations in
216 fisheries and farms in the UK (Taylor et al., 2010). Previous studies of naturally exposed fish
217 to KHV have also demonstrated greater numbers of KHV seropositive fish compared to PCR
218 positive fish (Uchii et al., 2009), which indicates that persistent infections (with low viral
219 loads) can be present that are undetected by PCR. Indirect detection of antibodies at a
220 population level is effective, as antibody responses to KHV have been reported to be
221 detectable for more than 1 year following transfer of infected fish to virus-free water (Ronen
222 et al., 2003; Adkison et al., 2005). The high titre antibody responses of some healthy fish
223 from **Group 2** could therefore be long-term responders that had recovered from KHVD and
224 thus lacked detectable viral DNA by PCR.

225 Populations of fish from regions where KHVD outbreaks were present and/or fish
226 were PCR positive, were often sero-negative. These fish may have been non-responders or
227 experienced an acute KHV outbreak prior to sero-conversion, similar to findings from

228 experimental bath challenges (Matras et al., 2012). Nonetheless, at a population level, the use
229 of ELISA appears to complement molecular testing as positive detection of only a single fish
230 provided an indication of previous exposure of that farm to KHV where viral DNA was no
231 longer present at sufficient levels for PCR detection. Therefore, control programs should
232 implement antibody testing of small sub-samples of fish sera, avoiding pooling, in order to
233 reliably screen for previous exposure of the population to KHV. More recently an ELISA
234 based on fractionated KHV antigens (50% sucrose gradient fraction of purified virions) has
235 avoided problems with antibody cross-reactivity with other aquatic herpesviruses (Bergmann
236 et al., 2017), possibly due to removal of cross-reacting antigens, and this may facilitate more
237 reliable and sensitive KHV sero-surveillance in order to improve KHVD control programs.

238

239 **5. Conclusions**

240 In conclusion, the results from the field samples analysed here, support previously
241 reported laboratory-based results indicating antibody detection for KHV to be a valuable
242 complementary tool for surveillance of KHV in conjunction with molecular methods, and
243 should be applied routinely for control before transfer of fish to KHV negative sites and for
244 management of KHVD in carp aquaculture.

245

246 **Acknowledgements**

247 Thanks to Dr. Keith Way (CEFAS) for supplying the positive control anti-sera. A special
248 thanks to the Agri-Food and Veterinary Authority of Singapore (AVA) for participating in
249 the study and providing material and data from the surveillance program carried out in
250 Singapore between 2008-2010. Many thanks in particular to Ms. Yahui Wang (AVA) for
251 providing the koi sera from the surveillance programme. This study was funded by Merck
252 Animal Health and the University of Stirling.

253

254 **Declaration of conflicts of interest**

255 All authors declare there are no potential conflicts of interest regarding the research,
256 authorship or publication of this work.

257

258 **Funding**

259 This study was funded by MSD Animal Health and the University of Stirling.

260

261 **References**

- 262 1. Adkison, M.A., Gilad, O., Hedrick, R.P. 2005. An enzyme linked immunosorbent assay
263 (ELISA) for detection of antibodies to the koi herpesvirus (KHV) in the serum of koi
264 *Cyprinus carpio*. Fish Pathol. 40, 53-62.
- 265 2. Bercovier, H., Fishman, Y., Nahary, R., Sinai, S., Zlotkin, A., Eyngor, M., Gilad, O.,
266 Eldar, A., Hedrick, R.P. 2005. Cloning of the koi herpesvirus (KHV) gene encoding
267 thymidine kinase and its use for a highly sensitive PCR based diagnosis. BMC
268 Microbiology 5, 1–9.
- 269 3. Bergmann, S.M., Riechardt, M., Fichtner, D., Lee, P., Kempfer, J. 2010. Investigation on
270 the diagnostic sensitivity of molecular tools used for detection of Koi herpesvirus. J.
271 Virol. Methods 163, 229-233.
- 272 4. Bergmann, S.M., Wang, Q., Zeng, W., Li, Y., Wang, Y., Matras, M., Reichart, M.,
273 Fichtner, D., Lenk, M., Morin, T., Olesen, N.J., Skall, H.F., Lee, P.-Y., Zheng, S.,
274 Monaghan, S., Reiche, S., Fuchs, W., Kotler, M., Way, K., Bräuer, G., Böttcher, K.,
275 Kappe, A., Kielpinska, J. 2017. Validation of a KHV antibody enzyme-linked
276 immunosorbant assay (ELISA). J. Fish Dis. 40, 1511-1527.

- 277 5. Davison, A.J., Eberle, R., Ehlers, B., Hayward, G.S., McGeoch, D.J., Minson, A.C.,
278 Pellet, P.E., Roizman, B., Studdert, M.J., Thiry E. 2009. The order Herpesvirales. Arch.
279 Virol. 154, 171-177.
- 280 6. Denzin, N., Staak, C. 2000. Fish immunoglobulin – A sero-diagnostician’s perspective.
281 Bull. Eur. Assoc. Fish Pathol. 20, 60-64.
- 282 7. Eide, K.E., Miller-Morgan, T., Heidel, J.R., Kent, M.L., Bildfell, R.J., LaPatra, S.,
283 Watson, G., Jin, L. 2011. Investigation of koi herpesvirus latency in koi. J. Virol. 85,
284 4954-4962.
- 285 8. Gilad, O., Yun, S., Adkison, M.A., Way, K., Willits, N.H., Bercovier, H., Hedrick, R.P.
286 2003. Molecular comparison of isolates of an emerging fish pathogen, koi herpesvirus,
287 and the effect of water temperature on mortality of experimentally infected koi. J. Gen.
288 Virol. 84, 2661-2668.
- 289 9. Gilad, O., Yun, S., Zagmutt-Vergara, F.J., Leutenegger, C.M., Bercovier, H., Hedrick,
290 R.P. 2004. Concentrations of a Koi herpesvirus (KHV) in tissues of experimentally
291 infected *Cyprinus carpio* koi as assessed by real-time TaqMan PCR. Dis. Aquat. Org. 60,
292 179-187.
- 293 10. Gotesman, M., Kattlun, J., Bergmann, S.M., El-Matbouli, M. 2013. CyHV-3: the third
294 cyprinid herpesvirus. Dis Aquat Org. 105, 163-174.
- 295 11. Hedrick, R.P., Gilad, O., Yun, S., Spangenberg, J.V., Marty, G.D., Nordhausen, R.W.,
296 Kebus, M.J., Bercovier, H., Eldar, A. 2000. A herpesvirus associated with mass mortality
297 of juvenile and adult koi, a strain of common carp. *J. Aquat. Anim. Health* 12, 44-57.
- 298 12. La Patra, S.E. 1996. The use of serological techniques for virus surveillance and
299 certification of finfish. Annu. Rev. Fish Dis. 6, 15-28.

- 300 13. Matras, M., Antychowicz, J., Castric, J., Bergmann, S.M. 2012. CyHV-3 infection
301 dynamics in common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) – evaluation of diagnostic methods. Bull.
302 Vet. Inst. Pulawy 56, 127-132.
- 303 14. Monaghan, S.J., Thompson, K.D., Adams, A., Bergmann, S.M. 2015. Sensitivity of
304 seven PCRs for early detection of koi herpesvirus in experimentally infected carp,
305 *Cyprinus carpio* L., by lethal and non-lethal sampling methods. J. Fish Dis. 38, 303-319.
- 306 15. Monaghan, S.J., Thompson, K.D., Bron, J.E., Bergmann, S.M., Jung, T.S., Aoki, T.,
307 Muir, K.F., Dauber, M., Reiche, S., Chee, D., Chong, S.M., Chen, J., Adams, A. 2016.
308 Expression of immunogenic structural proteins of cyprinid herpesvirus 3 in vitro
309 assessed using immunofluorescence. Vet. Res. 47, 8.
- 310 16. Neukirch, M., Böttcher, K., Bunnajirakul, S. 1999. Isolation of a virus from koi with
311 altered gills. Bull. Eur. Assoc. Fish Pathol. 19, 221-224.
- 312 17. OIE (Office International des Epizooties); World Organisation for Animal Health. Koi
313 herpesvirus disease. Manual of diagnostic tests for aquatic animals. 2019. Chapter 2.3.7.
314 https://www.oie.int/index.php?id=2439&L=0&htmfile=chapitre_koi_herpesvirus.htm.
- 315 18. Perelberg, A., Ilouze, M., Kotler, M., Steinitz, M. 2008. Antibody response and resistance
316 of *Cyprinus carpio* immunised with Cyprinid herpes virus 3 (CyHV-3). Vaccine 26, 3750-
317 3756.
- 318 19. Reed, A.N., Izume, S., Dolan, B.P., LaPatra, S., Kent, M., Dong, J., Jin L. 2014.
319 Identification of B cells as a major site for Cyprinid herpesvirus 3 latency. J. Virol. 88,
320 9297-9309.
- 321 20. Ronen, A., Perelberg, A., Abramowitz, J., Hutoran, M., Tinman, S., Bejerano, I.,
322 Steinitz, M., Kotler, M. 2003. Efficient vaccine against the virus causing a lethal disease
323 in cultured *Cyprinus carpio*. Vaccine 21, 4677-4684.

- 324 21. Sano, M., Ito, T., Kurita, J., Yanai, T., Watanabe, N., Miwa, S., Iida, T. 2004. First
325 detection of Koi herpesvirus in cultured common carp *Cyprinus carpio* in Japan. Fish
326 Pathol. 39,165-167.
- 327 22. Soto, E., Tamez-Trevino, E., Yazdi, Z., Stevens, B.N., Yun, S., Martínez-López, B.,
328 Burges, J. 2020. Non-lethal diagnostic methods for Koi herpesvirus in koi *Cyprinus*
329 *carpio*. Dis. Aquat. Org. 138, 195-205.
- 330 23. St-Hilaire, S., Beevers, N., Joiner, C., Hedrick, R.P., Way, K. 2009. Antibody response
331 of two populations of common carp, *Cyprinus carpio* L, exposed to koi herpesvirus. J.
332 Fish Dis. 32, 311-320.
- 333 24. Taylor, N., Dixon, P., Jeffery, K., Peeler, E., Denham, K., Way, K. 2010. Koi
334 herpesvirus: distribution and prospects for control in England and Wales. J. Fish Dis. 33,
335 221-230.
- 336 25. Uchii, K., Matsui, K., Iida, T., Kawabata, Z. 2009. Distribution of the introduced
337 cyprinid herpesvirus 3 in a wild population of common carp, *Cyprinus carpio* L. J. Fish
338 Dis. 32, 857-864.
- 339 26. Waltzek, T.B., Kelley, G.O., Stone, D.M., Way, K., Hanson, L., Fukuda, H., Hirono, I.,
340 Aoki, T., Davison, A.J., Hedrick R.P., 2005. Koi herpesvirus represents a third cyprinid
341 herpesvirus (CyHV-3) in the family Herpesviridae. J. Gen. Virol. 86, 1659-1667.
- 342 27. Yuasa, K., Sano, M., Kurita, J., Ito, T., Iida, T., 2005. Improvement of a PCR method with
343 the Sph 1-5 primer set for the detection of koi herpesvirus (KHV). Fish Pathol. 40, 37-39.
344

345 28. **Figures**

346 **Figure 1. Antibody responses of koi carp to koi herpesvirus (KHV) in serum samples**
347 **originating from a KHV surveillance programme in Asia (2008-2010)**

348 (A) Cumulative antibody responses following screening by KHV antibody ELISA (n=162);

349 (B) Antibody responses of koi in **Group 1** (KHV +ve by PCR and associated with infected

350 farms/sites) (n=59); (C) Antibody responses of koi in **Group 2** (KHV –ve by PCR and no

351 association with infected farms/sites) (n=103). Bars represent different categories depending

352 on antibody titre of responders designated as: strong ($\geq 1/800$), moderate (1/400), weak

353 (1/200), or negative at a 1/200 dilution. Sera screened against purified KHV virus by ELISA.

Table 1. Diagnostic grouping of fish extrapolated from Koi herpesvirus (KHV) surveillance program conducted by Agri-Food and Veterinary Authority of Singapore (AVFA), 2008 – 2010.

Fish group (Case; C) ID and consignm ent date	Clinical signs	Source Country	PCR Results and primers used on groups (n=5) of koi	Fish ID (no.)	ELISA positive fish at 1/200 from each consignment group	Highest measured antibody titre (*sera with higher titre when re- screened further or high ODs at 1/400)	KHV exposure group
C1 (12/08)	Clinically healthy koi.	Malaysia	Positive by IQ2000 test kit & Bercovier TK primers.	1-3 (n=3)	2/3	1/800 (*1/12800)	1
C2 (12/08)	Diseased koi. Reddened skin and loose scales with ulcerated body, pale gills and cloudy eyes.	Malaysia	Positive by IQ2000 test kit	4-7 (n=4)	1/4	1/200	1
C3 (03/09)	Clinically healthy koi associated with C1 and C2.	Malaysia	Negative by Bercovier TK primers.	8-15 (n=8)	3/8	1/800	1
C4 (03/09)	Diseased. Koi were thin with reddened, dry skin, cloudy and sunken eye.	Malaysia	Positive by Bercovier TK primers.	16-27 (n=12)	12/12	1/400 (* high OD ₄₅₀)	1
C5 (03/09)	Clinically healthy koi.	Malaysia	Positive by IQ2000 test kit and Yuasa-Grey Sph primers.	28-29 (n=2)	0/2	-	1

C6 (03/09)	Diseased koi with reddened skin, loose scales and pale gills.	Malaysia	Positive by IQ2000 test kit and Yuasa-Grey Sph primers.	30-31 (n=2)	0/2	-	1
C7 (03/09)	Diseased koi.	Unknown	Positive by Bercovier TK and Yuasa-Grey Sph primers.	32-36 (n=5)	1/5	1/400	1
C8 (04/09)	Clinically healthy when sampled but all 30 koi were dead on arrival. Pale gills and ascitic fluid in abdomen.	Malaysia	Positive by Bercovier TK and Yuasa-Grey Sph primers.	37-41 (n=5)	3/5	1/400	1
C9 (04/09)	Clinically healthy koi, 2 out of 30 koi dead on arrival.	Malaysia	Positive by Bercovier TK and Yuasa-Grey Sph primers.	42-46 (n=5)	0/5	-	1
C10 (04/09) - C11 (03/09)	Clinically healthy koi.	Malaysia	Positive by Bercovier TK primers.	47-53 (n=7)	3/6; 1/1	1/400 (*1/1600)	1
C12 (04/09)	Clinically healthy koi.	Malaysia	Negative by IQ2000 test kit and Yuasa-Grey Sph primers.	54-63 (n=10)	6/10	1/400 (* high OD ₄₅₀)	2
C13 (04/09)	Clinically healthy koi.	Malaysia	Negative by Bercovier TK primers.	64-65 (n=2)	2/2	1/400	2

C14 (04/09) – C15 (05/09)	Clinically healthy koi.	Japan	Negative by Bercovier TK primers.	66-79 (n=14)	2/4; 3/10	1/400 (* high OD ₄₅₀)	2
C16 (01/10)	Clinically healthy koi.	China	Negative by Bercovier TK primers.	80 (n=1)	1/1	1/400	2
C17 (07/10)	Clinically healthy koi.	Japan	Negative by Bercovier TK primers.	81-86 (n=6)	2/6	1/400	2
C18 (05/09)	Clinically healthy koi with pale gills.	Malaysia	Negative by Bercovier TK primers.	87 (n=1)	1/1	1/200	2
C19 (07/10)	Clinically healthy koi.	Malaysia	Negative by Bercovier TK primers.	88-94 (n=7)	7/7	1/400	2
C20 (07/10)	Clinically healthy koi.	Singapore	Negative by Bercovier TK primers.	95-98 (n=4)	4/4	1/400 (* high OD ₄₅₀)	2
C21-C23 (07/10)	Clinically healthy koi.	Japan	Negative by Bercovier TK primers.	99-118 (n=20)	2/3; 7/8; 3/9	1/400	2
C24 (07/10)- C26 (11/10)	Clinically healthy koi.	Malaysia	Negative by Bercovier TK primers.	119-132 (n=14)	1/9; 2/2; 3/3	1/400 (* high OD ₄₅₀)	2

C27 (11/10)	Diseased koi with abnormal swimming, showing respiratory distress and pale gills. Reddening of the body and mouth seen.	Singapore	Positive by real-time TaqMan PCR.	133-135 (n=3)	2/3	1/400	1
C28 (11/10)- C30 (12/10)	Clinically healthy koi.	Singapore	Negative by Bercovier TK primers.	136-144 (n=9)	2/3; 1/3; 0/3	1/400	2
C31 (12/10)	Diseased koi.	Singapore	Positive by real-time TaqMan PCR.	145-147 (n=3)	0/3	-	1
C32 (12/10)	Clinically healthy koi.	Malaysia	Negative by real-time TaqMan PCR.	148-150 (n=3)	1/3	1/400	2
C33 (12/10)	Clinically healthy koi.	Singapore	Negative by real-time TaqMan PCR.	151-152 (n=2)	1/2	1/400	2
C34 (12/10)	Clinically healthy koi.	Malaysia	Negative by real-time TaqMan PCR.	153-154 (n=2)	1/2	1/200	2
C35 (11/10)	Clinically healthy koi.	Japan	Negative by real-time TaqMan PCR.	155-162 (n=8)	3/8	1/400	2

(* high OD₄₅₀)

Table 2. Results of PCR and ELISA screening of healthy or diseased koi from a koi herpesvirus surveillance programme in Asia (Agri-Food and Veterinary Authority of Singapore (AVFA), 2008 – 2010

	Clinically diseased fish group (8/35)	Clinically healthy fish group (27/35)	ELISA +ve	ELISA -ve
PCR positive group (n=6 organ pools from 5 fish per group; n=11/35 groups)	8 (19/39 fish seropositive)	4 (6/12 fish seropositive)	8	3
PCR negative group (n=6 organ pools from 5 fish per group; n=24/35 groups)	0	23 (58/111 fish seropositive)	22	2
ELISA +ve	5	25		
ELISA -ve	3	2		

PCR testing included protocols according to IQ2000 Test kit, Yuasa et al. (2005), Bercovier et al. (2005) and Gilad et al. (2004). The ELISA applied was a whole KHV antigen ELISA based on previously published protocols according to St-Hilaire et al. (2009) with modifications.

Table 3. Results for screening of 35 groups of koi carp for KHV genome in tissues (PCR) and anti-KHV antibodies in plasma (ELISA).

	No. of groups from a farm/region with historic association with KHV (no. seropositive fish)		No. of groups from a farm/region without historic association with KHV (no. seropositive fish)		
	PCR +VE	PCR-VE	PCR+VE	PCR-VE	TOTAL
ELISA +VE	6 (11/32)	1 (3/8)	2 (4/7)	21 (55/100)	30 (73/147)
ELISA -VE	2 (0/5)	0 (0)	2 (0/7)	1 (0/3)	5 (0/15)
TOTAL	8	1	4	22	35 (162)

Note only ELISA data available for individual fish. PCR detection was based on tissue pools (n=6) of 5 random non-selectively collected fish from the respective group.

PCR testing included protocols according to IQ2000 Test kit, Yuasa et al. (2005), Bercovier et al. (2005) and Gilad et al. (2004).

The ELISA applied was a whole KHV antigen ELISA based on previously published protocols according to St-Hilaire et al. (2009) with modifications.



