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SUMMARY:
The manuscript and associated video demonstrate a percutaneous biopsy technique to obtain samples of subcutaneous adipose tissue from areas surrounding the umbilicus. This method is a low-risk and efficient way to investigate a range of parameters (e.g., gene or protein expression, enzyme activity, lipid content) within adipose tissue.

ABSTRACT:
Studies on adipose tissue are useful in understanding metabolic and other conditions. Human subcutaneous adipose tissue is accessible. With appropriate training and strict adherence to aseptic technique, subcutaneous adipose samples can be safely and efficiently obtained in a non-clinical setting by researchers. Following the administration of local anesthetic lateral to the umbilicus, a 14 G needle attached to a 5 or 10 mL syringe is inserted through the skin into the subcutaneous tissue. Under suction, the syringe is moved in a reciprocating, slicing motion to isolate fragments of adipose tissue. Withdrawing the plunger is enough to ensure that adipose tissue fragments are aspirated through the needle into the syringe. A single biopsy can collect about 200 mg of tissue. This biopsy technique is very safe for both participants and research staff. Following the biopsy, participants can resume most everyday activities, although they should avoid swimming and overly strenuous activities for 48 h to avoid excessive bleeding. Participants can safely undergo 2 biopsies within a single day, meaning that the technique can be applied in before-after acute intervention studies.

INTRODUCTION:
Adipose tissue can provide useful information on the metabolic function of humans. Human subcutaneous adipose tissue is readily accessible. A technique for subcutaneous adipose tissue
extraction was first described in the mid-80s\(^1\); since then, the initial protocol has been improved to increase the yield and improve study participant tolerability. Subcutaneous adipose tissue can be obtained from numerous sites, most commonly from the glutei\(^1\) and abdominal area\(^2\). Samples from the latter may be more desirable as they provide more valuable information in metabolic disease-related contexts\(^3\).

Subcutaneous adipose tissue biopsy using the mini-liposuction method can be safely and efficiently performed in a non-clinical setting. Following appropriate training by a board-certified physician and using strict aseptic technique, researchers can routinely perform these biopsies with minimal risk to both participant and investigators. The biopsy team must consist of at least 2 individuals: the person who will perform the biopsy and an assistant.

The person responsible for the biopsy is tasked with confirming the participant’s identity, checking the participant can safely undergo the procedure (see protocol steps 2.1–2.3 below), ensuring the participant is comfortable throughout the procedure, ensuring sterile technique is maintained throughout the procedure, carrying out the procedure, and providing the participant with verbal and written after-care procedures. The assistant’s role is to handle and rapidly process the adipose tissue obtained for later analysis and/or storage. The assistant also helps by being the “non-sterile hands” and ensuring the participant is at ease throughout the procedure. The purpose of this video and paper is to describe the step-by-step biopsy procedure to safely obtain subcutaneous adipose tissue from the abdominal area.

**PROTOCOL:**

**NOTE:** The University of Stirling NHS, Invasive, or Clinical Research Committee approved the biopsy procedure described below. All research studies using this procedure must be approved by the appropriate independent ethics committee. The biopsy taker must have completed formal training in the described technique in accordance with their institution’s requirements. Typically, this involves observing a demonstration of the described adipose tissue biopsy technique by a board-certified physician, followed by supervised practice. Once the trainee has performed 10 practice adipose tissue biopsies on volunteer subjects under supervision, they will be examined by a board-certified physician to ensure good knowledge and practice of the procedure. The board-certified physician then provides the individual with a signed examination form.

**1. Laboratory room preparation**

1.1. Ensure that the laboratory has an appropriately private room with clean, wipeable non-porous surfaces and a clean, comfortable (preferably non-porous) bed on which the participant may lie supine. Clean all required surfaces for the biopsy procedure using 70% ethanol spray and clean paper towels. Provide clean pillows or cushions to support the participant if required.

1.2. Keep appropriate sharps disposal bins and biohazard waste bags within easy reach of the area where the biopsy is being performed and within easy reach of the person taking the biopsy.
1.3. Prepare the equipment required for the procedure and set up on a freshly cleaned general medical trolley prior to the participant arriving to the laboratory (Figure 1). For a complete list of consumables required, see the Table of Materials.

2. Participant preparation

2.1. Ensure that all participants provide written informed consent prior to undergoing the procedure in accordance with protocols required by their institution's independent ethics committee. Additionally, ask the participants to complete a written questionnaire to ensure they are not allergic to any materials used in the procedure (namely, nickel, chromium, local anesthetic, iodine, shellfish, and plasters).

2.2. Confirm the identity of the participant. Ensure the participant understands the procedure to be carried out and potential secondary effects, including bruising, pain, and infection (Table 1). Gather verbal consent in addition to previously obtained written informed consent.

2.3. Describe to the participant how the procedure will be carried out, with emphasis on how the administration of the anesthetic and biopsy itself will feel. Ensure that the participant is comfortable with proceeding.

NOTE: Local subcutaneous anesthetic will produce a stinging sensation, similar to a bee sting of short duration. Many participants report the anesthetic administration as the most uncomfortable part of the technique. Once the anesthetic has taken effect, the participant should feel no more than a slight tugging sensation during the biopsy.

2.4. Ensure that the participant has no allergies to the local anesthetic (specifically from the amino-amide type, if using lidocaine or similar), certain metals (nickel and chromium), and shellfish (if using iodine-based solutions). Additionally, ensure that the participants are not taking any form of anticoagulant medication.

2.5. Provide the participant with an opportunity to go and empty their bladder if required, to ensure they do not have to interrupt the procedure or experience undue discomfort in step 4.1.

3. Biopsy procedure—instructions for the biopsy taker

3.1. Once the participant is lying in a supine position, identify the biopsy site approximately 5–10 cm lateral to the umbilicus.

NOTE: If the participant is to undergo multiple biopsies on the same day, identify biopsy sites on opposing sides of the umbilicus for each biopsy. This will ensure maximal distance between each biopsy site.

3.2. Wash hands with soap and warm water according to standard medical guidelines.


3.3. Place the sterile sheet on the cleaned trolley or work area, taking care to only touch the outer edges of the sheet.

3.4. Put on sterile surgical gloves using proper aseptic technique. Have the assistant open the rest of the equipment in such a way that it drops onto the prepared sterile sheet without touching/contaminating the equipment. Ensure that the assistant takes care not to touch items when removing tools from their sterile wrappings.

3.5. Instruct the assistant to dispense a small amount of iodine-based solution on some sterile gauze (without oversaturating the gauze) on the work surface.

3.6. Sterilize approximately 5–10 cm² around the chosen biopsy site using the sterile gauze and iodine-based solution. Ensure the skin is cleaned in a spiraling motion moving outward from the proposed biopsy site. Repeat the skin cleaning procedure twice. Remove excess liquid (e.g., running off sterile area) by wiping with fresh sterile gauze.

3.7. Along with the assistant, verbally confirm the content of the local anesthetic vial (2% lidocaine in this protocol) and that this is within its expiry date. Instruct the assistant to hold the opened vial upside down and draw 5 mL of local anesthetic into a syringe, using a 21 G needle. Dispose of the needle into the sharps bin, and ensure the syringe is free of air bubbles.

3.8. Apply a 26 G needle to the syringe and expel any air bubbles. Gently pinch the abdominal skin and adipose tissue, moving it away from the abdominal wall. Then, insert the needle horizontally into the subcutaneous tissue at an angle no greater than 10° relative to the surface of the skin.

3.8.1. Withdraw the syringe’s plunger an additional 0.5 mL (to ensure the needle is not in a blood vessel). If blood appears in the syringe, withdraw and reinsert the needle at a different angle.

3.8.2. Raise a bleb of 2–4 mm diameter to anesthetize the insertion area.

3.8.3. Advance the needle into the subcutaneous tissue and administer ~1 mL of lidocaine in a fan-shaped pattern (Figure 2), taking care to withdraw the plunger each time before injecting the anesthetic.

3.8.4. Remove and dispose of the 26 G needle, apply a 21 G needle to the syringe, expel any air bubbles, and administer the remaining ~4 mL of lidocaine in a fan-shaped pattern (Figure 2), taking care to withdraw the plunger each time before injecting the anesthetic.

3.9. Wait approximately 5 min for the local anesthetic to take effect. Use a sterile scalpel to gently prod the biopsy area to i) ensure the local anesthetic has taken effect and ii) identify the boundaries of the anesthetized area. Wait an additional minute or two and reassess.

3.10. Once satisfied that the local anesthetic is working, gently pinch the skin and adipose tissue
(as in step 3.7) and use a sterile scalpel to make a small 1–2 mm puncture in the skin.

NOTE: This only needs to be large enough to ease the entry of the 14 G needle and must be small enough that no suture is required to close it. It is common for some bleeding to occur from this point onwards, which can be controlled with a piece of sterile gauze.

3.11. First, apply a 14 G needle to a 5 or 10 mL syringe. Then, while gently pinching the skin and adipose tissue, gradually insert the needle through the puncture into the adipose tissue approximately centrally in the anesthetized area and at an angle no greater than 10° relative to the surface of the skin.

NOTE: For all cases of needle advancement in step 3.11, a syringe angle of no greater than 10° must be maintained.

3.11.1. Apply suction by withdrawing the plunger to approximately the 2.5 mL mark. Take the biopsy by moving the needle in a quick backwards and forwards motion to slice fragments of adipose tissue. After approximately 30 s, twist the needle and syringe through 90° and repeat this procedure to break up the fragments of adipose tissue, which are then aspirated into the syringe by the suction.

NOTE: Other syringe sizes can be used. It is essential that the researcher selects a syringe size that permits both a good grip on the syringe and to comfortably maintain plunger retraction for maintenance of the vacuum. Locking syringes are available that maintain the vacuum, which can improve needle control and reduce perceived difficulty for the biopsy taker.

3.11.2. After approximately 45–60 s of step 3.11.1, remove the needle and empty the syringe content onto a layer of gauze covering a weighing boat. Ensure that the lumen of the needle is facing down to avoid potential blood spatter.

3.11.3. Repeat steps 3.11.1 and 3.11.2 for a maximum of 3 times. Check that the participant is content to proceed before each repeat of the above procedure.

3.11.4. Whilst performing steps 3.11.1 and 3.11.2, instruct the assistant to process and prepare the samples for analysis/storage (see section 5).

4. Post-biopsy procedure

4.1. Once a satisfactory sample (i.e., ~200 mg) of adipose tissue has been obtained, place 1–2 layers of sterile gauze over the puncture wound, then place an ice pack over these, and apply firm pressure for approximately 10 min to induce hemostasis.

4.2. When hemostasis has occurred, wipe away any iodine-based solution/dried blood with sterile gauze, and apply an adhesive wound dressing with absorbent pad to the site. Check that the participant feels well and provide verbal and written instructions on biopsy site aftercare.
4.2.1. Emphasize that the participants will likely exhibit some bruising for the next few days. Inform them that this may be substantial, although it is minimized by the ice pack in step 4.1 and will resolve without lasting effects.

4.2.2. Recommend that should the participants feel any discomfort/pain once the anesthetic has worn off, they should take analgesics such as paracetamol following the instructions on the packet but refrain from taking analgesics that have anticoagulant activities (e.g., ibuprofen or aspirin).

4.2.3. Explain that swelling, redness, or discharge from the biopsy site are indications of infection. In the unlikely event that these signs or symptoms occur, instruct the participant to urgently seek medical advice from a doctor or local Accident & Emergency unit. Inform the participant that if they seek medical advice, they must also notify the research team.

NOTE: As research staff, neither the biopsy taker nor the assistant can provide medical advice or treatment; however, it is important that the research team are aware of and record all instances of complications resulting from the biopsy procedure.

4.2.4. Recommend that participants should avoid swimming or overly strenuous activity for 48 h until the site of incision has closed.

4.3. Clear away any used sharps and contaminated materials into designated sharps and/or clinical waste containers.

4.4. Clean all surfaces used in the biopsy procedure using 70% ethanol spray and clean paper towels. Place disposable and non-disposable items of bedding in appropriate clinical bags for disposal or cleaning, respectively.

5. Sample processing—instructions for the assistant

5.1. Use sterile tweezers and 0.9% saline to rinse the adipose tissue sample to remove visible contaminants (i.e., blood, vasculature). Then, weigh the adipose tissue samples using digital scales. Split the tissue into appropriately sized pieces for downstream analysis and place them into appropriate storing tubes using sterile tweezers. Immers the tubes containing the adipose tissue biopsies in liquid nitrogen at -190 °C to flash-freeze until the samples are stored at -80 °C.

NOTE: The assistant must complete sample processing as quickly as possible, typically within 3 min of sample aspiration, to minimize potential sample degradation.

REPRESENTATIVE RESULTS:
The described adipose tissue biopsy procedure is an efficient and low-risk technique for researchers to obtain subcutaneous adipose tissue samples from human volunteers. We performed 39 subcutaneous adipose tissue biopsies using the described procedure in 11 healthy,
normal weight females (age, 27.4 ± 3.3 years; body mass index (BMI), 22.6 ± 1.5 kg.m²). All participants attended the laboratory between 07:00 and 10:00 following an 8–12 h fasting period. Sample yield using this adipose tissue biopsy procedure was 192.0 ± 97.1 mg (range = 32.8–393.6 mg) (Figure 4). We observed no relationship between the biopsy yield and participant BMI (p= 0.643), although the participants’ BMI were all within the healthy weight range (range= 21.1–25.4 kg/m²). Adequate sample weight was typically obtained following 2–3 bouts of tissue collection (i.e., number of repetitions of steps 3.11.1 and 3.11.2). Following adipose tissue biopsies, all participants experienced a bruise, but none experienced excessive pain that was not alleviated by painkillers. Nor were there any other adverse reactions (Table 1). This is consistent with previously reported complication rates for adipose tissue biopsies1,5.

FIGURE AND TABLE LEGENDS:

Figure 1: Materials required for the procedure. (A) The trolley laid out with the materials required for the procedure. (B) Materials arranged on the sterile field. 1: sterile field; 2: sterile gloves; 3: scalpel; 4: 14 G needle; 5: 21 G needle; 6: 26 G needle; 7: 5mL syringe; 8: lidocaine 2%; 9: sterile gauze; 10: adhesive wound dressing; 11: iodine-based solution.

Figure 2: Schematic of the fan-shaped injection sites for administering the local anesthetic. The solid and dotted lines represent where the anesthetic should be administered using the 26 G and 21 G needle, respectively.

Figure 3: Adipose tissue sample yield from healthy, normal weight subjects (n= 39). Bar chart with error bars represent mean ± standard deviation. Circles represent individual data points.

Figure 4: An example of a bruise resulting from an early training attempt.

Table 1: List of complications that may be experienced by participants.

DISCUSSION:
The described protocol and associated video provide a step-by-step overview of a minimiliposuction technique to obtain subcutaneous adipose tissue samples from the abdominal area. This research group has performed a total of 124 biopsies over the course of 19 months with no adverse effects in participants. The procedure is safe and associated with minimum risk to participants or the biopsy team, provided that the described safety measures are followed. Aseptic technique (including opening and dispensing of sterile equipment without contaminating them, appropriately donning/removing sterile gloves, general hand hygiene) must be maintained at all times by the researchers performing the procedure (to minimize the risk of infection to the participant)6. Additionally, disposal of used sharps in an appropriate manner ensures the safety of the researcher and others who handle this waste by reducing the risk of needle-stick injuries7.

Although the procedure can be classed as “low-risk”, there are several critical steps in addition to aseptic technique and appropriate waste disposal that need to be followed to minimize adverse effects. Primarily, participants should confirm that they have no allergies to local anesthetics in the amino amide family (e.g., lidocaine) or the drug family of the local anesthetic
used, certain metals that may be contained in needles (chromium, nickel, and cobalt), and shellfish/iodine if using an iodine-based skin disinfectant solution (step 2.4). As participants may not be familiar with the name of the anesthetic, and as lidocaine is commonly used in dental procedures, it might be helpful to ask whether they have had a reaction to anesthetic administration in that context. Similarly, participants can be asked whether they had allergic reactions to any jewelry/piercings rather than specifically chromium and nickel. Individuals currently on anticoagulants should not undergo the procedure as they are at increased risk of excessive bleeding. Participants routinely taking low-dose aspirin would not preclude participation in the biopsy protocol; however, participants must inform the biopsy taker as this may affect rate of hemostasis. While omega-3 fatty acids supplementation would not preclude the biopsy from being performed, participants should confirm whether such supplements (or fatty-rich fish) are part of their routine diet as this may affect blood viscosity. Prior to commencing the procedure, participants should also be asked whether they have any conditions that might otherwise affect the biopsy. For example, cosmetic surgery (i.e., liposuction) would affect the quantity/quality of tissue sample, and previous scars/tattoo sites should be avoided. Lastly, the biopsy team may want to consider shaving participants with substantial amounts of body hair to make the biopsy area more visible.

When selecting the biopsy area (step 3.1), the researcher should make sure that the site is sufficiently far from the navel (approximately 5–10 cm) as the proximal area is very vascular. Choosing a biopsy site too close to the umbilicus can lead to unnecessarily extensive bruising (e.g., Figure 4). While excessive bruising can be limited by an appropriate choice of biopsy area and the application of an ice pack following the procedure, participants should be informed that some degree of bruising is likely to occur. Within this research group, we anecdotally observed that such contusions dissipate within 3–5 days. In addition, some participants may develop some scar tissue at the biopsy site, presenting as a lump of tissue hard to the touch. Anyone undergoing the biopsy procedure should be made aware that the scar tissue is transient and will resolve itself within 2–3 weeks. To maximize patient tolerability, the researcher should identify the area affected by the local anesthetic (step 3.9): by using a scalpel and gently prodding the biopsy area, the researcher can verbally confirm with the participant that the area has been successfully anesthetized. The limits of the anesthetized area should be confirmed by going beyond the area. Inform the participant that this will be done and that they may feel some very slight discomfort. This is a particularly important step, as placing the biopsy needle in non-anesthetized areas will cause participant discomfort.

The mini-liposuction biopsy technique described here is a low-cost alternative to surgical procedures and does not require specialist tools. Owing to their straightforwardness, these biopsies can be performed routinely with little-to-no problems. The most common issue encountered when performing the adipose tissue sampling is that the shaft of the 14 G needle can become obstructed, preventing adipose tissue aspiration into the syringe. An experienced individual trained in the described biopsy technique will notice the obstruction through changes in the responsiveness of the syringe’s plunger (i.e., “sticks” in place). Should a needle obstruction occur, the researcher is advised in primis to attempt removing the obstruction by forcefully depressing the plunger while the needle bevel is over the weighing boat. If the
obstruction is firmly lodged, the second option is to replace the needle and syringe. After the
procedure, tissue lodged in a needle can be retrieved by pushing sterile saline through the needle.
To prevent sample degradation, the obtained tissue should be cleaned, processed, and stored as
soon as possible following the procedure. To minimize RNA degradation, a stabilization solution
can be utilized at the sample processing step (please refer to the Table of Materials).

The main limitation of this technique is that while it is relatively fast (~15 min for a trained and
experienced individual) and cost-effective, it results in only a moderately sized sample (~200 mg).
Whilst this sample size is typically adequate for various metabolic assays, it is recommended that
the researcher ensures the expected sample yield is sufficient for the intended sample analysis.
The sample yield obtained using the described technique is typically lower than that of surgical
methods; however, larger incision sites used in surgical biopsies cause more discomfort to
participants and may prevent them from engaging in certain day-to-day activities until fully
healed. These techniques are also more likely to discourage participants from enrolling in
research studies and require a trained clinician. A key advantage of the mini-liposuction biopsy
described in this video is that it can be quickly performed in a non-clinical setting by non-medical
researchers. Furthermore, being able to complete multiple biopsies on one participant within the
same day enables researchers to perform acute before-after nutritional/exercise intervention
studies. It should be noted that in the UK, lidocaine administration requires a prescription; a
member of our team is qualified in non-medical prescribing. Local regulations should be checked
before the administration of local anesthetic.

Many research groups have applied the mini-liposuction technique for a variety of research
questions. These include, but are not limited to, providing adipose tissue hormone profiles in
participants with diabetes, quantifying the variation of adipose tissue miRNA expression in
patients with metabolic dysfunction, and assessing nutritional and exercise interventions in
overweight populations. Additionally, the immediate processing of adipose tissue samples
permits; isolation of pre-adipocytes for cell culture; and analysis of ex vivo metabolic
parameters, such as lipolytic rate, hormone secretion, and mitochondrial respiration. It
must be noted that adipose tissue samples obtained via the described technique have high levels
of fragmentation when compared to samples obtained via surgical techniques using a cutting
needle or scalpel. This precludes the successful usage of analytical techniques for the
assessment of architectural and morphological parameters. Should researchers intend to obtain
adipose tissue samples for analysis of architectural and morphological parameters, alternative
methods are associated with reduced tissue fragmentation. Nonetheless, obtaining adipose
tissue samples via the described technique permits the investigation of a broad range of key
physiological processes.

In summary, the present video and paper describe a non-clinical mini-liposuction biopsy
technique to obtain subcutaneous abdominal adipose tissue. With appropriate controls in place,
the method is relatively pain-free, safe, and time-/cost-effective. This biopsy method is
particularly well suited for studies that implement a before-after study design and do not require
large amounts of tissue sample.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>Participant may take analgesics if necessary, following the instructions on the packet (e.g., paracetamol). Participants must refrain from taking analgesics that have anticoagulant activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding</td>
<td>Participant is to be advised that some bleeding is to be expected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruising</td>
<td>Participant is to be advised that bruising is to be expected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scar tissue</td>
<td>Participant is to be advised that the development of some scar tissue at the biopsy site is to be expected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scar tissue</td>
<td>Participant must be informed of all symptoms of an infection at the biopsy site prior to the biopsy. Participants must be instructed to seek medical advice from a doctor or local Accident and Emergency unit should these symptoms occur and notify the research team retrospectively.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Click here to access/download

**Table of Materials**

MaterialsRequired.xlsx
Re: Rebuttal document for manuscript # JoVE62635R1

Dear Dr. Vidhya Iyer,

We would like thank you and the reviewers for the time taken to read our manuscript and for the constructive comments, which we feel are helpful. We have endeavored to address all the concerns raised. We have responded to each comment in turn and indicated, where relevant, how we have amended the manuscript using track changes accordingly.

Yours sincerely,

Dr Thomas Di Virgilio

On behalf of all authors.
AUTHOR RESPONSE TO REVIEWER COMMENTS:

REVIEWER #4 comments:

Manuscript Summary:
The manuscript outlines procedures for collecting a small (200mg) amount of subcutaneous adipose tissue. The procedures are described well and in sufficient detail to follow, including a list of supplies with catalog numbers. The authors also describe the condition of the tissue collected (fragments) and potential uses to give the reader an idea of when the procedure might be used. The authors responded to the comments of the previous reviewers well and have improved the manuscript immensely.

Minor Concerns:
It should be noted that the 14g needle is an economical way of collecting SAT but reference the method that employs the Coleman cannula if investigators can obtain them - the samples are in better condition:
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5491488/

Author response: We thank the reviewer for this comment. We discussed the limitations in possible sample analyses due to tissue fragmentation in the 6th paragraph of the discussion. However, we agree that it would be useful to then signpost the reader to a biopsy sampling technique which permit such analysis. We have now included a sentence to reflect this:
“Should researchers intend to obtain adipose tissue samples for analysis of architectural and morphological parameters, alternative methods are associated with reduced tissue fragmentation\textsuperscript{18}

REVIEWER #5 comments:

\textit{MacGregor et al describe a protocol for harvesting small volumes of human adipose tissue using a needle biopsy. The manuscript is nicely written, but some points need to be considered:}

2. Participant preparation: In this section, it should also be included that the participant understands the potential secondary effects from performing a biopsy, for example, bruising, swelling, scar tissue, etc.

Author response: We thank the reviewer for this comment. We have now included this in section 2.2:

- “Ensure the participant understands the procedure to be carried out and potential secondary effects, including bruising, pain and infection (Table 1).”

3.10 Is there an advantage for using a 5-10 mL syringe instead of for example 20 mL? I would think that the adipose tissue yield would be bigger.

Author response: Whilst a larger syringe increases the vacuum, anecdotally we have not observed divergences in sample yield between 5 to 20 mL syringes. Additionally, larger
syringe volumes may decrease needle control and increase perceived difficulty of the technique for the biopsy taker (Kettwich et al. 2013). Therefore, of primary importance is that the biopsy taker uses a syringe size that allows adequate grip and to comfortably maintain plunger retraction for maintenance of the vacuum; we have observed this is subject to inter-individual variation. In the original manuscript this was discussed in the note following section 3.11.1. We have expanded this point to provide further clarity:

- “NOTE: Other syringe sizes can be used. It is essential that the researcher selects a syringe size which permits both a good grip on the syringe and to comfortably maintain plunger retraction for maintenance of the vacuum.”

3.11.1 The plunger will be under strong pressure during the biopsy. Can you recommend something to keep the plunger locked to relieve the pressure in hand?

Author response: We thank the reviewer for highlighting this point. Whilst the syringe plunger is under pressure during the biopsy, selecting an appropriate size syringe mitigates any issues regarding maintaining plunger retraction during the biopsy. Anecdotally, we have not encountered any issues with maintaining plunger retraction. Although not required to adequately perform the technique, locking syringes can be used. Locking syringes may also improve needle control and reduce perceived difficulty. We have mentioned this in the note following section 11.1:

- “Locking syringes are available that maintain the vacuum, which can improve needle control and reduce perceived difficulty for the biopsy taker.”
5. Sample processing. To minimize variation in gene expression, metabolism, etc, what would be the recommended time frame for sample processing?

Author response: We thank the reviewer for this comment. Samples should be processed immediately; common practice is to complete sample processing within 3 min following aspiration. If the researcher intends to analyze RNA or protein content, RNA later can be used to stabilize adipose tissue during storage. We have provided this additional information in section 5.4 of the methods and 6th paragraph of the discussion:

- “NOTE: The assistant must complete sample processing as quickly as possible, typically within 3 min of sample aspiration, to minimize potential sample degradation.”
- “To minimize RNA degradation, a stabilization solution can be utilized at the sample processing step, such as RNAlater (Thermofisher Scientific, UK) 17.”

Major concern:

One major point that needs to be discussed is the relatively small amount of adipose tissue (about 200 mg), compared to the amount obtained by other trained research groups with a similar needle biopsy (about 5-10 gr). What are the possible reasons for this? 200 mg sounds very little, and it will not allow performing most of the analyses usually performed in adipose tissue, especially metabolic assays.

Author response: We do not agree that the reported yield is small compared to previous publications using this technique in healthy individuals. Other reports using the same
technique report 0.2-0.5 g sample yield in healthy individuals (Campbell et al., 2009; Daum et al., 1978). We are only aware of one report demonstrating a markedly greater sample yield than that of the mini-liposuction technique we describe in this manuscript. Bastard et al. reported 3-15 g when using the mini-liposuction technique, however obese individuals (BMI > 27 kg/m2) were recruited. Sample yield resultant from more invasive techniques, such as the biopsy punch and the non-diathermy technique, are reported to yield between 1 to 1.5 g (Alderete et al., 2015; Chachopoulos et al., 2017). However, these are not directly comparable.

However, for most metabolic assays, 200mg is typically a sufficient tissue volume. For example, extraction of RNA from 100 mg adipose tissue typically yields 3600 ug total RNA, a sufficient concentration for numerous downstream assays (Ciera et al. 2013). However, we acknowledge this will inherently depend on the intended sample analysis. It is therefore recommended that the researcher ensures the expected adipose tissue yield is sufficient for the specific analysis intended. We have updated the text in paragraph 4 of the discussion to reflect this:

- “Whilst this sample size is typically adequate for various metabolic assays, it is recommended that the researcher ensures the expected sample yield is sufficient for the intended sample analysis.”

The author mentions the range of BMI from their volunteers. Is there a recommended BMI to be used as inclusion criteria? Moreover, would it be possible to perform this method in very fit volunteers? It would be helpful to have some criteria for inclusion regarding the adipose tissue in the abdominal area.
Author response: Anecdotal experience from our laboratory does not demonstrate any issues with adipose tissue yield in relation to participants’ BMI. Moreover, other similar techniques have not included BMI in any inclusion or exclusion criteria (Kettwich et al. 2003). Anecdotally, when comparing biopsy yield to normal weight participants (BMI 18-25 kg/m²) we have observed greater adipose tissue yield in overweight (BMI >25 kg/m²) women with a family history of diabetes (Moran et al, 2013). However, we have successfully used this technique in lean individuals, with adequate sample yield obtained. For these reasons, we do not feel it is appropriate to include BMI in any inclusion or exclusion criteria in the manuscript.

Table of materials. The formatting is strange, as the table seems to be split into two pages

Author response:

We thank the reviewer for bringing this to our attention. The table of materials was uploaded as an excel file, and we believe the formatting issue is due to how the editorial manager handles the various files post-submission.