


Provided for non-commercial research and education use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the author's institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.


In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

<http://www.elsevier.com/authorsrights>



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Vaccine

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/vaccine

Corrigendum

Corrigendum to 'Morning vaccination enhances antibody response over afternoon vaccination: A cluster-randomised trial' [Vaccine 34 (2016) 2679–2685]



Joanna E. Long^a, Mark T. Drayson^b, Angela E. Taylor^d, Kai M. Toellner^b, Janet M. Lord^{c,1},
Anna C. Phillips^{a,1,*}

^a School of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

^b Institute of Immunology and Immunotherapy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

^c Institute of Inflammation and Ageing, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

^d Institute of Metabolism and Systems Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

Following our publication, we have engaged further methods of mixed-method cluster analysis and wish to present these to the reader. Analyses of the raw data (as in Fig. 2 of the paper) with baseline as a fixed factor reveal the following mean differences (95% CI) for H1N1 A-strain, 263.6 (−1.62 to 525.59) $p = .05$, H3N2 A-strain, 3.35 (−99.10 to 92.41) $p = .95$, and B-strain, 9.39 (−20.23 to 1.44) $p = .09$. Further, using log transformed data, the analogous statistics are: log mean difference (95% CI) for H1N1

A-strain, 0.53 (−1.00 to −0.07) $p = .04$, H3N2 A-strain, 0.20 (−0.08 to 0.48) $p = .16$, and B-strain, 0.23 (−0.49 to 0.03) $p = .08$. These reanalyses yield the same message as the original paper, but the effect for the B-strain now becomes non-significant/a trend.

The authors would like to apologise for any inconvenience caused.

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.04.032>

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 121 414 4398; fax: +44 121 414 4121.

E-mail address: a.c.phillips@bham.ac.uk (A.C. Phillips).

¹ These authors contributed equally to the work described here.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.08.031>

0264-410X/© 2016 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd.

This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).