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Summary

Today, visitors to the Benedictine Abbey of Dunfermline, Fife, find a church of two halves. To the west the surviving medieval Catholic abbey nave which also served as the original parish church of Dunfermline; to the east the modern Protestant Abbey Church of Dunfermline, built in 1818-21 atop the ruins of the abbey’s medieval choir. The lost east-end of this great church had been the focus of the cult shrine of Queen/St Margaret (d.1093) and the site of multiple royal and aristocratic burials down to 1420 before it was sacked at the Reformation of 1560. Thereafter it fell into successive generations of neglect and reuse as a town stone-source and then burial ground, the ‘Psalter churchyard’.

As a result, little to no evidence survives – either written or material – to enable us to recreate the overall evolving layout and spiritual life of the lost abbey choir, not least the position and form of the many royal burials within this mausoleum and cult church. What discussion there has been of these important features has been dominated by a focus on the tomb and remains of King Robert Bruce/I (1306-29) whose grave was believed to have been found in 1818 when the choir ruins were cleared to make way for the new Abbey Church build. Medieval Scottish chroniclers had briefly reported Bruce as being buried at the abbey ‘in the middle of the choir.’ However, such evidence that this grave and skeleton did indeed belong to Bruce remains, in several important ways, quite ambivalent and open to differing interpretation. Nor does a focus on the 1818 grave tell us anything of the larger living medieval church.

It was in this context that the project outlined in the following report sought to apply Ground-Penetrating Radar [GPR] to the lost choir site. We planned to scan down both through the modern interior floor of the Abbey Church to the medieval depths, and in search of similar archaeology beneath accessible exterior ground atop the choir ruins. We hoped this would provide some fresh evidence which could in turn be used to reassess the surviving medieval written and material evidence, in combination with the many antiquarian finds and observations about the abbey, its choir and tombs reported in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries. Once brought together, this evidence might allow us to paint a fuller picture of the architectural and liturgical nature of the choir with a focus on the period from c.1250-1, when St Margaret was moved to her new east-end shrine and the choir expanded, down to c.1560.

Our pilot stage GPR scans of 2016, 2017 and 2019 can be said, cautiously, to have been successful thanks to the application of a tailored method of scanning for buried and overbuilt medieval ecclesiastical remains. This report (and its three accompanying technical field reports by GPR expert Erica Utsi) will summarise those scans’ key findings of:

- Multiple potential elite burials at the likely medieval depths in the northern Transept/Lady aisle area of the choir, perhaps in pairs down the east-west axis of that aisle adjacent to the fourteenth-century Lady Chapel extension.
- Potential evidence for a large north-south architectural feature running across the overbuilt choir presbytery space, perhaps the medieval sanctuary steps.
- Evidence for multiple potential burials beneath the floor of the Abbey Church’s east-end vestry, thus beneath the sanctuary pavement and ambulatory of the mid-thirteenth-century feretory shrine extension for St Margaret. As these burials lie west of the surviving fossiliferous marble base of Margaret’s shrine they may, however, also belong to the period of ‘Psalter’ churchyard interments of Protestant townsfolk c.1560-c.1818.
- Evidence for potential burials or, more likely, the foundations of architectural or liturgical fittings to the east of the 1818 ‘Bruce grave’ and thus around the likely site of the medieval high altar of the abbey and its chancel/altar screens.
- Evidence for the footings of the walls of the east and west ends of the northern Lady Chapel extension of the choir and, perhaps, of some liturgical fittings or tombs within that Chapel’s interior which can be scanned through the ground outside the Abbey Church’s North Transept.
- Likely evidence for a southern choir chapel dedicated to St John the Baptist and of a shape and scale matching that of the northern Lady Chapel. This possible finding will require testing and verification in drier weather better suited to GPR work but, if confirmed, allows us to envisage a full symmetrical form for the late medieval choir at Dunfermline and its pilgrimage cult ambulatory and evolving royal mausoleum.

The report then combines these initial GPR findings with previously unnoticed or overlooked medieval and antiquarian evidence to make the case for:

- A focus for royal and aristocratic burials in the Lady aisle as well as in the northern Lady Chapel in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, with a likely concentration of couples, perhaps as double tombs; earlier royal burials would have been focussed in the central monks’ choir/presbytery area.
- A second possible location for the tomb of Robert Bruce. This was reported by an antiquarian investigator as lying a ‘few yards to the south west’ of the site of six slabs within the Lady Chapel long believed by locals to cover royal burials, and thus within the northern edge of the medieval presbytery or along its boundary with the Lady aisle (perhaps between columns).
- A reassessment of our understanding of the cruciform axes of this great church as running both east-west and north-south, not simply east-west with a focus on the high altar. This should mean that a wider and larger central ‘presbytery’ space in the choir could be the site of royal burials like that of Bruce and his queen, Elizabeth de Burgh, alongside the shrine of Margaret’s son, David I (1124-53), who had elevated the house to a full abbey and, like both his parents, was believed by the monks to be a saint.
- A possible double tomb for Robert Bruce and Elizabeth, like such royal couples’ marble monuments to be found in the French royal mausoleum in the contemporary Benedictine abbey of St Denis, outside medieval Paris.
- Recreating the position and basic physical form of the medieval high altar and eastern sanctuary/chancel of the choir, and thus to question both the dating of the 1818 ‘Bruce grave’ as pre-Reformation and that it did actually belong to that king. Recreating the high altar and sanctuary space also provides possible evidence for the nature of the access points into the post-1250 shrine chapel of St Margaret.
- A growing interest after the Reformation among local families in securing ‘Psalter churchyard’ burial close to the shrine of St Margaret, particularly in the retro-choir/vestry area.
- The potential existence of a matching south-side transept aisled chapel, dedicated to St John the Baptist, thus confirming both the accuracy of the ground-plan of the surviving medieval walls recorded by the Abbey Church’s architect-builder in 1818, William Burn (but one which modern heritage plans of the abbey have ignored since then), and
the fully symmetrical cruciform shape of the late medieval choir thus with an extensive circuitous pilgrimage ambulatory.

- The possibility that for liturgical reasons Alexander III (1249-86) was buried in this St John aisle or chapel.
- The possibility that the previously overlooked evidence of both the anthropomorphic (body-shaped) lead coffin and the crude, shallow stone crypt of the 1818 ‘Bruce grave’ actually point to this being a late sixteenth/seventeenth century burial and thus perhaps a post-Reformation rescue burial of a medieval body or a later ‘Psalter’ intrusion.
- The lost late medieval choir with all its key chapels, altars, tombs and inter-related liturgical spaces can be cautiously reimagined in all its evolving complexity as very much a Scottish mirror-image of the English and French royal mausoleums at Westminster and St Denis (both also Benedictine houses dedicated to the Trinity and royal saints) and with its own unique liturgical setting and meanings.

The report closes with some proposals for further GPR and allied research which could make an important contribution to the fresh (re-)interpretation of Dunfermline Abbey planned for the immediate future. Not least, this closes with the possibility of locating evidence for further potential royal graves and liturgical settings within the central (and western) choir/presbytery and aisles/chapels of the Abbey’s lost east end.
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Fig 1: Dunfermline Abbey and Abbey Church. To the left/west the medieval nave and former parish church; to the right/east the Abbey Church (1818-21) built atop the ruined medieval choir.

Fig 2a: Dunfermline Abbey and Palace, Property-in-Care of Historic Environment Scotland; n.b. remains of the shrine chapel of St Margaret to the east.
Fig 2b: Scale plan of the Abbey Church modern interior from 2005. The pews marked in red were no longer in place by the time of our first field work in 2016. However, through 2016-17, the areas marked in blue were covered by pews but these were again removed in 2019 (when the gift shop area in the North Transept, the remaining north aisle pews and some south aisle pews were also cleared – shown in green).
i. Introduction

‘No body should ever be buried in a church, near the altar, where the Body and Blood of the Lord are confected, unless they are the bodies of Holy fathers whom we call patrons, that is, the defenders of the church, who by their merits, defend the entire religion’

William Durande of Mende (c.1230-96), Rationale Divinorum Officiorum¹

Dunfermline Abbey, c.1093-c.2020²

For the past two hundred years, the many visitors to Dunfermline Abbey in Fife have encountered a historic church of two halves. To the west, the mostly intact structure of the medieval nave of this Benedictine house, some c.110’ long by c.60’ wide (at its widest point). Within its interior, it famously displays clear traces of both the Romanesque architectural influence of masons from the fellow-Benedictine Cathedral Priory community at Durham in northern England as well as of the earlier, smaller monastic church established at Dunfermline on this site before 1128.

Dunfermline’s nave was cleansed ruthlessly of its Catholic fittings and imagery at the Scottish Reformation in 1560. Just three years later it was reported as badly neglected with at least some of its walls ‘revin’ and its stained glass smashed.³ Nevertheless, the nave would be preserved to continue in its medieval role of c.1100-1560 as the parochial church of this prosperous royal burgh, if now under the newly established Calvinist Kirk. Over time, and after the departure of Scotland’s monarchy for England in 1603, the west church was partitioned with assigned wooden pews and lofts to reflect the hierarchy and Presbyterian faith of its growing urban populace and hinterland. However, such intense and often haphazard use and, by the late eighteenth century, over-crowding, contributed to fabric decay, in turn hastened by the elements atop its western hillside position overlooking Pittencrieff Glen and the Lyne burn.

¹ Timothy M. Thibodeau trans. (New York, 2007), Bk 1, 5, #12, p. 57.
The nave’s walls had to be awkwardly buttressed by successive generations and little could be done but remedial repair to respond to such dramatic collapses as that of the central lantern tower in 1716 or of the southern of its two west-end towers toppled by a storm in 1807.4

As a result, by May 1817 the Kirk Session of Dunfermline had reached the decision to take advantage of local heritor support and the British Government’s Church Extension Scheme and thus to build a new Presbyterian church for the burgh.5 Crucially, although there were at first differing views within the Kirk Session, it was agreed that this new ‘Abbey Church’ was to be joined to the extant nave by building directly atop the eastern site of the ruined monastic choir of the abbey. This echoed restorations elsewhere in Scotland designed to restore major medieval churches to their full proportions.6 At Dunfermline, there was early talk, too, of ‘retaining the pillars’ (perhaps incorporating the medieval choir pillar bases into the new Abbey Church) and that the ‘very excellent and handsome church’ to be built should be finished in a style similar to the historic nave.7

The lost monastic choir had originally been a twelfth-century structure, reflecting King David I’s elevation in 1128 of a reformed priory foundation (c.1080) by his parents with monks from the Benedictine Cathedral Priory of Canterbury.8 It had grown further through the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries to house the royal pilgrimage cult of David’s mother, St Margaret (d.1093), with her remains translated in 1180 through from the earlier western church/nave. It had thus also emerged as an extended royal and aristocratic mausoleum.9 By 1400, indeed, Dunfermline’s nave and choir would be the resting place of at least seven kings, five queens and several lesser blood royals of Scotland, as well as of many regional aristocratic patrons.10

Within the eastern choir, these sacred spaces and monuments were distributed throughout a large and evolving liturgical and processional complex, c.170’ long by c.110’ across at its full cruciform extent. This embraced its high altar, like that of the earlier western church dedicated to the Holy Trinity, as well as numerous substantial side chapels, including a fourteenth-century Lady Chapel to the north-east. However, the eastern choir’s monastic and saintly centre, with its many images and effigies, was, predictably, targeted far more violently than the nave by Reformists in 1560. Only some of the relics of St Margaret (and Malcolm III) are recorded as having been saved, smuggled out by monks to the Catholic continent before the altars, screens, tombs and stained-glass windows of the abbey were likely smashed or burned and the roof thrown down or allowed to quickly collapse.11 From at least 1654 this ruinous space, with a debris-field reportedly 3’ or 4’ deep, emerged as a graveyard for wealthier Protestant heritors

---

4 Henderson, Annals, 397, 561-2.
6 For example, St Michael’s, Linlithgow, in 1812 https://arts.standrews.ac.uk/corpusofscottishchurches/site.php?id=158732 , accessed 5/2/20.
7 NRS GB234/HR159/3/39, /77, /79, /81 [Elgin memorandum], /95, /100, /102. DCL&G, Dec/ABB Pamphlet Box 5, Correspondence re. building of Dunfermline Abbey Church, 24 April to 26 May 1817.
8 Dunf. Reg., nos 1-34. For a gathering of David’s grants and confirmation of his predecessors’ gifts to Dunfermline see The People of Medieval Scotland, 1093-1371 database, ed. Dauvit Broun et al (Glasgow, 2013) at https://www.poms.ac.uk/record/person/7/ , accessed 5/2/20.
9 Bartlett ed., Miracles, 93, no. 9.
10 Steve Boardman, ‘Dunfermline as a royal mausoleum’, in Fawcett ed., Royal Dunfermline, 139-54, table at 150.
11 Register of the Privy Council, I, 246-7; McRoberts, ‘Material destruction caused by the Scottish Reformation’, 439.
and congregants, the romantic ‘Psalter’ or ‘Satur’ churchyard. The choir’s walls were undermined, reduced and recycled for the expanding burgh: 130 cart loads of stone were reportedly removed without official authorisation in one twenty-year period alone in the late seventeenth century.

The choir’s resulting ghostly outline was thus all that remained to be recorded by several late eighteenth-century sketches and ground-plans [Figs 3-10].

![Sketch of Dunfermline Abbey ruins by General Henry Hutton c.1781-92.](image)

Note the low wall intruding into the ‘Psalter’ churchyard atop the choir.

---


Fig 4: General view of Dunfermline Abbey ruins from the south-east, 1791: note the four standing Lady Chapel windows and, to the west, a single truncated medieval pillar.

Fig 5: Late eighteenth-century sketch of the Abbey crossing including an east/choir-side arch of the pulpitum, by Henry Cave (1779-1836).
Fig 6: Ink sketch plan of Dunfermline Abbey (c.1805?) from Rev. John Sime, *Memorabilia* (Edinburgh, 1840), with a close-up of ruins of the choir. The six slabs believed by locals to cover kings’ burials are ringed in blue but are shown too far west and abut a later ‘Psalter’ burial. The sketch also indicates further eastern ‘Psalter’ graves, including perhaps the Bruces’ of Elgin plot in the north-east. But the areas boxed in red should be ignored as bleed through from the next folio of this manuscript.
Fig 7i/ii: John Gabriel Stedman, *Dunfermline Abbey* (27 Dec. 1779); does the close-up show the ruined footings of a southern chapel of the choir or cloister structures, or interior choir presbytery/chancel screen, step and tomb bases, or just the interior of the Psalter churchyard? If the latter, the low churchyard wall has been depicted too far north? [Image courtesy of National Galleries Scotland]

Fig 8: Rev. Peter Chalmers’ *Historical and Statistical Account of Dunfermline* (1844/59), sketches including the four Lady Chapel windows still standing c.1818 (viewed from the northern graveyard).
Fig 9: Ebenezer Henderson, *Annals of Dunfermline* (1879), original manuscript, showing interior ruins of the choir looking west from near the high altar; note the substantial interior truncated (springer?) column.

Fig 10: John Baine, *Sketches to illustrate the Ruins of Dunfermline, being a Supplement to the Fourteen large views of these ruins taken in May and June 1790*. The ‘Tombs of the Kings’ are enlarged and roughly paced out in the central inset sketch plan (in red): ‘3p. Largest’ marks the slab (of 6, ‘the Marbles’) once believed to cover Bruce’s grave.
These antiquarians made no detailed or precisely measured visual record of the remains of the interior of the ruined choir. However, after 1560, as discussed more fully below, several local traditions emerged as to the position and nature of lost features of the medieval east-end such as the shrine of St Margaret and the tombs of Robert the Bruce/I (1306-29) and other royals. Moreover, brief descriptive accounts survive of at least two antiquarian searches of the site as such leisure and scholarly interests grew amongst the literate middle classes (1776, 1807-09). Yet it was not until clearing-in-earnest of the choir ruins occurred in 1817-18, to prepare the ground for the new Presbyterian Abbey Church, that a definite antiquarian relic of Dunfermline’s monastic choir was identified and linked to a specific historical figure.

For, on 17 February 1818, as the Session’s church-extension committee met nearby to consider the plans of their chosen architect-builder, William Burn (1789-1870), workmen uncovered two large slabs down the central axis of the ruined choir. These lay close to what was presumably the east-end site of the choir’s late medieval high altar. Lifted by use of six iron rings still imbedded in the larger slab, beneath lay a two-tiered stone-lined rectangular crypt with a rounded east end [Fig 11 - c.7’ long, 2.5’ wide and 3’ deep], and within this a lead-shrouded male skeleton [Fig 12] bearing clear signs of a cut-sternum to facilitate heart-removal and some trauma or disease-scarring to the skull. Scattered fragments of both cloth of gold and an oak coffin surrounded the lead sarcophagus.15

Fig 11: The (reportedly cracked) slabs covering the ‘Bruce grave’ found in 1818 and a (misleading) cross-section of the grave space itself, from Henry Jardine’s Remembrancer’s report of 1821/2; n.b. his crypt diagram distorts the structure – see below Fig 99.

---


15 Jardine, Report. For other eyewitness accounts see: NRS GD160/566, bundle 16-17 – ‘A note sent by Miss Adam to Mr Loch, relative to finding the body of Robert the Bruce in the church of Dunfermline; further excavation to be delayed to keep out the mob. With sketch of copper Fig found, ‘Robertus Scotorum Rex.’ – my thanks to Dr Alan Borthwick of NRS for this reference; Dr John Gregory, ‘Exhumation and re-interment of Robert Bruce,’ Quarterly Journal of Science, Literature and the Arts, 9 (1820), 138-42; Anon. ‘Reminiscences of the opening of the Grave of King Robert the Bruce (By One Who Was Present),’ in DCL&G Folio of Oddities (4 volumes, 1836-77), i, np, a cutting from Dunfermline Saturday Press, 2/3/1867.
The undeniable consensus was that these were the grave and remains of King Robert I, who had been described by contemporary English and Flemish chroniclers as leprous and whose heart was taken on crusade then interred, as per his dying request, in the Cistercian abbey of Melrose, Roxburghshire.16 This was a belief further confirmed by earlier (and subsequent) recovery of several fragments of gilded white (and some black) marble throughout the debris field within the general central vicinity of this grave. These could be linked convincingly to the Parisian marble tomb purchased for Robert I and recorded in the extant crown exchequer rolls of 1329-30. Crucially, the latter were composite accounts which provided quite a bit of detail about Robert’s funeral in Dunfermline Abbey choir in 1329, as well as about the material adornment of his tomb, but nothing as to its precise form or location.17

---

16 Chron. Lanercost, 229, 264 (leprosy); Scalacronica, 107 (leprosy); The True Chronicles of Jean le Bel, 1290-1360, trans. N. Bryant (Woodbridge, 2011), 40, 52 (leprosy); Chronica Monasterii de Melsa, ed. E.A. Bond (3 vols., London, 1868), ii, 357-8 (leprosy); The Bruce – John Barbour, ed. A.A.M. Duncan (Edinburgh, 1997), 752-3 (heart); RRS, v, no. 380 (heart/Melrose).

17 Dalyell, Tract, 3; Jardine, Report, 46; Anon., ‘Donations to Museum,’ PSAS, 8 (1868-70), 356-63 at 360, and 413-16 at 413; ER, i, 192, 213, 214, 215, 245, 288, 331.
Fig 13: Architect William Burn’s ground-plan of Dunfermline Abbey nave and new Abbey Church; the grey lines represent the footings of the ruined Abbey choir visible in 1818. This plan was reproduced by Remembrancer Jardine (1821/2) and local historians Rev. Peter Chalmers (1844/59) and Ebenezer Henderson (1855/79).
Throughout 1818, there was palpable concern amongst local, Edinburgh and London officials of the day that the discovery of Bruce’s remains and thus Dunfermline itself might serve as a talisman, igniting threats of radical political and socio-economic agitation, or even violent revolution. There were accordingly careful attempts by the authorities to contain and choreograph public interest in the relics and Abbey site. These concerns shaped the inspection and re-interment of Bruce’s remains on 5 November 1819 organised and recorded by (Sir) Henry Jardine, then deputy and later full Royal Remembrancer, observations he subsequently presented to and published though the Society of Antiquaries of Scotland (1822) as well as privately (1821). However, it must be acknowledged that this ‘official’ report, together with a ground-plan sketch it reproduced of William Burn’s initial record of the extant walls of the ruined medieval choir which were visible in 1818 [see Fig 13], would make a vital contribution to emerging scholarly interest in Dunfermline Abbey, its lost choir and royal tombs.

Not least, this report and ground-plan, together with earlier identifiable antiquarian evidence, would be synthesised and expanded upon by important local historians Andrew Mercer (1828), Rev Peter Chalmers (1844/59, Minister of the second charge at the abbey in 1818-19) and Ebenezer Henderson (1855, 1879). At times, admittedly, these eye-witnesses and local experts would criticise Jardine’s ‘absurdities and mistakes’ of interpretation (whilst Sir Walter Scott at first scorned him as a ‘vain man and a jobber’). Nonetheless, the ground-plan remained vital and this rich antiquarian legacy in print arguably stands in contrast to the fragmentation which might be said to have often affected modern understanding of the nature and importance of Dunfermline Abbey and the lost medieval interior of its Catholic choir.

For although promises were made by various local and government authorities in 1818-19 that all royal relics found would be embraced within the walls of the new eastern Abbey Church, and all royal graves fittingly marked, once the completed building was opened in 1822 these vows were not fulfilled. The base of St Margaret’s Catholic feretory shrine was excluded, left outside the new Protestant eastern vestry walls. It fell instead to the Kirk Session and its supportive heritors, such as the Bruce Earls of Elgin, to commemorate the resting place of Bruce through monumental tower lettering (1822) and a brass plaque (1889). It fell initially to the Abbey Church, too, to provide what information they could to a steady stream of visitors - who at first lamented the lack of a Bruce monument - about the many other unmarked elite burials and the general architectural development of the church down to 1560 and through subsequent centuries. Into the twentieth century, the State Secretary, followed by the Ministry of (Public Buildings and) Works (1940-), and then emerging public heritage agency Historic Scotland (1991-) alongside the Royal Commission of Ancient and Historic Monuments of

18 DCL&G. Warning by the Presbytery of Dunfermline to the People under their Charge (29 Jan. 1793); Penman, ‘Robert Bruce’s Bones’.
19 Andrew Mercer, The History of Dunfermline (Dunfermline, 1828), 67-72, 302; Chalmers, Historical and Statistical Account, i, 138-56; Henderson, Annals, 594-5, 600-03. There are good if short ODNB entries for all three of these local historians.
Scotland (1908–), the latter two now united as Historic Environment Scotland (2015–), took up responsibility for the conservation, interpretation and presentation of the restored medieval nave. That west church was stripped of its Presbyterian interior in 1822 and re-presented as a Romanesque/Gothic church alongside the Renaissance Palace and monastic precinct remains to the south-west of the Abbey/Abbey Church. Although HES thus now retains overall Property-In-Care responsibility for the whole medieval site, and the 1818-22 Abbey Church is now itself a protected monument, these are custodial divisions further complicated by the late-twentieth century emergence of municipal responsibility (now with Fife Council) for graveyard management around the conjoined churches.23

The current Dunfermline Heritage Partnership (2017–), a multi-agency collaboration which includes representation from all the custodial bodies concerned with the Abbey site and others (the Kirk Session, HES, Fife Council, Fife Cultural Trust, Visit Scotland etc.), has confirmed the mitigation of these past custodial tensions in the development of a number of major parallel initiatives. These are: the HLF-funded Fife Pilgrim Way project, the HLF-funded ‘Lighting the Auld Grey Toun’ project, the Scottish Executive-funded ‘Great Places’ project, a Burial Ground conservation project and emerging Kirk Session-HES-Fife Council plans for the future development and heritage representation of the ‘medieval quarter (including St Margaret’s shrine).’24

However, at the outset of these joint efforts, a DHP heritage asset survey, and subsequent HES visitor and stake-holder consultations, evidenced what was generally well-known to the agencies concerned: that visitors to the Abbey/ Palace/Abbey Church ‘were not getting information that would allow them to quickly get a coherent picture/sense of the whole site’.25 Thus, visitors could not easily gain an overall understanding of or detail about the abbey’s development through time and the complexity of its spiritual, cultural and political significance. Arguably this remained partly the case even if they purchased and read the far more detailed HS guidebook (1990, revised 2009). It thus remains the case that visitors struggle to gain a contextualised picture of Dunfermline Abbey as Scotland’s medieval equivalent of England’s Westminster or France’s St Denis, great royal cult churches and mausoleums, as well as serving both a vibrant Benedictine community and a dynamic urban populace.26 The Abbey Church of Dunfermline Kirk Session and the Abbey Church’s spiritual and custodial staff had already taken a bold lead in seeking to overcome these problems. This included initiating and supporting our proposed GPR surveying project from 2015. These were efforts which could now in turn be intensified through the DHP’s collaboration.

---

26 Fawcett, Dunfermline Abbey and Palace; Owen, Dunfermline Abbey and Palace. See also Elspeth Mackay, Investigating Dunfermline Abbey: Information for Teachers (HS, 2009).
The ambivalence of the medieval chroniclers

The preceding context and local inspiration for the project discussed here must, however, be allied to the unique historical questions it seeks to explore. One paramount scholarly starting-point for our surveys was an awareness of the consistently ambivalent as well as fragmentary nature of the medieval chronicle, record and material evidence which could be gathered in relation to the liturgical settings/fittings and burials within Dunfermline’s lost eastern choir. These were difficulties that also extended through time to much of the post-1560 antiquarian evidence for choir features and tombs. Indeed, this is perhaps a more pressing factor than custodial demarcation in explaining the evident absence (beyond the interests of select heritage practitioners and academics) of wider understanding of Dunfermline Abbey’s importance as both a medieval spiritual centre and focus of royal patronage, liturgy and display.

Inevitably, this must still start with a focus on the 1818 ‘Bruce grave’ and remains. In completing a monograph on Robert I’s reign in 2013-14, Michael Penman raised the possibility that the burial discovered in 1818 might instead be that of David I (1124-53), the key patron of this Benedictine house as a full abbey. This was a suggestion made before the commencement of the interdisciplinary project discussed in these pages but it was motivated by a growing interest in royal piety, liturgy and ceremony as well as in studying modern commemoration of Scotland’s medieval past. As the detailed discussion below seeks to demonstrate, in the light of the evidence provided by the GPR surveys undertaken from 2016 to 2019, and of some fresh archival discoveries, Penman has now modified his assessment of that grave and related aspects of the choir overall. However, his initial reasons for questioning the identity of the 1818 grave occupant remain relevant: these were broadly three-fold.

First, there was the evidence of two key Scottish chroniclers writing in Latin. John of Fordun, a north-eastern cleric, collating and expanding older annals down to c.1383, probably relied on material written by Thomas Bisset Prior of St Andrews for the period c.1329-63. Walter Bower (1385-1449), abbot of Inchcolm’s Augustinian island community in the Forth estuary, just a few miles from Dunfermline, wrote his Scotichronicon in the 1440s by way of continuing Fordun’s Chronica Gentis Scotorum. But Bower also drew on additional Fife clerical chronicles and his own visits to Dunfermline Abbey’s scriptorium (writing workshop) in completing his history for patron Sir David Stewart of nearby Rosyth. Published in part in the eighteenth century, the Fordun/Bower canon was thus surely known to the officials and antiquarians concerned with the 1818 discovery and 1819 re-interment of ‘Bruce’s bones’ and was the first piece of corroborating evidence they cited.

---

30 Chron. Bower, iii, 423-5; ix, 315-64.
31 W. Goodall ed., Joannis de Fordun, Scotichronicon cum Supplemetis et Continuatione Walteri Boweri (Edinburgh, 1759).
Yet both these Scottish medieval chroniclers simply state that in 1329 Robert was buried ‘in medio choiri’ - in the middle of the choir.\textsuperscript{32} This struck Penman as quite vague. It is a statement which most commentators have taken to refer to a position down the central east-west axis of the Abbey choir, thus readily identifiable with the grave found in 1818 by William Burn’s workmen directly in front of what was likely to be the site of the Abbey choir high altar (and marked as ‘L’ on his plan [Fig 13]). This includes most recently Martin MacGregor and Caroline Wilkinson as part of the University of Glasgow’s reassessment of the discoveries of 1818-19 in the course of a fascinating Bruce facial reconstruction project.\textsuperscript{33} However, cruciform churches have two axes, and that running north-south, extended through transepts or, as at Dunfermline according to Burn’s ground-plan, by substantial aisle chapels to the north and south, provided a wider central ‘choir’ space for elite interment.

Indeed, if we turn to other Benedictine houses throughout the British Isles and north-western Europe and compare their east ends [Figs 14-17] we often find multiple elite burials in their central paved areas. These spaces embrace the east end of a core central ‘monks’ choir’ and presbytery, often with crossing or viewing access west of a stepped and privately-screened high altar sanctuary/chancel area. Yet these east-end chancels are left free of lay burials.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{Fig14.png}
\caption{Recreation of the Benedictine Abbey of St Denis, near Paris, with royal choir burials in blue, including several (pairs) defining spaces between columns. In the area boxed in purple, note the sanctuary steps and burial-free space before the high altar (5 in red) with St Clovis’s shrine (6) to the east [Unité d’archéologie de Saint-Denis, drawing by Damien Berné and Michael Wyss, computer-graphics by Jean-Philippe Marie].}
\end{figure}

\textsuperscript{32} Chron. Fordun, i, 353; Chron. Bower, vii, 45. John Barbour’s The Bruce of c.1371x5 merely states that Bruce was buried ‘in a fyr tumb intill the quer’ [ed. Duncan, 757].

Fig 15: Ground-plan of high altar and shrine sanctuary, Benedictine Abbey of Westminster.

Fig 16: Ground-plan of Benedictine Abbey of Hexham, Northumberland [By kind permission of the Rector and Churchwardens of the Priory and Parish Church of St Andrew, Hexham].
Such a central choir space or presbytery-sanctuary might also be bounded by screening and columns defining ambulatory aisle space (sometimes with tombs running east-west between columns). The royal mausoleums of England and France at the abbeys of Westminster and St Denis respectively (also both Benedictine with Trinity high altar dedications) have multiple such burials which might thus be described as resting ‘in the middle of the choir’ or ‘before the high altar’. This is particularly the case within the presbytery and crossing of St Denis (as can best be recreated after the iconoclasm of the French Revolution). As Ian Fraser’s 2014 Bruce tomb recreation project has shown, it was most likely a monument workshop servicing St Denis from which Robert I purchased his own marble tomb.34

Smaller Benedictine churches, closer in scale to Dunfermline’s late-medieval choir, also fit these criteria of thirteenth-century development. For example, at Tewkesbury’s Abbey of St Mary in Gloucestershire [with a choir c.160’ long by c.135’ wide] with multiple chantry, box tomb and pavement burials north-south and east-west across the central choir or presbytery space. [Fig 17]. Again, these might surely all be said to lie ‘in the middle of the choir’ while the sanctuary with its sacred steps more immediately before the high altar remains burial free.

Secondly, if the same Scottish chroniclers do describe any royal burial at Dunfermline with greater or more identifiable detail it is that of David I. Fordun’s source describes David as being:

‘buried [in 1153] before the high altar of the church of the Holy Trinity at Dunfermline, which, first founded by his father and mother, had been added to in property and buildings by his brother Alexander, while he himself also had loaded and endowed it with more ample gifts and honours; and he was laid there, at a good old age, beside his parents and brothers.’

Bower reworks this description to state that:

‘[David was] buried before the high altar under the paved part of the middle of the choir in that noble monastery which he had himself built and endowed with many possessions.’

As discussed in more detail below, MacGregor and Wilkinson have recently outlined a compelling reinterpretation of some of these and other chronicle descriptions. They do so to make a case that on his death David I was in fact buried in the nave, the original western monastic church with its own smaller east-end ‘choir’ and the original high Holy Trinity altar. However, for the immediate purposes of the present project – in search of evidence for the later medieval, immediately pre-1560 church and its lost eastern choir – for Abbot Bower to have mistakenly placed David I’s tomb in the eastern choir seems highly unlikely, not least as that chronicler surely attended Regent Robert Stewart Duke of Albany’s funeral in Dunfermline’s choir in 1420. Thus David I was surely definitely entombed in the eastern choir by Bower’s period.

One way to reconcile these seeming chronicle discrepancies (or their modern interpretation) might be to factor in, as for St Margaret, a subsequent translation of David I’s remains from nave to choir, west to east (perhaps around 1180 as discussed below). As Bower states on several occasions, King David was also regarded as a saint by the monks of Dunfermline; both Fordun’s earlier source and Bower reproduce Ailred of Rievaulx’s lengthy lament for that pious

---

36 *Chron. Fordun*, i, 234 and ii, 225.
39 *Chron. Bower*, viii, 135. The family of Bower’s patron, Stewart of Rosyth, were also likely buried at Dunfermline down through the ages.
40 Bartlett ed., *Miracles*, no. 9, pp. 93-4. It seems most likely that the monks of Dunfermline initiated this first translation themselves, out of concern that in 1178 William I (1165-1214) had founded Arbroath Abbey in honour of St Thomas Becket, investing heavily in its future as his chosen burial place.
king. Given this and David’s vital elevation of this Benedictine community from priory to abbey status, it seemed to Penman highly likely that if anyone was to be allowed such a prestigious, singular burial position in the eastern choir within the sanctuary/chancel and close to the high altar it would be David as de facto-founder of the Abbey.

Indeed, as elaborated upon in the discussion below, treatment of David’s body and relics in this way, close to the body shrine and (eventual) head shrine of St Margaret, as well as similar saintly translation and status for the remains of her husband, David’s father, Malcolm III (d.1093), would have provided a unique liturgical focus within the later choir: a trinity of royal saints within a Trinity church. The importance of Trinitarian liturgy in determining architectural layout and worship at such a church as Dunfermline’s evolving Benedictine abbey is underlined by the mid-to-late thirteenth-century collection of some 42 miracles of St Margaret, gathered to assist her official campaign for papal canonisation by 1249. These miracula are replete with references to pilgrims’ three years of suffering, three days or weeks of journey, three nights vigil, three vision figures etc. The trinity/three was also echoed in Dunfermline abbey’s architecture with its three towers and three St Margaret chapel walls (1250-) which might be assumed to have illustrated her life and miracles in glass (just as the east end chapel at Dunfermline’s mother-house at Canterbury illustrates the miracula of Thomas Becket).

Thirdly, these two initial points can be attached to a growing sense that Robert I’s legend and its traditions are something of a red herring and an impediment to a full appreciation of the complexity of Dunfermline Abbey as a mausoleum and spiritual centre over time. That is, there was a profound pressure to embrace the idea in 1818 (and still today) that the grave discovered must belong to Robert I. In later eyes Bruce is the most important monarch interred within the abbey. The assertion and acceptance of the notion that Robert had leprosy might be argued to have a similarly distracting affect, one too readily linked to the apparent scarring discernible on the surviving casts of the ‘Bruce’ skull taken in 1819, in the same way as the cut sternum of the skeleton – which belonged to a male of 45-to-65 years of age - is taken as another sure proof that this was Robert, as he had requested his heart be taken to the Holy Land.

---


43 A similar pressure seemed to mark the search for further archaeological evidence for the site of the battle of Bannockburn (1314) and the discovery in 2014 of a single English horse badge in what had after all been a royal hunting park occupied for a decade by an English garrison [Tony Pollard, ‘A Battle Lost, a Battle Found: the Search for the Bannockburn Battlefield’, in M. Penman ed., Bannockburn 1314-2014: Battle and Legacy (Dorchester, 2016), 74-96, at 91].

It can be countered that leprosy is in fact a condition only attributed to Bruce by English and Flemish contemporary chroniclers, writers who although they often treat the Scots and Bruce as worthy opponents during the Wars of Independence could still be highly critical and disparaging, too, and thus not above repeating a slur.\(^45\) Bruce was besides treated in his last years by Milanese physician, Maino de Maineri (d.1368), a Paris resident and acknowledged expert in respiratory diseases such as tuberculosis and its associated lymphadenitis, i.e. scrofula, the ‘king’s evil’ in the middle ages.\(^46\) Tuberculosis was a condition which scholars including Matthew Kaufman (a pathologist) and Carole Rawcliffe have pointed out would also fit with the briefly-observed pathology of the skeleton discovered and recorded at Dunfermline in 1818-19 but which was also highly common across all classes in the middle ages and beyond. Many excavated medieval skeletal remains betray signs of this and similar diseases (including early forms of treponemal syphilis).\(^47\)

Dr Manieri was surely also known to French royal physician, Henri de Mondeville, who in 1320 (the year he died of tuberculosis) completed a treatise on how to prepare French royalties for burial at St Denis Abbey. This included removal of the heart down under the ribs after extraction of the viscera, hence without cutting the sternum.\(^48\) There is a strong tradition that Bruce’s viscera were removed and interred at a chapel dedicated to St Serf close to Cardross in Dumbartonshire where he died (just as Bruce’s body probably rested at St Serf’s shrine church at Culross Abbey, just eight miles west of Dunfermline, en route to his funeral in 1329).\(^49\) This should serve to caution us not to jump to easy connections, especially as heart

\(^45\) Thus, contra Macgregor and Wilkinson, ‘In search of Robert Bruce, Part II’, 168-70. For example, Sir Thomas Gray’s Scalacronica does respect Bruce (and other Scots), although he seems more often detached than efficaciously positive in his comments: but he also accuses Bruce of ‘great scheming’ and murder in 1306 (for which he says he sought Edward I’s pardon), of killing an English knight at Bannockburn without any real honour using an axe, of taking Berwick by treason and of then executing the betrayer, Piers Libaud, and of generally ruling Scots who were ‘ascendant and so arrogant’ by the 1310s (not least the ambitious Edward Bruce). Gray, besides, only mentions the disease in question in a parenthesis reporting of Bruce’s ‘having died of leprosy a little before’; he offers no reflective obituary of Bruce’s achievements or character at all [Scalacronica, 51-3, 73-9, 89, 107].

\(^46\) ER, i, 169, 238; C. Proctor, ‘Physician to the Bruce: Maino de Maineri in Scotland’, SHR, lxxxvi (2007), 16-26; eadem, ‘Perfecting Prevention: The Medical Writings of Maino de Maineri (d.c.1368)’, unpublished PhD (University of St Andrews, 2005), 7, 9-10, 102, 252, 253, 255; Penman, Robert the Bruce, 264-5, 302-4.


\(^49\) ER, i, 162, 216, 245, 267, 288, 298, 303, 340. Robert I died on 7 June 1329 and the initial transfer of his body to St Serf’s would have been in accord with a thirteenth-century Scottish Church Council statute calling for all those who had arranged ‘a special place of sepulture’ in a remote church to first be taken to their parish church to pay funerary dues [Statutes of the Scottish Church, 1225-1559, ed. D. Patrick (Edinburgh, 1907), 47]. For a recent study of Bruce’s funeral see L. Dean, ‘Crowns, Wedding Rings, and Processions: Continuity and Change in Representations of Scottish Royal Authority in State Ceremony, c.1214 - c.1603’, unpublished PhD (University of Stirling, 2013), soon to appear as Death and the Royal Succession in Scotland, c.1214–c.1513: Ritual,
removal was a burial practice which, although outlawed by the Papacy by 1302, continued to be used in elite circles across the British Isles right down to the nineteenth century as a means of multiplying pro anima prayers.\textsuperscript{50}

We should not then over-focus on Robert Bruce or the 1818 ‘Bruce grave’ in seeking to understand the wider layout and significance of Dunfermline Abbey’s medieval choir. King Robert himself came to Dunfermline immediately after the dramatic parliament at Cambuskenneth Abbey, Stirling, which saw him forfeit his Scottish opponents of their lands following his victory at Bannockburn.\textsuperscript{51} He was thus at Dunfermline on 16 November 1314 – the main feast day of St Margaret – to grant nearby churches and income to the abbey and to declare his intention to be buried there next to his royal ancestors.\textsuperscript{52} He clearly regarded Margaret, David I and probably Alexander III (d.1286) as the most important individuals buried there, with Alexander, the last MacMalcolm/Canmore king, frequently name-checked as Robert’s immediate predecessor, ignoring the reign of dynastic rival King John (Balliol) of 1292-6. Yes, this was indeed a political statement by Bruce as a usurper and excommunicate.\textsuperscript{53}

However, as discussed in more detail below, it was also the first in a series of visits and grants by Robert to the cult of St Margaret and other chapels within the abbey choir often made at times of important personal crisis and reflection, not least the births of his children at Dunfermline in the 1320s. In sum, Bruce himself was profoundly aware of the spiritual (and political) importance of St Margaret, (St) David and the abbey’s other relics, dedications and burials as a focus of worship in incredibly momentous times for himself and the realm.\textsuperscript{54}

In that sense, to immediately assume that Bruce could be given or simply take for himself the most prominent burial position directly before the choir high altar within this long-established royal cult church seems to be open to challenge and to deserve testing. Such a view must lead to further questions. If Bruce was not buried in the 1818 grave, where else might his marble tomb have stood (and was he buried in its box or in the pavement beneath)? How can we explain Abbot Bower’s further loose assertion that Bruce’s queen, Elizabeth de Burgh (d.1327) was ‘buried in the choir at Dunfermline next to her husband’ when no immediately neighbouring grave was found in 1818?\textsuperscript{55} What of the local tradition of an elite female burial found close to the Lady Chapel in 1776, thus some 25’-30’ north-east of the Bruce grave? The latter was believed by locals to be that of Elizabeth (even though several other Bruce women, the first


\textsuperscript{51} RPS, 1314/1; RRS, vi, no. 41.

\textsuperscript{52} RRS, vi, nos 41-3 (Cambuskenneth) and 44 (Dunfermline).


\textsuperscript{55} \textit{Chron. Bower}, vii, 35.
two Stewart queens and surely numerous other aristocratic females were buried in proximity to the Lady and St Margaret chapels). 56

Can we locate evidence for any other elite burials which might speak to alternative motives or patterns of royal or even independent monastic strategy by the abbot and monks, for example the several Bruce family and supporter burials in the new Lady Chapel reported by the Scottish chroniclers? How were the numerous royal and aristocratic burials within the choir over time accommodated within a busy pilgrimage church with a major body shrine? Can we find evidence of how other chapels, altars and liturgical stations may have related to these known choir features? In sum, can we reimagine Dunfermline’s choir as a living church to the same degree as extant records and physical evidence allow us to understand a Westminster or a St Denis? Or did the Reformation followed by the destructive and disruptive activity of successive generations c.1560-c.1818 simply obliterate too much of the material evidence for Dunfermline’s medieval choir? Did architect-builder William Burn sweep away any further medieval remains in clearing what was a sloping and flood-prone site? At the very least, why were more medieval features and graves not uncovered and reported in 1818-19?

56 Jardine, Report, 47; Boardman, ‘Dunfermline as a royal mausoleum’, 150. Jardine, followed by Rev Chalmers, quite misleadingly describe this grave found in 1776 as lying ‘within a few feet’ north-east of the ‘Bruce grave’.

The obvious if challenging approach which might help provide cautious answers to some of these questions was geophysical survey using Ground-Penetrating Radar [GPR]. Such surveys might reveal for the first time more of the complexity over time of Dunfermline Abbey as an architectural and liturgical whole, particularly when used to test and enhance the identifiable medieval written and later antiquarian evidence. As archaeological excavation of Dunfermline’s subterranean choir remains is not possible, at least within the newer Abbey Church’s walls, radar provides a non-invasive means of scanning through the modern floor and foundations in search of the medieval depths and any surviving evidence of architectural or liturgical features and burials. This is a proven method of investigation, its application in archaeological contexts growing steadily.

Geophysicist Erica Carrick Utsi, brings to this project her expertise in applying GPR to archaeological and forensic settings. Recognition of features below ground within historical buildings using GPR, especially any which have been damaged, depends upon the density of survey measurements. These, in turn, depend upon the measuring capability of the antenna used, defined by its operating frequency and wavelengths. Archaeological guidelines originally drawn up by English Heritage in 2008, and more widely applied subsequently, recommend a spacing between adjacent radar survey lines of 50cm for the frequencies which we would in the end deploy for our Dunfermline fieldwork. However, Utsi’s tried-and-tested method of applying a range of frequencies to a site and reducing the line spacing to 25cm for lower frequencies, coupled with a sampling interval appropriate to the frequency of the antenna in use, has produced important and often unexpected results in the interpretation of such important historic churches as Westminster Abbey and Holy Trinity Church, Stratford (the site of Shakespeare’s burial).

Our approach to surveying the site of Dunfermline Abbey’s lost choir through two initial pilot stages (2016-17) was determined by several factors, including some unknowns:

- Some of the interior areas to be scanned during the pilot surveys were necessarily relatively small and often awkwardly constrained at modern interior floor level by walls, fixed pews, the east-end organ, dais and pulpit, radiators, shop fittings and other immovable features [Fig 2b].

---

57 Ground-Penetrating Radar is more usually the US term (v. ‘Ground-Probing’ in the UK) but, strictly speaking, more accurately describes our pilot survey.


- The radar scans of the subsurface would have to penetrate a modern twentieth-century wooden floor above a c.1’8”/55cm air gap down to a foundation raft which had been topped with poured pitch by c.1950. This was the first of at least three factors which would affect radar velocity and cause signal attenuation/loss variably across scan areas.
- The radar signal would then have to penetrate the foundation raft of unknown materials to only an approximately known depth (c. 7’-10’/2m-3m) as it emerged that architect William Burn’s plans/papers of c.1816-22 revealed very little of his working methods and materials [see Figs 18 i-iii].
- The radar scans would have to take account of post-1822 intrusions by modern utilities above any detectable medieval level(s). Surprisingly, no comprehensive modern scale-plan of the Abbey Church interior and its fittings/utilities exists [but see Fig 2b for a basic if already out-of-date floor-plan].
- The medieval levels may have been subject to considerable disturbance by burials, unrecorded investigation, and reuse c.1560-c.1818 as well as by the Abbey Church’s construction.
- The sloping site on which the choir sat has certainly been prone to a high-water table and even flooding to the south during the construction and subsequent lifetime of the Abbey Church (and perhaps throughout its history).62
- Interpretation of some of the survey results from scans taken within the post-1822 Abbey Church walls might be enhanced/challenged by those exterior scans to be undertaken within the northern and southern graveyards.
- Exterior graveyard radar scans would be disrupted by existing gravestones and burials as well as possibly by modern utilities, pathways, and the water table.

As a result, Utsi, aided by Dr Oliver O’Grady in 2016 and 2017, and by Mr Alex Birtwisle in 2019, chose to undertake two successive 25cm-gap north-to-south surveys of the chosen areas using a Ground Vue 3_1 radar, with first a 250MHz and then a 400MHz antenna. The former would achieve greater depth penetration and better detection capability where moisture was present, while the latter would have better overall image definition capability. The expected probing depths required the use of low frequency antennas only although a higher frequency (1.5GHz) was trialled within the Abbey Church in 2016. This trial confirmed the choice of antenna frequencies and that the subsurface of the south side of the church contained more moisture than the north.

Since one of the aims of the survey was to identify the location of any extant medieval graves, all surveys were carried out along a north-south axis, thus maximising the detection of features oriented east-west, the norm for Christian graves. It is not normally possible to identify human remains by GPR, if only because, over time, they take on the electromagnetic characteristics of their surrounding environment. However, their existence can sometimes be inferred from the position of associated artefacts, the absorption of moisture where organic remains are still

---

62 NRS GB234/HR159/3, Dunfermline Parish Heritors’ Records, Minute Book 1815-37, 28 Nov. 1818 to 7 Jan. 1819; Sue Mowat, ‘The Old Graveyard’, 1-11, at 6, Dunfermline Heritage Community Projects https://www.dunfermlineheritage.org/uploads/1/5/6/2/15623980/the_old_graveyard.pdf, accessed 5/2/20. My thanks also to Mark Seaborne of the Dunfermline Youth Archaeological Society for discussions about their northern graveyard excavations and the water-table. As early as 1660 the kirkyard was reported as a ‘swamp’ to be drained [Henderson, Annals, 332].
present and/or the pattern of air gaps within the grave. Nonetheless, the use of such lower resolution radar antennas would not necessarily allow for the distinction of human remains in this manner since to distinguish two separate targets from one another requires a distance of one wavelength between them and lower radar frequencies emit longer wavelengths. It was also recognised that depth was the primary selection factor for our pilot since it was reasonable to suppose that the medieval graves would contain sizeable air gaps which would be easily detectable provided the requisite depth could be probed.

Radas measure in nanoseconds time. These readings are turned into depth measurements in metres/centimetres by calibrating the transmission velocity of the signals. The complicated nature of the Abbey Church of Dunfermline’s substructure was confirmed by the discovery of multiple transmission velocities in the subsurface of the North Transept (discussed below). Although it is sometimes possible to construct a velocity map, this was not an option in this case due to the number and distribution of different velocities. The first of the radar reports (2016) therefore gave initial depth readings in nanoseconds time. Fortunately, the areas examined in the two following surveys (2017, 2019) did not suffer from the same problem and velocity calibration was possible. The later reports therefore give depths in metres/centimetres.

Penman and several University of Stirling post-graduate students also assisted with the GPR scans. As a result, Penman learned a tremendous amount about the GPR process and the challenging nature of Dunfermline’s monastic site and history. He was then able to reflect upon these experiences and observations in reassessing the medieval record, antiquarian evidence and existing modern plans and interpretations.

William Burn and the building of the Abbey Church
At the time of his design and engagement as builder of the new Protestant Abbey Church at Dunfermline, William Burn (1789-1870) was a young and upcoming architect. He had a growing reputation for civic buildings and classically styled or Gothic-revival churches in Scotland’s burghs, as well as a swelling portfolio of country house commissions. His early work sprang in large part from his architect father’s growing reputation for civic buildings in reassessing the medieval record, antiquarian evidence and existing modern plans and interpretations.

collectively by Burn’s celebrated work on such Edinburgh churches as North Leith parish church and the Episcopal chapel of St John the Evangelist at the west end of Princes Street. The heritors were also keen to rival and surpass other new Fife churches, like that at nearby Kirkcaldy.67

Historic Environment Scotland holds nineteen sheets of presentational plans for the Abbey Church drawn up by Burn in 1816-17.68 These tender plans do at least indicate an approximate depth down to the foundational ground he proposed to prepare, beneath which medieval layers may have survived: c.7’9” to 8’ on the north side, sloping down to c.9’8” on the south. The Kirk Session minutes of the period also suggest a desire that Burn re-use the surviving bases of medieval choir aisle columns to site and fix his own new polished ashlar columns with iron/lead sockets.69 Moreover, once the ‘Bruce grave’ was discovered and local interest in the medieval remains grew, the Session and Burn were sensitive enough to apparently reposition his planned foundational pylons to avoid cutting through the sites/depths of the ‘Bruce’ crypt and another spot, to the north-west, which local tradition maintained had been marked by six large slabs covering six kings’ graves [Fig 22, discussed below].70

67 NRS HR159/3/26-9, /44-9.
69 NRS HR159/3/110-11; HES CANMORE, FID 89/10 [28 July 1817].
70 HES CANMORE, SC 1573934.
Crucially, however, these plans and Burn’s surviving papers reveal little of his day-to-day working practices on this difficult site from 1817-21, tackling issues in-situ as an ‘architect-builder’. We cannot be sure just how he cleared the medieval remains from the choir site. Were any substantial features physically removed and conserved as were, apparently, the four remaining arched windows and walls of the northern Lady Chapel? Or were they crushed or simply buried intact and in-situ under the Abbey Church to help stabilise the foundation of a sloping, often water-logged site? In ‘clearing and levelling’ the site, as local historians would later describe it, did Burn in the end bring the medieval layers closer to his prepared building surface and/or reduce his foundation depth(s)? As much is perhaps suggested by visual observation on site at present of the relative levels of the paths exterior to the Abbey Church and (reached via five/six shallow stone Transept steps with varied riser heights) its modern wooden floor inside. Both the mid-nineteenth century and current position/level of St Margaret’s feretory tomb base [Figs 19-20] also suggest that once on site Burn and his team perhaps had to reduce the depth of their foundations.

71 Jardine, Report, 438; Henderson, Annals, 602. Burn’s rural estate of Hermiston at Riccarton has long since been demolished and absorbed by the city of Edinburgh: there is no evidence (so far) that Burn removed medieval stone such as fossiliferous marble from Dunfermline as a keepsake.
The initial new Abbey Church floor was apparently a flat slabbend surface [Fig 21]. A wooden floor raft of c.1.5’ with a central east end raised dais of c.1.5’, too, was installed in the mid-
twentieth century. This may perhaps explain the relatively shallower depths at which our 2016 and 2017 radar scans, discussed below, began to return potential structures and graves: from c.40cm down in exterior areas and from c.1.2m (c.4’) down in interior areas.

Fig 21: Interior of new Abbey Church looking west from the vestry, showing early flag-stone floor without dais.

Fig 22: William Burn’s 1817 plan of foundations for the Abbey Church: those pylons set to cut through the six kings’ slabs and the ‘Bruce grave’ were reportedly relocated.
Through a long and distinguished career, with successful practices in both Edinburgh and later London, Burn would earn a reputation for honest, principled, high-quality work as well as a strong respect for his clients’ privacy. This discretion often extended to keeping architectural plans and their execution confidential. As a result, we should not be surprised that Burn’s surviving personal and practice papers contain no clues as to his working methods and/or problems at Dunfermline.\textsuperscript{72}

Yet we might speculate cautiously about just what those issues might have been in 1817–21, on what was after all one of his first major commissions. Burn had to navigate the often competing and fractious local politics and sensibilities of the heritors and wider community.\textsuperscript{73} In 1818, these tensions included calls from Earl Thomas of Elgin for the building work to halt or slow until all royal graves had been identified and preserved. But Burn seems to have been held to ‘continue uninterrupted’ on a tight schedule and budget on what was also an awkward site.\textsuperscript{74} Some of the local antiquarian evidence discussed below makes it clear that there were other medieval remains still visible or just beneath the debris-strewn surface in 1818, none of which Burn would record on his much-reproduced ground-plan [Fig 13]. Some locals continued to have access to the site throughout the clearing and build, despite the Kirk Session’s precautions.\textsuperscript{75} Not the least of these was Elgin who oversaw the construction of a South-Transept crypt that was immediately prone to flooding; this led to a falling out between peer and architect.\textsuperscript{76} Burn also made use of local labour. Indeed, both Burn’s younger brother and one of his contracted foremen, a John Bonnar, would later be accused of involvement with a local publican, an artist and a historian (no less than Andrew Mercer) and one of his practice papers contain no clues as to his working methods and/or problems at Dunfermline.\textsuperscript{77}

Nonetheless, over his career, Burn did seem to take a genuine interest in the architecture, relics and conservation of the historic churches and houses he worked in and around, sympathies

\textsuperscript{72} RIBA [V&A Museum, London], ANJ/1/1, index to 154 bundles of deposited William Burn drawings (1957–9); RIBA COC/9-10 Notebooks and diaries of C.R. Cockerell, 1806, 1821–33, who dined in Edinburgh in 1822 with Burn, Elgin and a physician present at the 5 November 1819 tomb inspection, Dr Alexander Monro [COC/9/2 pp. 63, 63, 65, 67].

\textsuperscript{73} Penman, ‘Robert Bruce’s Bones’, 15–38.

\textsuperscript{74} TNA HO102/29, Home Office: Scotland – Letters and Papers, /29 or /103 (1 March 1818), /124, /141 (10–11 March 1818); NAS GB234/HR159/3, Dunfermline Parish Heritors’ Records, Minute Book 1815–37, 7 March, 10 March and 20 March 1818.


\textsuperscript{76} NRS GB234/HR159/3, Dunfermline Parish Heritors’ Records, Minute Book 1815–37, 28 Nov. 1818 to 7 Jan. 1819.

\textsuperscript{77} TNA E.306, Register of Orders of Barons of Exchequer on Treasury and Revenue Business, 13 Dec. 1820 to 21 Feb. 1822, p. 130 [John Bonar, builder, paid]; NAS E.310/28, King’s Remembrancer’s Letter Book 21 June 1820–27 Jan. 1821, pp. 3, 212 [Jardine’s payments of a five guinea reward to the plate finders and for costs of engravings]; Anon., ‘Donations to the Museum,’ 413–4; T.B. Johnston, ‘The Story of the Fabrication of the “Coffin-Plate” said to have been found in the tomb of King Robert Bruce in Dunfermline Abbey,’ PSAS, xii (1878), 466–71; Jardine, Report, 46 [with image of plate]; Henderson, Annals, 605; Pearson, ‘The Skull of Robert the Bruce, King of Scotland, 1274–1329’, 253–73, photograph at Plate VII. In 1860, Bonnar would write to local historian Ebenezer Henderson and claim to have ‘some iron nails…also a bit of the winding sheet and a bit or two of marble’ from the ‘Bruce grave’ [DCL&G, LR D/GEN [handwritten MS of Ebenezer Henderson’s Annals of Dunfermline], Appendix iii].
perhaps first cultivated by his Dunfermline experiences. Burn appears to have been a silent patron to the tune of £1,000 of Robert William Billings’ celebrated four-volume survey of *The Baronial and Ecclesiastical Antiquities of Scotland* (1845-52). This included an eight-page account of Dunfermline Abbey with a short description of the discoveries of 1818-19.  
Billings’ earlier journal serialisations and reviews which discussed aspects of Dunfermline’s ecclesiastical antiquities acknowledged a more direct authorial or illustrative contribution by Burn and took account of lost and extant choir remains other than the ‘Bruce grave’ and skeleton.  

Given, then, the limited available information about Burn’s time and work at Dunfermline, our GPR pilot surveys were undertaken with due sensitivity to the nature of the subsurface layers beneath the modern abbey church floor.

---

Pilot Survey 1: 13-14th June 2016

a. The North Transept

![Diagram of GPR scans of sections of lost choir of Dunfermline Abbey, 2016-17 – 1a, 1b from 2016.

The building outlined in red is the modern Abbey Church.]

This area (1a) was chosen for our first survey because as well as being relatively clear of pews and other immovable fittings it also sits atop the site of the medieval choir north aisle ambulatory. The latter bordered east-west on the northern Lady Chapel extension of the late thirteenth-early fourteenth centuries, stated by Fordun and Bower to be the site of numerous fourteenth-century elite burials.

Significantly, our scan area would also embrace the recorded site of six large slabs reported in the debris field in the eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries, and recorded by Burn/Jardine and others on their 1818 ground-plan [see Fig 13, marked as ‘K’]. These slabs were believed by locals and several visitors to be the site of six kings’ graves, with Bruce believed to be interred under, of course, the largest stone to the south-west. This was a slab approximately 9’ long by 3’ wide and reportedly kissed by a kneeling Robert Burns during the bard’s visit to

---

80 The following is taken from E.C. Utsi, *Ground-Penetrating Radar Survey of Part of the North Transept and the Vestry of Dunfermline Abbey* (EMC Radar, 2016).
the choir ruins in 1787.\textsuperscript{82} This was also a feature that, as discussed below, was the site of antiquarian excavation in 1807.

Our scan area formed a rough T-junction (rotated here so as to run north-to-south from left-to-right), encompassing some 10mx3m east-west within the north aisle of the Abbey Church plus c.1.5mxc.8m north-south through the north transept of the Abbey Church (and the then gift shop). Utsi also added at least five test runs north-south across the central choir of the Abbey Church [c. 1.25m x 15m] immediately west of the modern east-end dais, in part to check for central features but also to check the possible effect of a rising south-side water-table.

Our pilot proved that it was indeed possible, despite the upper floor raft air-gap, poured pitch and unknown utilities, and using both the 250MHz and 400MHz antennas, to penetrate to the approximate medieval depths (down to at least 2m) and to identify potential subsurface burial and architectural features. Both frequencies returned broadly similar results, although the 250MHz results [Fig 24] suggested the potential burials extended to a greater depth than did the 400MHz scans and were perhaps more numerous. At the same time, the 400MHz scans provided sharper feature detail and more clearly evidenced a potential burial within the central area of the scan [Fig 25, boxed in red] which the 250MHz scans indicated to be likely disturbed and consisting of variable mixed materials and possible air pockets, consistent with the debris from post-medianeval destruction.

\textbf{Organ Wall}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig24.png}
\caption{Time Slice extracted at 30ns from the 250MHz data showing possible pairs of burials east [1/2] and west [3/4] of the North Transept, area 1a.}
\end{figure}

\textsuperscript{82} J. Currie ed., \textit{The Life and Works of Robert Burns} (2 volumes, Edinburgh, 1815), i, 167-8; S. Hogg and A. Noble, eds., \textit{The Canongate Burns} (Edinburgh, 2001), 464-73. That before 1818 there could be disagreement over such traditions, however, is illustrated by Robert Kerr’s \textit{History of Scotland during the Reign of King Robert} (2 volumes, Edinburgh, 1811), ii, 481, which sites Bruce’s burial in the choir next to his queen, while the local Rev John Fernie’s short \textit{History of the Town and Parish of Dunfermline} (Dunfermline, 1815), 180, asserts that Bruce was in fact buried before the current pulpit in the nave: both thus ignore the six kings’ slabs.
At the east (organ wall) end of the north aisle the scans [Fig 24] combined to identify, from c.30ns down to c.63-70ns, at least two potential substantial burials, one perhaps within a shaped stone coffin in the medieval style (#1), the other perhaps in a small vault within a lead shroud or lining (#2). Ringing (echo effects) are visible below this second grave, as would be expected for either metallic remains or a void of sufficient size (cf survey line 6 in Fig 2 of the 2016 report).

Further west, in the centre of the scan area and in line with the north-south modern gift shop entrance there was evidence of a potential (deeper) architectural feature to the north then an
area of likely disturbed/dug-over material running south towards another potential burial, again at c.40-63ns in depth [Figs 25-6i-ii].

Most striking of all, at the west end of the north aisle scan area and at a similar depth, at least two, possibly as many as four, substantial burials were identifiable, again with one large shaped stone coffin (Fig 27 #3) parallel to a more rectangular vault/burial (Fig 27 #4) forming the centre of this north-south grouping.
Fig 28: Survey line 41 and 36 in the 400MHz data showing substantial archaeological remains in the western area of the North Transept, area 1a.

Bordering some of these potential burials to the centre and west of the aisle scan a linear east-west feature [L in Fig 27] seems to reflect a modern intrusion at a shallower depth. However, both frequencies confirmed further south, extending north-south across the middle of what would have been the medieval choir’s presbytery, the potential remains of a large rectangular feature, surely architectural rather than burial, and at a significantly shallower depth than the potential aisle graves [Fig 29]. The possibility that this represents traces of the start of the medieval sanctuary steps is discussed below.

Fig 29: Time Slice extracted at 19.5ns (98cm depth) from the 250MHz data showing potentially large horizontal architectural feature [boxed in red] running north-south across church, area 1a.
b. The Vestry

The next scan area chosen [Fig 23, area 1b] was the modern east-end Abbey Church vestry, given its immediate proximity west of the marble base of the medieval shrine of St Margaret, extant in the eastern exterior graveyard [Fig 18]. The vestry thus lies atop what would have been the retro-choir of the screened choir high altar of the post-1250 church further to the west, and thus the paved sanctuary area of this major feretory shrine and part of the pilgrimage ambulatory.

As Utsi’s report and its accompanying time-slice images make clear [Figs 30-1], the scan results of both frequencies evidenced ‘a crowded burial space…a high density of graves, the possibility of some graves intercutting…and there is also evidence in both surveys to suggest more than one level of burial’, perhaps extending down through as much as 2m in depth.\(^\text{83}\)

It is likely that at least the lowest detectable archaeological features beneath the vestry may be at the medieval depth (if not all medieval features). These features are indicated by the time slices between 38ns and 80ns depth: in the vestry the modern Abbey Church floor – as with the dais around the modern pulpit and ‘Bruce grave’ commemorative brass plaque in the main
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church east-end - lies roughly a foot above the level of the modern floor of the north transept/aisle.

Fig 31: Survey lines 2 and 4 from the vestry [400MHz] showing traditional GPR evidence of multiple graves, area 1b.
Pilot Survey 2: 12-14 June 2017

a. The Central area between Vestry and Dais (including the ‘Bruce grave’)

This area [2a] represents perhaps that of greatest potential interest surveyed by this pilot project given the known presence of the 1818-19 ‘Bruce grave’, west of the likely position of the lost medieval choir high altar. However, as Utsi’s report makes clear, it also presented the greatest practical and interpretive difficulties. There were notable discrepancies between the two frequencies’ returns, due to a variety of issues:

- the unsuitability of some areas of the subsurface soil.
- ringing from modern surface/near-surface intrusions.
- and the constrained nature of the space to be scanned, bounded as it was to the north and south by fixed pews, and by the Bruce grave marked with a brass plaque (which radar cannot penetrate), beneath a grand Victorian wooden pulpit before the heavy wooden modern communion table to the west [Fig 33]. Parts of the ‘Bruce grave’, the feet of the pulpit and the table show as white blanks in the data on the report time slices.

---

84 The following is taken from E.C. Utsi, *Ground-penetrating Radar Survey of the Central Area between the Vestry and the Altar and 2 External Areas overlying the former Lady chapel of Dunfermline Abbey* (EMC Radar, 2017).
Nevertheless, both antennae produced some broadly similar if ‘enigmatic’ and debatable results. There is the possibility of as many as seven, perhaps eight, potential historic features. These may be east-west orientated graves, quite large, from c.1.29m down, with three grouped in a north-south row to the east of the ‘Bruce grave’ plaque [Fig 34, #s 3-4-5]; two to the south-east of that group closer to the current Abbey church back wall and the present door through to the Vestry [Fig 34, #s 1-2]; two more side-by-side to the south-east of the ‘Bruce grave’ [Fig 34, #s 6-7]; and the last, much less distinct and certain, to the immediate south of the ‘Bruce grave’ [Fig 38, #??].
Fig 34: Time Slice extracted a c.1.29m [250MHz] area 2a.

Fig 35: Survey lines 94 and 97 showing the vertical profile of features 1 and 2 of Fig 34 [250MHz], area 2a.
Fig 36: Survey lines 78 and 83 showing the vertical profile of features 4, 3 and 5 of Fig 34 [250MHz], area 2a.

Fig 37: Survey Lines 67 and 71 showing the vertical profile of features 6 and 7 of Fig 34 [250MHz], area 2a.

However, given that the first appearance of most of these features is relatively shallow below what is a raised dais floor, these may in part be evidence for ‘earlier structural remains’ rather than extant graves (or their disturbed remnants).\(^85\) There is a considerable amount of ringing (echo effects) directly below one of these features (#3). Feature #5, although clearly visible in the 250MHz data is only faintly visible in the 400MHz data [Figs 34, 38 and 39] suggesting that its material composition is different from the other features and closer in nature to their environment. There are also indications of a few more deeply buried but unrelated remains (cf #s 6 and 7). Significantly, at least five of these potential features [#s 3-7] might be said to define a rectangular boundary for the high altar space [Fig 34].

Fig 38: Time Slice extracted at 1.25m depth, with added gain [400MHz], area 2a.

Fig 39: Survey lines 27 and 28 showing cross sections of features 3 to 5 of Fig 38 [400MHz], area 2a.
An eighth potential feature [Fig 38, # ??] to the immediate south of the ‘Bruce grave’ may also represent the wider structure or dug-over spoil related to the recorded process on 5 November 1819 of breaking down the originally-observed stone crypt which housed the ‘Bruce’ remains. The Remembrancer’s inspection team did so to gain access to its contents and then to build up a new replacement (double-walled?) brick-lined grave space for their re-interment. Post-1560 ‘Psalter’ churchyard burials may account for some of these features, too, just as later, post-1822 construction processes might also be a factor here: for example the insertion of the modern organ in 1882 and its subsequent renewal/adaptation in 1911, 1966 and 1984-7.87

b. The East End of the Lady Chapel, exterior to the Abbey Church North Transept

At about 1m’s depth both antennas [Figs 41-7] returned likely evidence for the cut-down substantial wall-foundations of the late thirteenth/fourteenth-century Lady Chapel [Fig 23, Area 2b], with these remains widening down to c.1.4m depth, then extending down beyond 2m depth, and lying east-west and north-south in line with the end buttresses of the modern Abbey Church transept and east-end wall.

Fig 41: Time Slice extracted at c.41cm depth [400MHz], area 2b.

Fig 42: Survey lines 60 and 70 showing evidence of a damaged former wall [250MHz], area 2b.
Fig 43: Time Slice extracted at 1m depth [400MHz], area 2b.

Fig 44: Time Slice extracted at c.1.13m depth [250MHz]. area 2b.
Fig 45: Time Slice extracted at 1.4m depth [400 MHz], area 2b.

Fig 46: Survey lines 20 (lhs) and 29 (rhs) [400MHz], area 2b.
Fig 47: Time Slice extracted at 2.13m depth [250MHz], area 2b.

Significantly, the extent and alignment of these walls matches closely that recorded on the 1818-19 Burn/Jardine ground-plan [Fig 13], confirming the wide northern transept nature of the Lady Chapel and its extension of the choir’s north-south cruciform axis. This also seems to confirm the 1818-19 ground-plan’s recording of a flat east-end choir wall, joining at a right angle with the eastern extension of the rectangular St Margaret feretory shrine. This challenges the majority of antiquarian and modern-day heritage re-creative plans for the choir all of which more commonly suggest stepped/dog-leg walls for the east-end choir walls, both to the north (Lady Chapel/aisle) and the south [Figs 48-52].

Fig 48: Burn/Jardine plan (1818) of Abbey choir Lady Chapel.
Fig 49: Rev John Sime’s copy of the Burn/Jardine plan (with symmetrical choir), from his *Memorabilia* (Edinburgh, 1840).

Fig 50: Rev. Sime’s 1841 extended sketch of Dunfermline Abbey plan. Note his rejection of the Burn/Jardine symmetrical chapel on the choir’s south side.
Fig 51: (Asymmetrical) Ground-plan of the Abbey displayed in the Abbey Church, dating from 1964.

Fig 52: Richard Fawcett’s ground-plan of the Abbey’s development, from idem ed., *Royal Dunfermline* (Edinburgh, 2005).

It should be borne in mind that the northern and north-east corner walls of the medieval Lady Chapel were actually extent to c.8m in height, complete with four arched Gothic windows, right up to the time of the site-clearing and Abbey Church construction of 1818-19 [see Figs 3-10]. It may be that the slight differences between the two frequencies’ scan results for this area down to initially shallow depths at c.40cm reflects the deconstruction of these walls by Burn’s workmen but leaving uneven/broken upper course levels (perhaps with a ragged top).
These were nonetheless sufficiently low as to be covered over by the new build and, over time, its surrounding pathways.

However, there may be another post-1822 explanation for the apparent ‘dog-leg’ visible and noted by Utsi along the c.1m thick northern wall remains at that depth in the 400MHz scan of this area, one which underlines our need to be aware of modern intrusions on this complex site [Fig 43]. The 1854 Ordnance Survey map of Dunfermline [Fig 53] not only shows the lines of the thick medieval walls of the former Lady Chapel, suggesting perhaps that at that time the pathways around the new Abbey Church were sufficiently low as to leave these foundations visible; but the same map also records the position of a thinner wall inserted at a c.100 degree angle at this north-east corner, perhaps demarcating this area for, or in anticipation of, modern burial lairs. Thus, it may be the overlap of the medieval and modern walls along their northern line which creates the impression of a dog-leg in the scan data.

Fig 53: Ordnance Survey map of Dunfermline Abbey/Abbey Church (1854). North-east Lady Chapel corner ringed in blue to highlight low post-1821 graveyard wall [Reproduced with the permission of the National Library of Scotland].
Perhaps installed as part of levelling in the old northern graveyard in 1842, this thin wall is also indicated on the Kirk Session’s remarkable 1855 lair (or ‘room’) diagram [Fig 54], a plan drawn up in anticipation of the need to soon provide new burial grounds for Dunfermline’s growing population. A photograph of the Abbey Church from the north-east of c.1870 also perhaps shows this low wall still in situ, topped with an iron fence [Fig 55].
But this low wall was presumably demolished and covered over by the present-day asphalt pathway some years after the closure of the northern graveyard to further burial about 1863. This action was also perhaps part of measures designed to deal with issues of flooding and insanitary conditions resulting from waterlogged graves in the closing decades of that century. Or it may have been part of a more drastic levelling and landscaping of the Abbey churchyards undertaken in 1927 (in anticipation of public commemoration of the 600th anniversary of Bruce’s death by the Kirk Session). 88

Some of our radar scan returns from within this section of the ruined Lady Chapel walls may thus represent structures and/or burials, either from:

- the medieval period (perhaps the two potential deeper features in the north-east, Fig 44).
- or from the period c.1560-c.1818 (really the later eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries) when the ruined choir was used as a town graveyard, the ‘Satur’ or ‘Psalter’ churchyard.
- or from the period immediately after the completion of the new Abbey Church when the lairs of the graveyards, both north and south, became more tightly regulated and recorded.

The grave in the south-west corner of this scan area defined by the buried walls may represent one such post-1560 or post-1822 burial [Fig 41], given its shallower first appearance at c.40cm and then disappearance at c.80cm

Great caution must therefore be exercised in interpreting this potential evidence. There are Kirk Session burial registers which detail the use of the north-east corner of the ruined choir and Lady Chapel by the Bruce Earls of Elgin for their extended family’s ‘Psalter’ churchyard burials as befitted one of the parish’s chief heritors. In 1805 Earl Thomas was permitted to extend this ground to some 50’ by 19’. 89 Some other heritors also sought to ‘rail in’ their allotted burial grounds within the ‘Psalter’ yard. 90 By this time it was already part of oft-repeated local lore that in 1776, when preparing ground for the burial of his brother and beloved son beneath substantial monuments in this location 91, the Earl’s workmen removed some of the ruins of the Lady Chapel and uncovered the medieval stone coffin and remains of an elite female (believed at the time to be that of Elizabeth de Burgh, Bruce’s queen). These relics were reported as one-half of a double stone-lined crypt against the (flat) east end wall. 92 This grave was recorded on the Burn/Jardine plan [Fig 13, as ‘P’] and is discussed in more detail below. Clearly, burials from both the medieval and (early-)modern periods could share the same ground here, if not perhaps the same depths.
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88 Mowat, ‘The Old Churchyard’, 8-11.
89 Buckham, ‘Dunfermline Abbey Burial Grounds Desk-top Survey’, 9. In February 1816, Elgin’s proposal to raise this burial area, railed in since 1776, to build a new vault as part of the Abbey Church works, was declined by the Session [NRS HR159/3/8].
90 E.g. NRS HR159/2/232 7 Dec. 1808, Dr Robertson Barclay.
91 Some of this work may be indicated by the GPR return of ‘two false eastern boundary lines visible on various time slices which appear to have been formed from features containing excess moisture…they could indicate the replacement of an earlier structure with backfilled earth’ [Utsi, Ground-Penetrating Radar Survey of the Central Area between the Vestry and the Altar and 2 External Areas overlying the former Lady chapel of Dunfermline Abbey, 56].
c. The West End of the Lady Chapel, exterior to the Abbey Church North Transept

Turning now to Fig 23 area 2c, the western counterpart to area 2b, this scan area proved problematic to interpret, particularly using the longer wavelength 250MHz antenna. This was due to historic destruction, disturbance and reuse c.1560-c.1818, later nineteenth-century graveyard activity, and the intrusion of modern utilities like an exterior floodlight and ash pathways. The longer wavelength makes it more difficult to distinguish individual features as these need to be both slightly larger in size and separated from other anomalous material by one wavelength (c.32cm in this area).

Fig 56: Time Slice extracted at c.78cm depth [400MHz], area 2c.
Fig 57: Time Slice extracted at 1.01m depth [400MHz], area 2c.

Fig 58: Time Slice extracted at c.1.33m depth [250MHz], area 2c.
Fig 59: Survey lines 18 (lhs) and 28 (rhs) [250MHz], area 2c, showing the density and variety of remains. The marked signals correspond to potential archaeological remains of interest in the preceding time slice, Fig 58.

Fig 60: Survey lines 71 and 79 showing the density and variety of remains in the subsurface [400MHz], area 2c. Even though the shorter wavelength is better able to distinguish adjacent features, the pattern remains complicated.

Nonetheless, from c.78cm-1.01m down, the higher 400MHz antennae did confirm the discernible presence of the lower courses of matching northern and western foundation walls, surely from the medieval Lady Chapel, though potentially much disturbed along its western end [Figs 56-60]. The interior of this scan space also contained several potential features at similar depths but across multiple layers: possible graves or architectural features (such as a potential pillar base) and including what may be one large central rectangular feature. But, again, this material is clearly much disturbed and lacking coherence as scan evidence.
The 1854 Ordnance Survey map of the town [Fig 53] again seems to confirm the (then visible?) presence of these medieval wall bases defining the west end of the Lady Chapel (and lining up with the new Abbey Church buttresses). However, the 1855 Kirk Session burial lair diagram [Fig 54] suggests that on this western side of the new Abbey Church North Transept, modern burials may well have been intruded c.1822-c.1863. Therefore some of our radar returns may locate flattened stone kerbing, used to define such burial plots, or the kind of collapsed/buried seventeenth/eighteenth century grave-markers often unearthed down to c.45cm depth by the ongoing Youth Archaeological Society’s exploration of the northern burial ground (2015-) [Fig 61].

In sum, it seems increasingly the case that our 2016-17 scans down through the interior floor of the Abbey Church may take us to layers far less disturbed by modern activity than these areas exterior to that later church’s walls.

---

Pilot Survey 3: 21-22 August 2019

Exterior to the Abbey Church South Transept

Fig 62: GPR scan area in red for 2019 projected on to the Burn/Jardine plan of the south side of the lost Abbey choir. The slabs covering the Elgin crypt stairs are highlighted in blue.

Given the results of our first two seasons it was deemed desirable to undertake a further round of pilot survey work (with some delay to secure funding). This would have the more specific aim of further testing the 1818-19 Burn/Jardine ground-plan to see if the remains of a matching medieval southern chapel could be identified exterior to the South Transept of the modern Abbey Church [Fig 62]. If found, this would confirm a symmetrical cruciform plan for the later medieval choir of Dunfermline Abbey, its full extent at the Reformation in 1560, and thus potentially a much larger central ‘choir’ space with aisles for burials and pilgrim traffic.

Crucially, this would also further challenge most ground-plans recreating the choir offered by both eighteenth and nineteenth century antiquarians and by modern heritage practitioners. These plans have usually presented a largely flat southern aisle wall [Figs 49-52]. Such potentially revisionist evidence could have important implications for assessment of the development of the choir’s liturgical functions, restoring the presence of a larger southern ambulatory, burial and chapel/altar space with key functions of its own but also potentially in relation to the rest of the interior’s sacred spaces.

On the ground, this was a very challenging survey area. The Abbey Church South Transept lies on uneven elevated ground with known concerns about the level of groundwater. It is surrounded by grass slopes, a short south-facing flight of exterior stone Transept steps and post-1822 pathways (now a mix of asphalt and slabs). We were also likely to encounter modern drainage and utility intrusions in the near subsurface around the pathways and South Transept door [Figs 63 i-iii]. Some of this area may have been further disturbed in the past by landscaping with plants, trees and temporary boundaries in the form of stone kerbing and low
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iron fencing. The Chrystal photographic collection now held by HES clearly shows that a more relaxed garden attitude prevailed in the pre-1927 southern churchyard [Fig 64 i-iv].

Fig 63 i-iv: 2019 GPR scan area showing i. slabs above stairs down to Elgin crypt (south-west of South Transept), ii. steps to the South Transept door of Abbey Church, iii.-iv. southern grass slope and gravestones.
In the end, high levels of rainfall throughout the summer of 2019 rendered our scans with the 400MHz antenna unusable and reduced the effective depth penetration of the 250MHz scan below 1m. Moreover, in planning for this survey it was anticipated that it was possible that any pre-1818 building remains ‘may have been used [in 1818-21] not only for levelling [and strengthening the foundations of] the site but also possibly for constructing the slope to the south’. Finally, far more so than the ground around the Abbey Church North Transept, this southern area is encroached upon by multiple grave markers of varying heights at the inner edges of a post-1822 burial ground. The pathway separating the south-west corner of the Transept from the burial ground also includes four large paving slabs covering subterranean stairs. This is the access point for the Bruce of Elgin crypt built in 1818-21 beneath the modern Transept itself. This crypt and its entrance must return some airgap echo effects within the western scan data (and perhaps act as a moisture sump).

Despite these difficulties, a distinct rectangular subsurface feature did emerge in our scans. This appeared once the near-surface pathway and utility traces had been passed at about c.79-90cm down, c.10cm above the level at which historic features were first identified in scanning around the exterior of the Abbey Church North Transept in 2017.

---

95 Utsi, *Ground-penetrating Radar Survey of an area to the South East of the Abbey Church of Dunfermline Abbey*, 2.
Fig 65: Time Slice extracted at c.35 cm depth showing path edge around top of grass slope/steps of South Transept [250 MHz].
Fig 66 i-ii: Time Slice extracted at i. c.79 cm depth [250MHz] and Time Slice extracted at ii. c.91 cm depth [250MHz] around South Transept; the red arrow indicates possible westward extent of subsurface structure.
As Figs 66 i-ii. shows this rectangle does not extend as far east as the buttress line indicated on the 1818-19 Burn/Jardine plan as the line of the east-end medieval wall observed in 1818 (or on the 1854 OS map). At the same time, it does extend further south than the line suggested by the Burn/Jardine plan for the medieval southern choir chapel/aisle wall. But, if it does also extend further west at this depth beneath the sloping, uneven grassed surface, running out to match the full east-west extent of a lost chapel [speculatively marked in red on Fig 66 ii.], then this may be obscured by the many intruding late nineteenth- and early twentieth century burials in the south-west quarter. A possible north-south defining edge to this structure may, however, be discernible beneath the curved running edge of the modern pathway as it turns north and then west.

Caution must clearly be exercised in interpreting these results. Nonetheless, although this does not exactly match the possible medieval chapel wall foundations recorded on this south side on the Burn/Jardine ground-plan (or on the 1854 OS map) this may still indicate such a mirror southern structure. It is indeed ‘strongly suggestive of the outline of a former building which, having been destroyed, has then been either backfilled or its remains spread over an area in order to provide a level surface [or hard-core raft] for later construction.’ Post-1822 burials and pathways have also compromised this deconstructed chapel’s original lines. Some of this possible foundation feature may consist of mixed material. Other sections may consist of retained portions c.1m-to-1.5m wide of intact medieval masonry wall or, possibly, floor, such as discrete features detected at c.79-91cm depth defining the south-east corner of this buried rectangle, or along its western edge. Moreover, this area may also indicate a built connection to monastic structures running to the south.

Re-scanning in drier ground conditions might clarify or even challenge these potential results, not least through successful application of the 400MHz antenna to viable sections of the target area. However, here, the Kirk Session records and later eighteenth-century sketches and ground-plans of the choir ruins [Figs 3-10] are vital in confirming that there is no mention or visible trace of any intervening structure which might otherwise account for these initial GPR results. No storage building(s) seems to have been built c.1560-1818 on this part of the monastic site which may thus otherwise account for this subsurface structure identified in our scans. Indeed, Kirk records only confirm the intrusion in the eighteenth century of a low, narrow stone wall (originally probably a fence or dyke), unquestionably too small to account for all the material identified in our scans. Besides, beginning off the south-east corner of the nave, this low wall ran much further north into the ruined interior of the nave/choir transition, then turned east through the central choir space, thus helping to define the Psalter churchyard bounded to the north by the extant ruined Lady Chapel walls and windows. This is also indicated on John Sime’s sketch ground-plan of c.1804 [Fig 6]. This low wall also seems to have embraced the ruined base of St Margaret’s shrine within its bounds, confirming its presence as a possible draw for those seeking east-end burial after 1560 in this open-air ruin.
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96 Ibid, 15-16.
97 NRS CH2/592/6 [Dunfermline Abbey Church Burial Register, 1734-48], /18 (9 March 1735); HR 159/1/52 [Dunfermline Abbey Church Kirk Session Minutes], /50 (24 Feb. 1753). This wall may in some senses follow the line of the majority of standing medieval choir walls visible in the eighteenth century, as well as echoing the southern precinct walls – as suggested by Alexander Campbell’s pen and wash of c.1780 now at https://www.nationalgalleries.org/art-and-artists/5781/dunfermline-abbey-and-ruins-dunfermline-palace and several other prints of that period.
iii. Discussion

The following discussion seeks to interpret the results of our three GPR surveys (2016, 2017, 2019) in conjunction with a reassessment of the available medieval written record, post-1560 antiquarian observations and any further relevant material evidence. This will enable us to explore the liturgical development, components and significance of the lost Benedictine choir, royal cult centre and mausoleum of Dunfermline Abbey, particularly in the late medieval period (c.1250-c.1560). In doing so, the following sections must be said to go further in speculating about where that evidence might lead than might be expected from an initial post-pilot survey, for two key reasons.

First, despite several attempts, it has not yet been possible to secure further funding to expand the GPR survey of the choir site, ideally in conjunction with some digital recreation of the choir and its interior. This report may thus represent a hiatus in this line of inquiry, one to be taken up in the future through the continuing Dunfermline Heritage Partnership and emerging collaborative plans between Dunfermline Abbey Church, Fife Council and Historic Environment Scotland, to re-interpret the east-end and the church which emerged around the cult shrine(s) of St Margaret and the royal mausoleum. Indeed, the opportunity for further GPR work in this regard has already arisen with the Kirk Session’s redevelopment initiatives of 2018-19 resulting in the removal of several further areas of fixed pews within the Abbey Church. This has occurred down the north aisle to make way for the stunning HES/CDDV *Lost Tomb of Robert the Bruce* exhibit [Fig 85]; down the south aisle around the newly installed Carnegie Tiffany window; and at the east-end around the Bruce plaque and organ [see Fig 2b]. These clearances have exposed sections for survey contiguous to those scanned during our pilot stages: this might allow us to test our initial conjectures at a later date.

Secondly, and perhaps most importantly, this inter-disciplinary project and report seeks to contribute to and provide further impetus to the several other such projects and valuable resulting debate which have developed around Dunfermline Abbey in recent years. Not least, although this report does see Penman in part modify his views on the possible identity of the incumbent of the fascinating 1818 ‘Bruce grave’ (although for perhaps unexpected reasons not yet considered by scholars), a larger concern remains. That is, to broaden out interpretation of the evidence to consider the evolution, liturgy and meaning of the Benedictine Abbey choir as a complex living whole. In doing so, this report will now seek to combine the new perspective of the potential GPR evidence with several fresh archival (re-)discoveries and reinterpretations of known evidence. It will seek, too, to respond to the important contributions of Fraser’s *Lost Tomb* recreation project but also to question some of the arguments of the Bruce facial reconstruction project of the University of Glasgow-led team and its recent reinterpretation of some of the architectural and burial evidence.
iii. 1. The central area around the High Altar (including the ‘Bruce grave’)

The GPR surveys have been successful in locating potential historic features in the subsurface below the modern flooring and foundations of the Abbey Church, both potential graves and architectural structures. Undeniably, a key difficulty remains in distinguishing any potential burials at an approximate medieval depth from the ‘Psalter’ churchyard internments of c.1560-c.1818. This is perhaps illustrated most graphically by the several potential burials identified in the vestry area [Fig 30], clustered close to the prestigious site of St Margaret’s post-1250 shrine, but also by potential graves around the central high altar and ‘Bruce grave’ area further to the west [Figs 34, 38].

However, the relatively shallow depth and size of some of these potential central features [Fig 34, #s 3-5] may point to these in fact being traces of the high altar’s architectural setting, not least the stone foundations of the screening which we should expect to find in that position in a medieval monastic or cathedral choir.98 Crucially, at Dunfermline, this screening may have made (re-)use of the foundations, materials and position of the east-end of the original David I-era choir, built eastwards after 1128 with a likely apsidal east-end and perhaps further extended in the early-thirteenth-century, pre-dating the eastern extension work which included the new St Margaret feretory.99

The University of Glasgow facial reconstruction project – following suggestions first raised by Eeles and Bryce – has argued that the early-thirteenth-century extension work in anticipation of St Margaret’s planned canonisation, would have allowed the original choir high altar to have been moved, at the very least recessed off the chord of its apse and thus perhaps relocated as much as a bay or half a bay further eastwards. This, they argue, would have allowed sufficient new paved space before the high altar for Robert I’s tomb to have been cited in the central location unearthed in 1818, with Queen Elizabeth buried to the north and Alexander III to the south and with David I’s and other choir burials lying further to the west within the paved part of the presbytery or even the westward monk’s choir.100

However, the GPR returns no convincing evidence for the Glasgow project’s proposed row of three box-tomb era burials (or at least their subsurface graves) within what must have been the screened area of the high altar sanctuary or chancel: only one uncertain (disturbed?) feature to the south of the ‘Bruce grave’ [Fig 38, marked as ??]. Nor does there really seem to be enough space between the north-south line of the ‘Bruce grave’ and the likely position of the high altar for this to have occurred. This surely remains the case even if, as Ian Fraser has suggested, the high altar was only moved a few feet or yards east by 1250-1 and Bruce’s grave and its

neighbours thus formed ‘a tighter cluster, in the vicinity of the twelfth-century [1150-1250] altar’.

As we shall see, none of these possible explanations really take account of sanctuary screening, altar steps and communion space or, crucially, their evolving liturgical importance and physical nature. Some room to the north/north-west of the high altar would also need to have been left for the Easter Sepulchre, which was the likely location for St Margaret’s (and Malcolm III’s) first choir-side resting place after a translation from the nave in 1180. It should also be noted that the abbot and monks of Dunfermline seem to have resisted attempts down to August 1249, perhaps by the bishop of St Andrews, to have their extended church re-consecrated, arguing successfully to the papacy that its main walls ‘for the greater part remained in their original state’. This is an assertion which might indeed be used – following Fraser and Fawcett - to cautiously conclude that the extension to house St Margaret’s new portable feretory shrine and its dedicated altar by 1250 did not in fact see the high Trinity altar move substantially east as this would have reduced the space and access now afforded to the new pilgrimage ambulatory through from the north and south aisles to the retro-choir/feretory.

Part of Penman’s reasoning in 2014 for suggesting that Bruce’s marble box tomb was unlikely to have occupied such a central position so close to the high altar was that the monument would simply not have been easily visible to anyone other than monks officiating the mass and sacraments. Laymen were not ordinarily permitted into the sacred space of the screened chancel, thus the detailed effigy, epitaph and armorial kinship ‘weepers’ displayed on all four sides of the Bruce tomb - now recreated by Fraser [Fig 85] - would not have been visible to visitors looking east (somewhat awkwardly) from any central choir or presbytery crossing/opening (usually through wooden screen doors). Any flanking tombs for Elizabeth de Burgh and Alexander III would also surely have further obscured it as well as the high altar in what was a relatively narrow north-south space. Moreover, so, too, would the ironwork railings which were recorded as added to Bruce’s tomb in 1330 at a substantial cost of £22 and which have also now been recreated by Ian Fraser from comparable French and English royal models [Fig 67-8].

101 Fraser, ‘The tomb of the hero king’, 160.
103 Dunf. Reg., nos 40, 288.
104 Fawcett, ‘Dunfermline Abbey Church’, 49-50; Fraser, ‘The tomb of the hero king’, 160-1.
105 Rationale Divinorum Officiorum, Bk. 1, 1, #31 [p. 20] and 2, #13 [p. 31]; Anne McGee Morganstern, Gothic Tombs of Kinship in France, The Low Countries and England (Pennsylvania, 2000), ch 2, 4; McRoberts and Holmes, Lost Interiors, 85-8. As recorded by Abbot Bower, Bruce’s epitaph is surely meant to invoke prayer from lay visitors: ‘Here lies the invincible blessed King Robert. Whoever reads about his feats will repeat the many battles he fought. By his integrity he guided to liberty the kingdom of the Scots. May he now live in Heaven’ [Chron. Bower, vii, 45-51].
106 ER, i, 288.
Fig 67: Dr Ian Fraser’s HES/CDDV recreation of the costly iron rail installed to protect Bruce’s tomb.

Fig 68: The iron rail around the tomb of Edward the Black Prince (d.1376) at Canterbury, with his heraldic helm, shirt and shield displayed above. Such items which may well have formed part of Bruce’s funerary/tomb display at Dunfermline: if so, a presbytery-edge or aisle location for his tomb in the ‘choir’ would have made more sense?

Hence Penman’s initial suggestion of an alternative location for Bruce’s burial in a northern central choir or even Lady-aisle position, accessible by pilgrims and thus visible to visitors (as would Bruce’s shield and helm if on display). ¹⁰⁷ These points might now be taken further, with caution, by combining the GPR and previously unnoticed antiquarian evidence.

¹⁰⁷ Penman, Robert the Bruce, 305-8.
The Glasgow facial reconstruction project has reviewed the written and material evidence for a pre-1128 tradition of royal dynastic burial directly in front of the Trinity high altar of the original western priory church/nave at Dunfermline. They also highlight the potentially strong evidence of the wording of the mid-thirteenth century ‘Dunfermline Chronicle’ (copied into the same later-medieval manuscript as the collected thirteenth-century *miracula* of St Margaret) which might suggest that David I was also buried originally beside his parents and siblings in front of the pre-1128 (nave) high altar, perhaps as an act of (am)ilial piety.\(^\text{108}\)

However, the expanded presbytery and sanctuary of the post-1128/1220 eastern choir at Benedictine Dunfermline was a response to the liturgical developments and vibrancy of the times. These were sign-posted by papal reforms urged by Lateran Councils III (1179) and IV (1215), as well as by local diocesan canons, all of which were further intensified by, and in turn shaped, the theology of Europe’s University schools and lay engagement with the church at all levels.\(^\text{109}\) The expensive and ‘nobler building’ which the papacy noted in progress at Dunfermline by c.1226-31 surely reflected these heightened expectations.\(^\text{110}\) In this context, the growing sanctity of the mass, communion and confession, focussed on the suffering of Christ, the increasingly elaborate nature of relic processions (both internal and external to the church), and great feast or red-letter day rites in search of intercession, meant that the east-end chancel of Dunfermline Abbey would have to accommodate a larger liturgical space. This would be demarcated by one, perhaps even two, flights of sacred sanctuary steps. The latter were themselves symbolic of such holy tenets as the Apostles, Martyrs and (aiding penance) Virtues, and had to reserve enough room for clerical communion and the final three fixed, stone base-stones of the raised high altar. For example, as French theologian William Durandus of Mende (1230-c.1296) described them in his *Rationale Divinorum Officiorum*, the single most copied treatise on the meaning of ecclesiastical architecture, fittings and ritual of the middle ages, these steps:

> correspond with the steps of virtue by which the altar – that is, Christ – is approached, according to what the Psalm says: *And they shall go from virtue to virtue*.\(^\text{111}\)

The sanctuary pavement itself, above these steps, could also of course be highly decorated and symbolic. The Cosmatesque sanctuary pavement mosaics of both the high altar and St Edward the Confessor’s east-end shrine at Westminster Abbey, the focal point of the grand plans of King Henry III (‘a most Christian king’) for the expansion of that great Benedictine church from 1245, may have provided Dunfermline with a striking model in this regard. Or Dunfermline’s sanctuary may have simply depicted a Trinity motif in coloured floor tiles.\(^\text{112}\)

---


\(^\text{110}\) *Dunf. Reg.*, nos 130 and 137.

\(^\text{111}\) *Rationale Divinorum Officiorum*, xvii-xxv and Bk. 1, 2, #13, p. 31.

Indeed, comparison of Dunfermline’s Burn/Jardine ground-plan of 1818-19 [Fig 13] with those of other similar late-medieval Benedictine choirs elsewhere (which often also housed a mausoleum or cult shrine) certainly seems to confirm these expectations of layout. For example, as at Durham Cathedral Priory and the abbey choirs of St Denis, Hexham (Northumberland, though much rebuilt in the nineteenth century) and, again, Tewkesbury¹¹³; or at Dunfermline’s mother house of Christ Church Canterbury Cathedral Priory, following St Thomas Becket’s great Translation of 7 July 1220 to a new feretory and allied head (‘Corona’) shrines.¹¹⁴ In all these great churches, at least two sections of graceful, low altar steps fill a space often as long east-to-west as their screened sanctuaries are wide north-to-south [see Figs 14-17]. Tewkesbury Abbey’s choir sanctuary, for example, is at least some 23’ deep and tapers down from 28’ wide, with at least 18’ from first altar step to the centre of the high altar (and the nearest presbytery burial, a pavement slab, more than 30’ west of that altar).¹¹⁵

No elite graves – either earlier pavement burials or later box tombs – are thus to be found within these sacred spaces; and saintly tombs are more typically sited in retro-choir locations.¹¹⁶ As the thirteenth-century liturgist Durande further insisted:

> ‘No body should ever be buried in a church, near the altar, where the Body and Blood of the Lord are consecrated, unless they are the bodies of Holy fathers whom we call patrons, that is, the defenders of the church, who by their merits, defend the entire religion’¹¹⁷

If Dunfermline’s late-medieval choir followed these liturgical precepts, then it is highly unlikely that Bruce’s tomb – or anyone else’s for that matter – should have been sited so close to the c.1250 high altar east of/above any sanctuary steps.

---


¹¹³ C. Hodges, *Ecclesia Hagustaldensis: the Abbey of St Andrew, Hexham* (Hexham, 1888), 22-3. My thanks to Peter Richmond and colleagues of Hexham Abbey Heritage for this reference.

¹¹⁴ It is surely the case that as head of a daughter house of Canterbury and a fellow Benedictine, the abbot of Dunfermline was among the Scottish churchmen invited to Canterbury for the Translation of 1220 and thus perhaps in receipt of a small secondary Becket relic [M. Penman, ‘The Bruce dynasty, Becket and Scottish pilgrimage to Canterbury, c.1178-c.1404’, *Journal of Medieval History*, xx (2006), 1-25, at 6].

¹¹⁵ Morris and Shoesmith eds., *Tewkesbury Abbey*, xxiv-xxv, 162.

¹¹⁶ That retro-choir space could also provide burial room which might, at a push, be described as ‘in the middle of the choir’ is suggested not only by burials at Westminster and St Denis [Figs 14-15] but the elite interment history now emerging at the Benedictine Abbey of Reading (f.1127) as part of the Heritage Lottery funded *Reading Abbey Revealed* project (2010-). This Abbey was recycled and absorbed physically by the town of Reading after the Dissolution. In 1784 workmen clearing ruins found a vault containing a lead coffin ‘almost devoured by time’ and, within, a reportedly perfect skeleton. This was believed to be the body of Henry I, the Abbey’s founder described as buried before the high altar by contemporary chronicler, Gervase of Canterbury. However, it soon emerged this was in fact a modern burial and in the wrong location for the altar. In 1815 a sepulchre base 7’ x 2’6” x 7.5’ was reportedly found in the area by then believed to have held the high altar. However, GPR work as part of the current HLF project has found neither the altar nor western burials, but rather burials to the far east-end, in the retro-choir [Ron Baxter, *The Royal Abbey of Reading* (Woodbridge, 2016), 34-9; Reading Council - Report to Housing, Neighbourhoods and Leisure committee, 4 July 2018 - https://www.reading.gov.uk/media/9017/Item-8/pdf/Item_8.pdf, accessed 5/2/20].

¹¹⁷ *Rationale Divinorum Officiorum*, Bk 1, 5, #12, p. 57.
To illustrate this, we do in fact have some previously unnoticed evidence for the site and nature of the late-medieval high altar at Dunfermline, as well as, possibly, some traces of the choir’s sanctuary steps. Local historian Ebenezer Henderson (1809-79), a keen amateur astronomer, spent a lifetime gathering written and material evidence relevant to the history of Dunfermline and its environs, most famously gathered in his *Annals of Dunfermline* (1879) but also with a focus on ecclesiastical history and remains for this and an earlier publication on the *Royal Tombs of Dunfermline* (1855). As part of these studies he claimed to have drawn on past surveying (1790/1823) and himself paid for explorations of the choir site (c.1853) in search of the lines of the monastic chapter house and related structures.

Amongst the papers which Henderson’s estate deposited with Dunfermline’s Carnegie Library (now the Dunfermline Carnegie Library & Gallery) was the full working manuscript assembled for his *Annals*. This rich and fascinating resource contains numerous additional unpublished notations, diagrams and sketches concerning Dunfermline’s lost church history. Thus alongside lists of old, interesting ‘blue’ (medieval marble?) stones to be seen in later town buildings, and sketches of secular medieval structures demolished within his lifetime, Henderson includes a one-page diagram and descriptive measurements for the location and base-stones of the lost choir’s high altar [Fig 70, transcribed in Appendix].

This was a relic that he could have seen for himself as a young boy before the site was cleared in 1817-18. Moreover, his measurements were in turn based upon a sketch-plan and measurements paced out by a visiting Edinburgh surveyor, one John Baine, in 1790 (230 years after a Reformation now 560 years distant from us). Bain’s remarkable treasure-trove of a sketch-book also survives in the DCL&G archives [see Figs 69 i-iii]. Although Henderson warned against the details of some of Baine’s sketches as having been drawn from memory a decade after his visit, he nonetheless agreed with his measurements.

---


120 *Ibid*, 13-14

121 The extant bound register of medieval material for Dunfermline Abbey contains at least five images of similar stepped structures topped with crosses [NLS Adv.MS.31.1.3A].

122 *Ibid*, an insert on blue paper between pp. 60-1 As Henderson remarked in his earlier *Royal Tombs of Dunfermline* (1855), 6-7, ‘previous to 1818 there was a raised place at this spot, a kind of dais with a few steps in front.’

123 DCL&G D/VIEWS, John Baine, engineer, *Sketches to illustrate the Ruins of Dunfermline, being a Supplement to the Fourteen large views of these ruins taken in May and June 1790*. Frustratingly, the contents listing for this sketch book includes ‘#32 View near the High Altar in the Transept of the Church or Psalter churchyard’ but no corresponding drawing survives. Henderson’s MS also contains notes on just 24 of Baine’s sketches [DCL&G LR D/GEN, insert between pp. 372-3].

Fig 69 i-iii: John Baine’s notes and sketches for the dimensions of medieval features still visible in the ruined Abbey choir, from *Sketches to illustrate the Ruins of Dunfermline, being a Supplement to the Fourteen large views of these ruins taken in May and June 1790*. At iii. he indicates the ‘largest’ traditionary king’s slab in the ruined Lady Chapel was ‘3p’; this can be equated with the 9’ length of this slab reported by John Graham Dalyell in 1809. Thus 1 Baine pace = 3’.
Fig 70: Ebenezer Henderson’s unpublished *Annals of Dunfermline* manuscript insert elaborating on Baine’s measurements of the extant stones of the medieval high altar in Dunfermline Abbey choir (c.1853).

As well as providing dimensions for the three base steps and the liturgical top of the high altar [sketched here as Fig 71], Henderson’s notes also cite the middle of this structure as standing 10’ north and 10’ south from ‘circular columns’ to be seen before 1818 on either side, and then 4’ from the ‘old east wall’. The latter was surely the altar screen of the 1250-choir, reusing the line (and materials?) of the earlier David I choir’s east-end apse. These are figures and features which, if true, hint at the scale of the high altar sanctuary area at c.20’ across, at least at its (perhaps tapering) far east-end – truly ‘a church within a church’.
Fig 71: Recreation of the form, dimensions and relative position of the high altar of Dunfermline abbey choir, based on Baine’s and Henderson’s manuscripts.

That the Baine/Henderson diagrams and sketches also record the altar stones’ position as being 10.5’ from the ‘present church wall’, i.e. that of the new Abbey Church (with its central eastern vestry door) and thus 17.5’ north and south from new flanking columns, allows us to roughly locate the medieval altar remains within the Abbey Church ground-plan. This means we can also map them approximately on to our GPR survey results [Fig 72].
Indeed, the potential subsurface structures indicated on Figs 34 and 38 as features 3-7 may thus correspond to the broken-down foundations of the late-medieval altar, its rear altar screen, and its side-screens, rather than to burials. Henderson also records the distance from the middle of the late medieval altar stones to the ‘head of the ‘Bruce grave” as being 21’, and from the altar to the middle of St Margaret’s shrine to the east as being 33’. In the summer of 2019 Penman laid out a paper facsimile of this altar structure using these rough measurements [Fig 73 i-ii]. This confirmed that Henderson must have been referring to the ‘head’ of the Bruce grave as lying to the west where the uncovered skeleton’s head also lay. Crucially, this leaves a distance of only about 14’-15’ from the feet end of the potential site of Bruce’s tomb to the bottom high altar base stone, and thus only c.12.25’-13.25’ to the western-most edge of the high altar stone as noted by Henderson.
Between 12’ and 14’ does seem too small a sacred space in this major monastic church and mausoleum to accommodate the east end of a royal box-tomb plinth, an often busy clerical communion space (and rail?), one or two sets of long, low rising altar steps and then the final raised high altar dais and base-stones. These features would still have to leave room for the visible practice of the mass and sacraments (with the officiants required to process round the high altar and with the altar often curtained for key feasts). One (or three) box tomb(s) with iron rails in this spot would surely further obstruct the mass in performance as well as in visibility from the western monks’ choir stalls or any intervening crossing space.

Moreover, that the sanctuary’s rising steps (or at least their first riser) were in fact located much further west, west indeed of the current Abbey Church communion table and its dais, is
suggested by the strong north-south linear feature identified by the GPR scan of 2016 as extending across the church from the north transept [Fig 74].

![Image of Time Slice extracted at c.19.5ns from the 250MHz data in the North Transept in 2016, area 2a, showing a possible architectural feature in the centre of the Abbey Church.]

All told, this speaks to similar architectural and liturgical arrangements at Dunfermline as those to be observed in the thirteenth-century choirs of many contemporary Benedictine houses. This is neatly conveyed by HES’s current heritage images for the Tironensian (but in liturgical practice, Benedictine) abbey of Arbroath, Forfarshire, and its central choir/presbytery tomb space for its founder King William I of 1214 [Figs 75-6], a grave uncovered in February 1816.\(^{125}\)

---

\(^{125}\) *Chron. Fordun*, i, 280 and ii, 276; *Chron. Bower*, v, 3-5. The compilation of Raphael Holinshed (1528-80?) at least hints at this central position by recording William’s interment “before the high altar within the quier” [*The Scottish Chronicle: Or, a Complete History and Description of Scotland* (2 volumes, Arbroath, 1805), i, 388-9]. Press coverage asserted that “by attending to the distance noted by that author [Holinshed] from the side walls and the foresteps of the altar, the tomb was discovered…the covering was a beautiful blue marble stone, on which was carved the effigy of the king, with the lion under his feet”; the stone coffin, however, contained only a few bones, indicating previous disturbance [*Caledonian Mercury*, 4 April 1816].
Fig 75i-iii: Rev. John Sime’s ground-plan from his *Memorabilia* (1840) and present-day images illustrating burial of William I (1165-1214) in his foundation (1178) of Arbroath Abbey, Forfarshire (in red).
Admittedly, Henderson chose not to publish this (very Catholic) altar data for Dunfermline in his *Annals* (1879). Yet his reasons for doing so do not seem to relate to doubts about its accuracy. Indeed, he did go so far as to record in his published timeline the removal of one of the high altar base-stones ‘when the ground in this locality was being levelled’ in 1817, adding that:

‘the step still exists, and may be seen doing service as a seat for the weary at the outside of the south wall of the New Abbey
Church…it rests on two pillars which were taken from a grave in the north churchyard."¹²⁶

Fig 77: Fossiliferous marble stone located along south-side wall of Dunfermline Abbey Church. Note the different form of the supporting pedestal in the middle added to the two reported by Henderson (1879).

This same length of fossiliferous marble can indeed still be seen in the spot Henderson described, serving the same function. Its measurements at c.7’6” long x 15” broad x 10” deep, allowing for some erosion, do match the upper altar base-stone as recorded by Henderson [Fig 77]. Historic Scotland had this labelled mistakenly as a section of the base of the shrine of St Margaret.¹²⁷ It should now perhaps be considered for conservation and reinterpretation given its potential importance?

But that this piece of marble thus comes from the high altar of c.1250, or earlier, surely points to the possibility that further settings and fittings of the choir of this great church were made of or trimmed in this valuable and striking stone which polishes up as jet black with silver fossils, not least the sanctuary steps and pavement and the royal tombs.¹²⁸ That a fossiliferous

¹²⁶ Henderson, Annals, 592.
¹²⁷ However, all the marble base stones of Margaret’s feretory are visibly much shorter.
¹²⁸ Interestingly, we might also consider the possibility that this is not Frosterley marble from County Durham but a Scottish stone – perhaps sourced from Roscobie quarry just three miles north of Dunfermline on land owned by the Abbey in the middle ages [See the British Geological Survey listing at http://www.bgs.ac.uk/discoveringgeology/geoscenicAPI/geoscenic.cfc?method=viewImages&searchParameters=Dunfermline; HES record for Roscobie Limeworks at https://canmore.org.uk/site/49675/roscobie-limeworks; Dunf. Reg., 254, 427, 437, 439, 480, 482]; or from one of the cluster of additional quarry sites in and around Pittencrieff and the Abbey site [The Building Stone Database for Scotland - http://webservices.bgs.ac.uk/buildingstone/; or Dunbar Ness, Berwickshire. Our thanks to
marble effigy of William I [Figs 75 iii. and 76] was installed at Arbroath Abbey probably c.1315-21, paid for and illuminated by Robert I, hints that the Bruce king himself may also have added further marble-trimmed elements to other royal churches, including Dunfermline’s choir (such as effigy bases/tomb plinths). If so, he thus continued the ‘branding’ of the royal mausoleum, as it were, perhaps even updating older royal pavement burials. Similar programmes of re-presentation had occurred at Westminster and St Denis in the mid-to-late thirteenth centuries, directed by royal patronage. However, such outlay at Dunfermline may be hidden from us by the loss of Robert’s royal financial records before 1326.

If this overall re-interpretation is accepted as possible in theory, for the sake of this discussion, and for further reasons discussed in more detail below [section iii. 5], it is thus proposed to exclude the ‘Bruce grave’ discovered in 1818 from reassessment of the medieval remains as it may be a possible post-Reformation intrusion. However, we are now able to locate the relative line of the 1250- choir altar screen and thus note that the access points from the extended pilgrimage ambulatory aisles, to the north and south, into the retro-choir or paved feretory shrine sanctuary and so to the final tomb of St Margaret (and Malcolm III) were also quite narrow, as little as c.8’-c.10’ wide. This presumably afforded the monks tight control over the flow of pilgrims on major feast days, as at comparable body shrines at Durham, Glasgow and Whithorn.

If in this context we should not envisage any elite burials as taking place within the sacred space of the sanctuary/chancel, directly before the high altar, then the most likely space for such royal tombs still within the ‘middle of the choir’ lies in fact further west. This would locate such burials within the paved presbytery and the east end of the screened monks’ choir with its stalls (which from the later thirteenth through to the fifteenth centuries had to accommodate as many as 50 monks and as many more novices and associated ‘brethren’), all lying in part below the central lantern tower at Dunfermline. These medieval burials must now lie buried under the floor and foundations west of the east-end dais of the modern Abbey Church, perhaps across a space c.30-35’ wide running north-to-south. As we shall see, there is some previously overlooked antiquarian evidence which may confirm this.

But, if correct, this possible layout also marks out Dunfermline’s arrangements as being different from those few late-medieval monastic churches in Scotland where we can identify

Associate Professor of Geology Duncan Pirrie at the University of South Wales, and to Dunfermline Abbey custodian Elaine Pirrie for the Dunbar point and discussion of the stones.


131 ER, i, 52. The Bruce regime’s income from border raiding c.1311-23 could have supported such patronage.


133 For estimated monk numbers see: Henderson, Annals, 41, 65, 73, 107, 149, 183; Lee, ‘Development of Dunfermline Abbey as a royal cult centre c.1070-c.1420’, 264.
burial more directly in front of the high altar. This is the case, for example, at Sweetheart’s Cistercian Abbey in Galloway (f.1275) with its central box tomb for founder Lady Devorguilla (d.1290, Fig 78), or at the Augustinian island priory of Inchmahome, Stirlingshire (f.1238) with its central double-tomb for Walter Stewart earl of Menteith and his Countess (d.c.1293-4, Fig 79). Importantly, at both these houses, there was no pilgrimage ambulatory around and east of the high altar. Indeed, the altar’s rear screen in both cases was simply the church’s east-end terminus wall, thus a tighter, shorter space with such a (single!) patronal tomb more readily visible by lay visitors but still with sufficient defined space remaining between tomb and altar for communion and (a) rising altar step(s). These examples again seem to suggest that the 12’-14’ left between the Dunfermline choir high altar and the 1818 ‘Bruce grave’ was too small a space for the latter to be an original pre-1560 interment.

Fig 78i-ii: Original position and fragments of Devorguilla of Galloway’s founder’s tomb before the high altar of Sweetheart Abbey. Note the sanctuary step/dividing line on the left, and the distance to the altar and east wall.

134 The burials of James III (1460-88) and his queen before the high (Virgin) altar at Cambuskenneth Abbey might be added to this list although these appear to have been slabs with brass inlays; a Victorian box tomb now marks this spot and illustrates how a box tomb could block the altar [J.E. Alexander, ‘An Account of the Excavations at Cambuskenneth Abbey, May 1864’, PSAS, vi (1866), 14-33].
Fig 79: Rev. John Sime’s Groundplan of Inchmahome Priory, Stirlingshire, from his *Memorabilia* (1840). The double tomb of the Menteiths is ringed in red.

Moreover, that even in such smaller churches elite box-tomb burial too close to the high altar could be a problem is suggested by the fate of the cathedral tomb of William Sinclair, Bishop of Dunkeld in Perthshire (d.1337). His tomb does not seem to have lain as close to its high altar as the 1818 ‘Bruce grave’ does at Dunfermline, but (according to a fifteenth-century local clerical source) ‘at the presbytery step [our italics] *in the midst of the choir*, where his body is buried, covered with a marble stone’. Yet it was quickly removed to a windowed wall to the north of the altar ‘in case by any chance it should be destroyed or should be an obstacle in front of the altar.’¹³⁵ HES have recently identified another double-sided late-medieval monumental prelate’s tomb at Dunkeld which was also moved to a wall, probably from an open position between columns defining ambulatory space.¹³⁶

In sum, this section combines our GPR surveys with parallel liturgical architecture and Dunfermline antiquarian evidence to argue that the central choir sanctuary/chancel of Dunfermline’s choir was surely without elite burials. Even the lone heart or viscera burial, also unearthed in a box in the ruins in 1818-19 [marked as ‘M’ on Burn’s ground-plan, Fig 13] and briefly noted by eye-witness Rev Peter Chalmers as lying a few yards to the north-east of the ‘Bruce grave’, thus lay at the screened edge of this sacral space.¹³⁷ Dunfermline’s chancel therefore served as a church within the church, perhaps edged in fossiliferous marble and with an unimpeded view from the west of the matching marble Holy Trinity high altar. Sixteenth-century records suggest that one of the images in this area may have been of St Margaret, perhaps one arm of a high altar triptych, adjacent to the Easter sepulchre and the former site of her remains as asserted in the *miracula* record of her first, 1180 translation.¹³⁸

---


¹³⁷ Chalmers, *Historical and Statistical Account*, i, 143. This box was also reinterred on 5 Nov 1819 in Bruce’s new lead and pitch-filled, coffin.

iii. 2. The North Transept (interior and exteriors) and northern aisle (the medieval Lady Chapel and Lady Aisle)

Our GPR surveys within this north-eastern quarter as a whole have confirmed the Burn/Jardine 1818-19 ground-plan’s recording of the exterior walls of the late thirteenth/early fourteenth-century Lady Chapel, including a flat east-end wall which joined the extension for the c.1250 St Margaret feretory at a right angle. As with the central altar/retro-choir (now vestry) space, however, some of the possible burials and structures identified here in the subsurface may relate to ‘Psalter’ churchyard interments of c.1560-c.1818, particularly those of the wealthier heritor families such as the lairds of Rosyth, Bruces of Elgin or Halketts of Pitfarrine. Indeed, the Dunfermline Kirk Session records confirm burials throughout the choir ruins without specific locations by at least a dozen families or prominent individuals such as past Ministers. From 1816, these graves were ordered to be removed to new locations exterior to the planned east end of the Abbey Church. But only a handful of such burials and the Elgin crypt can be accounted for in this way and it is possible that some Psalter burials remained in situ beneath the Abbey Church.

However, that a key grouping of some of the potential burials in the choir north-east quarter identified by the GPR scans are indeed medieval is confirmed by important antiquarian evidence. On 28 July 1807 Edinburgh historian, antiquarian and naturalist, (later Sir) John Graham Dalyell (1775-1851), undertook a brief investigation of the reported traditionary site of six kings’ burials under a matching number of stone slabs in the ruined northern aisle/chapel. This excavation, described in a tract he published in 1809 without detailed measurements or any illustration, was to be widely reproduced in subsequent historical and antiquarian accounts of the Abbey’s past (though not always in full), notably by Remembrancer Jardine (1821/2), Andrew Mercer (1828), Rev Chalmers (1844/59) and Henderson (1855/79).

Dalyell’s party seems to have included unnamed local guides (perhaps Mercer?). The soil beneath the slabs had clearly already been disturbed. The upper layers also contained surviving small, red medieval floor-tiles and broken stained glass. About 5’ under the join between the two largest slabs - including the middle of the western row, some 9’x3’ in size and believed by locals (and by Robert Burns in 1787) to cover the grave of Robert Bruce - digging unearthed a stone coffin with a typically-shaped interior head and shoulders and tapering body. This had been backfilled with earth covering fragmentary and badly water-logged, spongy bones. Dalyell speculated in error that these crumbling relics probably pre-dated the building of the choir. But it is of importance to this discussion that the location of their discovery, 2’ deeper than the ‘Bruce grave’, lies roughly parallel to the east-west line which begins with the double-coffin burial uncovered by the Earl of Elgin’s party in 1776 while clearing ground for a family.

---

139 NRS CH2/592/10 [Dunfermline Abbey Church Kirk Session Minutes, 1799-1820], /364-5 [Colville, Craig, Durie, Beaumont, 369-70; CH2/592/57 [Dunfermline Abbey Church Burial Register, 1761-98], /39 [Stark], 47 [Inglis], 57 [Davidson, Bartholomew]; HR 159/2/166 [Dunfermline Abbey Church Kirk Session Minutes], /232 [Robertson].


141 For what follows of 1801-9 see Dalyell, Tract; Jardine, Report, 23-5; Mercer, History of Dunfermline, 69-73; Chalmers, Historical and Statistical Account, i, 137-8; Henderson, Annals, 559-61. Dalyell was the author of Fragments of Scottish History (Edinburgh, 1798), an edition of Lindsay of Pitscottie’s Historie (1814), and several works on Scottish monastic cartularies. A presentation copy of his 1809 Tract recording his Dunfermline excavation was reportedly printed on vellum [ODNB entry by K. Dalyell at https://www.oxforddnb.com/view/10.1093/ref:odnb/9780198614128.001.0001/odnb-9780198614128-e-7075 ].
monument against the east-end wall of the Lady Chapel/Aisle (Fig 13 ‘P’, the aforementioned spot now beneath the Abbey Church organ – see Fig 2b). One half of this burial crypt of reported polished stone – depicted in close-up on the Burn/Jardine plan [Fig 80] - held the aforementioned remains of an elite female believed at the time to be that of Bruce’s queen, Elizabeth de Burgh (and which would be removed to the new Elgin crypt in the Abbey Church’s south transept in 1819). 142

Fig 80: Enlarged insert from Burn/Jardine ground-plan of Dunfermline abbey (1818) illustrating double-grave unearthed in 1776 and re-excavated in 1817 (now beneath Abbey Church organ).

Now, not only does our 2016 GPR scan at the medieval depth return the likely disturbed site of Dalyell’s dig [Fig 25, highlighted in red], but, roughly equidistant in line to the east and to the west it also locates two further potential double burials side by side. All told, this makes for something of an orderly row of three possible double interments, if we include the 1776 double-tomb to the east [Figs 24, 27]. All these burials lie along the length of what would have been the Lady Aisle or its northern boundary alongside its matching Chapel. These might be linked cautiously in turn to the single 6’-long stone coffin, again with rounded head, typical of the twelfth-to-fourteenth centuries, which Chalmers and Henderson both reported as having already been found in this general vicinity in 1801. Then there were the five subsequent stone coffins reported to Dalyell as uncovered in this general area c.1807-9. His ‘howk’ had clearly encouraged further local investigation. Some of these coffins may now lie among the collection

142 Jardine, Report, 47, which describes this inaccurately as a ‘few feet’ from the ‘Bruce grave’; Chalmers, Historical and Statistical Account, i, 151-5.
of four shaped stone coffins deposited in the western end of Dunfermline’s nave by Historic (Environment) Scotland [Fig 81].

Fig 81: Medieval shaped stone coffin, one of four stored in Dunfermline Abbey nave. This is perhaps one of the seven such coffins reportedly found c.1801-9 in the northern ruins of the choir, down the ‘Lady Aisle’. This is typical of twelfth-thirteenth-fourteenth century burials but, if a pre-1250 example, raises the possibility that other nave royal burials were translated through to the choir in addition to those of St Margaret and Malcolm III?

That elite medieval burial did occur in this north-east quarter is also confirmed by Abbot Bower, for once providing more precise detail for what was probably an era-defining interment which he himself attended. 1420 saw the death of Robert Stewart, Earl of Fife and Menteith, Duke of Albany and Regent of Scotland since 1406, and, perhaps significantly, a great admirer of Robert Bruce. Bower, who owed his appointment in 1417 as Abbot of the nearby island house of Inchcolm to Albany’s tenure and whose main patron, David Stewart of Rosyth, was also an Albany man and likely Dunfermline patron, recorded that the Duke was buried ‘with royal honours in the monastic church of Dunfermline between the choir and the Lady Chapel.’ Whilst Albany’s first wife, Margaret Graham Countess of Menteith, had died in 1380 and been buried in Inchmahome Priory, he had married for a second time, to a Muriella Keith, beside whom he could have been interred in Dunfermline. It is possible that the fragment of a carved sandstone lion footrest, found in 1993-4 during excavations of Abbot’s House in Dunfermline, a hundred yards to the north of the Abbey choir, and tentatively dated to the fifteenth century, comes from Albany’s tomb, or that of another Fife nobleman depicted in armoured effigy.

Although two of these four may be the two stone coffins excavated in the east end of the nave in 1849, one 6’7”x2’2”x2’3” at the head then tapering to 1’7.5”x2’1” at the foot, with the second ‘smaller in size’, and both reported as deposited in the west end [Chalmers, ‘Notice of a Stone coffin, found in the Pavement of the Abbey church, Dunfermline, in 1849, and of its Contents’, 76].


Such a row of possible medieval burials, including Albany’s, need not have lain directly beneath their sculpted effigies and canopied box tombs but rather below the Lady Aisle pavement while their monuments defined the ambulatory, perhaps lying between columns as was quite typical for the late thirteenth-to-fifteenth centuries. Yet Dalyell also offers a parting comment we should not dismiss too readily: that perhaps the post-1250 royals buried in this and other parts of the choir at Dunfermline were laid to rest inside the actual boxes of their tombs and never beneath the pavement. This he felt might explain the high incidence of bone fragments found in that north-easterly quarter, presumably as a result of these box tombs being ‘destroyed in the general wreck of the Abbey’, smashed and scattered at the Reformation and by subsequent decay.\footnote{Dalyell, \textit{Tract}, 2-3.}

The latter point certainly remains contentious. However, Dalyell provides one further observation, one which, strikingly, some later local historians (writing post-1818) neglected to include in their reproduction of his account.\footnote{Most notably it is missing from Jardine, \textit{Report}, 23-5.} After digging under two of the six traditionary slabs, Dalyell claims he was directed by his guides a ‘few yards south-west of the spot examined’ to where the tomb of Robert I was said to have stood:

Several years ago, on digging a grave immediately in the vicinity, small fragments of white marble, still bearing the remnants of gilding, were found; and also portions of a softer stone, which had been ornamental mouldings. Two of the former were shown to me in Dunfermline, and there is a third in the Museum of the Scottish Antiquarian Society, where it has hitherto been erroneously understood as having belonged to the tomb of Malcolm [III] Canmore. I was informed that one fragment had a lion sculptured on it… I have since been informed, that some time afterwards, when the rain had washed among the rubbish where the earth was thrown out, a leaden plate
was found, with a lion engraved on it, surrounded by Robertus Dei Gratia Rex Scotorum. It is now in the possession of the Earl of Elgin.¹⁴⁸

This lead name-plate has not been seen since. However, setting aside the unknown fate of that relic (along with the discovery of a second fake Bruce name-plate in 1819 in the debris around the 1818 ‘Bruce grave’), we must take note that we now have a second local tradition identifying a possible site for the tomb of Robert the Bruce. This perhaps reflected a substantial stone plinth base or other sculpted fragments found over time since 1560 but subsequently lost.¹⁴⁹ At the very least this gives us a far wider debris field throughout the ‘middle of the choir’ in which gilded marble fragments from Bruce’s Parisian tomb were found in the decades before 1818. Ian Fraser’s tomb recreation project has managed to identify, laser scan and 3-D print over 20 such fragments from the Scottish Antiquaries/NMS, Hunterian and Sir Walter Scott’s Abbotsford collections, many of them presumably from the vicinity of the 1818 ‘Bruce grave’ but surely some, too, which came from this alternate spot pointed out to Dalyell.¹⁵⁰

Fig 83: Fragments of marble found in the general location of the ‘Bruce grave’ before and after 1818, now in the RCAHMS/HES collection.

That these scattered fragments once also included larger pieces of broken tomb and sculpted effigy is suggested by Fraser’s identification of the possible reuse of a block of Bruce’s marble effigy in the tomb of William Schaw (1550-1602), stonemason and Royal Master of Works

¹⁴⁸ Dalyell, Tract, 3. Edinburgh University Library Special Collections holds Dalyell’s papers, however, his forty listed ‘Antiquarian’ notebooks [EUL Coll-244 GEN 350-389] start from the late 1820s and record his predominant interest by then in musicology, costume and military history; they do occasionally note material from sixteenth- and seventeenth-century Kirk Session records [e.g. GEN 358D (1829) p. 2 and GEN 360D (183), pp. 62-4 re interment within churches] but he does not seem to have returned to study Dunfermline Abbey and its records.
¹⁴⁹ Does Stedman’s water-colour of the late eighteenth century [Fig 7ii] depict such stone plinth bases?
¹⁵⁰ Many thanks to Dr Ian Fraser, per comms. For images of these fragments see HES’s CANMORE catalogue DP 171426-171580.
following the Reformation (and a man repeatedly accused of being a Catholic). This monument also now stands at the west end of Dunfermline’s nave.\textsuperscript{151}

Drawing such a line as Dalyell reports [Fig 84, marked in green], running roughly \textit{south-west} from the traditionary six-slab spot, the latter tentatively confirmed by the GPR, would allow such a Bruce monument to have stood either along the boundary of the monks’ choir/presbytery and aisle, or perhaps further into the central paved choir-presbytery space. Perhaps some of the cluster of GPR returns from the west-end of our first scan of 2016 [marked on Fig 27 as \#s 5, 3, 4 and ??] may represent elements of such a monument? Either way, this relic reported to Dalyell lay in a cruciform building in a spot which clerical chroniclers (and visitors) might easily have described as still being in the ‘middle of the choir’. Such a tomb might even have lain between two columns defining this sacred and ambulatory space. Hence the need for an iron railing by 1330 as the passage of scores of pilgrims, some perhaps scraping off gold leaf as a relic from the hero king’s effigy, threatened to damage the tomb.\textsuperscript{152} It might also be suggested that such a location would have placed Robert’s monument in a prestigious northern-central location within the choir, highly visible to lay visitors as well as to clergy. Here his tomb’s epitaph would have had far greater effect in soliciting prayers from lay visitors.\textsuperscript{153} But this monument could still have lain within a respectful second-tier of tombs, with that of David I retaining a front rank central position, a slab or later box for a king believed by the monks to be saintly. As Fordun/Bower had it: ‘before the high altar under the paved part of the middle of the choir in that noble monastery which he had himself built and endowed with many possessions.’\textsuperscript{154}

Fig 84: Possible location(s) (along the green line) of the tomb of Robert Bruce as reported to John Graham Dalyell (1809) as lying approximately a ‘few yards south-west’ of the traditionary six kings’ slabs.

\textsuperscript{151} See the Church Monument Society’s discussion at \url{https://churchmonumentssociety.org/monument-of-the-month/the-schaw-monument-dunfermline-abbey-church}. In 1855, two sculpted hands with rings and a mailed effigy arm were also reported to have been found in explorations of the monastic buildings south of the abbey \cite{Ordnance Survey Object Name Book (1853-55), OS1/13/121/54F -- at \url{https://scotlandsplaces.gov.uk/digital-volumes/ordnance-survey-name-books/fife-and-kinross-shire-os-name-books-1853-1855/fife-and-kinross-shire-volume-121?display=transcription}}.

\textsuperscript{152} \textit{ER}, i, 150, 221, 288.

\textsuperscript{153} See n105 above.

Furthermore, it might be speculated that such a location for Bruce’s tomb could also have accommodated a double kinship monument for the king and his wife, Elizabeth de Burgh (and, again, the GPR of Fig 27 #s3-6 may tentatively support this view). This could explain why Bower felt able to state, if still with typical ambivalence, that her burial took place ‘in the choir at Dunfermline next to her husband King Robert.’ Interestingly, although Elizabeth had died on 27 October 1327 and Bruce’s financial rolls are extant from that period, no record of expenditure on her funeral or tomb at Dunfermline can be found (only payment for the interment of her viscera in the church of the Virgin at Cullen in Banffshire which Elizabeth had favoured). Did the royal household and abbey thus perhaps await the death and interment of the king at Dunfermline in 1329 before holding a ceremony over a double tomb?

Ian Fraser has compellingly recreated the form of Bruce’s tomb [Fig 85] by analogy from the Abbey of St Denis outside medieval Paris with its many contemporary black Tournais marble boxes and white marble and gilded/polychromed effigies with sculpted box-fronts and canopies. These dynastic memorials were ranged (and periodically rearranged) within St Denis’ choir and ambulatory aisles. This carefully curated French royal mausoleum did include several double tombs for royal couples, as did churches elsewhere in fourteenth-century France, often defining the space between aisle columns [Figs 86-8].

Fig 85: Dr Ian Fraser and the HES/CDDV’s digital recreation of the Italian marble tomb of Robert Bruce, purchased in Paris in 1329. Note the details based upon the many French royal tombs at St Denis Abbey.

---

155 Ibid, v, 35.
156 ER, i, 61, 91, 170, 271, 310, 355, 458, 469, 477, 549.
Fig 86: French royal tombs restored in the choir aisles of St Denis Abbey after the Revolution.

Fig 87: The tomb of Louis X (d.1316) in St Denis, upon which HES modelled the Robert Bruce tomb recreation, taken here from the 1711 Gaignières Inventory of antiquarian sketches.
That in 1329 Bruce’s marble tomb cost at least £66 when first purchased in Paris – in an era when modest aristocratic/prelatical alabaster box tombs with effigies and canopies might cost as relatively little as £15-to-£40 – at least allows the possibility of this being a more expensive double tomb for himself and his wife. A further £38 was spent in 1329-30 on mason work on Bruce’s tomb in situ at Dunfermline. The £22 paid to John de Lessydyun to make and fit iron railings around it also speaks to a substantial structure or particularly fine work. Moreover, the Bruce regime’s outlay roughly matches the combined total of £138 spent by Edward I in the 1290s at Westminster on the separate brass effigies and stone monuments of his first wife, Eleanor of Castile, and father, Henry III (the latter a great mosaic-encrusted box-tomb).  

---

158 ER, i, 213; Nigel Saul, English Church Monument in the middle Ages: History and Representation (Oxford, 2009), 108-14 (‘The cost and delivery of Monuments’), at 108. Only payments for transportation are recorded for the tomb materials of David II of Scotland (English alabaster to Holyrood Abbey) and his second queen, Margaret Logie c.1371 (ditto, to Dunfermline Abbey) [ER, ii, 348, 360]. At least £135 would be paid for an English alabaster tomb for Robert II at Scone Abbey in 1390 [ibid, 503, 622 and iii, pp. 32, 348]. But by that time the Scottish £ had become devalued against the English £ sterling to a ratio of c.3.5:1 [J.M. Gilbert, ‘The Usual Money of Scotland and Exchange Rates against Foreign Coins’, in D.M. Metcalf ed., Coinage in Medieval Scotland (1100-1560) (BAA, Report 45, Oxford, 1977), 131-54, at 132]. Considerable devaluation had occurred in the second quarter of the fourteenth century, confirmed by a parliamentary valuation of 1366 [RPS, 1366/7/18; Penman would like to thank his late colleague Alasdair Ross for discussion of his work on papal valuations in this period]. As the abbot of Dunfermline reflected in 1409 – ‘all things are dearer than they were in times past’ [Dunf. Reg., no. 399].

159 ER, i, 214, 288.

However, it is the potential GPR evidence for possible multiple burials in the Lady Aisle which underlines that we should not rule out the idea of a royal double tomb for Robert and Elizabeth. Further elite couples would be interred at Dunfermline within or near the Lady Chapel and thus adjacent to the beatified founding couple of Malcolm III and Margaret. This included Bruce’s sister Christian Bruce (d.1353) and her husband King’s Lieutenant Andrew Murray (d.1338), Guardian Thomas Randolph (d.1332) and his wife (Isabella Stewart of Bonkyl, d.c.1351), Bruce’s two daughters and their husbands, and perhaps Robert II’s queen Euphemia Ross (d.1387) and her first husband, King’s Lieutenant John Randolph, who had fallen at the battle of Neville’s Cross in 1346 (interestingly, there is again no royal payment recorded for Euphemia’s funeral). That double, marital and ‘kinship’ (heraldic) tombs were a desirable choice of spiritual, aesthetic and political expression in Scotland by c.1300 is made plain by the stunning joint alabaster monument of Walter Stewart earl of Menteith and his Countess (d.c.1293-4, Fig 89) in Inchmahome Priory, Stirlingshire.

Fig 89: Double-tomb of Walter Stewart earl of Menteith (d.1293-4) and his Countess, Inchmahome Priory, Stirlingshire.

The importance of a spiritual focus upon the Lady Chapel and its aisle for Robert and Elizabeth is also made clear by the consistent burial of their children and associated kin in this quarter.

161 ER, i, 433 [Randolph’s funeral]; Chron. Fordun, i, 354, 363, 369, 377 and ii, 354-5, 360, 366-7; Chron. Bower, vi, 73, 305; Boardman, ‘Dunfermline as a royal mausoleum’, 150. Andrew Murray, ‘brother to the king’, is known from an early-modern inventory of lost charters of David II to have granted an annual from lands at Pitreavie, a mile south of the abbey, to the Lady Chapel ‘near’ Dunfermline [RMS, i, App. ii, nos 944, 948].
after 1329. The extant charters of the first Bruce king and his queen to Dunfermline Abbey confirm that the chapels and female cults of the Virgin and St Margaret were those elements the royal couple favoured above all, rather than the Trinity high altar, and thus that a northerly choir or Lady Aisle site for their tomb(s) may have been preferred.

For example, on 8 July 1321 (while at Scone Abbey, another Trinity dedication), Robert granted to Dunfermline Abbey the church of nearby Inverkeithing (dedicated to St Peter) and the Abbey’s own great customs to pay for a light in honour of the Virgin and of St Margaret to burn perpetually ‘in the choir in the presence of her feretory’.¹⁶³ Such wording arguably also once again warns us of the potential ambivalence to be attached to the word ‘choir’ when seeking precise locations for monastic settings and fittings in medieval sources. Margaret’s tomb was not strictly ‘in the choir’ unless this term was applicable to the whole east-end church and not just central space due west of the altar. Alternatively, this light may have been intended to remain at the eastern end of the Lady aisle with its ambulatory entrance/exit through to the feretory. Or this may rather refer to a central suspended light, a candelabra casting its glow over the sanctuary and high altar screens through and onto Margaret’s shrine in the east.¹⁶⁴ Regardless of location, Robert’s grant – as well as Elizabeth’s gift in 1326 of altar cloths for the Virgin altar at Dunfermline – surely spoke to their heartfelt thanks for these great female saints’ help during Elizabeth’s pregnancies.¹⁶⁵

The couple seem to have stayed at Dunfermline for each royal birth, including a long stay from late October to mid November 1323 (thus including St Margaret’s feast) in advance of the birth of their male heirs on 5 March 1324.¹⁶⁶ Hence Robert’s willingness to outlay considerable cost in expanding the refectory and living quarters to the south of the monastic church at Dunfermline.¹⁶⁷ On these occasions, Elizabeth surely continued the tradition of wearing a key royal relic – the ‘birthing shirt’ of St Margaret – as part of her lying-in and post-birth churching and infant baptisms. This was a trusted relic still in use by the queens of James II (1437-60) and James IV (1488-1513).¹⁶⁸ Even the names of the eldest Bruce children speak to the most important chapel/cult dedications at Dunfermline: Margaret followed by twin boys, John and David (and then a second daughter, Matilda, after St Margaret’s own pious daughter?).

Moreover, this may have been veneration which embraced the royal family and court more widely during Robert’s reign. For example, by 1325 Thomas Randolph earl of Moray had granted Dunfermline Abbey some Fife lands at nearby Aberdour to pay for lights, this time to be placed before the Holy Trinity and St Margaret altars during the key feasts of Christmas and the Purification and Assumption of the Virgin.¹⁶⁹ Randolph would also be buried in the Lady

¹⁶³ RRS, v, no. 188; Dunf. Reg., no. 346. Two days later Robert issued letters patent re Dunfermline Abbey’s right to a cocket seal in overseas trade, a highly valuable grant [RRS, v, no. 190; Dunf. Reg., no. 361]
¹⁶⁴ McRoberts and Holmes, Lost Interiors, 21-4.
¹⁶⁵ ER, i, 239.
¹⁶⁶ RRS, v, nos 249-50, 303; Penman, Robert the Bruce, 167-8, 247-9, 270.
¹⁶⁷ ER, i, 215; RRS, v, nos 188A, 190, 303; N. Bridgland, ‘Dunfermline Abbey: Cloister and Precinct’, in Fawcett ed., Royal Dunfermline, 89-100, at 95.
¹⁶⁹ RRS, v, no. 263; Dunf. Reg., no. 357. On 16 May 1328, Randolph gave £24 annually to Elgin Cathedral, in Moray, for five chantries with masses on the feasts of the Virgin, All Souls and John the Baptist, ‘with music’ dedicated to ‘St Thomas Becket, martyr,’ and in memory of King Robert [Registrum Episcopatus Moraviensis (Edinburgh, 1837), no. 224]
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Chapel in 1332, eventually alongside his wife, Isabel, the daughter of John Stewart of Bonkyll, brother of James the Steward whose son, Walter, wed Robert’s daughter, Marjorie Bruce, thus starting the royal Stewart line with their son, the future Robert II (1371-90).
iii. 3. The South Transept exteriors (St John Chapel and aisle)

The GPR surveys of 2017 confirmed the Burn/Jardine 1818-19 ground-plan’s record of the walls of the medieval Lady Chapel. In this context, it was desirable to extend our pilot project to seek confirmation of the existence of a mirror southern chapel [Fig 62]. Although these 2019 survey results, limited by weather/water to one frequency, must be treated with due caution, they do seem to suggest the presence of a substantial pre-1818 structure, roughly matching the northern Lady Chapel’s footprint (but one perhaps subsequently collapsed/dug out to help form foundations for the new Abbey Church South Transept).

No potential burials were identified during this area’s survey. However, the existence of such a major chapel – ignored by most modern heritage interpretations [see Figs 49-52] – must add another substantial space for side-chapels, altars and elite burials to integrate into the developing layout and liturgy of the choir and its symmetrical pilgrimage ambulatory. As this chapel was likely dedicated to St John the Baptist – as recorded in early sixteenth-century burgh record references to its aisle and altar – it was surely the site of a baptismal font as well as a major St John altar and a strong sculpted/painted image. A chapel dedicated to the Baptist and appropriated to Dunfermline’s Benedictines by the late-medieval period lay at Garvock, a convenient processional mile east of the Abbey. Significantly, this apostle’s red-letter day, 24 June and midsummer, would fall every year within the Octave of the translation feast of St Margaret (19 June) and thus coincide with her great annual fair in the burgh. If, like the Lady Chapel, this southern chapel was largely completed just before or during Robert I’s lifetime, that king may also have sought to mark his debt to that saint for his great battle victory on midsummer’s day 1314, at Bannockburn.

A local oral tradition – unsubstantiated, as with those relating to the northern aisle – asserts that Alexander III (d.1286) and his English queen, Margaret (d.1275), sister of Edward I, and their two sons, Alexander (d.1283) and David (d.1281), were buried on this southern side of Dunfermline’s choir. Yet the contemporary chronicle of the northern English Augustinian priory of Lanercost, in Cumbria, does seem to be the source of some of this belief in stating that Alexander III ‘lies alone (‘solus’), buried on the south side, near the presbytery’, even

---

170 Beveridge ed., The Burgh Records of Dunfermline, 1488-1584, pp. xxv, xxxix, and nos 24, 26, 31, 120, 121, 125, 131, 140, 142, 151, 154, 208, 357, 365. For sculpted images at Dunfermline Abbey see: N. Cameron, ‘The Romanesque Sculpture of Dunfermline Abbey: Durham versus the Vicinal’, in J. Higgitt ed., Medieval Art and Architecture in the Diocese of St Andrews (BAA, Leeds, 1994), 118-23; and the current HES Nave/Palace museum display at Dunfermline, also discussed by The Corpus of Romanesque Sculpture in Britain and Ireland database. Dunf. Reg., p. 486. At major feasts such as Easter, relic procession around the abbey precinct or burgh might start at an outlying chapel or church and move towards the abbey’s stone walls, recreating entry into Jerusalem.

172 A. Macquarrie et al eds., Legends of the Scottish Saints: readings, hymns and prayers for the commemoration of Scottish saints in the Aberdeen Breviary (Dublin, 2012), 146-9; J.M. Mackinlay, Ancient Church Dedication in Scotland (2 volumes, Edinburgh, 1910) – I. Scriptural Dedication, ch. xix. Dedication to St John the Baptist, in both monastic and secular churches, were highly popular in the middle ages, usually second only to dedications to the Virgin, and often a choice for hospitals [A. Binns, Dedication of Monastic Houses in England and Wales, 1066-1215 (Woodbridge, 1989), 32-3]. Dunfermline’s two known hospitals, however, were dedicated to St Catherine (the almshouse adjacent to the abbey itself) and St Leonard [I. B. Cowan and D.E. Eason, Medieval Religious Houses: Scotland (2nd edition, London, 1976), 174-5].
though Fordun/Bower record that Alexander’s queen, Margaret, had already been interred in the choir ‘next’ to David I.\footnote{ESSH, ii, 692; Chron. Fordun, i, 307 and ii, 300; Chron. Bower, v, 403. Sir Herbert Maxwell’s English edition of Lanercost translates this rather more bluntly as ‘alone in the south aisle, buried near the presbytery’ [(Glasgow, 1913), 42].}

The University of Glasgow facial reconstruction project has recently offered a persuasive case that not only was David I buried in the original western monastic church/nave close to his parents in 1153 but that the eastern choir was not built until after his passing and further extended from the 1220s, as a ‘nobler building’ (according to papal sources). Thus, in their view, Alexander III may have been the first monarch to be buried in the new choir – hence the Lanercost chronicler’s label of ‘solus’/alone for his tomb.\footnote{MacGregor and Wilkinson, ‘In Search of Robert the Bruce, Part III’; Registrum de Dunfermelyn, nos 130 and 137. For an alternative view see S. Lee, ‘Recreating the Devotional Space of Dunfermline Abbey between ca.1124-1180’, Comitatus: A Journal of Medieval and Renaissance Studies, 46 (2015), 1-20.} However, there are several points that stand against this interpretation over and above the notion of the eastern choir lying devoid of non-saintly royal burials for 136 years [1153→1286].

First, as architectural historian and former Historic Scotland inspector Richard Fawcett points out, there is a detailed late-eleventh-century sketch providing architectural evidence for the pulpitum and nave-choir crossing which indicates that work had begun on the east-end choir before 1150-3 [Fig 5]. This was besides, a building campaign in which the priority would have been to complete the monks’ choir, presbytery and altar areas as quickly as possible.\footnote{Fawcett, ‘Dunfermline Abbey Church’, 33-6; Corpus of Scottish Medieval Parish Churches - https://arts.st-andrews.ac.uk/corpusofscottishchurches/site.php?id=158524#fn0_19; entry for ‘Dunfermline Abbey’ in The Corpus of Romanesque Sculpture in Britain and Ireland database - https://www.crsbi.ac.uk/view-item?key=WXsiUC1fey1FeaWbZXNljp7Im1IZGlldmFsd2loiU3QuIFuZHZld3MiJF0sJkYIOjUleUowSWpwYk5sMTkifQ&WINID=1586330922012#PTNktpFcd9b0AAAFxWLDkQ/2274, accessed 5/2/20. There could, however, be long gaps between major church dedications and their full spiritual operation, and vice versa: for example, St Andrews Cathedral was originally dedicated in 1162 but expanded thereafter, operating from 1238 but still awaited consecration by Robert I in 1318 [M. Penman, ‘Who is this King of Glory? Robert I, Holy Week and the consecration of St Andrews Cathedral, 5 July 1318’, in K. Buchanan, L.H.S. Dean with M. Penman eds., Medieval and Early Modern Representations of Authority in Scotland and the British Isles (London, 2016), 85-104, at 94].} Nor should we play down the building work possibly overseen by David’s predecessors and older brothers, Edgar I and, especially, Alexander I (1107-24). Of the latter king the chroniclers state that he ‘added to [the abbey] in property and buildings’. This could have included initiating the aggrandisement of the original Malcolm-Margaret western priory church/nave before 1124 using Durham masons, a patronage link reflected in Alexander’s presence as the sole layman at the translation of St Cuthbert’s remains at Durham on 29 August 1104.\footnote{Chron. Fordun, ii, 225; Chron. Bower, iv, 251; ESSH, ii, 137; K. Veitch, “Replanting Paradise’: Alexander I and the reform of religious life in Scotland’, IR, 52 (2001), 136-66, at 137. Alexander I also established c.1115x21 the first Augustinian priory in Scotland at Scone, Perthshire, site of Scottish royal inauguration.}

Besides, 1128-1150 gave David sufficient time to oversee the extension of a royal monastic cult church (and mausoleum) on a scale similar to that at Benedictine Reading Abbey in Berkshire, built by his brother-in-law, Henry I (f.1127).\footnote{Baxter, Royal Abbey of Reading, chs 1-4.} This would surely have been necessary to attract and house the enlarged community of a dozen monks (plus supporting
brethren) led from 1128-54 as first abbot by Geoffrey, former prior of Canterbury. Indeed, Dunfermline’s eastern choir was sufficiently complete by 1150 as to warrant dedication on 11 June that year, Trinity Sunday, a fact recorded by contemporary Scottish monastic annals. This was a date which must have become a vital jubilee fixture of Dunfermline’s liturgy.

Moreover, if David I was buried in the western nave — and his contribution to Dunfermline’s development was thus to only rebuild that church alone on a grander scale, as the Glasgow project has suggested — then why did excavations by Peter MacGregor Chalmers in 1911 reveal only five elite burial spaces in that older Holy Trinity church’s ‘choir’? That is, three in front of the high altar and two close behind, all presumably pavement slab burials. At least eight, perhaps more, would be required to account for all royal burials up to and including David I’s and those named by chroniclers as buried ‘next’ to him down to 1275 (or 1283). However, Andrew Wyntoun, Prior of the Augustinian house of Loch Leven, dedicated to St Serf, some 12 miles north of Dunfermline, would later assert in his vernacular Original Chronicle, c.1410-20, that (St) Margaret and Malcolm III had been buried there in the nave ‘before the Rwe Awtare’ with their sons, ‘Edwarde the first, and Ethelred’; to their number we might then add their brothers, Edgar I and Alexander I. This makes six, a number which already exceeds the graves excavated in this nave space.


179 ESSH, ii, 211; G.W.S. Barrow ed., The Charters of David I: The Written Acts of David I King of Scots, 1124-53, and of his son Henry, Earl of Northumberland, 1139-52 (Woodbridge, 2006), nos 171-2. Fordun’s source tells us that St Margaret’s remains, canonised in 1249, were translated to her new shrine ‘in the second year of the reign of Alexander III’. This thus places that great ceremonial event, and the accompanying miracle of her bones’ refusal to move east without those of her husband, in 1251 – not in 1250 as tradition has long assumed [Chron. Bower, v, 297-9, where Bower re-dates the events to 1250]. In 1251, Trinity Sunday lined up in date exactly with that of 1150, i.e. on 11 June, surely meaning that extra indulgences and spiritual value were attached to this ritual and its attendance at the close of a true centenary or jubilee year. At the end of the Octave of Trinity Sunday in that year, 1251, on Monday 19 June (also four days after Corpus Christi that year), Margaret was translated from her shrine close to the Easter Sepulchre situated to the north of the high altar – where she had first been moved to in 1180 – east into her new feretory, an event surely attended by large crowds as well as the king and other elite dignitaries. Trinity Sunday and 11 June would also coincide in 1161, 1172, 1245, 1256, 1335, 1340, 1346, 1419, 1430, 1441, 1503 and 1514.


181 Chron. Wyntoun, vii, 3, II, 103-07; P. Chalmers, ‘Notice of a Stone Coffin, found in the Pavement of the Abbey Church, Dunfermline, in 1849, and of its Contents’, PSAS, 2 (1856), 75-7. The five nave burials located in 1911 can be more readily accounted for by Malcolm III and Margaret and their sons Edmund, Edgar and Alexander (although this does not account for Alexander’s queen, Sybilla of Normandy): at least David I, Malcolm IV and Margaret Plantagenet (d.1275) would have to be added to fit the Glasgow theory (with David’s queen, Maud of Huntingdon, buried at Scone).
As we have seen, the accounts of Fordun and Bower record that Malcolm IV (1153-65) was ‘buried in the middle of the paved area, to the right of his grandfather David, before the high altar, the customary place for the burial of kings’, just as Alexander III’s queen, Margaret of England, was interred ‘next to King David’. Yet these were also surely in truth burials of the eastern choir period (with Margaret’s likely a monumental box) and indicate that, at the very least, David I – just like St Margaret in 1180 – had been translated through from the nave to the new choir (or buried there in the first place in 1153, three years after the choir’s dedication). As we have seen, in the ‘middle of the choir’ is where Abbot Bower of Inchcolm asserts David

182 A perpetual light to be placed in front of the tombs of Kings David and Malcolm from 1188 would also have been more easily accommodated in the choir [Dunf. Reg., #158], although this grant does not specify if these tombs were then in the nave or choir of this ‘monastery’.
I’s remains lay by his lifetime (1385-1449). But it need not necessarily surprise us that these chroniclers, even if resident in Fife, are silent or ignorant about earlier translations. The first removal of Margaret’s remains through from the nave in 1180, indeed, is only known with any certainty thanks to the later-medieval Dunfermline manuscript copy of Margaret’s thirteenth-century *miracula* preserved in Madrid and first translated/published in 2003.\(^{183}\)

All this being so, then, it is possible that the Lanercost chronicler’s assertion that Alexander III was buried ‘alone’ on the south side of the choir ‘near the presbytery’, i.e. not in this central paved space, has instead a liturgical and biographical explanation. Dying as he did (alone) in a (drunken?) riding accident at nearby Kinghorn in Fife on 19 March 1286 (almost a month short of Easter), rather than of honourable old age or a lingering illness, is it possible that Alexander, denied a final confession and the absolving sacraments of penance and extreme unction, was deemed to be unfit to lie in the central paved choir beside fully ‘shriven’ monarchs like the aged, saintly David I, the youthful ‘Maiden’ Malcolm IV, and even Alexander’s own wife, Margaret?\(^{184}\) Was Alexander instead buried at a distance from these other central royal monuments, in the St John aisle, so as to better seek there the intercession of John the Baptist, the Apostle who had been rendered uniquely ‘without sin’ even before his own birth and in anticipation of the advent of Christ?\(^ {185}\) That in 1286 Dunfermline’s monks had been and remained wary of this king of Scots’ uncertain soul and reputation is suggested by a late-medieval poetic criticism of their neglect of his tomb which:

‘should have been polished with better care on the part of the craftsmen, but he should have had a sympathetic funeral. After death’s savage bit, affection turns its back: love ends with the end of life.’\(^ {186}\)

We might also add to this the chroniclers’ passing notice that Alexander’s heart, removed from his body, was interred in the church of the Blackfriars in Perth – also St John’s town.\(^ {187}\) This king was thus buried ‘alone’ not because his was literally the first royal interment in the eastern choir (136 years after its dedication) but because his grave was at a pointed, meaningful distance from the others within that liturgical space?

\(^{183}\) Bartlett ed., *Miracles*, no. 9, pp. 93-4. However, Prior Andrew Wyntoun of nearby Loch Leven priory did record c.1410-20 that: ‘Saynt Margretis body a hundyr yhere/Lay be-for the Rwde Awtare,/In-to the Kyrk of Dunfermelyne/But scho was translatyd syne/In-to the Qwere, quhare scho now lyis/Hyr spryt in-til Paradys…’ [Chron. Wyntoun, vii, 3, ll. 115-20].


\(^{187}\) *Chron. Bower*, vi, 421.
Fig 91: Speculative(!) recreation of Dunfermline Abbey choir interior c.1250-c.1560, combining GPR, antiquarian, medieval record, and material evidence.
iii. 4. The Pilgrimage Church and the wider Liturgy of Dunfermline Abbey

It is not possible to recreate Dunfermline Abbey’s spiritual year from medieval liturgical manuscripts or, from c.1450, printed texts, as so few of such sources associated with the monastery have survived. However, by combining medieval, antiquarian and emerging GPR evidence, and by drawing on analogous (Benedictine) churches elsewhere in the British Isles and Europe, we can now cautiously re-envision the physical form of Dunfermline’s later medieval symmetrical choir and something of the liturgy it supported. This provides a snapshot of this cult church’s unique and evolving calendar of worship from c.1250-c.1350 and down to the Reformation. Fig 91 offers a synthesis of available physical and material information to that effect. Interestingly, local historian Ebenezer Henderson had also considered such a true cruciform church and its layout in the manuscript preparation of his *Annals of Dunfermline* (1879) but did not include such a plan in his final publication [Fig 92].

![Fig 92: Ebenezer Henderson’s *Annals of Dunfermline* manuscript - alternate ground-plan for Dunfermline Abbey (1879). This also suggests that most twelfth- and thirteenth-century royal burials occurred in the nave.](image)

Dunfermline’s parishioners, pilgrims and other lay visitors entered the Abbey through the nave’s north-west door, with the great west front door only opened for clerical relic processions on major feasts. Abbot Bothwell (d.1468) would eventually provide a grand porch for this

---


190 DCL&G, LR D/GEN [handwritten MS of Ebenezer Henderson’s *Annals of Dunfermline*], Appendix ii.
north-west parochial entrance. Both these access points lay below the nave’s western bell towers and their summons to worship.

Given its parochial function, by the late fifteenth/early sixteenth century, and probably long before, the nave had developed to accommodate numerous side chapels and smaller altars fixed to columns and walls, patronised by local baronial families and burgh trade or craft guilds. Some of these altars/chapels have been identified and dated by the work of Frederic Eeles (1917) and the more recent Corpus of Medieval Scottish Parish Churches project (2011-). They include a mixture of dedications to Scottish and universal saints, including Ninian, Michael, Nicholas, Salvator and the virgin martyr Katherine of Alexandria (the last surely linked to the nearby almshouse dedicated to that female saint a stone’s-throw to the north-west of the nave).

These nave altars would have occupied the northern and southern aisles, over time filling in the spaces west of earlier central Christocentric and Apostolic altars and fittings. As well as probably a much-venerated parish altar to Our Lady of Pity by at least the fifteenth century (which included a perpetually-lit image of the Virgin and crucified Christ), the latter grouping is known to have included an older altar to the Holy Cross or Rood standing south of the main Trinity altar and its pre-1150 royal ‘choir’ burials: by the late medieval period this had probably acquired an elaborate wooden screen and loft. It is likely that one or more of the True Cross relics long associated with St Margaret was on display here or elsewhere in the church. By 1490 a Holy Blood altar had also been established, in the care of the merchant’s guild, providing a further vibrant community focus for worship alongside the Cross during Holy Week. Parochial confession and cure of souls ‘of both sexes’ was also facilitated by the abbey’s Sacristan and staff in the nave.

After 1180 the empty original graves of Margaret and Malcolm – whose bodies, miraculously, had always to be translated together – remained as early stations for pilgrim veneration, visible and accessible just behind the nave Trinity high altar. The queen’s first ‘shrine’ features as a repeated site of vigil, typically for three days and/or nights, in her thirteenth-century miracle stories. The western nave/parish church also housed a St Margaret altar, ‘St Margaret’s bell’ and ‘St Margaret’s well’, the latter sited between columns on the south-west side and perhaps in line with a natural subsurface water-source running east-west down the length of the abbey site and thus linking to the piscina which would be built into Margaret’s post-1250 feretory chapel walls. This may in part explain the tendency of the south side of this sloping site to flood. As another holy station for pilgrim veneration, the well in the nave may also have been the source of ampullae of Margaret’s holy ‘oil’ – well-water mixed with dust from her first

191 Fawcett, ‘Dunfermline Abbey Church’, 52.
193 McRoberts and Holmes, Lost Interiors, 101-12.
195 A.I. Dunlop and D. MacLauchlan eds., Calendar of Scottish Supplications to Rome: Volume IV 1433-1447 (Glasgow, 1983), nos 152 [23 August 1434], 1169 [24 February 1445].
tomb and/or from her east-end feretory – which pilgrims could take away after making a donation, often in hope of a cure for various ailments or aid during pregnancy.\footnote{However, to date, no such ampullae or pilgrim badges have been found for Margaret’s cult.}

On major feast and pilgrimage days, lay access past the decorated stone high altar screen or pulpitum of the nave, and its rood loft, into the eastern monastic choir ambulatory was probably made via steps and a ‘choir door’\footnote{J. Fowler ed., *The Rites of Durham* (Surtees Society, 1902), passim; D. Kennedy, ‘The Changing Face of Liturgy’, in Brown ed., *Durham Cathedral*, 315-25. Our thanks to Dr Tom Turpie for this processional suggestion. It might be speculated that, again like Durham, the pulpitum at Dunfermline bore painted liturgy’, in Bartlett ed., *Miracles*, vi, 413-5.}, up out of the south-side nave aisle, thus mirroring the processional route at Durham’s Benedictine Cathedral Priory.\footnote{Bartlett ed., *Miracles*, no. 1.} Outwith these days, the laity were excluded, kept to the nave/parish church. As Margaret’s thirteenth-century *miracula* had it, according to the ‘guardian of the outer church’ visitors were:

‘not allowed to enter the holy precincts alone, but only on the ordained night preceding each Saturday when a great crowd of sick people is accustomed to keep vigil.’\footnote{McRoberts and Holms, *Lost Interiors*, 82-5.}

But when access was granted visitors first entered the choir’s southern St John aisle, running east between columns and the inner screened monk’s choir and stalls. By at least the early fifteenth century an organ perhaps stood adjacent to the stalls for the accompaniment of increasingly elaborate choral masses: a secure vestry was perhaps housed to the south of this crossing boundary.\footnote{Julian Luxford, *The Art and Architecture of English Benedictine Monasteries, 1300-1450: A Patronage History* (Woodbridge, 2005), 78-81; N. Saul, *Lordship and Faith: the English Gentry and the Parish Church in the Middle Ages* (Oxford, 2017), 2-6.} Running east, further side chapels and column altars were surely added down to 1560 to mark out this St John aisle space, perhaps to such identifiable dedications as SS Andrew, Benedict, Lawrence, Leonard, Michael, Peter and Stephen, and the great annual community processional feast of Corpus Christi. This aisle also probably contained some post-1128 aristocratic burials. Benedictine churches typically grew to house a wide variety of pavement (brass or incised stone), wall-mounted and free-standing monumental tomb forms as a reflection of their many patrons.\footnote{Hicks, ‘English Monasteries as Repositories of Dynamic Memory’, in PSAS, 70 (1935-6), 181-201.} It was perhaps in this location that visitors would encounter a tablet recording the locations of chapels/altars and elite burials as a guide to pro anima and votive prayer. These were foci of faith and memory about which dedicated chantry monks would also speak to visitors.\footnote{Bartlett ed., *Miracles*, no. 1.}

Mid-way down this aisle a crossing gap in the screening of the choir/presbytery may have been provided, looking into what was perhaps a remarkably well-lit central paved space during the day thanks to any glass in Dunfermline’s central massive lantern tower and the great stained-glass windows of the east-end feretory. In winter, dull weather and during hours of darkness the proliferation of candle lights would have been apparent, both free-standing and suspended in candelabras. In this central space this would have included the ‘perpetual’ lights funded by patrons to stand ‘before’ major tombs or on altars during dedicative feasts. The central choir/presbytery was where the majority of the post-1128 royal burials were also surely to be

\footnote{Hicks, ‘English Monasteries as Repositories of Dynamic Memory’, in PSAS, 70 (1935-6), 181-201.}
found, perhaps again a variety of pre-1250 pavement graves and later box monuments, or perhaps all visibly similar, say, after their re-presentation during the reign of Robert I. His exchequer rolls for 1309-26 are missing but he may have made use of more accessible local building materials such as fossiliferous limestone from Roscobie quarry, just three miles from Dunfermline and owned by the abbey [Fig 93] rather than County Durham Frosterley.204

Fig 93: Sample of Roscobie fossiliferous limestone, from a quarry three miles north of Dunfermline Abbey.

The absence of royal burials within the dignified east-end space of the rising central sanctuary with its communion space (and rail?) and well-spaced flights of long, low steps meant that the choir’s Trinity high altar was visible from this crossing gap, along with its painted (triptych?) images of the Trinity and Margaret, and, atop the altar, mass candles and a central high cross. This screened east-end, a church within the church, would also have housed the Easter Sepulchre to the north, a tabernacle to store the consecrated host, a pulpit and perhaps a lectern for its more decorative liturgical texts (such as St Margaret’s own Gospel book) or an illuminated presentation cartulary highlighting the abbey’s most important patrons.205 Again, the sanctuary steps and paving may have been trimmed in fossiliferous marble with coloured floor tiles to echo the high altar and tombs.

The middle and east-end of the St John aisle, opening to the south into the full Baptist’s chapel with its eastern baptismal font, altar and image, may have housed the (lone?) tomb of Alexander III, discussed above. But this space may also have been a main shepherding point for pilgrims on key feast days. The narrow entrance to St Margaret’s feretory, c.8’-10’ across, would have been closely monitored by the Sacristan and his staff. The feretory chapel itself, with Margaret’s bejewelled shrine under a suspended decorated canopy [as reimagined by Peter Yeoman for Historic Scotland, Fig 94i], may have been surrounded by supporting stations and relics: Margaret’s ‘birthing shirt’, gospel book or other associated secondary relics could be displayed here on feast days, providing further stations for veneration and donation, acting as a draw for wax votives placed by pilgrims. Furthermore, Margaret’s separate bejewelled head-

204 See n128 above.
205 McRoberts and Holmes, Lost Interiors, ch. 2; R. Rushforth, St Margaret’s Gospel Book (Oxford, 2007); E. Jamroziak, ‘Making Friends Beyond the Grave: Melrose Abbey and its lay burials in the 13th century’, Citeaux Commentarii Cisticiensis, 56 (2005), 323-336. Henderson, Annals, 766, reports some oak fragments of what was believed to be the tabernacle also located in the debris field in 1818.
shrine [recreated in the twentieth century, Fig 94ii], may have guarded the narrow matching pilgrim exit north-west into the Lady aisle.\textsuperscript{206}

![Fig 94: i. Peter Yeoman’s recreation of St Margaret’s shrine for Historic Scotland and ii. The Abbot’s House Museum’s recreation of her head shrine.](image)

A dedicated altar (and image) to Margaret surely stood at the west end of her great shrine and these fittings likely integrated a separate grave marker, effigy or image of her husband, Malcolm III, also regarded by miraculous association as a saint by the monks. Indeed, given the potency of full body cults, and the model of Becket’s shrine at Dunfermline’s mother-house at Canterbury, at least one full-length image of Margaret surely marked this area and was a vital icon for her church.\textsuperscript{207} It is just possible, too, that - echoing the positioning of St Edward the Confessor’s new thirteenth-century shrine in Benedictine Westminster, or that of St Clovis in Benedictine St Denis [Figs 14-15] – a monumental shrine for their son, David I, also a local saint, stood in this retro-choir space at Dunfermline from c.1250. However, Scottish chroniclers’ descriptions of David’s burial, although open to divergent interpretations, do still


\textsuperscript{207} S. Blick, ‘Reconstructing the Shrine of Thomas Becket, Canterbury Cathedral’, \textit{Journal of Art History}, 72 (4) (2003), 256-86.
suggest that his grave more likely retained a central choir/presbytery position well to the west of the Trinity altar.208

Passing out north-west from the feretory into the Lady Aisle and with sight of the magnificent Lady Chapel, a limited number of visitors at a time would now enter another rich, lofty, light-filled liturgical space.209 As the focus of the most popular saintly cult of the late middle ages, all the more so throughout the wars, famines and pandemics of the fourteenth-century, the Blessed Virgin Mary’s altars and image would have encouraged great throngs of worshipers at Dunfermline. This would have been the case on numerous occasions throughout the liturgical year, not only on her several main feasts marked by extra masses, lights and paupers’ pittances [1 January/2 February, 25 March, 31 May, 15 August, 8 September, 8 December] or simply for daily Lady mass (echoing liturgy at the Lady of Pity altar in the nave).210 As such this aisle and its associated chapel – or perhaps immediately adjacent along the space bordering the north of the central choir-presbytery-sanctuary – was a highly desirable place for non-saintly royal burial so as to attract the repeated pro anima prayers of lay patrons and visitors as well as of the Dunfermline monks who performed requested masses. Robert I certainly set aside at least 1,000 merks (£666) to pay for such services for his own (and his queen’s?) soul.211

A liturgical focus in this north aisle for association with the two maternal female cults of Mary and Margaret certainly seems to have made this a coveted site, too, of burial for royal and aristocratic women, not least the extended Bruce-Stewart kin interments of the fourteenth century. These emotive associations may also have made the choir a site of frequent infant and child burial. Although it does not specify if this was a choir burial, a fifteenth-century Scottish vernacular chronicle nonetheless reports that in 1450:

The 19th day of Mai qwene mary [Marie of Gueldres, James II’s queen] partit with barne in strivling [Stirling] xii oulkis [weeks] before hir tyme and the barne liffit bot the space of sex houirs. Item the said zeire in the moneth of Junii thar was funding in dunfermling a merwalous deid cors [corpse] in the ryping of ane wall, for first their was found about him a kist of stane and syne ane o tre [wood] and syne a cape of leid and syne clathis of goldn and silk as fresche as evir thai ware and the cors hale in hyde and hewe [skin and complexion] as it semyte bot of xviii zeris of age and it was

208 Although saintly, David’s tomb/shrine would thus have been further west at Dunfermline than the altar-adjacent position re-envisioned for the shrine of King Arthur at Glastonbury Abbey by the University of Reading [R. Gilchrist, ‘Reconstructing King Arthur’s Tomb: the stuff of Legends’: https://research.reading.ac.uk/glastonburyabbeyarchaeology/2018/01/12/reconstructing-king-arthurs-tomb-the-stuff-of-legends/ ].
209 The nature of this area is suggested by the substantial (springer?) north aisle pillar base still in situ recorded in several eighteenth-century sketches of the choir ruins [e.g. above Fig 9].
211 ER, i, 451. However, this money was instead used to redeem Sir Andrew Murray from English captivity c.1334.
Yet the joint burial of elite couples and those seeking political association with the male leaders of this wartime generation also seems to have marked out the northern choir Lady aisle and its chapel as the heart of burial after the Wars of Independence. Again, a wide variety of floor, wall and monumental tomb forms may have filled these spaces, as suggested by our GPR.

As we have seen, an alternative local tradition may also allow us to identify Robert Bruce’s ornate French marble tomb as sited along the southern edge of this north aisle, or perhaps standing just within the central paved presbytery, visible to lay visitors. His tomb’s effigy, heraldry and epitaph would also have made him ‘audible’ to those who might offer prayer. Here, perhaps partnered with his queen, Robert watched over this assemblage of family/supporter burials around and within the Lady Chapel (completed within or shortly after his lifetime). Robert Stewart, Duke of Albany, who clearly sought association within his own lifetime with the famous hero king of Archdeacon John Barbour’s vernacular poem The Bruce (c.1371-5), therefore chose burial ‘between the choir and Lady aisle’ as late as 1420 as a resounding echo of these physical memento mori. It is possible that several other important side chapels and pillar altars also occupied this northern Lady aisle by the sixteenth century. These may have been dedicated to further female saints (such as Mary Magdalene and virgin martyrs Katherine of Alexandria, Margaret of Antioch and Ursula); or to cults also venerated by the Bruce and Stewart dynasties and their subjects, for example St Cuthbert of Durham, St Ninian of Whithorn, St Serf of nearby Culross and perhaps (although no dedicative evidence survives) St Thomas Becket of Canterbury, Dunfermline’s mother house.213

Passing out west down the northern Lady aisle, returning from choir to nave, visitors passed through the chapel of St Peter/Paul – whose painted images are still visible on the north-east nave bay vault – and thus on and out of the church.214 However, they surely left with an overwhelming impression of the sanctity and achievements of their monarchs. They must also have been impressed by the power of the echoing trinity between their lay rulers, God/Christ and the church. As it developed over five centuries, indeed, Dunfermline Abbey rang with the spiritual power of the Holy Trinity. By the late medieval period, its choir and liturgy were structured around its Trinity altar celebrating Father, Son and Holy Ghost. The east-end chancel lay at the heart of a trinity of major chapels/altars dedicated to the intimately connected Holy Family cults of Christ, the Virgin and St John. All of these holy figures were venerated in their turn by a trinity of Scotland’s royal saints, the officially canonised Margaret as well as Malcolm III and David I, all perhaps depicted in the glass narratives of its three full-height east-end feretory shrine walls. The few glass shards saved over time from Dunfermline’s ruins certainly speak to their variety of colour and have been dated to the early fourteenth century [Fig 94a].215

The whole abbey structure was guarded by its three towers.216

212 The ‘Auchinleck Chronicle’, ff. 122v-123r, appendix 2 from C. McGladdery, James II (East Linton, 1992), 172. ‘11 score’ years would date this burial to approximately the 1230s rather than the 1070-1150 era. If royal, the age of the body may suggest one of Alexander III’s sons?
213 Burgh Records of Dunfermline, nos 114, 154; Penman, Robert the Bruce, 297-9, 301-2.
214 Fawcett, ‘Dunfermline Abbey Church’, 42.
216 For Trinitarian theology and liturgy see: R.L. Friedman, Medieval Trinitarian Thought from Aquinas to Ockham (Cambridge, 2013).
If we now bring together Dunfermline Abbey’s identifiable altar dedications, the obituary dates and secular anniversaries of its identifiable elite patrons and burials, and the universal feasts of the Catholic year, we can illustrate the potency of its liturgy within such a physical setting, reimagining the rhythm of its liturgical year.

217 A search for ‘Dunfermline Abbey’ in the online catalogue of the National Museum of Scotland produces 47 items: those of medieval date include two stained glass gatherings, three floor tiles, a leathern shroud (found in the 1911 nave dig), a seal matrix and four seals, and a votive cast-lead crucifix; plus (associated with Bruce’s tomb) 10 tomb fragments, a small sculpted crowned head, four pieces of cloth-of-gold, and one nail [https://www.nms.ac.uk/explore-our-collections/collection-search-results/, accessed 5/2/20]. For further glass finds see also Coleman, ‘Excavations of the Abbot’s House, Maygate, Dunfermline’, 94,100-01.
FEASTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feast</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St Andrew</td>
<td>30 Nov</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Immac. Conception BVM]</td>
<td>8 Dec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christmas/Nicholas</td>
<td>25 Dec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Stephen</td>
<td>26 Dec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Thomas?</td>
<td>29 Dec?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Solemnity BVM]</td>
<td>1 Jan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candlemas [Christ/BVM]</td>
<td>2 Feb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Cuthbert</td>
<td>20 March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annunciation BVM</td>
<td>25 March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easter †</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinitiy Sunday †</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus Christi/Holy Blood ‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Katherine of Siena</td>
<td>29 April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitation BVM</td>
<td>31 May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translation St Margaret</td>
<td>19 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St John the Baptist</td>
<td>24 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Peter/Paul</td>
<td>29 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Serf</td>
<td>1 July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Benedict</td>
<td>11 July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Margaret of Antioch</td>
<td>20 July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Mary Magdalene</td>
<td>22 July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Lawrence</td>
<td>10 Aug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumption BVM</td>
<td>15 Aug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nativity BVM</td>
<td>8 Sept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True Cross</td>
<td>14 Sept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Ninian</td>
<td>16 September</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(St) Michael(mas)</td>
<td>28 Sept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Ursula</td>
<td>21 Oct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Margaret</td>
<td>16 Nov</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Katherine of Alexandria</td>
<td>25 Nov</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OBITS etc

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malcolm IV</td>
<td>9 Dec 1165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edgar I</td>
<td>8 Jan 1104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander III</td>
<td>19 March 1286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander I</td>
<td>23 April 1124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David I</td>
<td>24 May 1153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert I</td>
<td>7 June 1329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[burial 12 July?]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 95: Speculative calendar of feasts, obits and anniversaries observed by Dunfermline Abbey c.1250-c.1560.

The identified feasts listed in Fig 95 are similar to those universal, typically Christocentric foci of worship which other Benedictine houses would mark each year. A similar calendar and associated litanies can be found in Dunfermline Abbot Richard de Bothwell’s fifteenth-century psalter (without personal notations). But in 1468 Richard, a former Sacristan of Dunfermline, also willed his abbey lands, 30 merks of income and wax to support perpetual masses ‘for himself, his parents and benefactors (with music each year on the day of his death)’, and another light ‘at the great altar before the image of St Margaret’. Thus we can also integrate the local, regional and even national importance of the observance of Scottish saints at Dunfermline, not least that of St Margaret and her extended family. And we also find close association with other Scottish cults often linked to Dunfermline through neighbouring or appropriated/gifted churches and chapels. There is, then, a strong blend of the international and local here, a mix


increasingly shaped by the obit and life-achievement anniversaries of the abbey’s key royal and aristocratic patrons.  

We can moreover envisage that intense pilgrimage, donations, prayer and indulgences would have marked those years in which key religious feast days coincided with these obituary and/or event anniversaries. At Dunfermline, such religious activity would be created when such dates as the Annunciation of the Virgin (25 March), Easter Week and, six weeks later, Trinity Sunday (plus Corpus Christi the following Thursday), coincided with the deaths of (St) David I (24 May), Robert I (7 June), the Translation of St Margaret (19 June) and the anniversary of Bannockburn/St John the Baptist day (24 June).  

In 1249, the year of her canonisation, the Papacy had certainly granted a standing indulgence of 40 days to be earned by those visiting Dunfermline in association with the translation and main feast of St Margaret. Similar peaks in veneration would surely have marked the Abbey’s several identifiable dedicative jubilees every 50 or 100 years. These great pilgrimage events would surely have exceeded the annual peaks of attendance around key feasts and St Margaret’s June fair. It is possible an annual collective Feast of the Relics was also celebrated at Dunfermline in this busy late Spring-early Summer period.

In conclusion, although the Scottish crown and ecclesia undeniably drew from proportionally fewer resources and thus worked on a smaller physical and material scale, the form and liturgical meaning of Dunfermline Abbey, its cult foci and royal mausoleum can be shown to have evolved through similar levels of ambition, complexity and change as their English,

220 G. Dove, ‘Saints, dedications and cults in mediaeval Fife’, M.Phil (University of St Andrews, 1988); T. Turpie, ‘Fife Pilgrim Way: Report Detailing Historical References to Pilgrimage and the Cult of the Saints in Fife’ (2016), 62-104. That evolving calendar observances could combine religious feasts with obits and anniversaries is illustrated by the early fifteenth-century hand-written additions to an early fourteenth-century breviary maintained in Aberdeen (by a cadet of the Keith family, Marischals of Scotland). These included observance of royal, noble and clerical death dates [David II, Alexander III, Robert I, John Comyn of Badenoch 1306, the earl of Atholl 1335, Keiths 1374 and 1403]; royal births and coronations [David II, Robert I]; and battles, significantly both Scottish victories and defeats [Rosslyn 1302, capture of Berwick 1296, Methven 1306, Bannockburn, Halidon Hill 1332, siege of Berwick 1319; Neville’s Cross 1346, Annan 1332]. Interestingly, this calendar lists 12 July for the obit of Robert: in fact, he died on 7 June 1329, so does the calendar identify his funeral at Dunfermline that year? C.R. Borland, ed., A Descriptive Catalogue of the Western Medieval Manuscripts in Edinburgh University Library (Edinburgh, 1916), no. 27, 38-41, at 40.

221 24 May coincided with Trinity Sunday in 1187, 1198, 1209, 1282, 1293, 1304, 1377, 1388, 1467 and 1472; 7 June coincided with Trinity Sunday in 1338, 1349, 1411, 1422, 1433, 1444 and 1506. David II spent his birthday, 5 March, in his birthplace, Dunfermline, in 1342 and 1345, and in June 1368 was perhaps there to mark the obit anniversary of his father [ER, i, 483; RMS, vi, no 86; RMS, i, no. 282].

222 Dunf. Reg., nos 290-1.

For example, Robert III (1390-1406), who, like his father, Robert II (1371-90), issued a surprising number of acts from Dunfermline (14 and 18 respectively), spent Easter 1391 [26 March] and October/November 1392 at Dunfermline, attended by a substantial court [RMS, i, nos 805, 816, 819, 825-6, 846, 855-6, 862, 864, 870-1]; on 7 June 1450, a jubilee year for the Margaret cult, James II granted the abbey lands to found to found a chaplainry [RMS, ii, no. 359]; and in 1509, perhaps during one of his wife’s pregnancies, James IV stayed at the abbey about the Annunciation feast of the Virgin, a Sunday that year [R.L. Mackie ed., The Letters of James IV, 1503-1513 (Edinburgh, 1953), no. 238].

223 DCL&G, LR D/GEN [handwritten MS of Ebenezer Henderson’s Annals of Dunfermline], 165, notes Dunfermline fairs on 1 March and 14 September as well: perhaps significantly these were thus St David [of Wales]’s day and the feast of the True Cross.

224 Pfaff, Liturgy in Medieval England, 215-16. About 1250×52 Dunfermline Abbey appointed new hereditary dears or keepers of the relics, who were to pay the abbey a token every year on the Translation feast of St Margaret [Dunf. Reg., no. 234]. For dears see G. Markus’ fascinating article, ‘Dewars and Relics in Scotland: some questions and clarifications’, IR, 60 (2009), 95-114.
French and other European realm counterparts through the 13th-16th centuries. By combining extant medieval and historical evidence with past archaeology, antiquarian observations and the potential results of non-invasive survey techniques such as GPR, we can cautiously recreate significant elements of Dunfermline’s lost Benedictine later-medieval choir. We can thus reimagine not only much of its developing architecture and religious settings and fittings, but crucially also some of the interplay of its institutional, dynastic, political and wider spiritual message, for varied monastic and lay audiences – from royalty, abbeys and monks, down to the level of ‘ordinary’ burgh citizens or visiting pilgrims.

This synthesis of evidence might seem to confirm, at first, the efforts of this leading Scottish house and the crown, most often working in concert, though at times (as c.1180) on their own, to ‘keep up’ with and emulate Plantagenet and Valois churches as well as to embrace the Christocentric intensity of European faith. The expansion of the Holy Trinity choir from the 1220s, culminating in Queen Margaret’s canonisation in 1249, her new shrine and its neighbouring chapels to the Blessed Virgin and St John the Baptist, saw Dunfermline respond to wider, universal contemporary trends in monastic and lay worship. As such, the choir became the focus of a distinctly programmatic presentation and veneration of sacral Scottish monarchy alongside the Holy family and other carefully curated altars, relics and images. This forged spaces and allied liturgy to echo and assert Scottish sovereignty from the dynasty championed by the emerging cult centre and tombs surrounding Edward the Confessor at Westminster. In doing so, just as in their foreign policy, kings of Scots and their abbots of Dunfermline of the late twelfth and thirteenth centuries not only drew on that English model but perhaps more heavily on that of Benedictine St Denis and its successively re-presented French royal tombs, not least those fashioned for his own generation and ancestors by Louis IX, himself canonised in 1297. This legacy and tradition made Dunfermline Abbey’s choir and tombs an obvious and potent political tool for Scottish elites during the Wars of independence from 1296, not least the violent and ambitious kingship of Robert Bruce.

However, we should not under-estimate the power of unplanned, organic and even intensely personal community and individual spiritual developments at Dunfermline, and of the response

---


to these from Scottish elites in turn. Not least, from c.1070-c.1180 this involved what seems in large part to be, first, the continued emergence of Margaret as a true ‘kind neighbour’ and miraculous intercessory force in the everyday lives and labours of all Scots – pregnant women, monks, the sick, workmen, farmers, burgesses and nobles, thus not just kings engaged in internal dynastic struggle or war; and, second, the co-existence of Margaret’s cult both within a trinity of royal saints alongside her husband and son, David I, and within a wider network or familia of local and regional Scottish cults often with associated altars and relics within the abbey. Much of this was presented to the laity and to be engaged with in Dunfermline’s older western nave which was also the burgh’s parochial church; and many of these elements evolved into and engaged with key features of the extended eastern choir. Hence, we really cannot fully understand one half without the other.

As such, in what we can recreate of the choir c.1250-1560, and particularly for the late thirteenth and fourteenth centuries, we surely find a unique blending of the spiritual and the political in Dunfermline’s royal, monastic and parochial development. For Robert I and his generation and immediate successors, above all, Dunfermline’s exceptional and intense collection or cults, altars, relics, tombs and connective liturgy represented surely the single most important religious site throughout the Wars of Independence and his dramatic reign, even more so than St Andrews Cathedral or the abbeys of Scone or Arbroath. In his identifiable worship at and patronage of Dunfermline, and in the carefully chosen final form and location of his burial there alongside his predecessors, Robert undeniably made a political statement and enhanced the legitimation of his seizure of power, his own familial succession and Scottish sovereignty. He was personally aware of Westminster models but, again, drew on St Denis forms and craftsmen. Yet in doing so it seems that he, his queen and a number of his close kin and counsel also acted on their genuine faith in God, Christ and particular saints as their intercessors in personal matters – pregnancy, mourning loss, guilt, gratitude, illness and the fate of their own soul at death – as much as in matters of state. In this regard, Dunfermline Abbey and its lost choir might be argued to have represented a distinctively potent (Scottish?) fusion of cult, power and memoria, by no means a merely smaller-scale copy of Westminster.

Indeed, it was only with sustained and often violent disruption to the political and geographic authority of the early Stewart kings from the 1380s – with Robert II’s and Robert III’s spheres of influence pushed further and further west by their own family – that Dunfermline Abbey began to wane as a focus of royal worship and burial. The resurgent Stewart kings of the fifteenth century, the era which saw noble kindreds favour smaller family-only collegiate churches and mausolea, in turn looked elsewhere for singular, personalised burial grounds. Ironically, this also heralded fresh attempts to intensify Scottish royal emulation of the contemporary English and French ruling dynasties by much more closely linking palaces and churches/mausolea in royal centres: thus Perth/Perth Charterhouse for James I (1406-37),

---


Edinburgh/Holyrood for James II (1437-60), and Stirling/Cambuskenneth for James III and IV (1460-88-1513) as echoes of London/Westminster and Paris/St Denis. Although Dunfermline’s refectory accommodation would be enhanced to serve as a royal palatial block by the late-fifteenth century the church and burgh no longer feature on the royal itinerary in the same way.

It may be possible to recreate similar rich and challenging architectural, patronal and spiritual histories for other major Scottish medieval churches by applying the interdisciplinary method outlined above. Indeed, recent reinterpretative work for Historic Environment Scotland on Elgin and Glasgow Cathedrals, St Andrews Cathedral Priory, and Arbroath Abbey, has sought to integrate historical, antiquarian, archaeological, surveying and environmental evidence with an eye to recreating some aspects of the lost liturgical and spiritual history of these religious sites and their fittings, alongside their architectural form or institutional, personnel and estate narratives. Recent work on Paisley Abbey, Whithorn Cathedral Priory and Coupar Angus Abbey, as well as emerging digital recreation projects exploring St Andrews Cathedral, Scone Abbey and Perth’s Carthusian house, have also advanced integrative approaches in search of piety and liturgy. Nevertheless, the evidence even for these great places of worship and interment arguably falls short of the intricacy, depth and poignancy of Dunfermline’s religious life as a royal cult and burial chapel, monastic house and parish church.

iii. 5. The 1818-19 ‘Bruce grave’ – an alternative narrative?

Our radar survey of 2017 was not able to probe directly beneath the brass plaque placed in 1889 atop the site of the ‘Bruce grave’ since radio-waves do not penetrate metal. Our scan of the immediate vicinity of this grave space returned one possible burial to the immediate south but this was more likely a collapsed structure or spoil relating to the disturbance of this central grave in 1819 [Fig 38]. The inspection party of 5 November 1819 was recorded as having broken down the stone-lined crypt walls to access the lead-shrouded skeleton and then to have built in their place a brick-lined vault with an arched top and secondary brick surround.236

The recent University of Glasgow facial reconstruction project has carefully sifted through the several evidential ‘tokens’ cited by the officials and commentators of 1818-19 as to the firm identification of the grave’s incumbent as Robert Bruce. These markers included its location, its cut sternum and the pathology of the skull as cast and copied, as well as the ‘false proofs’ of a reported lead crown which vanished between discovery and inspection, and a hoax second coffin name-plate.237 However, reliance upon medieval chronicle language and the liturgical logic of a box tomb (which was not to serve as a shrine for veneration) with subsurface burial so close to the choir’s high altar for a non-saintly lay figure have been brought into question in the discussion above. Similarly, the positive identification of the skeleton as belonging to Bruce because of its heart removal and possible bone-traces of leprosy is also debatable.238 The Glasgow project’s receipt in 2019 of fragments of bone preserved in a presentation box with a glass dome and labelled as having been removed from the Bruce grave in Dunfermline Abbey in 1819 may allow DNA linkage and carbon-dating to resolve these issues of pathology and identification.239 But, for the moment, there remain two further markers hitherto neglected by both the Georgian eyewitnesses and subsequent scholars who considered these remains and the potential liturgical meaning of their location:

- the lead coffin shrouding the skeleton and
- the stone-lined crypt itself.

It is argued here that these two pieces of evidence might allow an alternative later sixteenth-century narrative to be cautiously reconstructed for the 1818 ‘Bruce grave’.

---

236 Jardine, Report, 32-44. It is perhaps this secondary brick wall which is visible at the end of the cellar crawl space shaft off the central Abbey Church boiler room.
238 Contra the University of Glasgow project, in 2017 a Western University, Michigan, collaboration between a forensic sculptor and a bio-archaeologist argued that the cast skull and finger-bones removed from the ‘Bruce grave’ in 1819 pointed to this skeleton not having leprosy (non-spatulate finger bones, lack of rounded nasal cavities etc):
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bEGaOUtxvI;
239 Scotsman, 22 July 2019. To lay down a clear marker here, although this DNA and carbon-dating may indeed confirm the skeleton as that of (or of the period of) Robert Bruce, this cannot necessarily be taken – given the high level of disruption to the site c.1560-c.1818 - as definitive proof of the 1818 crypt as the original site of Robert’s tomb or, most importantly to our purpose here, of its relationship with the other tombs and liturgical spaces of the choir as a whole.
The lead shroud, as described and illustrated in Henry Jardine’s Remembrancer’s report, published in 1821/2, is clearly *anthropomorphic* in nature with a visible moulded head, shoulders, arms, legs and even feet.

**Fig 96:** Lead-shrouded skeleton in the Bruce grave depicted in Henry Jardine’s Remembrancer’s report (1821/2).

The latter feature especially argues for a sixteenth or even seventeenth century date for this material evidence.\(^{240}\) It is reminiscent of the lead coffin recorded for the burial of James VI in Westminster Abbey in 1625, alongside the lead-shrouded bodies of Henry VII (d.1509) and Elizabeth of York (d.1503) [Fig 97 ii].

**Fig 97i-ii:** Stone-lined crypts and (increasingly) anthropomorphic lead coffins of i. left - Edward IV (d.1483) in St George’s Chapel, Windsor, after Henry Emlyn; ii. right – James VI (d. 1625), Henry VII (d.1509) and Elizabeth of York (d. 1503), Westminster Abbey.

\(^{240}\) Our thanks to Richard Fawcett for first suggesting this line of inquiry and to Roberta Gilchrist for e-mail communication on lead shroud dating/shapes.
By contrast, fourteenth-century lead coffins were simple pellets with only a faint human form [Fig 98 i-iii]. These were formed by a single sheet of lead being placed under the body and then shaped up roughly around its underside to meet a flat second piece of lead placed on top.\textsuperscript{241} Such lead coffins could be interred within stone-lined graves below a pavement or within the supra-surface boxes of free-standing monuments or wall tombs. It may have been one such earlier medieval lead shroud which the Elgin party encountered in uncovering the elite ‘double’ burial with its female remains in the north-east choir corner in 1776.\textsuperscript{242}

Fig 98 i-iii: Examples of fourteenth-century elite lead coffins, two-sheet pellet style. i. ‘St Bees Man’, Cumbria, excavated in 1981, identified as Sir Antony de Lucy (d.1368); ii. A box-tomb example from Much Marcle (Herefordshire), for Blanche Mortimer (d.1347), which thus lies above the pavement; iii. a fourteenth-century coffin excavated alongside the remains of Richard III from Greyfriars, Leicester, in 2012.


\textsuperscript{242} Chalmers, \textit{Historical and Statistical Account}, i, 151-4.
Of course, the ‘Bruce grave’ could have been disturbed before 1818, perhaps on more than one occasion. Therefore this later anthropomorphic lead shroud may simply be a late-medieval or, really, an early-modern repackaging of a medieval burial following the kind of inspection which often took place in the later middle ages (especially if the occupant of the grave might be regarded as saintly with valuable relics to harvest during a translation).\textsuperscript{243} It is striking, after all, that in 1819 eye-witnesses Remembrancer Jardine and Rev. Chalmers both describe the lead-encased body as having been ‘covered with a robe or shroud of cloth of gold.’\textsuperscript{244} That is, this seemingly early-modern feature was in fact wrapped in turn inside what seems a medieval cloth element, although we should also acknowledge that there were other reports that this cloth may have been twined around the skull as if to create a make-shift crown.\textsuperscript{245} However, when combined with possible reinterpretation of the stone crypt evidence the lead takes on a cumulative effect, all the more so when added to the liturgical improbability of a late-medieval royal burial being placed so close to the thirteenth-century high altar in this long-established cult church.

What is striking about the stone-lined two-tier crypt and its damaged two-slab lid - as also described, measured and illustrated by Jardine - is how awkward and rough it seems. This is not least the case in contrast to the rather more accomplished and curved 1776 double-burial crypt in the choir’s north-east corner also discussed by Jardine and illustrated on his version of Burn’s ground-plan. The latter is more reminiscent of the deeper, neat ashlar-lined and arched crypts recorded for England’s Edward IV [Fig 97 i] who died in 1483 and was interred in lead atop the oak coffin of his queen in St George’s Chapel, Windsor (discovered by antiquarians in 1789), and, again, at Westminster for Henry VII and James VI and I [Fig 97 ii].\textsuperscript{246}

Not only does the 1818 ‘Bruce grave’ slot include a rather crude rectangular box-within-a-box, dropping down through two levels both only approximately 18” in depth; but it is thus markedly shallow at just 3’ in depth overall, and its component wall-stones seem much rougher, although they are described by Jardine as ‘polished’. The crypt walls are made up of individual foot square blocks each with, as reported in 1819, a visible mason’s mark [Fig 96] (although Jardine did not specify if there was more than one different mark, nor did he record it/them). The crypt’s floor slabs were also seen in 1819 to be badly cracked with a fissure at least 2” wide beneath the lead skeleton and with the burial space’s east end indistinctly rounded, perhaps damaged.\textsuperscript{247}

\textsuperscript{244} Jardine, \textit{Report}, 38-9; Chalmers, \textit{Historical and Statistical Account}, i, 143.
\textsuperscript{245} Anon. ‘Reminiscences of the opening of the Grave of King Robert the Bruce (By One Who Was Present)’, in DCL&G \textit{Folio of Oddities} (4 volumes, 1836-77), i, np, cutting from \textit{Saturday Press}, 2/3/1867. It should be noted, however, that an inspection of Henry III’s tomb in 1871 revealed an ornate cloth of gold covering his interior oak coffin [A.P. Stanley, ‘On an Examination of the Tombs of Richard II and Henry III in Westminster Abbey’, \textit{Archaeologia}, 45 (1880), 309-27, at 319].
\textsuperscript{247} Jardine, \textit{Report}, 32-4. Shakespeare’s pavement grave of 1616 in Stratford is also less than a metre deep.
High quality tombs, including their subsurface crypt spaces and arched roofs, were more usually the work of a single skilled mason or workshop working to commission, thus they need only bear one discreet mason’s mark on one stone or none at all, leaving visible surfaces otherwise unmarked for smoothing. Yet what is recorded and illustrated for the ‘Bruce grave’ – with a (different?) mason’s mark on every stone - bears rather more the characteristics of an improvised grave perhaps dug and constructed in haste (or a much more modest structure for someone of a lower social caste). This may have reused basic building materials originally quarried and shaped up by (a) workaday mason(s) for piecemeal rates and for a much more prosaic purpose such as cellar walls, but now collapsed and found readily to hand [Fig 100].

248 See the Church Monument Society’s discussion of the tomb of Sir William Schaw (d.1602), James VI’s Master of Works, buried at Dunfermline Abbey (where his tomb now stands in the nave), who had drawn up statutes regulating masons’ work [https://churchmonumentssociety.org/monument-of-the-month/the-schaw-monument-dunfermline-abbey-church]: ‘Medieval tombs, with the notable exception of those made by a Cathedral works department for monuments erected within the building, are very rarely marked by the masons who made them. Tombs were either costed as single objects, or the different elements of more complex ones were provided by a series of contractors and these removed the need to identify work for a paymaster.’ See also J.S. Richardson, The Medieval Stone Carver in Scotland (Edinburgh, 1964), 23-47; ODNB entry for Schaw by D. Stevenson (2004); and Dr Jennifer Alexander’s work ‘The Introduction and Use of Masons’ Marks in Romanesque Buildings in England’, Medieval Archaeology, 51 (2007), 63-81, and at https://warwick.ac.uk/fac/arts/arthistory/staff/ja/research/masonsmarks/.
The 1818 ‘Bruce grave’ crypt construction was thus perhaps work undertaken by a small number of men not skilled at such a trade or only having such expert assistance intermittently. Even the presence of the six iron rings in one of the upper slabs covering this burial vault [Fig 11] may suggest improvised interment in sacred space which had only recently fallen out of use for community liturgy.

Is the 1818 grave, then, a post-1560 rescue burial? The systematic destruction of the Reformation, with the tomb images smashed and the choir roof reportedly collapsed by 1563, perhaps meant that the bodily remains of a number of Scottish royals, originally interred not under the pavement but inside the decorated stone boxes of their tombs (as Dalyell suggested in 1809), were suddenly exposed and had to be made secure. This scenario might still seem to fit most readily with the tomb and remains of Robert Bruce. He may thus have been buried at first within his box tomb rather than beneath it, mirroring the style of contemporary Capetian and Plantagenet kings, not least one whose monument the young Robert himself would surely have seen at Westminster, Henry III, as well as that of his son, Edward I (whose tomb Bruce would only have heard about), both of whose oak coffins rested on a bed of rubble within their
monumental boxes.\footnote{249} Bruce’s French marble box-tomb in the St Denis style - perhaps positioned as suggested above, to the centre-north of the choir’s paved presbytery or bounding the Lady aisle - could easily have been smashed by the iconoclasts or the collapsing choir roof in $1560-3$ and the internal coffin(s) and his remains exposed.\footnote{250} In 1580, indeed, the Synod of Fife of the Protestant Kirk of Scotland heard complaints that:

‘a few Benedictines of Dunfermline, with doors bolted and barred, kept watch in their choir by the shrines of St Margaret and St David, and the sepulchres of Bruce and Randolph…’ \footnote{251}

After 1560, as local men, intimately involved with the burgh, displaced monks of Dunfermline might quite naturally have sought to preserve some of the meaning and materials of past centuries of veneration and investment, from guild altars to royal relics. In acting thus, they may have attracted sympathy from some in the burgh community. Several monks remained in Abbey accommodation after 1560, gifted garden plots and pensions during the dissolution of Abbey property under the post-reformation Commendatorship. By c.1586, however, these monks were reported to have vacated the ruined choir with the last of their number recorded as dying of old age in an adjacent ‘cell’, still a Catholic, in 1600.\footnote{252}

Patron families and monastic communities often acted to save and translate important medieval burials and/or tombs in the wake of the English Reformation.\footnote{253} The key question for Dunfermline Abbey, however, is if the central 1818 grave may be something of a ‘rescue’ burial in the aftermath of Scotland’s Reformation, whose remains did the few lingering monks deem the most important to preserve? There is contemporary written testimony that in 1560 monks removed relics of Margaret (including her skull) and Malcolm to the Catholic continent (via Edinburgh Castle).\footnote{254} But no similar mention is made of the remains of St/King David I at that time. Might his bodily relics have thus been placed under heavy slabs, those too hidden under debris, in front of the smashed Trinity high altar of the abbey he had founded? Hence some attempt, too, at a royal dignity – a cloth of gold shroud and basic (lead?) coronet – and an oak outer coffin, transferred from his smashed shrine and which perhaps echoed St

\footnotetext[249]{Jessica Barker, ‘Stone and Bone: the Corpse, the Effigy and the Viewer in Late-Medieval Tomb Sculpture’, in Ann Adams and Barker eds., Revisiting the Monument: Fifty Years Since Panofsky’s Tomb Sculpture (London, 2016), 113-36, at 118-19; Redwell and Neal eds., The Cosmatesque Pavements and Tombs of Westminster Abbey, ii, ch. 12; Penman, Robert the Bruce, 20.}

\footnotetext[250]{The choir of St Denis lay above a massive, older-under-crypt, hence many royal bodies were interred within their marble boxes.}

\footnotetext[251]{Billings and Burn, ‘The Baronial and Ecclesiastical Antiquities of Scotland: Scottish Abbeys and Cathedrals’, 148-9; C. Eyre, The History of St Cuthbert: or an Account of his Life, Disease and Miracles (London, 1849), 332; Chalmers, Historical and Statistical Account, ii, 271; Henderson, Annals, 222. This was at a time when the General Assembly heard fears that ‘sundrie apostates are returned within the country and are spred in diverse provinces…’ [Acts and Proceedings of the General Assemblies of the Kirk of Scotland from the Year MDLX, Volume II 1578-92 (Edinburgh, 1840), 25 October 1580 at Edinburgh, Session 13, p. 469].}

\footnotetext[252]{Billings and Burn, ‘The Baronial and Ecclesiastical Antiquities of Scotland: Scottish Abbeys and Cathedrals’, 148-9; DCL&G LR D/GEN hand-written MS of Henderson’s Annals of Dunfermline, 164, 189 (but, again, neither of these points is noted in Henderson’s published Annals, 252-5).}


\footnotetext[254]{NLS Adv. MS 34.1.8 [Richard Augustine Hay’s Scotia Sacra (Paris, 1700)], f. 327-8.
Cuthbert’s feretory interment beneath the floor at Durham or St Edward the Confessor’s great chambered box shrine at Westminster. The young adult burial disturbed in 1450 at Dunfermline (discussed above at p. 125) and believed by contemporaries to belong to the St Margaret-David I era was reportedly encased in similar simple stone, wood and cloth shrouds, without further muniments, and was seemingly a pavement burial without monument later overbuilt by an interior wall.

Or is it more likely that David I’s remains had always lain below ground and were thus not exposed in 1560? Was it the case by 1560-80, that from a secular as well as a religious viewpoint, it was felt that Bruce was the most important figure to be saved for posterity given the continuity of Scottish monarchy and sovereignty despite the recent religious upheavals? Bruce, too, may then have been reburied, now below ground, but without the usual grave goods we might expect of a fourteenth-century royal burial as these had been looted in 1560 (or were found by subsequent early modern treasure-seekers). In that regard, if the 1818 discovery was Bruce’s grave it was but a pale shadow of its former self, out of position and lacking sceptre, crown, sword, rings, robes etc, as found with Edward I’s remains when inspected inside his monumental box in Westminster Abbey in 1774 [Fig 101].

![Fig 101: The tomb and remains of Edward I (1272-1307) as investigated by antiquarians at Westminster in 1774 and drawn by William Blake.](image)

We should therefore be open to the possibility that although the 1818 skeleton and grave may indeed be that of a medieval king of Scots, its chosen location, stone crypt and lead shroud may be a post-Reformation intrusion. It may thus distort our understanding of the liturgical layout of the abbey choir c.1250-c.1560 reconstructed using the extant written and material evidence

---

256 The ‘Auchinleck Chronicle’, ff. 122v-123r, appendix 2 from C. McGladdery, James II (East Linton, 1992), 172.
257 Sir Joseph Ayloffe, ‘An Account of the Body of King Edward the First, as it appeared on opening his Tomb in the Year 1774,’ Archaeologia, 3 (1775), pp. 376-413. Edward I’s body within his marble sarcophagus was found to be interred within a secondary oak coffin lined in lead and his body shrouded in waxed linen. Edward I had also ordered his heart to be taken to the Holy land by 140 knights, another act Robert Bruce emulated.
in combination with our GPR survey results. Alternatively, it may transpire that even this reassessment is in part incorrect. The 1818 grave and skeleton – shrouded in early modern lead and devoid as it is of significant grave goods, but also with signs of the body package having been contained within a (modest?) oak coffin – may in fact be a later ‘Psalter’ churchyard burial, perhaps of a Presbyterian burgess or laird keen to associate with Scotland’s historic royalty (with an upper ‘room’ left in the burial chamber for his wife?). This might also explain the cut sternum for heart (but not viscera) removal, while the skull pathology may point to ailments common right down to the modern era such as tuberculosis, syphilis or even a cancer, rather than leprosy.

This famous grave may then have been disturbed in turn, perhaps more than once. This could have occurred at the hands of ‘drunken’ Cromwellian troops in the 1650s who were challenged by the burgh Provost for digging in the ruined choir and buying stones from locals.259 Or it may have been the work of unnoticed generations of antiquarians and treasure hunters, or of the public auction by ‘roup’ to bargain-hunting local masons of the collapsed tower stones piled up within the choir’s east-end, most notably in 1752-3 (the same year as the medieval burgh ports, tolbooth, and mercat cross were dismantled along with the monks’ dormitories) and again in 1807.260

If so, this grave was lost to local memory over time, only to be unearthed at a moment ripe for misinterpretation.261 All of this underscores the dangers of expecting to always be able to find a logical liturgical or patronal order in the development of such a complex church.262 More importantly, it also underlines the necessity at Dunfermline of trying to take some account of the impact of the period 1560-1818 on the medieval remains of the choir. This may itself be something of an ambivalent conclusion or narrative, even anti-climactic. Nevertheless, it is one that very much echoes the long and complex history of this site and the paucity of the surviving evidence. In that regard, Dunfermline and its remarkable medieval abbey continue to represent a palimpsest of Scottish royal, religious and urban history.

258 Kaufman and MacLennan, ‘King Robert the Bruce and leprosy,’ 75-80; Kaufman, ‘Analysis of the skull of Robert the Bruce,’ 22-30.
259 Henderson, Annals, 326-7, 329, 330; Sue Mowat, Fire, Foe and Finance: Dunfermline, 1600-1700 (Dunfermline, 2014), ch. 6 ‘The Kirk – Fabric and Graveyard’. Some of these incidents also appear in A. Shearer ed., Extracts from the Burgh Records of Dunfermline in the 16th and 17th Centuries (Dunfermline, 1951).
260 NRS CH2/592/5/425 [Nov. 1733]; NRS HR159/1/28-30, 40, 48 and 52 [‘Articles of Roup’ and 48 bids, 1752-3], 56, 88; NRS H159/2/186 [1807]; Henderson, Annals, 461-2, 465, 561-2. In 1660 the kirkyard was reportedly drained of its ‘swamp’; perhaps this had exposed further medieval stone and features for (unrecorded) investigation.
261 In 1855 Henderson would similarly reflect of the six slab, north aisle site that ‘never did tradition commit a more serious error’ [Royal Tombs of Dunfermline, 1-5].
iv. Future research

Our 2016-19 GPR surveys have raised many possibilities for reinterpretation of Dunfermline Abbey and its lost choir. Yet they have also raised many additional questions and some of the evidence identified is, admittedly, just as open to divergent interpretation as the medieval and antiquarian data. Nonetheless, in this context it is to be hoped that GPR scans of further sections of the abbey might be undertaken as part of future research and inter-disciplinary interpretation of this historic property in care. The emerging collaboration of Dunfermline Abbey Church Kirk Session, Historic Environment Scotland and Fife Council/Cultural Trust, in the context of the Dunfermline Heritage Partnership, should ideally include further GPR scans of the interior and exterior of the Abbey Church.

The great value of our GPR findings and their interpretation lies in the potential light they throw on the evolving choir and liturgy of Dunfermline Abbey as a whole and over time. This has shifted analysis away from the focus of the (often ambivalent) medieval chronicles and antiquarian sources upon just one or two figures, dates and/or elements.

Building on these new lines of inquiry, in the first instance future GPR work could focus upon interior Abbey Church areas recently (2019-) cleared of pews and other fixed modern features atop the lost medieval choir. This would allow testing of some of the theories discussed above. For example, the layout of the southern St John aisle and chapel as another site of potential elite burial; or, the western end of the northern Lady aisle; or, perhaps most important of all, further exploration of the northern-central section of the overbuilt choir, the paved ‘presbytery’ - not least the general area south-west of the traditionary six slabs reported by locals to John Graham Dalyell in 1807 as a possible location for the tomb of Robert Bruce. Could sub-surface traces of the burials of earlier monarchs such as David I and Malcolm IV remain to be found in the central choir presbytery (currently still under fixed pews)? Does Robert Bruce himself – or the base of his marble sepulchre - remain to be found in an adjacent northern choir location? Or might further evidence of burial translations and destruction in the choir be found for all these figures (and more)?

However, GPR surveying and parallel reassessment of the historical evidence could also be extended to include investigation of the western nave as a site of royal burial up to the twelfth century. This would facilitate testing of the University of Glasgow’s compelling tradition of original ‘choir’ burials before the high Trinity altar. A detailed survey might also be made beneath the ground around the far eastern ruins of the St Margaret feretory and its host chapel. Further exterior GPR might also facilitate cautious archaeological excavation in these areas in the future.

In embracing this multi-disciplinary approach, important new evidence for reframing, questioning and understanding the development of Dunfermline as a monastic cult site and mausoleum of major historical importance may be brought to light. Dunfermline Abbey may thus be far better understood and presented as Scotland’s Westminster or St Denis. It might also be possible to continue to combine the record, material and survey evidence to recreate the physical and liturgical spaces of Dunfermline Abbey using emerging digital technologies. One crucial advantage of 3-D digital media over 2-D visual recreation would be the ability to show, compare and debate the various permutations which the evidence might support, updating them as and when new data came to light, and thus furthering interpretation and understanding of this fascinating and challenging site.

The High Altar of Dunfermline Abbey, 1250-1650, situated in the eastern church.

1st or lower step seems to have been 11 feet long, 15 inches broad, 10 inches deep.
2nd or middle step seems to have been 9 feet long, 15 inches broad, 10 inches deep.
3rd or top step seems to have been 7½ feet long, 15 inches broad, 10 inches deep.
4th or High Altar Stone seems to have been 5½ feet long, 3½ feet wide, 12 inches deep.

Centre of High Altar Stone from old east wall 4 feet.
Centre of High Altar from circular columns on each side 10 feet.
Centre of High Altar from present east wall 10½ feet.
Centre of High Altar from present columns 17½ feet.

The lower step of present pulpit stair rests nearly on the site of the centre of the High Altar erected in Dunfermline eastern church in 1250.

Note – Mr John Baine Engineer was in Dunfermline in May and June 1790 taking measurements of the ruins and making sketches – he makes one or two allusions to the High Altar and its steps – but appears to speak from memory in one of his notes – for he says ‘the measures are rather indistinct for recollection now 10 years ago’ which shows that he made this note in 1800 but from various measures and other circumstances being compared with the original ground plan of the Abbey, the above measures may be taken as exact as can now be had. EH June 1853.

Centre of High Altar was 33 feet west of centre of St Margaret’s tomb.
Centre of High Altar was 21 feet east of head of the Bruce tomb.
Centre of High Altar was 84 feet east of centre of the Great Lantern.
Centre of High Altar was 110 feet east from west wall of the organ.
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