Weighing the options for delivery care in rural Malawi: community perceptions of a policy promoting exclusive skilled birth attendance and banning traditional birth attendants.
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Abstract

To address its persistently high maternal mortality, the Malawi government has prioritised strategies promoting skilled birth attendance and institutional delivery. However, in a country where 80% of the population resides in rural areas, the barriers to institutional deliveries are considerable. As a response, Malawi issued Community Guidelines in 2007 that both promoted skilled birth attendance and banned the utilization of traditional birth attendants for routine deliveries. This grounded theory study used interviews and focus groups to explore community actors’ perceptions regarding the implementation of this policy and the related affects that arose from its implementation. The results revealed the complexity of decision-making and delivery care-seeking behaviours in rural areas of Malawi in the context of this policy. Although women and other actors seemed to agree that institutional deliveries were safer when complications occurred, this did not necessarily ensure their compliance. Furthermore, implementation of the 2007 Community Policy aggravated some of the barriers women already faced. This innovative bottom-up analysis of policy implementation showed that the policy had further ruptured linkages between community and health facilities, which was ultimately detrimental to the continuum of care. This study helps fill an important gap in research concerning maternal health policy implementation in LICs, by focusing on the perceptions of those at the receiving end of policy change. It highlights the need for globally promoted policies and strategies to take better account of local realities.

Introduction
The globally recommended strategy to reduce the high ratios of maternal mortality in low income countries (WHO, et al. 2015) is to promote policies supporting ‘skilled birth attendance’, the conjunction of a skilled birth attendant (SBA) and an enabling environment which includes facilities that can provide emergency obstetric care, including necessary drugs and transport for referrals (Hussein, Clapham 2005). As a result, ratios of skilled birth attendance have become one of the main indicators for progress towards maternal mortality reduction (as evidenced in the UN Millennium Development Goals (MDG) targets and subsequent Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). However, since the 2000s, the focus on skilled birth attendance has been at the exclusion of other strategies that have been deemed ineffective to reduce maternal mortality. One of the strategies abandoned has been the training and utilization of traditional birth attendants (TBAs) for routine deliveries (Miller et al. 2003). TBAs are lay midwives who learned to conduct deliveries and to assist women “through apprenticeship to other traditional birth attendants” (WHO 1979). Skilled birth attendants (SBAs), by contrast, are defined as “people with midwifery skills (for example, doctors, midwives, nurses) who have been trained to proficiency in the skills necessary to manage normal deliveries and diagnose or refer obstetric complications” (WHO 1999). SBAs are “competent maternal and newborn health (MNH) professionals educated, trained and regulated to national and international standards” (WHO et al. 2018, p.2) whose presence at birth is considered key to ending preventable maternal mortality.

The global policy focus on skilled birth attendance also reflects the dominance of biomedical knowledge in childbirth as ‘authoritative knowledge’. Authoritative knowledge is a concept defined by Jordan, and refers to the knowledge on the basis of which decisions are made in childbirth-defined as follows:

- for any particular domain several knowledge systems exist, some of which, by consensus, come to carry more weight than others, either because they explain the state of the world better for the purposes at hand (efficacy) or because they are
associated with a stronger power base (structural superiority), and usually both

(Jordan 1997, p.56)

Biomedical knowledge has become the dominant authoritative knowledge in childbirth. Coupled with a widespread discourse of risk that stresses the dangers of pregnancy and deliveries (Smith, V. et al. 2012), it has reinforced the notion that women are only safe where technology and diagnostic tests can be deployed by skilled birth attendants. *TBAs, on the other hand, do* not fit the ‘skilled’ description since they are not medically trained and belong to another knowledge system of childbirth, which is why they have been excluded from global safe motherhood strategies. This was despite most TBAs having been trained in many countries for decades on the basics of safe and clean delivery, the danger signs of childbirths and steps to take to refer complications to hospital (Wendland 2015). A number of systematic reviews have indicated that TBA training was ineffective both in reducing maternal mortality (Sibley et al. 2004, Sibley et al. 2012) and in addressing delivery complications (Smith, J. B. et al. 2000, Bailey et al. 2002). As a result, the WHO issued a clear statement dissuading countries from TBA utilisation (WHO 1999).

The Safe Motherhood Initiative and the subsequent WHO-coordinated Partnership for Maternal, Newborn and Child Health (PMNCH), which have set targets for maternal mortality reduction, have been the locus of the global policies promoting skilled birth attendance since the 2000s (Behague et al. 2009, Behague, Storeng 2013). In Malawi, maternal health policy transfers of have been visible in the constantly renewed efforts to meet MDG targets, but also in the concepts embedded in maternal health policy documents (Republic of Malawi Ministry of Health 2012). This study focused in particular, on the policy issued by the Government in 2007 and called *The Guidelines for Community Initiatives for Reproductive Health* [henceforth referred to as “the 2007 Community Policy”]. This policy was designed to support maternal mortality reduction through promoting community engagement (Republic of Malawi Ministry of Health 2007a). At the time the policy was issued, Malawi was seen as significantly off-track in meeting MDG5 (Bhutta et al. 2010), with an estimated maternal
mortality ratio of 800 per 100,000 live births (Colbourn et al. 2013). Following a rapid assessment of TBAs’ roles that declared their skills insufficient to support deliveries - particularly when complications occur - (Republic of Malawi Ministry of Health 2006), the 2007 Community Policy was issued to promote skilled birth attendance and to advise district health staff on how to mobilise community members for maternal mortality reduction. The policy clearly stated that TBAs would now be “conducting deliveries only in unavoidable circumstances” (Republic of Malawi Ministry of Health 2007a). Such circumstances were not defined, and the policy has been referred to as the ‘TBA ban’ by the general public. The policy also gave village headpersons (VH) and traditional chiefs a significant role in supporting its implementation and enforcement, including the use of bylaws, which many have used to penalize women who failed to comply with the policy recommendations (Banda 2013, Godlonton, Okeke 2016), as well as TBAS who may assist them without cause.

In alignment with the global evidence and recommendations (WHO 1999, De Brouwere, Van Lerberghe 2001), the policymakers’ expectation was that, with the 2007 Community Policy, TBA-assisted deliveries would eventually disappear and skilled birth attendance would rise (Godlonton, Okeke 2016), ensuring a decrease in maternal mortality. This expectation seems to have been partly fulfilled: whilst in 2007, the estimated number of deliveries by skilled birth attendants was 71.4% and deliveries by TBAs were 14.4% (Colbourn et al. 2013); in 2016 the Government reported that SBAs assisted 87% of deliveries, whereas TBAs were only assisted 3%, friends and relatives 4%, and 2% remained unassisted (NSO Malawi, ICF 2017). However, this increase in skilled birth attendance has been less pronounced in some areas, such as in the district of Lilongwe, where TBA-assisted births are 6.1% and in more isolated rural areas such as Nkhotakhota where they still account for 9.8% (NSO Malawi, ICF 2017). Whilst it is understandable, and in some appears sensible, that the Malawi Government to have to have excluded TBAs for intrapartum care, on the basis of global evidence and recommendations, it also seems that assessing whether women are
able to access, or indeed wish to access, skilled birth attendance requires more than “playing the numbers game” (Storeng, Behague 2014).

Those issues of uneven levels of skilled birth attendance and unassisted deliveries prompted us to examine more closely community actors’ perceptions of the implementation of the 2007 Community Policy in Malawi. Doing so revealed some perceived effects on women’s decision-making and delivery care-seeking behaviours in rural areas of Malawi. Unlike most analyses of health policy implementation, the study did not focus on local implementers’ views on health policy dissemination and negotiation (Scott et al. 2012, George 2009) but rather focused on the perceptions of those at the receiving end of a policy that has affected their lives - women, men, and TBAs-. We focused on rural areas because this is not only where most births occur, but also where women are the poorest, the most out of reach of health services, and sadly also where most maternal deaths take place (NSO Malawi, ICF 2017).

Methods

Study design

This qualitative study used the constructivist grounded theory methodology developed by Charmaz (2006). Using this methodology, we developed a model which adds to the literature by revealing the complex interactions between community actors’ perceptions of the 2007 Community Policy, and other social factors and determinants, which are at play in their decision making with regards to where and with whom to give birth.

Study setting
The data collection took place over several months in 2013, in three districts of Central and Southern Malawi: Lilongwe, Mchinji and Zomba, shown in figure 1. The latest Malawi demographic and health survey showed that 50.7% of the Malawian population is living below the poverty line, 94.8% of whom in rural areas (NSO Malawi, ICF 2017).

The Human Development Index (HDI), a composite index “measuring long-term progress in three basic dimensions of human development: a long and healthy life, access to knowledge and a decent standard of living”, places Malawi in the low-development category, ranking 173 out of a total of 188 countries (United Nations Development Programme, 2015).

In rural areas, most of the population survives on subsistence farming, and a greater proportion of women do unpaid work on their families’ farms (United Nations Development Programme, 2015). With regards to the Gender Inequality Index—which reports on gender-based inequalities in reproductive health, empowerment, and economic activity-Malawi ranks 140 out of 155 countries (United Nations Development Programme, 2015).

Malawi has a three-tier system of health care (health centre, district hospital, central hospital) with most primary care delivered at local health centres. Over half of all deliveries take place at health centres, where medical assistants are likely to be the most skilled health staff, and where there is a lack of an enabling environment—e.g., dependable electricity or clean water source— or comprehensive emergency obstetric care (Malawi Government-Ministry of Health 2015). Women who need it are usually referred to district hospitals (secondary level) or central hospitals (tertiary level) to receive emergency obstetric and newborn care (NSO Malawi, ICF 2017). However staff shortages and the quality of available EmONC remain major issues at Malawi hospitals (Bradley et al. 2015).

**Recruitment and data collection**

A total of 157 people participated in this study, mainly rural community actors (117), but also health professionals and other stakeholders (40). Eligibility criteria, number of participants and methods used for data collections are set out in table 1. Participants’ views were
collected through semi-structured interviews and focus group discussions (FGDs); those were conducted by the first author both in English (SBAs, Stakeholders, HSAs) and in Chichewa (men, women, TBAs, VHs and some HSAs), the local language, with the help of a Malawian research assistant. Purposive sampling was used to begin the data collection. Thereafter, snowball sampling was used to identify additional participants (Noy 2008). Finally, theoretical sampling was applied to look for deviant cases and to check the properties of categories emerging from the analysis of the data. The interviews and FGDs conducted in Chichewa were translated in Malawi by paid university graduates fluent in both languages. A sample of the written transcription and translations of TBA and women interviews and FGDs were also double-checked for accuracy by a professional translator.

Table 1. Eligibility criteria, description of participants and method for data collection

Data analysis

The analysis of the data collected was guided by the process indicated by Charmaz (2006). Interviews and FGDs were transcribed, and translated whilst in the field, and background observation notes were written about each interview. Transcripts were downloaded into the NVivo software to support the analysis. Memo-writing – written notes and reflection about the data- started early in the coding in the field. This was followed by focused coding, using the "most significant or frequent initial codes to sort, synthesize, integrate, and organize large amounts of data" (Charmaz 2006, p.46). Initial memos were later turned into more analytical memos. Original codes were compared using constant comparison, a hallmark of grounded theory, in order to form overarching categories. We specifically looked for confirming and disconfirming data within and between transcripts and sets of actors in order to test the validity of the categories. The final stages involved theoretical coding to map out the relationships between the four main categories developed and to form an emerging theory- the model presented in Figure 1-.
Results

The model below was developed to illustrate how different contextual factors, including the perceptions of the 2007 Community Policy itself, influence the way in which rural women - alongside those who support them in their community - weighed their options for delivery care within the context of the implementation of this policy.

The model resembles a funnel as the intention is to describe a non-linear set of pathways, rather than women’s progress by first overcoming one barrier, then another in a sequence that ultimately leads to a decision regarding their delivery care. Instead, the funnel can be perceived as three-dimensional and represents a set of interrelated and overlapping contextual factors (contained in the bubbles) which may all come into play at the time when such complex decisions are made. There are four inter-related main categories (in the bubbles): between concordance and secret non-compliance, encountering barriers, considering proximal help, and the breaking of linkages in the continuum of care (CoC). The large circle around the funnel shows that the space where options are weighed and various factors intertwine in the funnel is that of the ‘community level’, which since the advent of the 2007 Community Policy is separated from the ‘health facility level’ (outside the circle). Each of the categories in the model is discussed below starting from the top moving down through the funnel model presented in figure 1.

Figure 1. The model ‘Weighing the options for delivery care in rural Malawi’

Between concordance and secret non-compliance with the Policy

This category was developed to account for what Long calls the “modes of rationality” which operate when women make decisions regarding their delivery care. By rationality, we do not refer only to the intrinsic mode of thought of individuals, but rather to what Long describes as being “drawn from the stock of available discourses that form part of the cultural milieux of social practice”, which “cannot be separated from the social practices of actors” (Long 2001, p.15). This category explored how what women know, what they are asked to do through the
policy, and what they believe, interact, to lead to a number of actions and positions regarding the 2007 Community Policy recommendations. We labelled these positions as concordance, compliance, and secret non-compliance. The terms were innovatively applied here to policy analysis, and are derived from the discourse related to medicine-taking (Horne et al. 2005, Pound et al. 2005). Concordance usually refers to a form of agreement between doctor and patient about the prescription to take (Pound et al. 2005, p.134); compliance refers to “the extent to which the patient’s behaviour matches the prescriber’s recommendations” (Horne et al. 2005, p.12). We used the term ‘concordance’ to describe the level of agreement between SBAs, women, and other community actors, with the intent of the 2007 Community Policy, which was to make deliveries safe, and keep mothers as well as babies alive. The terms ‘compliance’ and ‘secret non-compliance’ were used to describe some of the decisions made by women in response to the new constraints brought by the policy.

The 2007 Community Policy was prescriptive and demanded that women make “appropriate decisions and take timely actions” (Republic of Malawi Ministry of Health 2007a, p.3) regarding their delivery care. Women were strongly advised to attend a facility for their childbirth in order to ensure their safety, and to abstain from using the now banned traditional birth attendants (TBAs), or from delivering at home. The interviewed TBAs and community members perceived this policy as an interdiction. Most expressed that TBAs had been “stopped” from practising by the Government and the health workers, using words such as “decree” or “law” (“lamulo” in Chichewa) to describe the policy.

This quote betrayed a policy perceived as imposed from above, through the authorities. It was also seen as punitive because it was backed up by potential sanctions (fines) for non-compliance with facility delivery, imposed by village headpersons and chiefs through local bylaws. The main rationale for the Government’s policy however was safety, it was to ensure that “all women in Malawi go through pregnancy, childbirth and the postpartum period safely...
and their babies are born alive” (Republic of Malawi Ministry of Health 2007b, p.6). This safety was meant to be guaranteed by the hospital and the authoritative biomedical knowledge of skilled birth attendants, who can deal with complications. By contrast the Government claimed that such safety could not be guaranteed by TBAs, owing to their insufficient skills and unsuitable delivery environments. As a result, TBAs’ traditional knowledge in childbirth became devalued in the eyes of the public. So much so that our study revealed that there is now concordance between policymakers, health professionals and women’s belief in the safety of skilled birth attendance and skilled birth attendants’ authoritative biomedical knowledge:

(Participant 1) TBAs help, but here [at hospital] they can increase the birth canal [when there is obstructed labour] and then suture. Can TBAs suture?

( Participant 2) ...here if there is need for an operation, caesarean section, they take you for that, while at the TBAs they will just be looking at you.

(Women, focus group W01)

However, women’s concordance with the concept of the safety of skilled birth attendance does not necessarily ensure their compliance with the policy recommendation to deliver at a health facility, for a number of reasons.

First, the data showed that women perceived the risks involved in pregnancy and childbirth differently to that of skilled birth attendants. Whilst skilled birth attendants spoke at length about the risks of severe pregnancy and labour complications in as something to be anticipated, and managed at facilities, women perceived childbirth complications rather as unpredictable dangers, to be dealt with as and if they arose:

It’s just the way things happen when the birth canal is narrow [obstructed labour], ...So it is incumbent upon those who carried the patient to find a means of transport to take her up to the hospital to be assessed for possible complications.

(Woman, focus group W05)

For that reason, some women stayed at home or delayed going to hospital, and thus failed to comply with delivering at facilities.
A second reason for their non-compliance was that, despite the dominance of authoritative biomedical knowledge in childbirth, other knowledge about childbirth persisted. For instance, some women felt that SBAs at facilities appeared to do their work ‘by the clock’, telling them to wait for their “time’ to deliver. Yet women, particularly multiparous, had other embodied knowledge of their delivery time, which tended to conflict with that of SBAs:

> at the hospital…with one baby, when I went in, when my time was due, they chased me and sent me out saying that it was not yet time. When I went back in, they did the same thing, they said ‘go outside’, it was hard for me. Then I forced my way in and immediately, the baby was born (Woman, focus group W06)

This competing knowledge led to miscommunications between women and SBAs. Some women reported feeling ignored, mistreated, or neglected. This made them reluctant to come back to the facility for their next delivery and to comply with the 2007 Community Policy recommendations. For some women, instead of coming back to the facilities, they turned to TBAs in secret for help, knowing that this went against the policy recommendations and that they could be penalised. This was partly because, despite the fact that TBAs have been found lacking in respect of the professional technical care required to deal with childbirth complications, they have been praised and sought after for the quality of the emotional support and continuous labour support they provide to women (Ryan et al. 2015). This aspect of TBA care has been cited in studies as a reason for women continuing to deliver with them, even where skilled care is available at health facilities and even when women believe that facilities are safer overall (Kumbani et al. 2013). In our study, women often compared TBA and SBA levels of interpersonal care:

> the azamba [TBA] will hold your back [sitting behind the pregnant woman] as a way of helping you to give birth. In that way you feel good, you don’t face any problem because they help you. But when you go to hospital, they tell to lie on a bed. So, when you lie on the bed, the nurse will just sit [away at her desk] and only urge you, “Push! Push!” That makes you regret coming to hospital and wish you had gone to azamba’s (Woman, focus group W05)

Such discrepancies in the perceptions of the care provided by TBAs and SBAs merits further research.
However, ‘secret non-compliance’ with the 2007 Community Policy recommendations also happened for other reasons, as this TBA pointed out:

we have been stopped and we are now referring them to the hospital...but they always come to us, pleading us “sorry, sorry, sorry, we don’t have a bicycle, we don’t have anything, just do it in a secret, such that other people don’t know that we do deliver here” (TBA, interview TBA08)

This quote refers to several other barriers women encountered when weighing their options about where to deliver their babies. Those were captured by the category encountering barriers, discussed below.

Encountering barriers

Barriers of poverty, lack of transport, distance and costs are significant and well known barriers for women in accessing skilled birth attendance (Bohren et al. 2014, Gabrysch, Campbell 2009). In our study, women in all focus groups expressed this:

The hospital is good but when your time has come, for you to go to the hospital some are delivering on the way, because the hospital is far, and we do not have a reliable bicycle for us to use and rush to the hospital (Woman, focus group W04)

The unavailability of transport to go to hospital is often described as caused by poverty itself, (Oyerinde et al. 2012, Pfeiffer, Mwaipopo 2013). However, our study revealed in more detail how poverty may prevent compliance with skilled birth attendance in other ways. Some women explained that they were so poor that they simply could not afford to purchase the items they were asked to bring with them to facilities for their delivery:

It is just because some people are poor, because if you want to go to the hospital you need to have food, cloths, yet the husband is not working, and you don’t have anything to take to the hospital. So the woman thinks that she could not be comfortable at the hospital seeing other women having such things and not her, so she says ‘it’s better I could just stay at home when it is my time” (Woman, focus group W07)

These particular barriers require more in-depth investigation and do need to be addressed.

Furthermore, besides those barriers of poverty and lack of transport, the study revealed that some women’s experiences of disrespectful and abusive (D&A) care in childbirth at health
facilities may also be significant in their not complying with the 2007 Community Policy recommendations.

Bohren et al. have defined D&A care in childbirth as ranging from physical and verbal abuse to a “poor rapport between women and providers” and health systems constraints (2015, p.7). A growing literature on the subject has demonstrated the potential impact of these behaviours on women’s childbirth care satisfaction, including in Malawi (Bradley et al. 2016).

Our data revealed such instances of D&A care, particularly neglect and verbal abuse:

you complain, because of the way you are, what you are feeling [the pains of labour]. Sometimes doctors don’t talk with respect, they despise us. I experienced that with my first-born child, if you ask “I want to go to the toilet”, instead of telling you nicely they just say “you just go”. Then, if you go, you just discover that the baby has fallen into a pit latrine …that’s when they call us and begin to shout at us saying “you say we kill your babies, yet you are killing the babies yourselves” (Woman, focus group W01)

It is not difficult to imagine how such personal experiences, when added to other extrinsic barriers, may become what we called ‘the tipping point’ towards non-compliance, that is a further reason for women to consider other proximal help instead of skilled birth attendance.

Considering proximal help

We defined the category considering proximal help as not going to a facility to deliver but instead giving birth with a relative at home, or at a nearby TBA in secret. Secret deliveries by TBAs have placed them ‘between a rock and a hard place’, where they feel on the one hand that they want to support women in their community, but on the other are uncomfortable about defying the ban and incurring sanctions:

it just happens, we just see a person who is in need of help coming, not that we really desire that we should be doing this job, but someone comes, and they need your help, how do you deny them? That is why we do it (trained TBA, interview TBA05)

TBAs did feel such pressures, with some even refusing to help women and redirecting them to facilities as they were meant to. Women also felt torn, as they knew that by calling on a TBA, they put them at risk of incurring a fine. For women and their relatives, doing it ‘in a
secret’ could have consequences, not least if severe complications arose at home or with a TBA. At times, the baby or the mother may die before or as they reach the facility, other times they may survive but with severe morbidity, as has happened in many low income countries (Geller et al. 2018). In order to prevent such tragedies, a continuum of care (CoC) between village and health facility would ideally be required. This continuum of care depends on strong links between home, community and facility care as well as between antenatal, delivery and postnatal care (Kikuchi et al. 2015). Its importance has been stressed by WHO and the Partnership for Maternal, Newborn and Child Health (2010). However, our study showed that the 2007 Community Policy has instead led to a breaking of linkages in the continuum of care between community and health facility.

The breaking of linkages in the continuum of care

This fourth main category in our model is visually represented by the black wall-like circle around the funnel diagram (Figure 1), because it represents another barrier, generated by the policy itself and the significant gap in CoC it created between community and health facility levels. The term ‘breaking’ is used here to imply that linkages did exist prior to the advent of the 2007 Community Policy. Indeed, there were links which had developed over decades of TBA training by SBAs, as well as through ongoing supervision and meetings (Wendland 2015), prior to the ban. However the 2007 Community Policy side-lined TBAS and gave the role of supporting the CoC in the community to health surveillance assistants (HSAs) and to village headpersons (VHs). The policy stated that those would now coordinate” transport for referrals, including acquisition of bicycle ambulances [and] supervising management of bicycle ambulances in readiness for referral of patients to health facility” (Republic of Malawi Ministry of Health 2007a).

However, neither of those links have significantly materialised. The first reason is that HSAs – on whom many tasks have been shifted – are not trained to conduct deliveries; Secondly VHs are often unable to provide or pay for transport for referrals, and lack the knowledge...
required to recognise childbirth complications that require referral. Furthermore, although VH are very influential, they are now perceived by community members, more as policy enforcers (in charge of delivering fines to women who fail to deliver at health facilities), rather than referral enablers. This relationship is not conducive to building the trust and good communications between VH and community members that is generally seen as contributing to an effective continuum of care (Gilson 2003).

The breaking of linkages between community and health facility has had serious consequences. With no TBAs allowed to help since the advent of the 2007 Community Policy, and no money or transport to access skilled birth attendance, some rural women have opted for home births, sometimes with no one present. Other women have made their way to the facilities late, after exhausting other options, and have eventually birthed ‘on the way’, before arrival, in unhygienic and unsafe conditions (Banda 2013). It is difficult to say how often this has occurred, but the estimated current national percentage of births with no one present is 2% and that of births assisted by relatives or friends 4% (NSO Malawi, ICF 2017). We can also assume that births before arrival are underreported owing to the sanctions that may be incurred by women if they report those. Orobaton, et al (2016) as well as Banda (2013) have recently suggested like us that these births outside the facility are more common in rural areas.

**Positionality and limitations**

The first author’s positionality (white educated female), which in rural Malawi can be equated to being a ‘doctor’ may have mattered in the conduct of some of the interviews and FGDs. However, this was offset by conducting interviews in the local language, with a local research assistant, and by spending ample time explaining to participants the position of the first author as a social scientist interested in people’s lived experience of the 2007 Community Policy.
A challenge for the data coding and analysis was the high number of the interviews and FGDs conducted and the diversity of participants. This was partly overcome by the decision to bring key actors to the fore in the analysis (such as TBAs and women), and using other actors (men, VH) as counterpoints. In this study, as in any qualitative study, alternative interpretive accounts could be drawn from the data (Charmaz 2006). By focusing particularly on the accounts of women and TBAs we developed categories further and built the model best suited to account for the perceptions of those at the receiving end of a policy that has affected the way in which they weigh their options for delivery care.

Discussion and conclusion

The grounded theory model presented in Figure 1 accounts for a web of contextual factors which affects the way in which rural women, and those who support them, weigh their options and make decisions regarding delivery care within the context of the implementation of the 2007 Community Policy. The concepts of concordance and compliance associated with medicine-taking were innovatively used to reveal the concordance between rural women and policymakers about the intent of the policy, whilst explaining women’s varying degrees of compliance with policy recommendations.

We showed that women’s decisions are often motivated not simply by their awareness of what they must do (follow policy recommendations or face sanctions), but also by what they believe (their own embodied knowledge of childbirth, and their own perceptions of childbirth risks). The model also shows how other external barriers, including geographical and economic barriers, interact with those decisions, as do previous experiences of disrespectful and abusive care at facilities.

This study revealed that although the 2007 Community Policy implementation did not create such barriers, it aggravated their impact for rural women. For instance, while the policy is not the reason why women lack transport or funds to attend at facilities, it intentionally removed the access to nearby TBAs, leaving women in greater difficulty and with fewer options in the context of broken linkages between communities and health facilities. The mix of intrinsic
and extrinsic factors presented in the model, and their interconnectedness, potentially applies to other areas of maternal health policy implementation analysis particularly in sub-Saharan Africa. The model could be applied to understanding how women perceive and comply with antenatal care policies, or with policies promoting birth preparedness (including those recommending the use of waiting homes).

The responsibility to provide accessible, affordable, and acceptable quality delivery care to all women in Malawi falls on the Government. In the past decade Malawi has invested and showed a strong commitment in this area and has made laudable progress (UNICEF., WHO. 2015). However, with regards to the 2007 Community Policy implementation, the time has come to ask, “what are the welfare implications of a ban on informal attendants and is this a good policy?” (Godlonton, Okeke 2016, p.125). We argue that a policy which focuses exclusively on attaining maximum skilled birth attendance rates, could be considered short-sighted, particularly as skilled birth attendants and comprehensive emergency obstetric services remain lacking in Malawian facilities (Kongnyuy et al. 2009, Leslie et al. 2016). Policies such as this are often the result of global policy transfer, imposed top-down and do not always take into account local realities and contexts (Storeng, Behague 2014, Behague et al. 2009). We contend that the 2007 Community Policy could be adapted to suit rural realities, without compromising the intent to keep all women and babies safe. As women from remote rural areas are now strongly asked to travel to facilities for their deliveries, transportation vouchers could be provided to support them, as has been done successfully in Uganda (United Nations. 2015). Furthermore banned TBAs could be incentivised to act as birth companions, accompanying pregnant women to give birth at hospitals ensuring their safety along the way, as has been successfully done elsewhere (Tomedi et al. 2015, Pyone et al. 2014). In rural areas, TBAs could be trained, to perform non-delivery related tasks as has been shown to work well recently in other sub-Saharan African countries (Gill et al. 2011, Yeboah-Antwi et al. 2014, Hamela et al. 2014, Brennan et al. 2014). This may ensure
that the link to communities is maintained in the CoC, and that TBAs remain allies rather than enemies in the fight for safe deliveries.

A recent *Lancet* series reviewed the progress made regarding maternal mortality reduction in the past decade (Campbell et al. 2016). It stressed that progress to end preventable deaths has remained unequal across regions as well as sub-nationally (often along rural/urban divides). As a result, some have argued the need to take more of “a view from the ground” (Freedman 2016, p.1) when it comes to assessing the impact of globally formulated policies. This study offers such a view from the ground, which could help address those issues, and help devise more locally adapted solutions. As currently implemented, it may be difficult to argue that the 2007 Community Policy has been effective, simply because the maternal mortality ratio is falling overall. The Government of Malawi has a duty of care to all mothers and babies, whether in urban or rural areas, whether well-off or poor. Social accountability does matter in policy making and, for this to happen, it needs to strive towards more inclusive, collaborative and contextualized solutions.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant Type</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Inclusion and exclusion criteria</th>
<th>Description of participants</th>
<th>Method of data collection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traditional Birth Attendants (TBA)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Self-identified as a TBA (whether family, trained or untrained TBA, or TBA/herbalists). <strong>Exclusion criteria:</strong> TBAs who had practiced for less than a year.</td>
<td>TBAs who participated were mostly older* women, who had performed deliveries-on average 10 or 15 per month-in their surrounding areas prior to the TBA ban. <em>(In rural Malawi it is common for village people not to know their exact birth date, these TBAs seemed to be between 50 and 70+ years old)</em></td>
<td>14 Interviews and 2 focus group discussion (FGDs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skilled Birth Attendants (SBA)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Nurse midwife technician, doctor or obstetrician, community midwife technician, community midwife assistant or clinical officers; practicing in either rural health centres or district hospitals. <strong>Exclusion criteria:</strong> SBAs who had practiced for less than one year.</td>
<td>Nurse-midwives (NM), nurse midwife technicians (NMT) and clinical officers who took part, were very experienced, apart for a few who had qualified only a few years prior. They performed between 1 and 15 deliveries in any single shift depending on whether they worked in a district hospital or in a smaller rural health centre.</td>
<td>16 interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (W)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Adult women of reproductive age with experience or knowledge of childbirth. <strong>Exclusion criteria:</strong> women younger than 18. **Women who participated had between 1 and 15 children. For most, their nearest rural health centre was up to 15kms away or more, and they had</td>
<td>7 focus group discussions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A large proportion had delivered both at TBAs and SBAs in the past.

(Except for the first 2 focus groups in Site 1, the men and women who participated in focus group discussions were not related, although they were from the same areas.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men (H)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Adult men living in the local rural area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exclusion criteria:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Men who participated had between 1 and 15 children. For most, their nearest rural health centre was up to 15kms away or more, and they had little access to any transport.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHS</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Adult men or women VH living in the local rural area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exclusion criteria:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Men or women younger than 18 (although unlikely)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSAs</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Male and female who took part had from between 3 and 18 years of work experience in local communities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exclusion criteria:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSAs who have practiced for less than one year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(<strong>HSAs are a lower cadre of paid health workers- of</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male and female who took part had from between 3 and 18 years of work experience in local communities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
whom there are approximately 4500 in Malawi, and who are based in communities (McCoy, et al., 2008). HSAs act as links between communities and health care facilities.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other main stakeholders (OMS)</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>Maternal health policy officials in the Government, or in regulatory bodies, representatives of national organisations working in maternal health</th>
<th>Ministry of health representatives, maternal and child health NGO representatives, academics</th>
<th>8 interviews</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>157</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Community Level

Being between Concordance and Secret Non-compliance
- Facing new interdictions
- Yielding to authoritative knowledge
- Believing in safety of skilled birth attendance
- Managing perceived risks
- Secret non-compliance

Encountering Barriers
- Facing geographical, economic, socio-cultural barriers
- Experiencing D&A care at facilities

Considering Proximal Help
- Placing TBAs ‘between a rock and a hard place’
- Doing it ‘in a secret’

Breaking of Linkages in the CoC
Ineffective linkages between HSAs and VHs
Consequences: birthing on the way

Health Facility Level

Weighing the Options for Delivery Care