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Introduction

The 5th International Conference on Concussion in Sport defined sport-related concussion (SRC) as “a traumatic brain injury induced by biochemical forces” that “typically results in the rapid onset of short-lived impairment of neurological function that resolves spontaneously.”(1, 2) Potential long-term effects of SRC on developing brains include decreased physical, cognitive, emotional, and sleep health.(3) Untreated or improperly managed SRC can increase the risk of additional concussions, resulting in enhanced potential for severe health consequences.(3)

Approximately 300,000 adolescents sustain a concussion annually while participating in organized athletics in the United States (U.S.) alone.(4) Countries other than the U.S. have not routinely collected epidemiological data, making it difficult to estimate the true incidence of concussions. Several studies reported girls experienced significantly higher concussion rates compared to boys.(4-6) However, other studies have demonstrated little to no sex-related differences when it comes to the rate of concussions.(7-9)

SRC is increasingly recognized as a public health issue (1, 10, 11), resulting in increased media attention, educational efforts, and research.(4, 12) Despite such increased coverage, many SRCs still go unreported because of lack of awareness among coaches, parents, match officials, sports trainers, and athletes themselves.(1)

Due to the severe consequences of SRC, governments and sport governing bodies around the world have enacted numerous measures including changes to legislation,(13, 14) changes to rules of the game, and increased emphasis on coach education.(1) The U.S. enacted a statutory regime, with all U.S. states passing laws regarding SRC. In Scotland, the national agency for sport (SportScotland), devised and disseminated guidance for properly managing concussions across all sports.
Though U.S. laws and Scotland’s guidance have seemingly led to some advances in SRC awareness and management, there is much room for improvement. Generally, the implementation of the U.S. laws has led to key stakeholders (e.g., coaches, parents, athletes, sport administrators, game officials/referees) and the public widely accepting the concussion laws and creation of concussion protocols in high schools, which may be associated with increased reporting of concussions. However, parents and athletes resist following concussion protocols when they perceive that compliance is at odds especially with athletic goals, or when necessary monetary or staffing resources are not available. Many sport stakeholders are not yet adequately informed by the law and require more education. As such, uniformity “on the books” of U.S. concussion laws obscures a tremendous amount of variation of the laws “in practice.”

Effective implementation of concussion laws requires multiple stakeholders using various existing resources. Studies involving nationwide samples suggest that concussion laws are having an impact, but more research is needed to fully understand the true effects of their implementation. Research should focus on theory-driven approaches to educational interventions and program development that encompass multifaceted approaches, including those concerned with policy, community, and sport stakeholders. Additionally, researchers should evaluate policies currently in practice to determine which statutory provisions have the desired impact focusing not only on the letter of the law, but on how individual jurisdictions operationalize the law. This study used a theoretical lens to investigate girls’ youth soccer coaches’ role in implementing concussion laws and regulations.

Coaches play a very important role in recognizing, managing, and encouraging the reporting of concussions. As such, they have a professional and ethical duty to be knowledgeable about concussion safety, as well as to communicate such to their athletes. A coach’s concussion-related education and expertise, along with contextual considerations, such as the importance of the match or the role of the player involved, influence coaching decisions on SRC management. However, a paucity of research examines knowledge translation of
SRC to safe practices in the athletic context under different regulatory schemes. The purpose of the present study helps address this gap by examining factors affecting coaches’ SRC management from a new theoretical perspective.

Legal consciousness is concerned with the contextual considerations in which organizations, social networks, working relationships, and informal interactions influence the behavior of individuals. As such, legal consciousness frameworks may inform coaches’ understanding and responses to concussion and how these might be consistent with, or different to, those that ‘the law’ envisages. On a theoretical level, this study illustrates the implications of the social processes that collectively reconstruct meanings and identities while, on a practical level, explores how coaches’ experiences and attitudes can shape their responses to an athlete who has sustained an injury. Specifically, this study’s fundamental question was: “what is the legal consciousness of girls’ youth soccer coaches regarding concussion management under a statutory legal regime in the U.S. as compared to a non-statutory regime in Scotland?”

United States concussion laws

Washington state passed the first U.S. concussion law, known as the Lystedt law, in July 2009. It contained three essential components: mandatory education of athletes and parents, removal from play at the time of suspected head injury, and return to play only with written permission of a licensed, concussion-trained healthcare provider after a minimum of 24 hours. By 2014, all 50 states and the District of Columbia had similar legislation. However, while the vast majority of states statutes are based on the framework derived from the Lystedt Law, no standardization exists among states.

Statutes vary in youth sport program applicability, the definition of youth sport programs, type of sport activities covered, designated party for removal of athletes, and medical clearance required for athletes to return to play. Most concussion laws address education and training requirements for key youth sport stakeholders, but only five states explicitly require evaluation of the efficacy of coach education or
information requirements. (19) Some concussion statutes provide legal protection for certain parties from civil liability (i.e., ordinary negligence) arising from concussion-related injuries or death provided they acted in good faith and according to the statutory requirements. (14) Statutes vary in their language, but generally do not create new causes of legal action. (13)

Following this first wave of concussion legislation, states began to revisit the issue. (13) Since initial passage, 22 states have amended their laws to: (1) expand coverage of the law (e.g., to include younger grades or recreational sports leagues), (2) tighten or clarify existing requirements, or (3) improve efforts to prevent concussions and improve early detection. (10, 13) Even with these amendments, scholars have pointed out a variety of flaws in the statutes and suggested the laws could improve the scope of coverage, reporting and enforcement mechanisms, provide resources for implementation, place greater emphasis on prevention, and evaluation, and better define concussion. (13, 20) Commentators also observe that these statutes often leave few options for legal redress. (13, 20)

Critics of the U.S. concussion laws recognize uncertainty regarding the law’s implementation and evaluation. (13, 16) Without litigation as a vehicle for accountability, and given the vast majority of statutes do not mandate concussion reporting, anecdotal evidence that the laws are promoting more effective concussion management policies is challenging to validate. (13) Despite such uncertainty, following the enactment of this legislation, studies demonstrated an increase in key stakeholders’ awareness and knowledge regarding SRC, the rate of reporting, and in concussion-related healthcare utilization among children. (3, 4, 12, 15)

Rivara et al. found the majority of high school athletes continued to practice or play while symptomatic, and 40% reported that their coaches were not aware of their concussive symptoms. Coach awareness of athletes’ concussions did not vary significantly by the different modalities for concussion education received by coaches or the coach’s knowledge of the state’s concussion law. (19)
According to a recent systematic review, the level of knowledge regarding identification, initial management, and return to play in SRC was moderate among the majority of coaches and match officials. However, essential gaps in knowledge were still present. (1) Though concussion knowledge is consistently higher amongst those who received education on SRC, dissemination of concussion education among coaches and officials appear to be inadequate despite increasing efforts to increase awareness. (1) These results indicate that current education efforts mandated by U.S. law may not be enough to help athletes and coaches safely manage SRC. (1, 15)

Scotland’s concussion guidance

In an attempt to better guide officials, parents, teachers, and athletes to properly identify and manage concussions, in 2015, medical professionals, the Scottish Government, and SportScotland collaborated to create a single concussion regulation for all sports within the country. (21) In March 2018, Scotland released updates on the guidelines, following an international conference on sport-related concussion in Berlin the previous year. (21) The updated guidelines provide a consistent, accurate, and evidence-based approach regarding concussion management for all Scottish sports. (21) The primary aim of the 2018 version was to deliver a consistent message regarding proper recommendations on concussion safety. (21)

The Scottish Football (Soccer) Association (F.A.) tweeted out a link to the new guidelines; however, it garnered only 29 “likes,” 22 retweets, and two comments, suggesting a lack of public engagement. (22) SportScotland hosts the concussion guidance on its website to which the Scottish F.A. links. (21, 23) However, Scottish Women’s Soccer, which manages most women’s soccer tournaments in Scotland, does not reference the guidelines on their website nor in their documents and downloads. (24)

The United Kingdom’s Football (Soccer) Association (F.A.) and the Professional Footballers’ Association (PFA) funded the FIELD study in 2019 that investigated the incidence of degenerative neurocognitive disease in ex-professional soccer players. (25) Following the publication of the FIELD study, the F.A. established an independently-chaired research task force to guide the governing body on possible changes.
to heading guidance, concussion management protocols, and related research initiatives. The taskforce collaborated with UEFA’s medical committee to update heading guidance for the F.A., the Irish F.A., and the Scottish F.A. to mitigate potential risks from heading. The updated heading guidance, introduced in February 2020, provides grassroots clubs, coaches, and players with recommended heading guidance for training sessions. The guidance purports to help coaches and teachers reduce repetitive and unnecessary heading in youth soccer. The updated heading guidance includes: advice on training for all age groups between under-six and under-18, no heading in practice for primary school children, a graduated approach to heading-related training for children in the development phase between under-12 to under-16, and regulations on ball sizes for training and matches for each age group.

Theoretical framework

Legal consciousness

Legal consciousness, a form of socio-legal scholarship, examines the role of law in everyday life and seeks to highlight the relationships and the contradictions between ‘law in the books’ (i.e., legislation, court decisions, governing body regulations) and ‘law in action’ (i.e., individuals’ daily practices influenced by those sources). Theoretically, legal consciousness explains how law sustains its institutional power across vast periods, space, and variable performance. Often defined as an “outcome of social processes through which meanings and identities are collectively reconstructed,” legal consciousness draws on sociological and anthropological traditions, encompassing a person’s attitudes toward, willingness to mobilize, suppositions about, and experiences of the law. Legal consciousness’ broad intellectual movement paralleled the “cultural turn” that swept across the humanities and social sciences. It posits that law, like religion or politics, can be seen as a cultural practice, a collective process of creating, stabilizing, organizing, and reproducing meanings that both enable and constrain the way people conduct themselves.
Legal consciousness traces how law is experienced and interpreted by specific individuals as they engage, avoid, or resist the law and its meanings.\(^{(29)}\) It is the “commonsense understanding” of how the law works.\(^{(32)}\) People make connections from their past experiences with the law and legal actors – good or bad – which arise in part from the social positions they occupy – and these experiences shape their understanding of the law.\(^{(32)}\) Furthermore, legal consciousness refers to what people do as well as say about the law.\(^{(29)}\) It is a reciprocal process in which the meanings given by individuals to their world become patterned, stabilized, and objectified, which is a type of social practice that reflect and form social structures.\(^{(29)}\) People generally do not contest legal authority because a good part of legality invisibly suffuses every-day life, rendering law a durable and influential invention.\(^{(29)}\) People are habituated to routinized forms of legal authority throughout ordinary life, such as traffic lanes, parking rules, ticket stubs, and sales receipts.\(^{(29)}\)

The three orientations to the law include conformity before the law, engagement with the law, and resistance against the law. Conformity before the law connotes discussions of law as a sphere separate from society, “objective, distinct, formally ordered and rational…compounded by a reification of law’s ability to ‘know’ what the correct solution should be” which may reflect a sense of individual powerlessness. Engagement with the law characterizes law as a game, where the skilled and resourceful can make strategic gains and which is “invoked by the perception that law can be changed whether through judicial, societal or political means.” Resistance against the law reflects a belief that the law is to be avoided rather than bowing to its power or playing its game, perhaps exemplified by “pilfering, violence or the threat of violence, tricks, institutional disruptions, foot-dragging, humor, storytelling, and gossip.” It implies resistance to law’s power, terrain, and its scope, with tactics and strategies being central to the practices of resistance and revealed in what people do as much as in what they say.\(^{(27)}\)

The radically different approaches to SRC in Scotland and the United States provide an opportunity to reflect on the place of ‘the law’ within legal consciousness. Instruments of governance or persuasion which are
not immediately rooted in legislation, like regulations or caselaw, are still an element of one’s legal consciousness. The sources and their origins differ, but their influence is no less significant.

The statutory regime in the U.S. or voluntary adherence to guidelines in Scotland informed interviewees’ understanding of how change in SRC management has been achieved or resisted, or how their own practices have been altered or entrenched. Silbey noted that legal consciousness should be a tool for examining the mutually constitutive relationship between the pragmatic policy recommendations of ‘law in action’ and the academic examination of the ‘law in books.’ (30) Empirical research is needed to appreciate the gap between official legal rules and the conduct of legal actors. (31) Utilizing legal consciousness to compare the two countries’ approaches to SRC management allows us to contribute to such exploration.

Method

Participants

The researchers assembled a convenience sample of ten soccer coaches in central Scotland and ten coaches in northeast Florida, U.S. We recruited coaches from girls’ high school teams in Florida, all of which were paid positions. The U.S. sample included four female coaches. All but two of the U.S. coaches were parents and their average age was 49.6 years old.

The Scottish coaches led youth club teams of girl’s ages 13-18 years old and were primarily white, male, and volunteer. We were not able to recruit high school coaches in Scotland since girls’ high school soccer teams do not exist in Scotland. Only two of the Scottish coaches were female. Both of those coaches were paid, as well as one of the male coaches. The Scottish coaches averaged 37.8 years old and four of them were parents.

Data collection and procedures

We developed semi-structured interview questions after a comprehensive review of the literature. A panel of six experts including youth sport coaches, concussion safety specialists, and qualitative researchers
reviewed the items for comprehensiveness, clarity, and formatting. Researchers made minor modifications to the questions after the expert panel review. The Institutional Review Board approved the present study before the study commenced.

The primary researcher conducted the semi-structured interviews in central Scotland from May through July 2018, and in Florida from January to October 2019. Participation in the interview was voluntary and without incentives. The interviews lasted on average 45-90 minutes and were based on eleven questions regarding concussion management. These questions asked coaches about (1) athlete safety and SRC in general, and their (2) opinions on importance and prevalence of SRC in girls’ youth soccer, (3) experiences with athletes who may have had SRC, (4) management of SRC, and (5) thoughts on concussion laws/guidance. To ensure accuracy, the interview transcripts were sent back to the Scottish coaches for their review.

Transcripts of all 20 interviews were distributed to the researchers. Two researchers then coded the interview transcripts utilizing cycles of descriptive and structural coding. To ensure inter-coder reliability, each researcher coded the transcripts separately, and the primary researcher resolved any divergence through adjudication. Descriptive coding assigns basic labels to data to provide an inventory of topics. Structural coding applies a conceptual phrase representing a topic of inquiry to a segment of data that relates to specific research questions used to frame the interview.

Finally, the researchers used the legal consciousness theory of “three orientations to the law” as the guiding topics of inquiry: conformity before the law, engagement with the law, and resistance against the law. Additionally, the researchers identified consistent subthemes in interviews by calculating code frequency based on comments aligned with each orientation and subtheme. Researchers then paired guiding orientations with direct quotations from the transcripts.
Results

The findings suggest coaches’ legal consciousness included a version of conformity and engagement, but little resistance, and observed variance between U.S. and Scottish coaches. However, their thoughts did not fit precisely into the three traditional legal consciousness orientations in which the categories were not mutually exclusive. We also identified subthemes regarding (1) willingness to participate in SRC training, (2) uncertainty – around the regulations, recognizing SRC, and how to respond to SRC, (3) a desire for/reliance on assistance in management of SRC from other stakeholders – including match officials, parents, and medical professionals, and (4) gender differences.

On average, female coaches indicated higher levels of consciousness regarding SRC management guidelines than male coaches. The paid coaches also had more knowledge and awareness than volunteer coaches. All of the U.S. coaches (all coached in high school) were paid, while the majority of the Scottish coaches were volunteers. Coaches who had played soccer themselves generally had more consciousness regarding SRC management guidelines. All but one of U.S. coaches had personally sustained a concussion, while only one of the Scottish coaches had a prior concussion. One Scottish coach noted, “Once you have experienced it personally, having experienced it...it’s definitely changed the way I deal with it, just putting player safety the number one thing.” In addition, a U.S. coach stated, “actually both of my daughters had concussions…So, I’m a little more sensitive to that.” Another U.S. coach emphasized, “I think if you’ve had a concussion…it makes a difference. You realize it’s not something to play around with.”

Scottish and Florida coaches who had the most recent first aid training (some of which are required) indicated more consciousness regarding SRC management guidelines. Likewise, coaches with more administrative responsibilities for their organization had more knowledge regarding SRC management guidelines presumably due to more frequent communication with their governing bodies. Likewise, coaches in organizations with more resources had higher levels of consciousness. Organizations with more resources were
able to provide additional related-training as well as increased access to physios/athletic trainers. Additionally, those organizations observed closer relationships with specialized medical professionals.

The most potent examples of Scottish coaches’ resistance was from coaches who had previously coached in the U.S. These coaches objected to the best practices of SRC management in the U.S., not their own country’s guidelines. In response to the U.S. club soccer rule that limits a practice session to only 5 minutes of heading training, a Scottish coach said, “It’s almost as if they don’t want any responsibility and [to be able to say] ‘that is not my fault’. ” “I think it is taken too seriously over there, and [they should] chill a little bit.”

Several Scottish coaches noted the more litigious culture in the U.S. “I guess over in America they are crazy about suing each other and lawsuits for breaking a toenail and just everything.” A U.S. coach supported that, saying, “Guess everybody’s terrified by litigation.” When asked about Scotland enacting SRC laws similar to the U.S., a coach replied, “People would think ‘what is going on?’ That is ridiculous.” Another noted, “the Britain view in particular is very anti-law…it would be certainly negative…it would probably be a sledgehammer.”

Table 1. Interview code frequency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orientation/subtheme</th>
<th>Scotland coaches (n = 182)</th>
<th>Florida (U.S.) coaches (n = 185)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conform</td>
<td>32 (17.6%)</td>
<td>51 (27.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engage</td>
<td>25 (13.7%)</td>
<td>17 (9.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resist</td>
<td>16 (8.8%)</td>
<td>8 (4.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncertainty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guidelines</td>
<td>6 (3.3%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognizing SRC</td>
<td>17 (9.3%)</td>
<td>12 (6.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addressing SRC</td>
<td>9 (4.9%)</td>
<td>4 (2.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online</td>
<td>7 (3.8%)</td>
<td>21 (11.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-person</td>
<td>9 (4.9%)</td>
<td>7 (3.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents</td>
<td>12 (6.6%)</td>
<td>8 (4.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Match officials</td>
<td>6 (3.3%)</td>
<td>7 (3.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical professionals</td>
<td>23 (12.6%)</td>
<td>40 (21.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender difference</td>
<td>20 (11%)</td>
<td>10 (5.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 2. Interview quotes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orientation/subtheme</th>
<th>Scotland coaches</th>
<th>Florida (U.S.) coaches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Conform              | “A law or guidelines, for me it doesn’t make a big difference because essentially we follow both of them. I would be happy either way, as long as it’s something clear for everyone.”  
“At the end of the day, if it is mandatory, you will do it.”  
“The health care provider. So, whatever they said…whatever they suggested…that would be the deciding factor.”  
“Before I would say play on, but now we oversee the kids…I must take responsibility of them.”  
“A girl…banged her head and… didn’t feel well…she was taken off…and didn’t go back on. So we did deal with that correctly.”  
“If you have been removed, they are conscious of the time to play protocols.”  
“I think an online course would be fine.”  
“What would cement the guidance…is to see some practical examples.”  
“Remove them from the game, no question about that.”  
“Sit out is the basic move from the government push.” | “There’s a standard protocol they follow.”  
“More general, when in doubt, sit them out. That’s kind of like the motto.”  
“It’s good…it does emphasize the very seriousness of it.”  
“Obviously here…with litigation…everybody’s going to err on the side of caution.”  
“Even though it sometimes…seems excessive and repetitive…I think it’s still a good thing that we have to do every year, be aware of what’s happening and watching out for these injuries…It’s definitely been a positive.”  
“It’s better safe than sorry. Especially, just liability wise, not only caring about the child. You can get in a lot of trouble if something is wrong and you don’t pull the child.”  
“If I was in any way uncertain…you sit out…you would never send somebody back into play who has the potential of a concussion.”  
“Everybody knows the expression ‘when in doubt, sit them out.’ So…I’ve never taken any chances with that.”  
“I’m more than happy to sacrifice a victory and pull a player that needs to be pulled. A few years ago I probably be ‘oh, they look fine’. But now I’m more likely to…make that substitution.” |
| Engage               | “If you attach a brand to it, people will go…the Rangers put their name on it and…suddenly they are getting 200 Rangers fans coming.”  
“Simplifying the information…increasing confidence in it”  
“Maybe referees have more training.”  
“It’s a topic that needs its own part of the [Scottish Women’s Soccer] website.”  
“The biggest problem…is that the majority of research…is around males.” | “I’m looking forward to changes in the game…also advancements in the head protection gear.”  
“Those players that have experienced a head injury speaking to other players or…coaches.”  
“They could require everybody to wear some kind of helmet.”  
“If there’s info on how to prevent concussions then I would love to know.”  
“Parent education could be massively improved.” |
“There are no resources in Scotland to be able to properly track it [SRC incidence]…there is no login system, so how would you know how prevalent it is?”
“Soccer should look to other sports.”
“I think parent education workshops would be really important.”
“Educate the players themselves.”
“I wish they would expand it [SRC online training] and make it a little more challenging.”

Table 2. Interview quotes. (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orientation/subtheme</th>
<th>Scotland coaches</th>
<th>Florida (U.S.) coaches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resist</td>
<td>“On April Fool’s Day it comes out…in Scotland…heading is banned…and we’re like “it is April Fools Day.””</td>
<td>“I’ve probably done the Florida concussion course 10 times, where I’m like, ‘Ugh, it’s redundant.’”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“I don’t think some coaches would do [extra SRC training]. [when in the U.S.] I opened up all the pages at the same time because that was the easiest way… I think it is one step too far for people here.”</td>
<td>“There is always a degree of resentment to any form of training I find…Is it welcomed? Probably no.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“They don’t have any way to enforce that [return to play protocol]. It is guidelines. It is not the law.”</td>
<td>“You get desensitized to it…I watch a bunch of videos…and…there’s always the denial that ‘it’s not going to be my kid.’”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“I think there is not enough evidence to be able to be a deterrent.”</td>
<td>“One of the reasons I quit high school coaching was because of more and more requirements.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“The guidance us the very safest side now since we have had so many years of essentially no guidance…now the pendulum is swinging all the way the other directions”</td>
<td>“The downside is that we’re not allowed to do heading practice…a lot of coaches are frustrated because they can’t teach them how to head.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncertainty</td>
<td>“In terms of specific instructions and the enforcing of that…there is no clear law.”</td>
<td>“Even with all that [SRC symptoms] the CT scan was negative…it’s…quite astonishing.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“You really don’t know what to do with the player.”</td>
<td>“It’s just a lack of understanding. It’s too complex.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“If you don’t know what the longer-term impact of heading a ball is, then it is difficult to change.”</td>
<td>“Medicine’s certainly not an exact science, so I would never be confident in being able to diagnose a concussion or clearing somebody of a concussion.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“I think it is just a grey area.”</td>
<td>“It’s so subjective, that we don’t have a test…that’s a challenge, ‘cause how do you know?”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Research is advancing into concussion and so that information are out of date.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Interview quotes. (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orientation/subtheme</th>
<th>Scotland coaches</th>
<th>Florida (U.S.) coaches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Training             | “I would not have a problem at all. If it is medical related efforts to encourage the safety of your players I would have no issue about that.”  
                        “They’ll go but if there is an incentive, free coaching courses and free entrance to tournaments, if there is a chance for a coach to get a free badge then they are going to go and listen to that information.”  
                        “It is hard to see how it [online training] would piss anyone off because it is not much.”  
                        “I think an online course would be fine.”                                                                 | “I think the training’s good…because it does emphasize the seriousness of it.”  
                        “I do worry a little bit about that [online SRC training] becoming more of like a ‘yeah, yeah, yeah, check, check, check,’ whereas face to face may be a little more effective.”  
                        “Like any training, in particularly having done it one year…it’s a refresher, so it’s not that arduous.”  
                        “You have to go through that training, and the training was informative…I think they take it seriously.”  
                        “Even though you get a little desensitized to them because I see them so often, there’s still new information in them…I think it’s good.”  
                        “It’s a little repetitive, but I think they’re stressing an important…trying to make it raise your awareness, elevate your knowledge.”  
                        “It’s very repetitive. It’s the same course every year. So I wish they would expand it and make it a little more challenging, a little more informative.” | “I just turned everything over to the trainer.”  
                        “It got taken out of your hands and that’s really the best.”  
                        “I send her off to the athletic trainer, because of course he’s more qualified than I am.”  
                        “I would always defer to the trainer.”  
                        “The trainer is the first line of defense.”  
                        “No one’s going out on the field until I’ve heard it from three or four people that they’re allowed out.”  
                        “The high schools all have athletic trainers at the fields. So if there’s any doubt, it’s no longer my decision. I call a trainer, ‘Hey, can you evaluate my player for me?’” |
“Send them to the hospital, to a professional…If you’re not sure, send to the hospital.”
“The hospital, the health care provider…whatever they said…whatever they suggested…that would be the defining factor.”
“We don’t have the resource or finance to be able to put medical professionals on-site at the youth games.”
“Now at the senior end of the game, I’m fortunate I can rely on a doctor.”
“I think the referee is required to remove a player from the game if he thinks there was a head to head or some kind of head contact.”
“We have administrators, trainers, athletic director, even the principal at almost every home match…we’ve always got plenty of help.”
“And since our school is part of [university]…”Go see out concussion specialist at [university].”
“If someone did not have an athletic trainer on-site, that would be a different ballgame.”
“I call the parents and I check on them and I ask them to please review this fact sheet of symptoms.”
“It was taken out of the school’s hands, it became a medical decision.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orientation/subtheme</th>
<th>Scotland coaches</th>
<th>Florida (U.S.) coaches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender difference</td>
<td>“In women’s soccer…[is] not quite there yet with same professional standards of medical care as guys.”</td>
<td>“On the boys’ side, when they go up for a header, when they clash heads, they’ve gotten a concussion right there…but with the girls…it’s been headers and sometimes a bad fall when they hit their head on the ground.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“[in] The Scottish game, if you are injured you go on with it…unfortunately, it transpired to the woman’s game because a lot of the coaches are males coming from male backgrounds so if you get injured, ‘deal with it, walk it off.’”</td>
<td>“I think…in some ways concussions might be a little more prevalent on the girls’ side than the boys’ side. One of the biggest things I read about is, the girls’ neck muscles aren’t nearly as strong, so there’s more whip.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“There is not really many kind of challenges…it is not the boys’ soccer where you get your elbow up and struck them jumping.”</td>
<td>“There’s way more girls [who] wear the headband…than the boys.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Women’s soccer is slower pace than guy’s soccer…it’s not played in the air as much.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Boys are more physical…my girls are very gentle…there is no one really that goes out of the way to be aggressive.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“My intuition is it [SRC] is a bigger issue in boys sport because they tend to be more aggressive.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Girls are less prepared to go in and hot the ball and so I think we will see a lot more head contact in boys youth soccer.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

The coaches’ responses regarding legal consciousness supports the idea that people make connections from their past experiences – good or bad – which arise in part from the social positions they occupy – and these experiences shape their understanding of the law.(32) The coaches’ knowledge and attitudes towards SRC management and regulations varied according to their gender, volunteer status, playing experience, recentness of first aid training, administrative role within their school or club, and strength and size of resources of their school or club. This finding is consistent with Young and Billing’s legal consciousness research which reinforces that acquiescence or deference to legal system authority may reflect broader cultural differences between people from different backgrounds.(34)

Many of these factors intersect, making it difficult to parse which experiences or social positions have greater influence on the coaches’ legal consciousness. For example, among the U.S. participants, we were not able to identify which personal/demographic factors had the most influence on the coach’s legal consciousness given the complexities of intersections between gender, personal experience with concussion, and occupational status (paid/volunteer).

Similarly, Young and Billings noted the complex interaction between race, gender, and cultural capital in producing legal consciousness.(34)

Overall, the coaches’ legal consciousness does support the idea that legal authority is typically not contested due to its ubiquitousness in every-day life.(29) Concussion management regulation and training, especially by the Florida coaches, were seen as something to follow without much critical thought. For instance, the Florida coaches exhibited conformity more often than the Scottish coaches, and expressions of conformity were a more substantial proportion of their comments than the Scottish coaches.
Respondents did “conform,” by following the guidelines they were aware of, and within their abilities. All of the U.S. coaches were aware of the concussion law, while only a few Scottish coaches knew about the guidelines. However, the coaches did not indicate that they felt powerless, often a characteristic of conformity to law. The Scottish coaches did view the guidelines as separate from their day to day life since they did not think the prevalence of SRC in girls’ soccer was high, as evidenced by their reported personal experiences with concussions. The Florida coaches believed that SRC often occurs in girls’ high school soccer and appeared more resigned to conformity through mandated concussion training.

Our findings also suggest that the coaches in general were somewhat engaged with the law. Neither group of coaches perceived concussion guidance or laws as a “game to play.” They did view the guidelines as changeable, as respondents from both Scotland and Florida indicated their own management of SRC had changed over time. Scottish coaches desired more information as the science regarding concussion improves, while Florida coaches preferred new and more engaging training modules. This finding suggests that a person’s engagement with the law in a particular situation depends on a complex and dynamic set of processes. For instance, one’s experiences, attitudes, understanding of one’s identity, and one’s beliefs about social norms can be factors that determine a level of engagement with the law.

Meanwhile, active resistance to the law was largely absent from the respondents as there were no examples of them purposefully trying to avoid the regulations. Nevertheless, some Scottish coaches expressed skepticism that the guidelines do not accurately reflect best practices regarding the handling of medical complications and return-to-play protocols. Such findings suggest the need for attitude changes toward the guidelines which may be accomplished through nationwide educational campaigns regarding concussion safety led by government and sport.
governing bodies. Concussion-related educational interventions also should be developed from a theoretical basis to help create more positive attitudes toward the guidelines.

The U.S. coaches, on the other hand, resisted the repetitiveness of the mandated SRC training. Kroshus, et al. also noted that educational materials providing information that was not new to respondents may decrease its efficacy in changing SRC management behavior. (35) This suggests the need for more frequent updates to the educational modules, consistent with the ever-changing science surrounding prevention and management of concussion. These findings support previous calls on avoiding “one size fits all” mandates for concussion education. (17, 35)

Both sets of coaches chafed at the idea of banning heading as a means of reducing concussions. A U.S. coach stated, “You’ll change the game. I would hate to see that.” Another exclaimed, “For concussion reasons, I’m sure it’s better. For soccer reasons it’s horrible.” A Scottish coach said, “I think it would be ridiculed over here.” To date, banning and/or restricting heading has led to critical debate within the soccer community. While limiting heading may be associated with reducing the mechanisms responsible for concussions, (36) others may argue that limiting heading may be counterproductive in the long-term due to the potential reduction in proper heading-related instruction and practice. Moving forward, policy makers should continue follow evidence-based research regarding the true effects of heading on concussions.

The U.S. coaches expressed less uncertainty regarding the guidelines they were expected to follow. All of the U.S. coaches were aware of the SRC law and its’ implementation as required by the Florida High School Athletic Association. The U.S. coaches also indicated less uncertainty than the Scottish coaches regarding recognition of SRC and proper management actions. This is consistent with Yeo et al.’s recent review that found most coaches and officials
could identify common signs and symptoms of SRC, understood the importance of immediate removal from play, and allowed return to play only under the guidance of medical advice.\(^{(1)}\)

This is likely due to the mandatory concussion training highlighted by the law as a prerequisite to coach in a Florida high school. This mandatory training, which is typically conducted online, may explain why the U.S. coaches mentioned a willingness to engage in online training more often than the Scottish coaches. It is possible that the latter may not have been exposed to online SRC training previously.

Though both sets of coaches voiced a desire to include other stakeholders in making return to play decisions, the U.S. coaches mentioned the benefits of deferring to medical professionals more often. This may be the result of a more frequent presence of athletic trainers and/or other medical professionals in the U.S. high school settings compared to those of Scotland. Because concussion is difficult to identify and diagnose, it is understandable that coaches need to work with medically trained personnel on-site for assistance with SRC management. In contrast, very few of the Scottish clubs had the resources to provide a first-aider/athletic trainer or physio at girls’ soccer games. This finding provides support for increased funding and resources to employ more medical professionals at Scottish youth soccer events. The results of this study suggest that girls’ soccer coaches are willing to follow and engage with concussion management regulations in both the U.S. and Scotland. Coaches demonstrated a desire to protect their players and for additional knowledge regarding SRC management.

Although law and governing body regulations serve as tools to improve concussion management, youth sport stakeholders can benefit from educational programs that effectively educate and increase SRC reporting in youth soccer.\(^{(12)}\) Future legislative amendments should focus on a more consistent enforcement of such educational programs and related policy mandates.\(^{(19)}\)
Scottish youth soccer clubs, in particular, can play a key role in improving concussion management. The use of health promotion and social marketing tools would improve the dissemination and implementation of concussion guidelines. Increased dissemination of guidelines may be particularly crucial in Scotland, where many of the coaches lacked knowledge of the SportScotland guideline even before the recent policy changes regarding heading restrictions. Clubs are already required to have a child protection officer, to whom they could add the additional responsibility of concussion management. Scottish coaches also indicated the desire and willingness to attend additional first aid training specific to concussion management. Soccer clubs should consider offering concussion safety workshops/trainings for all volunteer coaches, as well as parents, match officials, and athletes.

The U.S. coaches provided support for updated and revised training on concussion management, using more real-time examples. Specifically, coaches in both countries preferred additional in-person training opportunities, rather than online. Given the majority of coaches and officials were eager to seek further education on SRC, sport governing bodies should improve the accessibility of such educational programs and information sources. In instances where in-person training may not be feasible, online trainings should be designed to be user-friendly and updated regularly. In addition, these trainings should be developed from a theoretical basis, which can help guide coaches to reflect on their own experiences in recognizing and managing concussion by bridging the gap between theoretical knowledge and practice.

Sport-specific rules have also been implemented as a means of SRC prevention. Governing bodies of youth soccer in the U.S. and Scotland should also consider rule changes to improve concussion management. For instance, allowing rolling substitutions, which permits players to enter and leave the game without restrictions, would allow for players to be assessed
more frequently whenever a concussion is suspected without impacting youth soccer achievement. Officials can also play a role in the prevention of SRC by controlling physical contact that may cause SRC (e.g., preventing high elbows in heading duels).(1)

Those responsible for creating policies derived from concussion laws and guidelines must develop effective strategies in an effort to improve their implementation. These may include defining roles of key individuals, promoting education, creating awareness, and facilitating effective communication.(16) Further efforts should stress disseminating these common implementation strategies and practices to a broader range of clubs and schools in both the U.S. and Scotland.(16) Legislation without effective implementation strategies may not be the answer, particularly in Scotland. Additionally, stakeholders should engage in more creative research. They should collaboratively design feasible policies that are scientifically sound, sensitive to the fidelity of implementation, aligned with the incentives of youth sport, and promote inclusive data collection.(13)

Limitations

The current study was limited by a small-sized convenience sample from only two regions, which does not allow for the generalizability of the results. Second, response bias may have resulted due to the interviewer’s personal and demographic background (e.g., gender, race/ethnicity, previous soccer playing and coaching experience). Another limitation is that, due to the changes in the concussion legislation/guidelines since the interviews were conducted, participants’ legal consciousness may not have captured any potential updates to the legislation/guidelines. Also, U.S. coaches may have been unwilling to admit resistance to the law given the potential sanctions (e.g., suspension from coaching). Because of the statutory mandates in the U.S., it is conceivable that respondents were hesitant to reveal incompliance with the law.
and provided socially acceptable answers. Despite these limitations, our findings are one of the first to compare the legal consciousness of concussion safety regulations/guidelines among international coaches. This study could be expanded to include interviews of Florida coaches of girls’ soccer clubs.

Conclusions

This study investigated the critical public health issue of SRC using a new theoretical lens by comparing the legal consciousness of girls’ youth soccer coaches regarding concussion management under a statutory legal regime in the U.S. and a non-statutory scheme in Scotland. The findings suggest coaches in both countries conform to and engage with concussion laws/guidelines. However, the study found little resistance in either country to concussion laws/guidelines. With the high incidence of concussions in girls’ soccer, understanding coaches’ legal consciousness of concussion regulation is an important avenue to reduce this serious injury.
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