

1 Thoughts for the future of aquaculture nutrition: realigning perspectives to reflect contemporary
2 issues related to judicious use of marine resources in aquafeeds

3

4 Giovanni M. Turchini¹, Jesse T. Trushenski^{2*}, Brett D. Glencross³

5

6 ¹ School of Life and Environmental Sciences, Deakin University, Geelong, Australia.

7 Locked Bag 20000, Geelong, VIC 3220, Australia

8

9 ² Evaqua Farms, 4074 North 2000 East Road, Filer, ID 83328, USA

10

11 ³ Institute of Aquaculture, University of Stirling, FK9 4LA Scotland, United Kingdom,

12 b.d.glencross@stir.ac.uk

13

14 * Corresponding author, jesse@evaquafarms.com, 208-326-3100

15

16 Running title: Shifting approaches in aquaculture nutrition

17

18

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Turchini, G. M., Trushenski, J. T. and Glencross, B. D. (2019), Thoughts for the Future of Aquaculture Nutrition: Realigning Perspectives to Reflect Contemporary Issues Related to Judicious Use of Marine Resources in Aquafeeds. *North Am J Aquaculture*, 81: 13-39, which has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1002/naaq.10067>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for self-archiving.

19 **Abstract**

20 In recent decades, aquaculture nutrition research has made major strides in identifying alternatives
21 to the use of traditional marine-origin resources. Feed manufacturers worldwide have used this
22 information to replace increasing amounts of fish meal and fish oil in aquafeeds. However, reliance
23 on marine resources remains an ongoing constraint, and the progress yielded by continued
24 monodimensional research into alternative raw materials is becoming increasingly marginal. Feed
25 formulation is not an exercise in identifying “substitutes” or “alternatives”, but a process of
26 identifying different combinations of “complementary” raw materials—including fish meal and oil
27 and others—that collectively meet established nutrient requirements and other criteria for the
28 aquafeed in question. Nutrient-based formulation is the day-to-day reality of formulating
29 industrially compounded aquafeeds, but this approach is less formally and explicitly addressed in
30 aquaculture research and training programs. Here, we (re)introduce these topics and explore the
31 reasons that marine-origin ingredients have long been considered the ‘gold standards’ of aquafeed
32 formulation. We highlight a number of ways in which this approach is inaccurate and constrains
33 innovation before delving into the need to assess raw materials based on their influence on
34 aquafeed manufacturing techniques. We conclude with brief commentary regarding the future
35 funding and research landscape. Incremental progress may continue through the accumulation of
36 small insights, but a more holistic research strategy—aligned with industry needs and focused on
37 nutrient composition and ingredient complementarity—is what will spur future advancement in the
38 aquaculture nutrition domain.

39

40 **Keywords:**

41 Aquafeed; Fish Nutrition; Fish oil; Fish meal; Research and Development;

42

43 **Introduction**

44 For many decades, fish nutritionists have endeavored to develop aquaculture feed (aquafeed)
45 formulations that support or enhance growth of cultured fish while controlling costs. Much of this
46 effort has been focused on reducing reliance on limited marine resources. Whereas cultivation of
47 herbivorous and omnivorous species has readily transitioned to feeds containing little-to-no fish
48 meal or oil, such formulations have been more difficult to implement in feeding of carnivorous fish
49 and crustaceans. Despite the various challenges, these efforts have been successful in a broad
50 sense. Fish meal and oil inclusion rates have dropped steadily over the past 20 years (Tacon et al.,
51 2011; Tacon and Metian 2015), and feed prices—while increasing—are not as volatile or high as they
52 would be if the old formulations were sold today. Numerous researchers working largely
53 independently in academia, public agencies, and the private sector have collectively made great
54 strides in addressing the many constraints associated with optimal feeding in aquaculture.
55 Nutritionists, including the authors, celebrate this success. Yet we may wonder what might have be
56 achieved in aquaculture—or what is still possible—with greater emphasis on cohesive, collaborative,
57 long-term partnerships between the public and private sectors, akin to the National Poultry
58 Improvement Plan and associated activities that revolutionized poultry production in the mid-20th
59 century (Boyd 2001).

60

61 One might also consider whether there are ways to better leverage limited research and
62 development (R&D) investments to yield the maximum amount of applicable information.
63 Incremental progress can continue through the accumulation of small successes, but
64 transformational change in fish nutrition and the aquaculture industry may require an intentional
65 realignment in approach. Here we (re)introduce a number of fundamental principles in fish meal/oil
66 sparing and their continuing relevance in terms of addressing contemporary issues in aquaculture
67 nutrition. None of these principles are likely to be ‘new’ to anyone who has spent considerable time

68 working in our field—again, we consider them fundamental to the discipline. Perhaps we are
69 sometimes too close to the subject to see it fully; perhaps these fundamentals are sometimes
70 forgotten in the haste to secure funding or the churn of instruction and student mentoring. We also
71 offer a brief commentary on the influence of feed manufacturing techniques, traditional funding
72 mechanisms for aquaculture research, and emerging considerations that are reshaping the ways in
73 which feeds and ingredients are evaluated. Questions of bioavailability, experimental design,
74 statistical analysis, and reporting standards are, of course, intrinsic to any discussion of nutrition
75 research. Rather than belabor those matters here, we refer readers to the well-articulated
76 arguments of others (Shearer 2000; Barrows et al., 2008; Bureau 2011; Salze et al., 2011).

77

78 **Nutrient-based aquafeed formulation**

79 Modern compounded aquafeeds are a sophisticated, engineered mix of ingredients (raw materials)
80 used for their nutritional and physical properties. These include commodity meals, oils, and
81 concentrates intended to satisfy demand for macronutrients and premixes and specialty products
82 included as sources of minerals, vitamins, pigments, binding agents, etc. The nutritionist's task is to
83 identify a mixture of ingredients that satisfy the intended species' dietary requirements and
84 tolerances and can be manufactured to the desired pellet specifications. As discussed below, fish
85 meal and oil can greatly simplify formulation because they possess so many uniquely desirable
86 properties. That said, fish meal and oil are not requisite ingredients in any aquafeed, and feed
87 formulation is not an exercise in identifying "needed levels" of any specific ingredients,
88 "substitutes", or "alternatives". Rather, formulation is the process of identifying different
89 combinations of "complementary" raw materials—including fish meal and oil and others—that
90 collectively meet established criteria for the aquafeed in question.

91

92 Several key datasets are needed to support nutrient-based formulation. Complete compositional
93 profiles are essential, but the most informative raw material ‘dossiers’ also include digestibility,
94 palatability, utilization, and functionality data in at least one representative cultured species. Ideally,
95 these datasets are generated using more than a single raw material batch or source so that product
96 variability is also captured. Such information takes time and resources to generate, but the ultimate
97 value of a prospective raw material cannot be accurately judged without it.

98

99 As most experienced aquaculture nutritionists are well aware, nutrient-based formulation is the day-
100 to-day reality of formulating industrially compounded aquafeeds. That said, the nutrient-based
101 approach is less formally and explicitly addressed in aquaculture research and training programs.
102 We encourage students and early-career aquaculture nutritionists to be particularly mindful of the
103 nuanced difference between the search for fish meal/oil alternatives and the development of more
104 broadly applicable informative datasets that facilitate incorporation of novel ingredients or optimize
105 use of existing ingredients in aquafeeds. Similarly, we advise researchers working in the raw
106 materials sector to recognize their products aren’t solely judged in terms of their similarity to
107 marine-derived ingredients, but also how they compare to and complement other raw materials.

108

109 **Fish meal and fish oil: the ‘gold standards’ in aquafeed formulation**

110 Fish meal (hereafter abbreviated as FM; a dry, high-protein powder derived from the rendering of
111 whole fish, frames, or offal) and fish oil (hereafter abbreviated as FO, an oil extracted during the
112 rendering of fish meal, typically rich in long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids [LC-PUFAs] of the
113 omega-3 [n-3] series) are principally derived directly or indirectly (e.g., from seafood processing
114 wastes or discards) from capture fisheries. Both ingredients have long been used in various types of

115 animal feeds, but have proven uniquely valuable in aquafeed formulation (Gatlin et al., 2007; Hardy
116 2010; Tacon and Metian 2008; Turchini et al., 2009).

117 FM and FO were originally used because they were, at the time, inexpensive and palatable sources
118 of protein and lipid. Today, they are used most often because they are the most economical means
119 of formulating nutrient-dense feeds containing nutrients not usually found in abundance outside of
120 the marine environment. FM contains a considerable amount of highly digestible, well-balanced
121 protein matching the amino acid requirements of aquatic livestock, an oil fraction rich in
122 phospholipids and LC-PUFAs, and a purported “unknown growth factor” (most likely a cocktail of
123 naturally-occurring amines and steroids; Hardy, 2010). FM is also highly palatable to cultured
124 species, contains no antinutritional factors if properly produced and stored, and has limited
125 carbohydrate and fiber content (Gatlin et al., 2007; Glencross et al., 2007; Hardy 2010). FO is a
126 triglyceride-rich oil with a unique fatty acid composition, typically comprising roughly equal amounts
127 of saturated fatty acids (SFAs), monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs), and LC-PUFAs, particularly
128 those in the n-3 series (Tocher 2015; Turchini et al., 2009). Because of their distinctive composition
129 and other attributes, few if any raw materials match the feeding value of FM and FO in aquafeeds.

130

131 Despite the utility of FM and FO in aquafeed formulation, the incorporation of wild-caught fish in
132 aquafeeds has attracted considerable criticism from scientists and the public, consumers and
133 markets (Naylor et al., 2000; Cao et al., 2013; Jones et al., 2015). These criticisms are largely based
134 on the seemingly illogical use of one type of fish to produce another. The accusation that the
135 aquaculture industry consumes more fish (in the form of FM and FO) than it produces is incorrect
136 (Byelashov and Griffin 2014) and nutritionists had been addressing the issue of over-reliance on
137 marine-origin raw materials well before publication of the article that triggered the contemporary
138 debate (Kaushik and Troell 2010). Nonetheless, use of FM and FO in aquafeeds continues to be a
139 source of concern to many, and growing demand for FM and FO as raw materials has been identified

140 as a possible contributor to over-exploitation of capture fisheries and a global fisheries crisis (Naylor
141 et al., 2000, 2009).

142

143 In reality, past claims that increasing demand from the aquafeed sector would result in greater
144 exploitation of reduction fisheries have not borne out: global production of FM and FO has
145 remained fundamentally static at about 5.5 and 1 million tons per year, respectively, over the last 30
146 years (FAO 2015). Reduction fisheries are some of the most carefully and aggressively managed in
147 the world and may actually support modest growth in the future despite continued growth of the
148 aquaculture industry (FAO 2014). What's more, by 2022, half of the FM and FO that is used is
149 expected to come from improved capture and processing of seafood waste, and not purpose-driven
150 reduction fisheries (FAO 2014). Nonetheless, use of FM and FO in aquafeeds is considered a 'black
151 mark' in terms of ecological sustainability assessments and certifications. Although experts quickly
152 recognized early applications of the "fish in, fish out" concept (Tacon and Metian, 2008) as deceptive
153 and fundamentally flawed (Jackson 2009; Kaushik and Troell 2010), the simplicity of 'FIFO' scoring is
154 appealing to lay audiences and FIFO-based criticism of aquaculture remains pervasive in the
155 blogosphere and op-ed journalism (Byelashov and Griffin 2014). In response, fish farmers and feed
156 producers are increasingly using reduced FM and FO feed formulations for marketing and public
157 relations purposes. The unfortunate consequence of this strategy is that it reinforces a misinformed
158 public perception. The 'feeding fish to fish' quandary is further complicated by concern over the
159 socioeconomic prudence of transforming low-cost, potentially edible fish into highly priced seafood
160 products intended for premium food markets (Tacon and Metian 2013, 2015).

161

162 Though the environmental and socio-political aspects are important parts of the debate over FM and
163 FO use in aquafeeds, the most significant factor influencing FM and FO usage patterns is the rising
164 cost of these raw materials. Strong and growing demand for FM and FO, coupled with a relatively

165 static supply and consistent growth in intensive aquaculture, have resulted in variable, but generally
166 increasing prices (FAO 2014). There is considerable economic incentive to reduce utilization and
167 dependence on FM and FO, and the combination of these and other incentives related to notions of
168 sustainability, marketing, and consumers' expectations is a powerful one. After examining various
169 factors related to the role of seafood in maintaining global food security through to 2050, Bene et al.
170 (2015) argued that fisheries and aquaculture will continue to contribute positively to global food
171 security, but only if some conditions are met, including reductions in FM and FO dependency.

172

173 **Moving beyond the gold standards**

174 The attributes of FM and FO make them immensely valuable feed resources, but they are not
175 required, per se, in any aquafeed. Moreover, recent research has revealed that FM and FO are not
176 the 'be-all, end-all' of raw materials for the aquafeed sector. Prior to the discovery of the
177 importance of taurine in nutrition of marine carnivorous finfish (reviewed by Salze and Davis 2015),
178 replacing FM with plant proteins seemed hopeless. Once this key constraint was identified, FM
179 sparing was no longer an impossibility for these species and, in some cases, growth on reduced FM
180 feeds has surpassed that associated with traditional formulations. Similarly, some combinations of
181 lipids may be even better than FO in terms of n-3 LC-PUFA bioavailability and efficiency in different
182 finfish species. Dubbed the "omega-3 sparing effect", lipid sources rich in SFAs and/or MUFAs
183 appear to improve utilization of n-3 LC-PUFAs and, in effect, reduce dietary requirements for these
184 nutrients (Rombenso et al., 2015; Bowzer et al., 2016; Emery et al., 2016). Likewise, providing
185 crustaceans with the correct balance of n-3 and n-6 C₁₈ PUFA, eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA, 20:5n-3)
186 and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA, 22:6n-3), reduces fatty acid requirements, improves utilization of n-
187 3 LC-PUFA, and can yield growth beyond that normally achieved when FO is the primary or only
188 dietary lipid source (Glencross et al., 2002a, 2002b).

189

190 Despite these promising findings, the steady decline in FM and FO inclusion rates (Tacon and Metian
191 2008), and more than 60 years of other landmark achievements in aquaculture nutrition and
192 aquafeed manufacturing (see Halver 1957; Gatlin et al., 2007; Glencross et al., 2007; Turchini et al.,
193 2009; Hardy, 2010; Tocher 2015; Jobling 2016), the reality is that feeds containing little or no marine
194 inputs do not routinely yield the same growth performance as traditional feeds in carnivorous
195 species. Of those high-performing FM/FO-free formulations, not all are considered economically
196 viable as they rely on specialized raw materials or costly supplements to replace the nutrients found
197 in marine-origin resources and ensure feed attractability/palatability. Given that most of the ‘low
198 hanging fruit’ in FM/FO sparing has already been picked, how can nutritionists and feed
199 manufacturers continue to drive down the use of marine-derived resources and still produce feeds
200 that are economical and yield acceptable growth?

201

202 To answer this question, it is instructive to examine how we have gotten to where we are at present.
203 Although some researchers have investigated simultaneous sparing of FM and FO, most have
204 focused exclusively on FM replacement/alternative protein sources or FO replacement/alternative
205 lipid sources. Even though the protein and lipid ‘divisions’ of aquaculture nutrition have, generally
206 speaking, worked independently from each other (likely because of the different knowledge, skills,
207 and analytical approaches involved in these two fields of study), both shared the same conceptual
208 and experimental approach. Nutritionists have intensively sought alternatives to FM and FO, testing
209 various raw materials as direct substitutes to the marine-origin resources and using FM/FO-feeds as
210 gold standards for the purposes of comparison. Nutritionists have been prolific in their use of
211 approach: a search of the existing scientific literature using the search terms “alternative AND
212 aquafeeds” reveals 7,390 articles/documents dealing with alternative protein and/or alternative
213 lipid sources in aquaculture feeds; using the search terms “alternative AND aquaculture AND
214 nutrition” returns more than 80,300 results (from Google Scholar database, retrieved on 9 January

215 2018). It is almost impossible to summarize this vast scientific literature; instead, in Table 1, a
216 succinct summary of reviews dealing with different aspects of FM and/or FO replacement in
217 aquafeeds is provided.

218

219 Although much of this work lacked the nutrient-based approach discussed herein, testing a wide
220 range of potential alternatives has greatly expanded the portfolio of possible aquafeed ingredients
221 and allowed FM/FO sparing to progress to its current place. That said, one could argue that this
222 approach has reached (or will soon reach) the point of diminishing returns. Most raw materials that
223 could feasibly serve as protein or lipid sources in aquafeeds have now been tested in at least one, if
224 not more cultured aquatic species. The search for alternatives yielded substantial insight when so
225 many raw materials had yet to be evaluated in aquafeeds. As the number of truly novel resources
226 dwindles, testing raw materials as direct substitutes for FM/FO is less likely to yield advances beyond
227 marginal, incremental progress. The staggering diversity of species, rearing systems, and culture
228 conditions involved in aquaculture will always strain the resources available for R&D and force
229 researchers to thinly spread investments and effort across a broad array of data gaps. Instead of
230 'doubling down' on the search for alternative raw materials, limited R&D resources may yield
231 greater dividends if redirected to research questions more likely to 'move the needle'. New raw
232 materials will periodically emerge and should be assessed, but focusing on alternative raw materials
233 as direct substitutes for FM and FO is perhaps no longer the most strategic approach.

234

235 In some ways, direct comparison between various protein and lipid sources and the marine-origin
236 gold standards FM and FO has always been flawed. Other than the marine-origin raw materials
237 themselves, no single feedstuff has the precise composition, nutrient availability, and other
238 characteristics of FM or FO. For example, some of the nutrients present in FM are also present in
239 soybean meal, but the nutritional characteristics of these raw materials are not equivalent. Rather

240 than seeking alternatives that might directly replace FM or FO, researchers are much more likely to
241 find greater success in identifying essential or beneficial attributes of aquafeeds and developing
242 complementary raw materials accordingly. The concept of raw material complementation is not
243 new. Rather, it is central to human evolution and history: the traditional food habits of many
244 cultures with limited/no animal food consumption regularly pair the nutrients found in legumes and
245 cereals to achieve nutritional balance that reflects nutrient requirements and energy demand
246 (Young and Pellett, 1994). Evaluating raw materials in terms of their ability to complement rather
247 than replace other raw materials is not just a semantic distinction, but a realignment that changes
248 how the problem is understood, how potential solutions are conceived, and how both are addressed
249 through research intended to help aquaculture use marine-origin resources more efficiently and
250 judiciously. By expanding our thinking beyond alternatives and substitution values to include the
251 concept of complementarity of raw materials, we are shifting our focus from ingredients to nutrients
252 and making room for more promising research directions:

- 253 • What nutrients are truly essential vs. nonessential, and how do we resolve questions of
254 whether a nutrient is conditionally essential or merely beneficial?
- 255 • How does modified consumption of essential and nonessential nutrients affect the
256 performance of cultured fish and shellfish?
- 257 • How can different energy sources be used to satisfy independent demands for bioenergetic
258 ‘fuel’ vs. essential nutrients?
- 259 • How do different raw materials complement each other and how can their properties be
260 leveraged to maximize the value of limited FM/FO inclusion?
- 261 • How can the attributes of raw materials (including compositional and physical
262 characteristics) be used strategically, processed and/or blended, to optimize nutrient
263 availability, utilization, palatability, etc., to satisfy nutrient requirements and optimize
264 performance?

- 265 • How do the physical and nutritional qualities of raw materials affect feed manufacturing and
266 pellet quality?
- 267 • What are the tolerances for nutrient density and variation in raw materials and do trade-offs
268 between product refinement and processing costs offer opportunity for cost savings?
- 269 • How can innovation in feed and husbandry (e.g., feed management, breeding) be integrated
270 as nutritional strategies better suited to resolve modern challenges in aquaculture?

271 Refocusing on nutrients and the way ingredients can complement each other will likely open
272 numerous and as-yet untapped possibilities for improving the next generation of aquafeeds. Those
273 who have adopted this approach have already proven the merits of doing so, as described in the
274 sections below.

275

276 *Lessons learned from nutrient-based research in FM sparing*

277 Typically, FM replacement/alternative protein studies have primarily focused on protein digestibility
278 and amino acid composition, particularly essential amino acid (EAA) content. However, a recent and
279 important review on amino acid nutrition in animals (Wu et al., 2014) highlights the limitations of
280 focusing only on EAA and the importance of considering other aspects of protein sources.

281 Nutritionally nonessential amino acids (NEAA) and conditionally essential amino acids (CEAA) are
282 now known to contribute significantly to the health, growth and overall performance of cultured
283 animals. All dietary amino acids, whether considered EAA, NEAA or CEAA have physiological
284 importance, serving not only as building blocks for protein synthesis, but as precursors to various
285 metabolites and as factors contributing to the regulation of gene expression, cell signaling, and
286 overall metabolism (Wu et al., 2014). Similarly, in their review of recent developments in amino acid
287 nutrition of fish, Li et al. (2009) concluded that continuing advances in amino acid nutrition
288 technologies, including EAA, NEAA, and CEAA, will play a defining role in shaping the viability and
289 sustainability of aquafeed formulation and manufacturing. The need to take a broader view of

290 aquaculture nutrition and expand our focus on essential nutrients was recently summarized by one
291 of the field's pioneering scientists with the following elegant, if ironic statement: "non-essential
292 dietary nutrients may in fact be so essential that the cell/body actually produces them" (Albert
293 Tacon, pers. comm.).

294

295 Beyond questions of essentiality or nonessentiality, there is the matter of energetic costs: *de novo*
296 synthesis of any nonessential nutrient uses energy that, in the context of aquaculture, would be
297 better used to support somatic growth. As such, experts are beginning to question the assumption
298 that NEAA are not relevant in terms of feed formulation or supporting maximal growth and optimal
299 health (Kaushik and Seiliez 2010; Wu et al., 2014). Numerous discoveries that taurine, glutamine,
300 glycine, proline and hydroxyproline promote growth and health of cultured aquatic species further
301 underscore the importance of considering all dietary AA during feed formulation (Li et al., 2009).

302 Table 2 provides a summary of some selected studies in which the substitution of dietary FM with
303 different raw materials (in isolation and/or in combination) was tested in different commercially
304 important aquaculture species. In most cases, it was shown that better results could be achieved by
305 blends of raw materials, and/or balancing all AA, not just the first few limiting EAA. Clearly, all
306 dietary AA are important to some extent (Wu 2014) and diets for aquatic animals must contain the
307 proper balance of all AA (NEAA, CEAA and NEAA) to optimize growth, health and reproduction. This
308 more holistic approach takes the "ideal protein concept" a step forward (Rollin et al., 2003).

309 Balancing dietary levels of EAA, NEAA, and CEAA can be achieved through specific amino acid
310 fortification or—better yet—by carefully blending raw materials according to their complementary
311 characteristics and composition.

312

313 Glencross et al. (2007) commented on the importance and technical complexity of assessing
314 interference in nutrient utilization resulting from incorporation of different raw materials. These

315 authors also highlighted the existence of clear needs to improve the understanding, and the possible
316 quantification, of the nutritional and functional interactions among raw materials. “As the adoption
317 of alternatives to fish meal increases, there will probably be increasingly complex interactions
318 among feed ingredients. The nature of such ingredient interactions may also have important
319 implications for the study of ingredient functionality” (Glencross et al., 2007). Given these
320 observations and other lessons learned from nutrient-based research, it is unsurprising that Gatlin et
321 al. (2007) stated that a combination of (plant-derived) feed ingredients, not a single alternative
322 ingredient, will be required to successfully replace FM.

323

324 *Lessons learned from nutrient-based research in FO sparing*

325 Regarding lipids, there are also a series of recent studies that illustrate the value of focusing on
326 nutrients, rather than raw materials (Table 3). Though none of these trials explicitly invoked the
327 concept of complementarity, they suggest there is considerable potential for this approach.

328 Research evaluating how different lipid sources and fatty acids interact and how they can influence
329 the efficiency of n-3 LC-PUFA utilization has proven more informative than studies in which FO is
330 directly substituted with one alternative lipids or another. The discovery of the omega-3 sparing
331 effect is a particularly compelling example (Trushenski 2009; Turchini et al. 2011; Codabaccus et al.,
332 2012; Eroldogan et al., 2013; Salini et al., 2017).

333

334 Similar to EAA-driven research in FM replacement, much of the attention in FO replacement studies
335 has focused on essential (or conditionally essential) fatty acids, particularly the n-3 LC-PUFA and n-6
336 LC-PUFAs found almost exclusively in marine-origin ingredients. DHA, EPA, and arachidonic acid
337 (ARA, 20:4n-6) are inarguably important in the feeding of most if not all carnivorous fish (Bell and
338 Sargent 2003; Tocher 2015), but, non-essential lipids also have nutritional importance. Turchini and

339 Francis (2009) suggested that the optimal dietary fatty acid composition for a growing fish would be
340 a fatty acid composition that would minimize *in vivo* bio-conversion processes (to reduce
341 unnecessary energetic costs), while simultaneously providing an efficient substrate for energy
342 production. Their findings in Rainbow Trout support this 'ideal lipid concept', indicating that higher
343 dietary inclusion of saturated fatty acids, monounsaturated fatty acids, and DHA improved
344 performance, whereas excessive amounts of dietary polyunsaturated fatty acids, including EPA,
345 were wasted (Turchini and Francis 2009).

346

347 Likewise, other nonessential lipids have been shown to play important nutritional roles. For
348 example, cholesterol is well known as nonessential for teleosts; given its many physiological roles,
349 cholesterol is highly regulated and biosynthesized efficiently if not provided in sufficient amounts
350 with the diet. However, this happens at a significant metabolic cost (18 acetyl-CoA, 18 ATP, 16
351 NADPH and 4 O₂ molecules per molecule of cholesterol) and it has been suggested that aquafeeds
352 not providing sufficient quantities of cholesterol (e.g., plant-based formulations) should be fortified
353 with additional cholesterol to improve overall fish performance (Norambuena et al., 2013).
354 Accordingly, cholesterol is garnering additional interest from fish nutritionists (Leaver et al., 2008;
355 Yun et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2014; Guerra-Olvera and Viana 2015).

356

357 Individual dietary fatty acids, essential or otherwise, may trigger differential responses in regulation
358 of gene transcription (Coccia et al., 2014; Kjaer et al., 2016). For example, in Rainbow Trout, fatty
359 acid catabolism for energy production appears to be stimulated by stearic acid (18:0), oleic acid
360 (18:1n-9), α -linolenic acid (18:3n-3), ARA and DHA and inhibited by palmitic acid (16:0), linoleic acid
361 (18:2n-6) and EPA (Coccia et al., 2014). Consequently, catabolic processes and, in turn, retention and
362 tissue deposition of n-3 LC-PUFA can be modulated by manipulating intake of these fatty acids in a
363 species-specific manner (Turchini et al. 2011; Eroldogan et al., 2013; Gause and Trushenski 2013;

364 Trushenski et al., 2013; Emery et al., 2014; Francis et al., 2014). This research has encouraged
365 investigation of previously underappreciated lipid sources, such as rendered animal fats (Trushenski
366 and Lochmann 2009), in aquafeed formulation.

367

368 **Future research horizons in aquaculture nutrition**

369 The challenge of FM/FO replacement is more likely to be addressed with a strategy, not a single raw
370 material. These alternative strategies will comprise a combination of technological and nutritional
371 strategies (e.g., dietary supplementation with amino acids, palatants/attractants, exogenous
372 enzymes; pre- and probiotics; further development of mechanical and biological raw material
373 processing technologies, feed manufacturing technologies; genetic modification of crops; [Gatlin et
374 al., 2007]) and innovation in selective breeding (Quinton et al., 2007; Gjedrem et al., 2012; Overturf
375 et al., 2013), rearing systems, and so forth. For example, replacement of FM was achieved in Tiger
376 Shrimp *Penaeus mondon* not by using an alternative raw material, but an alternative nutritional
377 strategy, via the utilization of microbial biomass, complementing terrestrial protein sources
378 (Glencross et al., 2014). In this case, the growth-stimulating properties of the microbial biomass
379 combined with the blending of land animal proteins with vegetable proteins to balance the amino
380 acid profile allowed all of the dietary FM and FO to be replaced without affecting production
381 performance; in some cases, shrimp performed better on the FM/FO-free feeds.

382

383 A variety of oils containing the health-promoting and highly sought n-3 LC-PUFA (namely, EPA and
384 DHA) have proven able to directly and completely replace FO in aquafeeds. Some are also derived
385 from wild-caught marine organisms, such as krill, amphipods, copepods and mesopelagic species
386 (Olsen et al., 2011). Of course, the promise of these raw materials is constrained by the same
387 factors that incentivize reduced reliance on FO, so it is perhaps best to think of these ingredients as

388 supplements to the available FO supply. Other marine/aquatic derived alternative oils containing n-
389 3 LC-PUFA are those derived from fisheries byproducts (i.e., seafood processing wastes or bycatch).
390 Production of these raw materials is expanding (Rustad et al., 2011; Shepherd and Jackson 2013),
391 and evaluations in aquafeeds show good potential (Fernandez Palacios et al., 1997; Turchini et al.,
392 2003; Goncalves et al., 2012; Sevgili et al., 2012). These products also have the advantage of
393 competitive pricing and, since they are mostly considered unacceptable or undesirable for direct
394 human consumption, are not seen as aggravating the emerging issue of food vs. feed (Tacon and
395 Metian 2009).

396

397 A series of novel non-marine oils containing n-3 LC-PUFA have been developed and are at different
398 levels of commercialization and availability (Miller et al., 2011). The most promising of these novel n-
399 3 LC-PUFA-containing oils are derived from microalgae/single-cell organisms (Miller et al. 2007;
400 Ganuza et al., 2008; Hemaiswarya et al., 2011; Eryalcin et al., 2015; Sprague et al., 2015 ; Sarker et
401 al., 2016) and genetically modified oilseed crops (Kitessa et al., 2014; Betancor et al., 2015, 2016).
402 Although the overall content of n-3 LC-PUFA of these oils is comparable to or higher than that of FO,
403 they typically contain more DHA and less EPA than traditional FO. These products are the focus of
404 considerable, promising research (Vizcaino-Ochoa et al. 2010; Codabaccus et al., 2012; Trushenski et
405 al. 2012; Betiku et al. 2016; Emery et al., 2016). These oils present a series of exciting opportunities
406 for the sustainable expansion of the aquaculture sector, but also highlight a partial knowledge gap:
407 the dearth of research addressing individual fatty acid requirements. Previous lipid nutrition
408 research, relying primarily on traditional terrestrial and marine oils, assessed essential fatty acid
409 requirements in terms of total n-3 or n-6 fatty acids. Now, evidence is mounting to suggest that the
410 different n-3 LC-PUFA vary substantially in their nutritional value, n-6 LC-PUFA are also nutritionally
411 important, and the functional differences between C₁₈ PUFA and LC-PUFA have not been adequately
412 communicated (Glencross and Smith 2001; Koven et al.; Bell and Sargent 2003; Van Anholt et al.,

413 2004; Lund et al., 2007; Norambuena et al., 2015; Ding et al., 2018). Accordingly, a much greater
414 effort into basic research to define individual requirements for key fatty acids—nutrients, rather
415 than raw materials—and elucidate their specific roles in aquatic animal health and optimal
416 performance is needed.

417

418 **More than nutrients and ingredients: the influence and constraints of manufacturing techniques**
419 **and sources of support for aquaculture nutrition research**

420 The preceding sections have made the case for greater focus on nutrients and the interactions
421 between them in the context of aquafeeds. This also means considering the manufacturing
422 techniques as well, since it is well-established that raw material processing and feed manufacturing
423 can greatly influence the nutrient composition, digestibility and availability, as well as the physical
424 properties and utilization of feeds (Hilton et al, 1981; Gadiant and Fenster 1994; Booth et al., 2000;
425 Ljokjel et al., 2002, 2004; Sorensen et al. 2002; Cheng and Hardy 2003; Barrows et al., 2007; Morken
426 et al. 2011; Sorensen 2012). For example, Glencross et al. (2011) observed that digestibility varied
427 substantially when raw materials were processed into aquafeeds using extrusion or pellet-pressing.
428 More specifically, protein digestibility was strongly influenced by manufacturing technique, mostly
429 likely due to the protein-to-protein interactions that occur during extrusion processing. Regrettably,
430 the topic of manufacturing technology is not as frequently addressed as raw material composition,
431 nutrient digestibility, marine ingredient sparing, and so forth. Some of the documented effects of
432 raw materials and diet processing on diet characteristics and fish performance is summarized in
433 Table 4.

434

435 Unfortunately, relatively few research labs have access to extrusion equipment comparable to that
436 used in the preparation of industrially compounded aquafeeds. Consequently, most of the research
437 conducted and published in aquaculture nutrition may not be considered directly relevant by feed

438 manufacturers. It is equally important to recognize that not all feed formulations can be effectively
439 manufactured: not all combinations of raw materials can be effectively formed into a pellet with the
440 desired physical characteristics, water stability, durability, or buoyancy profile required for any
441 specific feed type. These factors may not be as evident or problematic in an experimental setting
442 (e.g., defining the requirements for a specific nutrient) or in the manufacturing of steam-pelleted,
443 sinking diets, but they are critical considerations for the commercial-scale manufacturing of
444 extruded feeds. When testing new raw materials or formulations, nutrition researchers are
445 encouraged to ask themselves or—better yet—ask extrusion scientists questions such as “Can this
446 formulation actually be extruded?”, “Can it be made to float or sink?”, “Will it be durable enough to
447 withstand shipping and on-farm distribution?”, or “Will the feed extrusion process change the
448 nutritional value of the raw materials?”. Mindful of these needs, modern feed extrusion approaches
449 for aquaculture have been adapted from other manufacturing sectors to accommodate some of
450 these constraints, but they remain pertinent questions to consider (Sorensen 2012).

451

452 Regardless of whether research is conducted for the public good or for commercial gains, it requires
453 financial support to be conducted. Extramural funding—provided by industry, government agencies,
454 or other sources—drives innovation in all sectors, including aquaculture. Some nations have
455 recognized aquaculture’s potential and have provided research capacity, institutional support,
456 enabling regulations, and various other incentives to encourage its development. In other countries,
457 investment in aquaculture research, including fish nutrition, has been inconsistent and
458 comparatively meager. Though there are a number of public entities that support aquaculture
459 research, aquaculture investments in most countries are minor in comparison with investments in
460 crop and terrestrial animal science or capture fisheries science (Jensen 2008). For example, the U.S.
461 Department of Agriculture invested \$294 million in sustainable agriculture research in 2014, but only
462 \$10 million of that was dedicated to aquaculture or seafood projects (DeLonge et al., 2016). The

463 funding climate is increasingly competitive and long-term support for foundational science in
464 aquaculture is absent in many contexts. As a result, fish nutritionists must be creative in their
465 approach to identifying sources of funding and blending projects together to advance their research
466 programs and our understanding of feeds and feeding in aquaculture. In aquaculture nutrition, it is
467 quite common to work with commodity groups or specialty ingredient manufacturers to rigorously
468 evaluate the value of their products in aquafeeds. While a welcome and important source of R&D
469 funding for fish nutritionists, the interests of these funding sources can be somewhat narrow:
470 soybean groups want to fund soybean work, animal byproduct groups want to fund byproduct work,
471 etc. This is quite understandable, but drives the unifactorial, single raw material, direct FM or FO
472 replacement approach to fish nutrition. Companies looking to develop markets for their ingredients
473 might do better to entertain research proposals to develop more holistic datasets related to their
474 product—including data on how well their product ‘works’ with others. For example, in the case of
475 alternative proteins, it’s just as important to know how a new raw material measures up against
476 *other raw materials* as it does against fish meal. Further, it is very helpful to know whether a new
477 raw material interacts positively or negatively with others, particularly when subjected to the
478 physical processes of feed manufacturing. Of course, it is primarily the investigators’ responsibility
479 to propose and conduct research that is integrative. Researchers may receive funding to test one
480 raw material from company “A”, another from company “B”, and so forth; their work may prove
481 more fruitful if, when possible, they worked with both companies to evaluate their products in
482 conjunction, in various combinations, and in line with the other recommendations set forth herein.

483

484 Nutritionists and feed manufacturers are also encouraged to consider other ‘down-stream’
485 consequences of their efforts to spare FM and FO. What effect do these formulations have on
486 performance criteria besides growth and survival (Francis et al., 2001; Sitjà-Bobadilla et al., 2005;
487 Desai et al., 2012)? How does the composition of the diet influence the quality and nutritional value

488 of the edible tissues (Fry et al., 2016; Sprague et al., 2016)? How do consumers view the use of
489 traditional vs. alternative ingredients (Mancuso et al., 2016; Popoff et al., 2017, Shepherd et al.,
490 2017), raw materials derived from GMOs (Lucht 2015), and so on? Nutritionists are understandably
491 preoccupied with the nutritional aspects of feed formulation, but these other questions also merit
492 their attention.

493

494 **Conclusion**

495 Commercial aquafeed manufacturers formulate aquafeeds based on key limiting nutrients using
496 commercial formulation databases and advanced computer software. More or less, the overall R&D
497 sector is already using a nutrient-based approach. That said, we suggest that greater emphasis on
498 nutrients, including those not considered strictly nutritionally essential, is required to encourage
499 further evolution of the industry and efficiently move aquaculture nutrition beyond the incremental
500 advances achieved in recent years. Of course, nutrients are delivered via raw materials, which
501 cannot be forgotten nor overlooked. Raw materials must be consistent and economical, available in
502 sufficient quantities, possess the needed nutrients, be free of contaminants and other undesirable
503 factors, and be able to withstand a range of processing constraints. While a focus on nutrients
504 should be paramount in the evaluation of new raw materials, we cannot forget these other
505 practicalities. We encourage researchers to investigate the effects of feed manufacturing on raw
506 material suitability and, when possible, to test ingredients in a more integrative, holistic and
507 multifactorial fashion. This will likely require a greater degree of collaboration, between all
508 stakeholders and various specialists. It is our hope that by rethinking or becoming reacquainted with
509 the nutrient-based approach to aquaculture nutrition science, we can spur further innovation within
510 our field and the aquaculture industry and, ultimately, help transform the use of marine-origin
511 resources in aquaculture.

512 **References**

- 513 Adelizi, P. D., Rosati, R. R., Warner, K., Wu, Y. V., Muench, T. R., White, M. R., and Brown, P. B.
514 (1998). Evaluation of fish-meal free diets for rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*.
515 *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 4(4), 255-262. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2095.1998.00077.x
- 516 Amaya, E. A., Davis, D. A., and Rouse, D. B. (2007). Replacement of fish meal in practical diets for the
517 Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) reared under pond conditions. *Aquaculture*,
518 262(2-4), 393-401. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2006.11.015
- 519 Barrows, F. T., Bellis, D., Krogdahl, A., Silverstein, J. T., Herman, E. M., Sealey, W. M., . . . Gatlin, D. M.
520 (2008). Report of the Plant Products in Aquafeed Strategic Planning Workshop: An
521 Integrated, Interdisciplinary Research Roadmap for Increasing Utilization of Plant Feedstuffs
522 in Diets for Carnivorous Fish. *Reviews in Fisheries Science*, 16(4), 449-455.
523 doi:10.1080/10641260802046734
- 524 Barrows, F. T., Stone, D. A. J., and Hardy, R. W. (2007). The effects of extrusion conditions on the
525 nutritional value of soybean meal for rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture*,
526 265(1-4), 244-252. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.01.017
- 527 Bell, J. G., and Sargent, J. R. (2003). Arachidonic acid in aquaculture feeds: current status and future
528 opportunities. *Aquaculture*, 218(1-4), 491-499. doi:Doi 10.1016/S0044-8486(02)00370-8
- 529 Bell, J. G., Tocher, D. R., Henderson, R. J., Dick, J. R., and Crampton, V. O. (2003). Altered fatty acid
530 compositions in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) fed diets containing linseed and rapeseed oils
531 can be partially restored by a subsequent fish oil finishing diet. *Journal of Nutrition*, 133(9),
532 2793-2801.
- 533 Bene, C., Barange, M., Subasinghe, R., Pinstруп-Andersen, P., Merino, G., Hemre, G. I., and Williams,
534 M. (2015). Feeding 9 billion by 2050-Putting fish back on the menu. *Food Security*, 7(2), 261-
535 274. doi:10.1007/s12571-015-0427-z

536 Betancor, M. B., Sprague, M., Montero, D., Usher, S., Sayanova, O., Campbell, P. J., . . . Tocher, D. R.
537 (2016). Replacement of Marine Fish Oil with de novo Omega-3 Oils from Transgenic
538 *Camelina sativa* in Feeds for Gilthead Sea Bream (*Sparus aurata* L.). *Lipids*, 51(10), 1171-
539 1191. doi:10.1007/s11745-016-4191-4

540 Betancor, M. B., Sprague, M., Usher, S., Sayanova, O., Campbell, P. J., Napier, J. A., and Tocher, D. R.
541 (2015). A nutritionally-enhanced oil from transgenic *Camelina sativa* effectively replaces fish
542 oil as a source of eicosapentaenoic acid for fish. *Scientific Reports*, 5. doi:10.1038/srep08104

543 Betiku, O. C., Barrows, F. T., Ross, C., and Sealey, W. M. (2016). The effect of total replacement of
544 fish oil with DHA-Gold((R)) and plant oils on growth and fillet quality of rainbow trout
545 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fed a plant-based diet. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 22(1), 158-169.
546 doi:10.1111/anu.12234

547 Booth, M. A., Allan, G. L., and Warner-Smith, R. (2000). Effects of grinding, steam conditioning and
548 extrusion of a practical diet on digestibility and weight gain of silver perch, *Bidyanus*
549 *bidyanus*. *Aquaculture*, 182(3-4), 287-299. doi:Doi 10.1016/S0044-8486(99)00261-6

550 Booth, M. A., Allan, G. L., Frances, J., and Parkinson, S. (2001). Replacement of fish meal in diets for
551 Australian silver perch, *Bidyanus bidyanus* IV. Effects of dehulling and protein concentration
552 on digestibility of grain legumes. *Aquaculture*, 196(1-2), 67-85. doi:Doi 10.1016/S0044-
553 8486(00)00578-0

554 Bowzer, J., Jackson, C., and Trushenski, J. (2016). Hybrid striped bass feeds based on fish oil, beef
555 tallow, and eicosapentaenoic acid/docosahexaenoic acid supplements: Insight regarding fish
556 oil sparing and demand for n-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids. *Journal of Animal*
557 *Science*, 94(3), 978-988. doi:10.2527/jas2015-9199

558 Boyd, W. (2001). Making meat - Science, technology, and American poultry production. *Technology*
559 *and Culture*, 42(4), 631-664. doi:DOI 10.1353/tech.2001.0150

560 Bureau, D. P. (2011). Better Defining Nutritional Requirements of Fish and The Nutritive Value of
561 Feed Ingredients: Lessons From Integration of Experimental Data From a Wide Variety of
562 Sources. In L. E. Cruz-Suárez, D. Ricque-Marie, M. Tapia-Salazar, M. G. Nieto-López, D. A.
563 Villarreal-Cavazos, J. Gamboa-Delgado, and L. Hernández-Hernández (Eds.), *Avances en*
564 *Nutrición Acuícola XI - Memorias del Décimo Primer Simposio Internacional de Nutrición*
565 *Acuícola*, 23-25 de Noviembre, San Nicolás de los Garza, N. L., México (pp. 1-11). Monterrey,
566 México: Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León.

567 Burr, G. S., Wolters, W. R., Barrows, F. T., and Hardy, R. W. (2012). Replacing fish meal with blends of
568 alternative proteins on growth performance of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), and
569 early or late stage juvenile Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Aquaculture*, 334, 110-116.
570 doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2011.12.044

571 Byelashov, O. A., and Griffin, M. E. (2014). Fish In, Fish Out: Perception of Sustainability and
572 Contribution to Public Health. *Fisheries*, 39(11), 531-535.
573 doi:10.1080/03632415.2014.967765

574 Cao, L., Diana, J. S., and Keoleian, G. A. (2013). Role of life cycle assessment in sustainable
575 aquaculture. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 5(2), 61-71. doi:10.1111/j.1753-5131.2012.01080.x

576 Cheng, Z. J. J., and Hardy, R. W. (2003). Effects of extrusion and expelling processing, and microbial
577 phytase supplementation on apparent digestibility coefficients of nutrients in full-fat
578 soybeans for rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture*, 218(1-4), 501-514.
579 doi:10.1016/S0044-8486(02)00458-1

580 Cheng, Z. J., and Hardy, R. W. (2003). Effects of extrusion processing of feed ingredients on apparent
581 digestibility coefficients of nutrients for rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture*
582 *Nutrition*, 9(2), 77-83.

583 Coccia, E., Varricchio, E., Vito, P., Turchini, G. M., Francis, D. S., and Paolucci, M. (2014). Fatty Acid-
584 Specific Alterations in Leptin, PPAR alpha, and CPT-1 Gene Expression in the Rainbow Trout.
585 *Lipids*, 49(10), 1033-1046. doi:10.1007/s11745-014-3939-y

586 Codabaccus, B. M., Carter, C. G., Bridle, A. R., and Nichols, P. D. (2012). The "n-3 LC-PUFA sparing
587 effect" of modified dietary n-3 LC-PUFA content and DHA to EPA ratio in Atlantic salmon
588 smolt. *Aquaculture*, 356, 135-140. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2012.05.024

589 DeLonge, M. S., Miles, A., and Carlisle, L. (2016). Investing in the transition to sustainable agriculture.
590 *Environmental Science and Policy*, 55, 266-273. doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2015.09.013

591 Desai, A.R., Links, M.G., Collins, S.A., Mansfield, G.S., Drew, M.D., Van Kessel, A.G., and Hill, J.E.
592 (2012). Effects of plant-based diets on the distal gut microbiome of rainbow trout
593 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture*, 350-353, 134-142.

594 Ding, Z. L., Zhou, J. B., Kong, Y. Q., Zhang, Y. X., Cao, F., Luo, N., and Ye, J. Y. (2018). Dietary
595 arachidonic acid promotes growth, improves immunity, and regulates the expression of
596 immune-related signaling molecules in *Macrobrachium nipponense* (De Haan). *Aquaculture*,
597 484, 112-119. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.11.010

598 Draganovic, V., Van der Goot, A. J., Boom, R., and Jonkers, J. (2013). Wheat gluten in extruded fish
599 feed: effects on morphology and on physical and functional properties. *Aquaculture*
600 *Nutrition*, 19(6), 845-859. doi:10.1111/anu.12029

601 Drew, M. D., Borgeson, T. L., and Thiessen, D. L. (2007). A review of processing of feed ingredients to
602 enhance diet digestibility in finfish. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 138(2), 118-136.
603 doi:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2007.06.019

604 El-Sayed, A. F. M. (1999). Alternative dietary protein sources for farmed tilapia, *Oreochromis* spp.
605 *Aquaculture*, 179(1-4), 149-168. doi:Doi 10.1016/S0044-8486(99)00159-3

606 Emery, J. A., Norambuena, F., Trushenski, J., and Turchini, G. M. (2016). Uncoupling EPA and DHA in
607 Fish Nutrition: Dietary Demand is Limited in Atlantic Salmon and Effectively Met by DHA
608 Alone. *Lipids*, 51(4), 399-412. doi:10.1007/s11745-016-4136-y

609 Emery, J. A., Smullen, R. P., and Turchini, G. M. (2014). Tallow in Atlantic salmon feed. *Aquaculture*,
610 422, 98-108. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2013.12.004

611 Enami, H. R. (2011). A Review of Using Canola/Rapeseed Meal in Aquaculture Feeding. *Journal of*
612 *Fisheries and Aquatic Science*, 6, 22-36.

613 Eroldogan, T. O., Yilmaz, A. H., Turchini, G. M., Arslan, M., Sirkecioglu, N. A., Engin, K., . . .
614 Mumogullarinda, P. (2013). Fatty acid metabolism in European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus*
615 *labrax*): effects of n-6 PUFA and MUFA in fish oil replaced diets. *Fish Physiology and*
616 *Biochemistry*, 39(4), 941-955. doi:10.1007/s10695-012-9753-7

617 Eryalcin, K. M., Ganuza, E., Atalah, E., and Cruz, M. C. H. (2015). *Nannochloropsis gaditana* and
618 *Cryptocodinium cohnii*, two microalgae as alternative sources of essential fatty acids in
619 early weaning for gilthead seabream. *Hidrobiologica*, 25(2), 193-202.

620 Espe, M., Lemme, A., Petri, A., and El-Mowafi, A. (2006). Can Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) grow on
621 diets devoid of fish meal? *Aquaculture*, 255(1-4), 255-262.
622 doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.12.030

623 FAO. (2014). *The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2014 (Vol. 2014)*. Rome, Italy: The Food
624 and Agriculture organization of the United Nations.

625 FAO. (2015). *FishstatJ - FAO Global Fishery and Aquaculture Statistics*. from The Food and Agriculture
626 Organization of the united Nations

627 FernandezPalacios, H., Izquierdo, M., Robaina, L., Valencia, A., and Salhi, M. (1997). The effect of
628 dietary protein and lipid from squid and fish meals on egg quality of broodstock for gilthead

629 seabream (*Sparus aurata*). *Aquaculture*, 148(2-3), 233-246. doi:Doi 10.1016/S0044-
630 8486(96)01312-9

631 Fox, C., Brown, J. H., and Briggs, M. (1994). The nutrition of prawns and shrimp in aquaculture - a
632 review of recent research. In J. F. Muir and R. R. J. (Eds.), *Recent Advances in Aquaculture*,
633 vol 5. (pp. 131-206). Oxford, UK: Blackwell Science,.

634 Francis, D. S., Thanuthong, T., Senadheera, S. P. S. D., Paolucci, M., Coccia, E., De Silva, S. S., and
635 Turchini, G. M. (2014). n-3 LC-PUFA deposition efficiency and appetite-regulating hormones
636 are modulated by the dietary lipid source during rainbow trout grow-out and finishing
637 periods. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*, 40(2), 577-593. doi:10.1007/s10695-013-9868-5

638 Francis, G., Makkar, H. P. S., and Becker, K. (2001). Antinutritional factors present in plant-derived
639 alternate fish feed ingredients and their effects in fish. *Aquaculture*, 199(3-4), 197-227.
640 doi:Doi 10.1016/S0044-8486(01)00526-9

641 Francis, G., Makkar, H.P.S., and Becker, K. (2001). Antinutritional factors present in plant-derived
642 alternate fish feed ingredients and their effects in fish. *Aquaculture*, 199, 197-227.

643 Fry, J.P., Love, D.C., MacDonald, G.K., West, P.C., Engstrom, P.M., Nachman, K.E., and Lawrence, R.S.
644 (2016). Environmental health impacts of feeding crops to farmed fish. *Environment*
645 *International*, 91, 201-214.

646 Gadiant, M., and Fenster, R. (1994). Stability of Ascorbic-Acid and Other Vitamins in Extruded Fish
647 Feeds. *Aquaculture*, 124(1-4), 207-211. doi:Doi 10.1016/0044-8486(94)90379-4

648 Gamboa-Delgado, J., Rojas-Casas, M. G., Nieto-Lopez, M. G., and Cruz-Suarez, L. E. (2013).
649 Simultaneous estimation of the nutritional contribution of fish meal, soy protein isolate and
650 corn gluten to the growth of Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) using dual stable
651 isotope analysis. *Aquaculture*, 380, 33-40. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2012.11.028

652 Ganga, R., Tibbetts, S. M., Wall, C. L., Plouffe, D. A., Bryenton, M. D., Peters, A. R., . . . Lall, S. P.
653 (2015). Influence of feeding a high plant protein diet on growth and nutrient utilization to
654 combined 'all-fish' growth-hormone transgenic diploid and triploid Atlantic salmon (*Salmo*
655 *salar* L.). *Aquaculture*, 446, 272-282. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.05.010

656 Ganuza, E., Benitez-Santana, T., Atalah, E., Vega-Orellana, O., Ganga, R., and Izquierdo, M. S. (2008).
657 *Cryptocodium cohnii* and *Schizochytrium* sp as potential substitutes to fisheries-derived
658 oils from seabream (*Sparus aurata*) microdiets. *Aquaculture*, 277(1-2), 109-116.
659 doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2008.02.005

660 Gatlin, D. M., Barrows, F. T., Brown, P., Dabrowski, K., Gaylord, T. G., Hardy, R. W., . . . Wurtele, E.
661 (2007). Expanding the utilization of sustainable plant products in aquafeeds: a review.
662 *Aquaculture Research*, 38(6), 551-579. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2109.2007.01704.x

663 Gause, B. R., and Trushenski, J. T. (2013). Sparing Fish Oil with Beef Tallow in Feeds for Rainbow
664 Trout: Effects of Inclusion Rates and Finishing on Production Performance and Tissue Fatty
665 Acid Composition. *North American Journal of Aquaculture*, 75(4), 495-511.
666 doi:10.1080/15222055.2013.811134

667 Gjedrem, T., Robinson, N., and Rye, M. (2012). The importance of selective breeding in aquaculture
668 to meet future demands for animal protein: a review. *Aquaculture*, 350-353, 117-129.

669 Glencross, B. D. (2001). Feeding lupins to fish: a review of the nutritional and biological value of
670 lupins in aquaculture feeds. North Beach, WA, Australia: The Department of Fisheries,
671 Government of Western Australia.

672 Glencross, B. D. (2009). Exploring the nutritional demand for essential fatty acids by aquaculture
673 species. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 1(2), 71-124. doi:10.1111/j.1753-5131.2009.01006.x

674 Glencross, B. D., and Smith, D. M. (2001). A study of the arachidonic acid requirements of the giant
675 tiger prawn, *Penaeus monodon*. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 7(1), 59-69. doi:DOI 10.1046/j.1365-
676 2095.2001.00168.x

677 Glencross, B. D., Booth, M., and Allan, G. L. (2007). A feed is only as good as its ingredients - a review
678 of ingredient evaluation strategies for aquaculture feeds. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 13(1), 17-
679 34. doi:DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2095.2007.00450.x

680 Glencross, B. D., Smith, D. M., Thomas, M. R., and Williams, K. C. (2002a). The effect of dietary n-3
681 and n-6 fatty acid balance on the growth of the prawn *Penaeus monodon*. *Aquaculture*
682 *Nutrition*, 8(1), 43-51. doi:DOI 10.1046/j.1365-2095.2002.00188.x

683 Glencross, B. D., Smith, D. M., Thomas, M. R., and Williams, K. C. (2002b). Optimising the essential
684 fatty acids in the diet for weight gain of the prawn, *Penaeus monodon*. *Aquaculture*, 204(1-
685 2), 85-99. doi:Doi 10.1016/S0044-8486(01)00644-5

686 Glencross, B., Blyth, D., Irvin, S., Bourne, N., Campet, M., Boisot, P., and Wade, N. M. (2016). An
687 evaluation of the complete replacement of both fish meal and fish oil in diets for juvenile
688 Asian seabass, *Lates calcarifer*. *Aquaculture*, 451, 298-309.
689 doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.09.012

690 Glencross, B., Blyth, D., Tabrett, S., Bourne, N., Irvin, S., Anderson, M., . . . Smullen, R. (2012). An
691 assessment of cereal grains and other starch sources in diets for barramundi (*Lates*
692 *calcarifer*) - implications for nutritional and functional qualities of extruded feeds.
693 *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 18(4), 388-399. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2095.2011.00903.x

694 Glencross, B., Hawkins, W., and Curnow, J. (2004). Nutritional assessment of Australian canola
695 meals. I. Evaluation of canola oil extraction method and meal processing conditions on the
696 digestible value of canola meals fed to the red seabream (*Pagrus auratus*, Paulin).
697 *Aquaculture Research*, 35(1), 15-24. doi:DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2109.2004.00974.x

698 Glencross, B., Hawkins, W., Evans, D., Rutherford, N., McCafferty, P., Dods, K., and Hauler, R. (2011).
699 A comparison of the effect of diet extrusion or screw-press pelleting on the digestibility of
700 grain protein products when fed to rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture*,
701 312(1-4), 154-161. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2010.12.025

702 Glencross, B., Hawkins, W., Evans, D., Rutherford, N., McCafferty, P., Dods, K., . . . Buirchell, B.
703 (2008). Variability in the composition of lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius*) meals influences their
704 digestible nutrient and energy value when fed to rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*).
705 *Aquaculture*, 277(3-4), 220-230. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2008.02.038

706 Glencross, B., Hawkins, W., Maas, R., Karopoulos, M., and Hauler, R. (2010). Evaluation of the
707 influence of different species and cultivars of lupin kernel meal on the extrusion process,
708 pellet properties and viscosity parameters of salmonid feeds. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 16(1),
709 13-24. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2095.2008.00636.x

710 Glencross, B., Hawkins, W., Veitch, C., Dods, K., McCafferty, P., and Hauler, R. (2007). The influence
711 of dehulling efficiency on the digestible value of lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius*) kernel meal
712 when fed to rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 13(6), 462-470.
713 doi:DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2095.2007.00499.x

714 Glencross, B., Irvin, S., Arnold, S., Blyth, D., Bourne, N., and Preston, N. (2014). Effective use of
715 microbial biomass products to facilitate the complete replacement of fishery resources in
716 diets for the black tiger shrimp, *Penaeus monodon*. *Aquaculture*, 431, 12-19.
717 doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2014.02.033

718 Glencross, B., Rutherford, N., and Jones, B. (2011). Evaluating options for fish meal replacement in
719 diets for juvenile barramundi (*Lates calcarifer*). *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 17(3), E722-E732.
720 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2095.2010.00834.x

721 Gomes, E. F., Rema, P., and Kaushik, S. J. (1995). Replacement of Fish-Meal by Plant-Proteins in the
722 Diet of Rainbow-Trout (*Oncorhynchus-Mykiss*) - Digestibility and Growth-Performance.
723 *Aquaculture*, 130(2-3), 177-186. doi:Doi 10.1016/0044-8486(94)00211-6

724 Gomez-Requeni, P., Mingarro, M., Calduch-Giner, J. A., Medale, F., Martin, S. A. M., Houlihan, D. F., .
725 . . Perez-Sanchez, J. (2004). Protein growth performance, amino acid utilisation and
726 somatotropic axis responsiveness to fish meal replacement by plant protein sources in
727 gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*). *Aquaculture*, 232(1-4), 493-510. doi:10.1016/S0044-
728 8486(03)00532-5

729 Goncalves, L. U., Ferroli, F., and Viegas, E. M. M. (2012). Effect of the inclusion of fish residue oils in
730 diets on the fatty acid profile of muscles of males and females lambari (*Astyanax*
731 *altiparanae*). *Revista Brasileira De Zootecnia-Brazilian Journal of Animal Science*, 41(9), 1967-
732 1974.

733 Guerra-Olvera, F. M., and Viana, M. T. (2015). Effect of dietary cholesterol content on growth and its
734 accumulation in liver and muscle tissues of juvenile yellowtail kingfish (*Seriola lalandi*).
735 *Ciencias Marinas*, 41(2), 143-156. doi:10.7773/cm.v41i2.2514

736 Halver, J. E. (1957). Nutrition of Salmonoid Fishes .4. An Amino Acid Test Diet for Chinook Salmon.
737 *Journal of Nutrition*, 62(2), 245-254.

738 Hardy, R. W. (2000, 19-22 November 2000). New developments in aquatic feed ingredients, and
739 potential of enzyme supplements. . Paper presented at the Avances en Nutrición Acuícola V.
740 Memorias del V Simposium Internacional de Nutrición Acuícola. , Mérida, Yucatán, Mexico.

741 Hardy, R. W. (2010). Utilization of plant proteins in fish diets: effects of global demand and supplies
742 of fish meal. *Aquaculture Research*, 41(5), 770-776. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2109.2009.02349.x

743 Hardy, R. W., and Barrows, F. T. (2002). Diet formulation and manufacture. In J. E. Halver and R. W.
744 Hardy (Eds.), *Fish Nutrition* (3rd ed., pp. 505-600). New York, NY, USA: Academic Press.

- 745 Hardy, R. W., and Tacon, A. G. J. (2002). Fish meal: historical uses, production trends and future
746 outlook for sustainable supplies. . In R. P. Stickney and J. P. McVey (Eds.), *Responsible*
747 *Marine Aquaculture*, (pp. 311-326). Wallingford, UK: CABI Publishing.
- 748 Hasan, M. R. (2001). *Nutrition and Feeding for Sustainable Aquaculture Development in the Third*
749 *Millennium*. . Paper presented at the *Aquaculture in the Third Millennium*. Technical
750 *Proceedings of the Conference on Aquaculture in the Third Millennium*, Bangkok, Thailand,
751 20-25 February 2000.
- 752 Hemaiswarya, S., Raja, R., Kumar, R. R., Ganesan, V., and Anbazhagan, C. (2011). Microalgae: a
753 sustainable feed source for aquaculture. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*,
754 27(8), 1737-1746. doi:10.1007/s11274-010-0632-z
- 755 Henry, M., Gasco, L., Piccolo, G., and Fountoulaki, E. (2015). Review on the use of insects in the diet
756 of farmed fish: past and present. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 203, 1-22.
- 757 Hertrampf, J. W., and Piedad-Pascual, F. (2000). *Handbook on Ingredients for Aquaculture Feeds*.
758 Dordrecht, the Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- 759 Hilton, J. W., Cho, C. Y., and Slinger, S. J. (1981). Effect of Extrusion Processing and Steam Pelleting
760 Diets on Pellet Durability, Pellet Water-Absorption, and the Physiological-Response of
761 Rainbow-Trout (*Salmo-Gaird-Neri R*). *Aquaculture*, 25(2-3), 185-194. doi:Doi 10.1016/0044-
762 8486(81)90180-0
- 763 Izquierdo, M. S., Obach, A., Arantzamendi, L., Montero, D., Robaina, L., and Rosenlund, G. (2003).
764 Dietary lipid sources for seabream and seabass: growth performance, tissue composition
765 and flesh quality. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 9(6), 397-407. doi:DOI 10.1046/j.1365-
766 2095.2003.00270.x
- 767 Jackson, A. J. (2009). Fish in–fish out (FIFO) ratios explained. *Aquaculture Europe*, 34(3), 5-10.

768 Jensen, G. L. (2008). The evolutionary role of federal policies and actions to support the sustainable
769 development of aquaculture in the United States. In P. Leung, C. S. Lee, and P. J. O'Bryen
770 (Eds.), *Species and System Selection for Sustainable Aquaculture* (pp. 179-207). Hoboken,
771 New Jersey, USA: John Wiley and Sons.

772 Jobling, M. (2016). Fish nutrition research: past, present and future. *Aquaculture International*, 24,
773 767-786.

774 Jones, A. C., Mead, A., Kaiser, M. J., Austen, M. C. V., Adrian, A. W., Auchterlonie, N. A., . . .
775 Sutherland, W. J. (2015). Prioritization of knowledge needs for sustainable aquaculture: a
776 national and global perspective. *Fish and Fisheries*, 16(4), 668-683. doi:10.1111/faf.12086

777 Kaushik, S. J., and Seiliez, I. (2010). Protein and amino acid nutrition and metabolism in fish: current
778 knowledge and future needs. *Aquaculture Research*, 41(3), 322-332. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
779 2109.2009.02174.x

780 Kaushik, S., and Troell, M. (2010). Taking the fish-in fish-out ratio a step further... *Aquaculture*
781 *Europe*, 35(1), 15-17.

782 Kitessa, S. M., Abeywardena, M., Wijesundera, C., and Nichols, P. D. (2014). DHA-Containing Oilseed:
783 A Timely Solution for the Sustainability Issues Surrounding Fish Oil Sources of the Health-
784 Benefitting Long-Chain Omega-3 Oils. *Nutrients*, 6(5), 2035-2058. doi:10.3390/nu6052035

785 Kjaer, M. A., Ruyter, B., Berge, G. M., Sun, Y. J., and Ostbye, T. K. K. (2016). Regulation of the Omega-
786 3 Fatty Acid Biosynthetic Pathway in Atlantic Salmon Hepatocytes. *Plos One*, 11(12).
787 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168230

788 Koven, W., Barr, Y., Lutzky, S., Ben-Atia, I., Weiss, R., Harel, M., . . . Tandler, A. (2001). The effect of
789 dietary arachidonic acid (20 : 4n-6) on growth, survival and resistance to handling stress in
790 gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*) larvae. *Aquaculture*, 193(1-2), 107-122. doi:Doi
791 10.1016/S0044-8486(00)00479-8

792 Krogdahl, A., Penn, M., Thorsen, J., Refstie, S., and Bakke, A. M. (2010). Important antinutrients in
793 plant feedstuffs for aquaculture: an update on recent findings regarding responses in
794 salmonids. *Aquaculture Research*, 41(3), 333-344. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2109.2009.02426.x

795 Leaver, M. J., Villeneuve, L. A. N., Obach, A., Jensen, L., Bron, J. E., Tocher, D. R., and Taggart, J. B.
796 (2008). Functional genomics reveals increases in cholesterol biosynthetic genes and highly
797 unsaturated fatty acid biosynthesis after dietary substitution of fish oil with vegetable oils in
798 Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Bmc Genomics*, 9. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-9-299

799 Li, M. H. H., and Robinson, E. H. (2006). Use of cottonseed meal in aquatic animal diets: a review.
800 *North American Journal of Aquaculture*, 68(1), 14-22. doi:10.1577/A05-028.1

801 Li, P., Mai, K. S., Trushenski, J., and Wu, G. Y. (2009). New developments in fish amino acid nutrition:
802 towards functional and environmentally oriented aquafeeds. *Amino Acids*, 37(1), 43-53.
803 doi:10.1007/s00726-008-0171-1

804 Lim, C., Lee, C. S., and Webster, C. D. (2008). *Alternative Protein Sources in Aquaculture Diets*. Boca
805 Raton, FL, USA: CRC Press.

806 Lin, S., Hsieh, F., and Huff, H. E. (1997). Effects of lipids and processing conditions on degree of starch
807 gelatinization of extruded dry pet food. *Lwt-Food Science and Technology*, 30(7), 754-761.

808 Ljokjel, K., Sorensen, M., Storebakken, T., and Skrede, A. (2004). Digestibility of protein, amino acids
809 and starch in mink (*Mustela vison*) fed diets processed by different extrusion conditions.
810 *Canadian Journal of Animal Science*, 84(4), 673-680.

811 Lucht, J.M. (2015). Public acceptance of plant biotechnology and GM crops. *Viruses*, 7, 4254-4281.

812 Lund, I., Steinfeldt, S. J., and Hansen, B. W. (2007). Effect of dietary arachidonic acid,
813 eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid on survival, growth and pigmentation in
814 larvae of common sole (*Solea solea* L.). *Aquaculture*, 273(4), 532-544.
815 doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.10.047

- 816 Mancuso, T., Baldi, L., and Gasco, L. (2016). An empirical study on consumer acceptance of farmed
817 fish fed on insect meals: the Italian case. *Aquaculture International*, 24, 1489-1507.
- 818 Messina, M., Piccolo, G., Tulli, F., Messina, C. M., Cardinaletti, G., and Tibaldi, E. (2013). Lipid
819 composition and metabolism of European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.) fed diets
820 containing wheat gluten and legume meals as substitutes for fish meal. *Aquaculture*, 376, 6-
821 14. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2012.11.005
- 822 Métallier, R., and Guillaume, J. (1999). Raw materials and additives used in fish foods. . In J.
823 Guillaume, S. Kaushik, P. Bergot, and R. Métallier (Eds.), *Nutrition and Feeding of Fish and*
824 *Crustaceans* (pp. 279-296). London, UK: Springer.
- 825 Miller, M. R., Nichols, P. D., and Carter, C. C. (2011). New Alternative n-3 Long-Chain Polyunsaturated
826 Fatty Acid-Rich Oil Sources. In G. M. Turchini, W. K. Ng, and D. R. Tocher (Eds.), *Fish oil*
827 *Replacement and Alternative lipid Sources in Aquaculture Feeds* (pp. 325-350). Boca raton,
828 FL, USA: CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group.
- 829 Miller, M. R., Nichols, P. D., and Carter, C. G. (2007). Replacement of fish oil with thraustochyrid
830 Schizochytrium sp L oil in Atlantic salmon parr (*Salmo salar* L) diets. *Comparative*
831 *Biochemistry and Physiology a-Molecular and Integrative Physiology*, 148(2), 382-392.
832 doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2007.05.018
- 833 Miller, M. R., Nichols, P. D., and Carter, C. G. (2008). n-3 Oil sources for use in aquaculture -
834 alternatives to the unsustainable harvest of wild fish. *Nutrition Research Reviews*, 21(2), 85-
835 96. doi:10.1017/S0954422408102414
- 836 Morken, T., Kraugerud, O. F., Barrows, F. T., Sorensen, M., Storebakken, T., and Overland, M. (2011).
837 Sodium diformate and extrusion temperature affect nutrient digestibility and physical
838 quality of diets with fish meal and barley protein concentrate for rainbow trout

839 (Oncorhynchus mykiss). *Aquaculture*, 317(1-4), 138-145.
840 doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2011.04.020

841 Mourente, G., Good, J. E., Thompson, K. D., and Bell, J. G. (2007). Effects of partial substitution of
842 dietary fish oil with blends of vegetable oils, on blood leucocyte fatty acid compositions,
843 immune function and histology in European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.). *British*
844 *Journal of Nutrition*, 98(4), 770-779. doi:10.1017/S000711450773461x

845 Nasopoulou, C., and Zabetakis, I. (2012). Benefits of fish oil replacement by plant originated oils in
846 compounded fish feeds. A review. *Lwt-Food Science and Technology*, 47(2), 217-224.
847 doi:10.1016/j.lwt.2012.01.018

848 Naylor, R. L., Goldburg, R. J., Primavera, J. H., Kautsky, N., Beveridge, M. C. M., Clay, J., . . . Troell, M.
849 (2000). Effect of aquaculture on world fish supplies. *Nature*, 405(6790), 1017-1024. doi:Doi
850 10.1038/35016500

851 Naylor, R. L., Hardy, R. W., Bureau, D. P., Chiu, A., Elliott, M., Farrell, A. P., . . . Nichols, P. D. (2009).
852 Feeding aquaculture in an era of finite resources. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
853 *Sciences of the United States of America*, 106(36), 15103-15110.
854 doi:10.1073/pnas.0905235106

855 Ngo, D. T., Pirozzi, I., and Glencross, B. (2015). Digestibility of canola meals in barramundi (Asian
856 seabass; *Lates calcarifer*). *Aquaculture*, 435, 442-449.
857 doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2014.10.031

858 Norambuena, F., Lewis, M., Hamid, N. K. A., Hermon, K., Donald, J. A., and Turchini, G. M. (2013).
859 Fish Oil Replacement in Current Aquaculture Feed: Is Cholesterol a Hidden Treasure for Fish
860 Nutrition? *Plos One*, 8(12). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0081705

861 Norambuena, F., Morais, S., Emery, J. A., and Turchini, G. M. (2015). Arachidonic Acid and
862 Eicosapentaenoic Acid Metabolism in Juvenile Atlantic Salmon as Affected by Water
863 Temperature. *Plos One*, 10(11). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0143622

864 NRC. (2011). *Nutrient Requirements of Fish and Shrimp*. Washington, D.C., USA: National Research
865 Council of the National Academies; The National Academies Press.

866 Oehme, M., Aas, T. S., Olsen, H. J., Sorensen, M., Hillestad, M., Li, Y., and Asgard, T. (2014). Effects of
867 dietary moisture content of extruded diets on physical feed quality and nutritional response
868 in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 20(4), 451-465.
869 doi:10.1111/anu.12099

870 Olsen, R. E., Waagbo, R., Melle, W., Ringo, E., and Lall, S. P. (2011). Alternative Marine resources. In
871 G. M. Turchini, W. K. Ng, and D. R. Tocher (Eds.), *Fish oil Replacement and Alternative lipid
872 Sources in Aquaculture Feeds* (pp. 267-324). Boca raton, FL, USA: CRC Press, Taylor and
873 Francis Group.

874 Olsen, R. L., and Hasan, M. R. (2012). A limited supply of fish meal: Impact on future increases in
875 global aquaculture production. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 27(2), 120-128.
876 doi:10.1016/j.tifs.2012.06.003

877 Opstvedt, J., Nygård, E., Samuelsen, T. A., Venturini, G., Luzzana, U., and Mundheim, H. (2003).
878 Processing effects on protein quality of extruded fish feed. *Journal of the Science of Food
879 and Agriculture*, 83(8), 775-782. doi:10.1002/jsfa.1396

880 Oterhals, A., and Samuelsen, T. A. (2015). Plasticization effect of solubles in fish meal. *Food Research
881 International*, 69, 313-321. doi:10.1016/j.foodres.2014.12.028

882 Overturf, K., Barrows, F. T., and Hardy, R. W. (2013). Effect and interaction of rainbow trout strain
883 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and diet type on growth and nutrient retention. *Aquaculture
884 Research*, 44(4), 604-611. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2109.2011.03065.x

885 Popoff, M., MacLeod, M., and Leschen, W. (2017). Attitude towards the use of insect-derived
886 materials in Scottish salmon feeds. *Journal of Insects as Food and Feed*, 3, 131-138.

887 Quinton, C.D., Kause, A., Koskela, J., and Ritola, O. (2007). Breeding salmonids for feed efficiency in
888 current fishmeal and future plant-based diet environments. *Genetics Selection Evolution*, 39,
889 431-446.

890 Rana, K. J., and Hasan, M. R. (2009). Impact of rising feed ingredient prices on aquafeeds and
891 aquaculture production. Rome, Italy: FAO, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United
892 Nations.

893 Refstie, S., Storebakken, T., and Roem, A. J. (1998). Feed consumption and conversion in Atlantic
894 salmon (*Salmo salar*) fed diets with fish meal, extracted soybean meal or soybean meal with
895 reduced content of oligosaccharides, trypsin inhibitors, lectins and soya antigens.
896 *Aquaculture*, 162(3-4), 301-312. doi:Doi 10.1016/S0044-8486(98)00222-1

897 Rollin, X., Mambrini, M., Abboudi, T., Larondelle, Y., and Kaushik, S. J. (2003). The optimum dietary
898 indispensable amino acid pattern for growing Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) fry. *British
899 Journal of Nutrition*, 90(5), 865-876. doi:10.1079/Bjn2003973

900 Rombenso, A. N., Trushenski, J. T., Jirsa, D., and Drawbridge, M. (2015). Successful fish oil sparing in
901 White Seabass feeds using saturated fatty acid-rich soybean oil and 22:6n-3 (DHA)
902 supplementation. *Aquaculture*, 448, 176-185. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.05.041

903 Rustad, T., Storro, I., and Slizyte, R. (2011). Possibilities for the utilisation of marine by-products.
904 *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 46(10), 2001-2014.
905 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2621.2011.02736.x

906 Sales, J., and Glencross, B. (2011). A meta-analysis of the effects of dietary marine oil replacement
907 with vegetable oils on growth, feed conversion and muscle fatty acid composition of fish
908 species. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 17(2), E271-E287. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2095.2010.00761.x

909 Salini, M. J., Turchini, G. M., and Glencross, B. D. (2017). Effect of dietary saturated and
910 monounsaturated fatty acids in juvenile barramundi *Lates calcarifer*. *Aquaculture Nutrition*,
911 23(2), 264-275. doi:10.1111/anu.12389

912 Salze, G. P., and Davis, D. A. (2015). Taurine: a critical nutrient for future fish feeds. *Aquaculture*,
913 437, 215-229. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2014.12.006

914 Salze, G., McLean, E., Battle, P. R., Schwarz, M. H., and Craig, S. R. (2010). Use of soy protein
915 concentrate and novel ingredients in the total elimination of fish meal and fish oil in diets for
916 juvenile cobia, *Rachycentron canadum*. *Aquaculture*, 298(3-4), 294-299.
917 doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2009.11.003

918 Salze, G., Quinton, M., and Bureau, D. P. (2011). Challenges associated with carrying out a meta-
919 analysis of essential amino acid requirements of fish. *International Aquafeed Magazine*,
920 September-October, 28-31.

921 Samuelsen, T. A., and Oterhals, A. (2016). Water-soluble protein level in fish meal affects extrusion
922 behaviour, phase transitions and physical quality of feed. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 22(1), 120-
923 133. doi:10.1111/anu.12235

924 Samuelsen, T. A., Mjos, S. A., and Oterhals, A. (2013). Impact of variability in fish meal
925 physicochemical properties on the extrusion process, starch gelatinization and pellet
926 durability and hardness. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 179(1-4), 77-84.
927 doi:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2012.10.009

928 Samuelsen, T. A., Mjos, S. A., and Oterhals, A. (2014). Influence of type of raw material on fish meal
929 physicochemical properties, the extrusion process, starch gelatinization and physical quality
930 of fish feed. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 20(4), 410-420. doi:10.1111/anu.12093

931 Sargent, J., Bell, G., McEvoy, L., Tocher, D., and Estevez, A. (1999). Recent developments in the
932 essential fatty acid nutrition of fish. *Aquaculture*, 177(1-4), 191-199. doi:Doi 10.1016/S0044-
933 8486(99)00083-6

934 Sarker, P. K., Gamble, M. M., Kelson, S., and Kapuscinski, A. R. (2016). Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis*
935 niloticus) show high digestibility of lipid and fatty acids from marine *Schizochytrium* sp and
936 of protein and essential amino acids from freshwater *Spirulina* sp feed ingredients.
937 *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 22(1), 109-119. doi:10.1111/anu.12230

938 Sevgili, H., Kurtoglu, A., Oikawa, M., Mefut, A., and Suyek, R. (2012). The Use of Farmed Salmon Oil
939 to Replace Anchovy Oil in Diet of Turbot, *Psetta maxima*, Reared in Brackish Water. *Journal*
940 *of the World Aquaculture Society*, 43(4), 560-570. doi:10.1111/j.1749-7345.2012.00582.x

941 Shearer, K. D. (2000). Experimental design, statistical analysis and modelling of dietary nutrient
942 requirement studies for fish: a critical review. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 6(2), 91-102.

943 Shepherd, C. J., and Jackson, A. J. (2013). Global fish meal and fish-oil supply: inputs, outputs and
944 markets. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 83(4), 1046-1066. doi:10.1111/jfb.12224

945 Shepherd, C. J., Monroig, O., and Tocher, D. R. (2017). Future availability of raw materials for salmon
946 feeds and supply chain implications: The case of Scottish farmed salmon. *Aquaculture*, 467,
947 49-62. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2016.08.021

948 Shepherd, J., Monroig, O., and Tocher, D.R. (2017). Future availability of raw materials for salmon
949 feeds and supply chain implications: the case of Scottish farmed salmon. *Aquaculture*, 467,
950 49-62.

951 Sitjà-Bobadilla, A., Peña-Llopis, S., Gómez-Requeni, P., Médale, F., Kaushik, S., and Pérez-Sánchez, J.
952 (2005). Effect of fish meal replacement by plant protein sources on non-specific defence
953 mechanisms and oxidative stress in gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*). *Aquaculture*, 249,
954 387-400.

955 Sookying, D., Davis, D. A., and da Silva, F. S. D. (2013). A review of the development and application
956 of soybean-based diets for Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture*
957 *Nutrition*, 19(4), 441-448. doi:10.1111/anu.12050

958 Sorensen, M. (2012). A review of the effects of ingredient composition and processing conditions on
959 the physical qualities of extruded high-energy fish feed as measured by prevailing methods.
960 *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 18(3), 233-248. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2095.2011.00924.x

961 Sørensen, M. (2012). A review of the effects of ingredient composition and processing conditions on
962 the physical qualities of extruded high-energy fish feed as measured by prevailing methods.
963 *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 18(3), 233-248.

964 Sorensen, M., Ljokjel, K., Storebakken, T., Shearer, K. D., and Skrede, A. (2002). Apparent digestibility
965 of protein, amino acids and energy in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fed a fish meal
966 based diet extruded at different temperatures. *Aquaculture*, 211(1-4), 215-225. doi:Doi
967 10.1016/S0044-8486(01)00887-0

968 Sprague, M., Dick, J.R., and Tocher, D.R. (2016). Impact of sustainable feeds on omega-3 long-chain
969 fatty acid levels in farmed Atlantic salmon, 2006-2015. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 21892.

970 Sprague, M., Walton, J., Campbell, P. J., Strachan, F., Dick, J. R., and Bell, J. G. (2015). Replacement of
971 fish oil with a DHA-rich algal meal derived from *Schizochytrium* sp on the fatty acid and
972 persistent organic pollutant levels in diets and flesh of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*, L.) post-
973 smolts. *Food Chemistry*, 185, 413-421. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.03.150

974 Storebakken, T., Zhang, Y. X., Kraugerud, O. F., Ma, J. J., Overland, M., Apper, E., and Feneuil, A.
975 (2015). Restricted process water limits starch gelatinization, and reduces digestibility of
976 starch, lipid, and energy in extruded rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) diets.
977 *Aquaculture*, 448, 203-206. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.05.030

- 978 Tacon, A. G. J. (1997). Feeding tomorrow's fish: keys for sustainability. CIHEAM - Options
979 Mediterranean, 22, 11-34.
- 980 Tacon, A. G. J., and Akiyama, D. M. (1997). Feed ingredients. In Crustacean Nutrition (pp. 411-472).
981 Baton Rouge, USA: World Aquaculture Society,.
- 982 Tacon, A. G. J., and Jackson, A. J. (1985). Utilisation of conventional and unconventional protein
983 sources in practical fish feeds. In C. B. Cowey, A. M. Mackie, and J. G. Bell (Eds.), Nutrition
984 and Feeding in Fish (pp. 119-145). London, UK: Academic Press.
- 985 Tacon, A. G. J., and Metian, M. (2008). Global overview on the use of fish meal and fish oil in
986 industrially compounded aquafeeds: Trends and future prospects. Aquaculture, 285(1-4),
987 146-158. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2008.08.015
- 988 Tacon, A. G. J., and Metian, M. (2009). Fishing for Feed or Fishing for Food: Increasing Global
989 Competition for Small Pelagic Forage Fish. Ambio, 38(6), 294-302.
- 990 Tacon, A. G. J., and Metian, M. (2013). Fish Matters: Importance of Aquatic Foods in Human
991 Nutrition and Global Food Supply. Reviews in Fisheries Science and Aquaculture, 21(1), 22-
992 38.
- 993 Tacon, A. G. J., and Metian, M. (2015). Feed Matters: Satisfying the Feed Demand of Aquaculture.
994 Reviews in Fisheries Science and Aquaculture, 23(1), 1-10.
995 doi:10.1080/23308249.2014.987209
- 996 Tacon, A. G. J., Hasan, M. R., and Metian, M. (2011). Demand and supply of feed ingredients for
997 farmed fish and crustaceans. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United
998 Nations.
- 999 Tocher, D. R. (2010). Fatty acid requirements in ontogeny of marine and freshwater fish. Aquaculture
1000 Research, 41(5), 717-732. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2109.2008.02150.x

1001 Tocher, D. R. (2015). Omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids and aquaculture in
1002 perspective. *Aquaculture*, 449, 94-107. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.01.010

1003 Torrecillas, S., Robaina, L., Caballero, M. J., Montero, D., Calandra, G., Mompel, D., . . . Izquierdo, M.
1004 S. (2017). Combined replacement of fish meal and fish oil in European sea bass
1005 (*Dicentrarchus labrax*): Production performance, tissue composition and liver morphology.
1006 *Aquaculture*, 474, 101-112. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.03.031

1007 Torstensen, B. E., Bell, J. G., Rosenlund, G., Henderson, R. J., Graff, I. E., Tocher, D. R., . . . Sargent, J.
1008 R. (2005). Tailoring of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) flesh lipid composition and sensory
1009 quality by replacing fish oil with a vegetable oil blend. *Journal of Agricultural and Food*
1010 *Chemistry*, 53(26), 10166-10178. doi:10.1021/jf051308i

1011 Trushenski, J. T. (2009). Saturated Lipid Sources in Feeds for Sunshine Bass: Alterations in Production
1012 Performance and Tissue Fatty Acid Composition. *North American Journal of Aquaculture*,
1013 71(4), 363-373. doi:10.1577/A09-001.1

1014 Trushenski, J. T., and Bowzer, J. C. (2013). Having Your Omega 3 Fatty Acids and Eating Them Too:
1015 Strategies to Ensure and Improve the Long-Chain Polyunsaturated Fatty Acid Content of
1016 Farm-Raised Fish. In F. De Meester, R. R. Watson, and S. Zibadi (Eds.), *Omega-6/3 Fatty*
1017 *Acids: Functions, Sustainability Strategies and Perspectives* (pp. 319-339). Totowa, NJ:
1018 Humana Press.

1019 Trushenski, J. T., and Lochmann, R. T. (2009). Potential, implications, and solutions regarding the use
1020 of rendered animal fats in aquafeeds. *American Journal of Animal and Veterinary Sciences*,
1021 4(4), 108-128.

1022 Trushenski, J. T., Kasper, C. S., and Kohler, C. C. (2006). Challenges and opportunities in finfish
1023 nutrition. *North American Journal of Aquaculture*, 68(2), 122-140. doi:Doi 10.1577/A05-
1024 006.1

- 1025 Trushenski, J., and Gause, B. (2013). Comparative Value of Fish Meal Alternatives as Protein Sources
1026 in Feeds for Hybrid Striped Bass. *North American Journal of Aquaculture*, 75(3), 329-341.
1027 doi:10.1080/15222055.2013.768574
- 1028 Trushenski, J., Schwarz, M., Bergman, A., Rombenso, A., and Delbos, B. (2012). DHA is essential, EPA
1029 appears largely expendable, in meeting the n-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid
1030 requirements of juvenile cobia *Rachycentron canadum*. *Aquaculture*, 326, 81-89.
1031 doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2011.11.033
- 1032 Trushenski, J., Woitel, F., Schwarz, M., and Yamamoto, F. (2013). Saturated Fatty Acids Limit the
1033 Effects of Replacing Fish Oil with Soybean Oil with or without Phospholipid Supplementation
1034 in Feeds for Juvenile Cobia. *North American Journal of Aquaculture*, 75(2), 316-328.
1035 doi:10.1080/15222055.2012.713897
- 1036 Turchini, G. M., and Francis, D. S. (2009). Fatty acid metabolism (desaturation, elongation and beta-
1037 oxidation) in rainbow trout fed fish oil- or linseed oil-based diets. *British Journal of Nutrition*,
1038 102(1), 69-81. doi:10.1017/S0007114508137874
- 1039 Turchini, G. M., Francis, D. S., Senadheera, S. P. S. D., Thanuthong, T., and De Silva, S. S. (2011). Fish
1040 oil replacement with different vegetable oils in Murray cod: Evidence of an "omega-3
1041 sparing effect" by other dietary fatty acids. *Aquaculture*, 315(3-4), 250-259.
1042 doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2011.02.016
- 1043 Turchini, G. M., Gunasekera, R. M., and De Silva, S. S. (2003). Effect of crude oil extracts from trout
1044 offal as a replacement for fish oil in the diets of the Australian native fish Murray cod
1045 *Maccullochella peelii peelii*. *Aquaculture Research*, 34(9), 697-708. doi:DOI 10.1046/j.1365-
1046 2109.2003.00870.x
- 1047 Turchini, G. M., Ng, W. K., and Tocher, D. R. (2011). Fish oil replacement and alternative lipid sources
1048 in aquaculture feeds. Boca Raton, FL, USA: CRC Press.

1049 Turchini, G. M., Torstensen, B. E., and Ng, W. K. (2009). Fish oil replacement in finfish nutrition.
1050 *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 1(1), 10-57. doi:10.1111/j.1753-5131.2008.01001.x

1051 Usher, S., Haslam, R., Sayanova, O., Napier, J. A., Betancor, M. B., and Tocher, D. R. (2015). The
1052 supply of fish oil to aquaculture: a role for transgenic oilseed crops? *World Agriculture*, 5(1),
1053 15-23.

1054 Van Anholt, R. D., Spanings, E. A. T., Koven, W. M., Nixon, O., and Bonga, S. E. W. (2004). Arachidonic
1055 acid reduces the stress response of gilthead seabream *Sparus aurata* L. *Journal of*
1056 *Experimental Biology*, 207(19), 3419-3430. doi:10.1242/jcb.01166

1057 Vens-Cappell, B. (1984). The effects of extrusion and pelleting of feed for trout on the digestibility of
1058 protein, amino acids and energy and on feed conversion. *Aquacultural engineering*, 3(1), 71-
1059 89.

1060 Vizcaino-Ochoa, V., Lazo, J. P., Baron-Sevilla, B., and Drawbridge, M. A. (2010). The effect of dietary
1061 docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) on growth, survival and pigmentation of California halibut
1062 *Paralichthys californicus* larvae (Ayres, 1810). *Aquaculture*, 302(3-4), 228-234.
1063 doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2010.02.022

1064 Wu, G. Y. (2014). Dietary requirements of synthesizable amino acids by animals: a paradigm shift in
1065 protein nutrition. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*, 5. doi:10.1186/2049-1891-5-
1066 34

1067 Wu, G. Y., Bazer, F. W., Dai, Z. L., Li, D. F., Wang, J. J., and Wu, Z. L. (2014). Amino Acid Nutrition in
1068 Animals: Protein Synthesis and Beyond. *Annual Review of Animal Biosciences*, Vol 2, 2, 387-
1069 417. doi:10.1146/annurev-animal-022513-114113

1070 Young, V. R., and Pellett, P. L. (1994). Plant-Proteins in Relation to Human Protein and Amino-Acid
1071 Nutrition. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 59(5), 1203s-1212s.

1072 Yun, B. A., Ai, Q. H., Mai, K. S., Xu, W., Qi, G. S., and Luo, Y. W. (2012). Synergistic effects of dietary
1073 cholesterol and taurine on growth performance and cholesterol metabolism in juvenile
1074 turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus* L.) fed high plant protein diets. *Aquaculture*, 324, 85-91.
1075 doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2011.10.012

1076 Zhu, S., Chen, S., Hardy, R. W., and Barrows, F. T. (2001). Digestibility, growth and excretion response
1077 of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss* Walbaum) to feeds of different ingredient particle
1078 sizes. *Aquaculture Research*, 32(11), 885-893. doi:DOI 10.1046/j.1365-2109.2001.00624.x

1079 Zhu, T. F., Ai, Q. H., Mai, K. S., Xu, W., Zhou, H. H., and Liufu, Z. G. (2014). Feed intake, growth
1080 performance and cholesterol metabolism in juvenile turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus* L.) fed
1081 defatted fish meal diets with graded levels of cholesterol. *Aquaculture*, 428, 290-296.
1082 doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2014.03.027

1083

1084

1085 Table 1. A selection of some of the several available reviews dealing with different aspects of fish meal and/or fish oil replacement in aquafeeds. Within
 1086 each category, references are sorted chronologically.

Title	Publication type	Reference
General nutrition, feed formulation and manufacturing reviews		
Utilization of conventional and unconventional protein sources in practical fish feeds	Book chapter	Tacon and Jackson 1985
Feed ingredient	Book chapter	Tacon and Akiyama 1997
Raw materials and additives used in fish foods	Book chapter	Métallier and Guillaume 1999
Recent developments in the essential fatty acid nutrition of fish	Journal article	Sargent et al., 1999
Diet formulation and manufacture	Book chapter	Hardy and Barrows 2002
Challenges and opportunities in finfish nutrition	Journal article	Trushenski et al., 2006
A review of processing of feed ingredients to enhance diet digestibility in finfish	Journal article	Drew et al., 2007
A feed is only as good as its ingredients - a review of ingredient evaluation strategies for aquaculture feeds	Journal article	Glencross et al., 2007
Exploring the nutritional demand for essential fatty acids by aquaculture species.	Journal article	Glencross 2009
Protein and amino acid nutrition and metabolism in fish: current knowledge and future needs	Journal article	Kaushik and Seiliez 2010
Fatty acid requirements in ontogeny of marine and freshwater fish	Journal article	Tocher 2010
Nutrient Requirements of Fish and Shrimp	Book	NRC 2011
Omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids and aquaculture in perspective	Journal article	Tocher 2015
Fish meal and oil sparing and alternative ingredient reviews		
Expanding the utilization of sustainable plant products in aquafeeds: a review	Journal article	Gatlin et al., 2007
n-3 oil sources for use in aquaculture - alternatives to the unsustainable harvest of wild fish	Journal article	Miller et al., 2008
Fish oil replacement in finfish nutrition	Journal article	Turchini et al., 2009
Fish Oil Replacement and Alternative Lipid Sources in Aquaculture Feeds	Book	Turchini et al., 2011
A meta-analysis of the effects of dietary marine oil replacement with vegetable oils on growth, feed conversion and muscle fatty acid composition of fish species	Journal article	Sales and Glencross 2011
Benefits of fish oil replacement by plant originated oils in compounded fish feeds, a review	Journal article	Nasopoulou and Zabetakis 2012
Having your omega-3 fatty acids and eating them too: strategies to ensure and improve the long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid content of farm-raised fish	Book chapter	Trushenski and Bowzer 2013
Feed matters: satisfying the feed demand of aquaculture	Journal article	Tacon and Metian 2015
Fish nutrition research: past, present, and future	Journal article	Jobling 2016

Ingredient-oriented reviews		
Handbook on Ingredients for Aquaculture Feeds	Book	Hertrampf and Piedad-Pascual 2000
New development in aquatic feed ingredients, and potential of enzyme supplements	Conference proceedings	Hardy 2000
Antinutritional factors present in plant-derived alternate fish feed ingredients and their effects in fish	Journal article	Francis et al., 2001
Feeding lupins to fish: a review of the nutritional and biological value of lupins in aquaculture feeds	Technical report	Glencross 2001
Use of cottonseed meal in aquatic animal diets: a review	Journal article	Li and Robinson 2006
Alternative Protein Sources in Aquaculture Diets	Book	Lim et al., 2008
Potential, implications, and solutions regarding the use of rendered animal fats in aquafeeds	Journal article	Trushenski and Lochmann 2009
Important antinutrients in plant feedstuffs for aquaculture: an update on recent findings regarding responses in salmonids	Journal article	Krogdahl et al., 2010
A review of using canola/rapeseed meal in aquaculture feeding	Journal article	Enami 2011
Microalgae: a sustainable feed sources for aquaculture	Journal article	Hemaiswarya et al., 2011
Review on the use of insects in the diet of farmed fish: past and present	Journal article	Henry et al., 2015
The supply of fish oil to aquaculture: a role for transgenic oilseed crops?	Journal article	Usher et al., 2015
Species-oriented reviews		
The nutrition of prawns and shrimp in aquaculture - a review of recent research	Book chapter	Fox et al., 1994
Alternative dietary protein sources for farmed tilapia, <i>Oreochromis</i> spp.	Journal article	El-Sayed 1999
A review of the development and application of soybean-based diets for Pacific white shrimp <i>Litopenaenus vannamei</i>	Journal article	Sookying et al., 2013
Market-, utilization-, and sustainability- oriented reviews		
Feeding tomorrow's fish: keys for sustainability	Journal article	Tacon 1997
Nutrition and feeding for sustainable aquaculture development in the third millennium in: Aquaculture in the Third Millennium	Technical report	Hasan 2001
Fish meal: historical uses, production trends and future outlook for sustainable supplies	Book chapter	Hardy and Tacon 2002
Global overview on the use of fish meal and fish oil in industrially compounded aquafeeds: trends and future prospects	Journal article	Tacon and Metian 2008
Feeding aquaculture in an era of finite resources	Journal article	Naylor et al., 2009
Impact of rising feed ingredient prices on aquafeeds and aquaculture production	Technical report	Rana and Hasan 2009
Utilization of plant proteins in fish diets: effects of global demand and supplies of fish meal	Journal article	Hardy 2010
Demand and supply of feed ingredients for farmed fish and crustaceans	Technical report	Tacon et al., 2011
A limited supply of fish meal: impact on future increases in global aquaculture production	Journal article	Olsen and Hasan 2012
Global fish meal and fish-oil supply: inputs, outputs and markets	Journal article	Shepherd and Jackson 2013

Future availability of raw materials for salmon feeds and supply chain implications: the case of
Scottish farmed salmon

Journal article

Shepherd et al., 2017

1087

1088

1089 Table 2. Summary of some selected studies in which the substitution of fish meal with different raw materials, in isolation and/or in combination was
 1090 tested, in the diet for different commercially important aquaculture species. Entries are sorted alphabetically by common name, finfish first and then
 1091 crustaceans. Asterisks indicate values are expressed as a percent (%) of the designated reference/control used in each experiment.

Species	Raw Materials	Experiment Constraints and Observations	Gain*	Intake*	FCR*	Reference
Atlantic Salmon <i>Salmo salar</i>	Soy concentrate (S), Poultry Meal (P), Corn Concentrate (C)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diets formulated to equivalent crude protein and energy and balanced for lysine, methionine and taurine. Fish meal inclusion in plant protein (PP) diet constrained to 20%. Diets fed to transgenic/non-transgenic and diploid/triploid fish. Data presented is only for the non-transgenic diploid fish. Replacement strategy (PP) fed fish sustained better performance compared to a fish meal reference (FM). Growth was linked to a better feed intake and improved feed conversion associated with the PP diet. 	FM: 100 PP: 113	FM: 100 PP: 107	FM: 100 PP: 95	Ganga et al., 2015
Atlantic Salmon	Wheat gluten, Corn Gluten, Soy Concentrate	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diets were balanced for both crude protein and energy, as well as being balanced for most amino acids. No fish meal, only fish solubles (FS and SW) and hydrolysates (SQ) included in any of the test diets. Reference had 49% fish meal. All alternative diets had poorer feed intake leading to poorer growth. Feed conversion was unaffected by replacement. 	R: 100 FS: 82 SW: 82 SQ: 87	R: 100 FS: 81 SW: 84 SQ: 86	R: 100 FS: 98 SW: 102 SQ: 99	Espe et al., 2006
Barramundi <i>Lates calcarifer</i>	Lupin kernel Meals (L), Wheat gluten (W), Poultry Meal (P), Canola Meal (C), Blend (B) and Fish meal (F) reference	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diets formulated to same digestible protein (DP) and digestible energy (DE) basis and balanced for amino acids according to ideal protein concept. Fish meal minimum inclusion constrained to 15%. Both single replacement and multiple replacement strategies sustained performance equivalent to a fish meal reference and that growth was largely linked to feed intake variability. In some cases, use of alternative raw materials stimulated enhanced feed intake. 	F: 100 L: 151 W: 116 P: 128 C: 158 B: 113	F: 100 L: 125 W: 111 P: 126 C: 127 B: 112	F: 100 L: 83 W: 95 P: 89 C: 80 B: 101	Glencross et al., 2011

Barramundi	Poultry Meal, Soybean Meal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diets formulated to same DP and DE basis and balanced for amino acids according to ideal protein concept. Fish meal inclusion constrained to 30%, 20%, 10% or 0%. Feed conversion was consistent across the 30% to 0% inclusion of fish meal. Variation in growth was in response to a decline clearly linked to changes in feed intake. 	30%: 100 20%: 93 10%: 94 0%: 84	30%: 100 20%: 89 10%: 92 0%: 87	30%: 100 20%: 97 10%: 98 0%: 104	Glencross et al., 2016
European Seabass <i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i>	Blood Meal, Soy Concentrate, Rapeseed Meal, Corn Gluten, Wheat Gluten	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diets formulated to same crude protein and lipid basis and balanced for amino acids. Fish meal inclusion constrained to 58%, 20%, 10%, 5% or 0%. Some treatments were varied with either 6% or 3% fish oil addition. All data presented is with the 6% fish oil. A deterioration in performance was largely linked to a decline feed intake associated with the replacement strategy diet. 	58%: 100 20%: 96 10%: 86 5%: 81 0%: 51	58%: 100 20%: 93 10%: 91 5%: 87 0%: 67	58%: 100 20%: 98 10%: 106 5%: 107 0%: 131	Torrecillas et al., 2017
European Seabass	Blood Meal, Soy Concentrate, Rapeseed Meal, Corn Gluten, Wheat Gluten	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diets formulated to same crude protein and lipid basis and balanced for amino acids. Fish meal inclusion constrained to 68% (F), 34% (W19) or 19% (W41, W+P, W+S). Growth unaffected by treatment, but a deterioration in FCR linked to an increase in feed intake associated with the replacement strategy in some diets. 	F: 100 W19: 99 W41: 95 W+P: 99 W+S: 99	F: 100 W19: 97 W41: 95 W+P: 102 W+S: 109	F: 100 W19: 98 W41: 100 W+P: 103 W+S: 110	Messina et al., 2013
Gilthead Seabream <i>Sparus aurata</i>	Corn Gluten Meal, Wheat Gluten, Pea Meal, Rapeseed Meal, Lupin Meal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diets formulated to same crude protein and lipid basis and balanced for amino acids according to ideal protein concept. Fish meal inclusion constrained to 70%, 35%, 18% or 0%. Growth decline with increasing FM replacement linked to a decline in feed intake associated with the replacement strategy diet used. FCR improved with increasing FM replacement, linked to a decline in feed intake. 	F: 100 P50: 93 P75: 87 P100: 73	F: 100 P50: 83 P75: 75 P100: 66	F: 100 P50: 89 P75: 86 P100: 90	Gomez-Requeni et al., 2004
Rainbow Trout <i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	Lupin Meal, Faba Bean Meal, Pea Meal, Maize Gluten, Soy Meal, Colzapro, Meat Meal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diets formulated to same crude protein and energy basis and balanced for lysine and methionine only. A blend of plant proteins used in each diet. Fish meal varied from 54%, 40%, 20% to 0% (C0 to C100 respectively). 	C0: 100 C33: 101 C66: 101 C100: 85	C0: 100 C33: 105 C66: 98 C100: 86	C0: 100 C33: 105 C66: 97 C100: 102	Gomes et al., 1995)

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Performance unaffected by alternative diets except at 0% fish meal inclusion, where the poorer feed intake led to a reduced growth. • Feed conversion unaffected by fish meal replacement. 				
Rainbow Trout	Peanut Meal (PM), Soybean Meal (SB), Soy Concentrate (SC), Soy Flour (SF) Blood Meal (BM)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diets formulated to same crude protein and energy basis and balanced for amino acids. • No fish meal included in any of the test diets, with the treatment protein being the predominant protein in each respective diet. • All alternative diets had poorer performance linked predominantly to lower feed intake leading to poorer feed conversion and growth. 	CTL: 100 PM: 57 SB20: 67 SC1: 66 SC2: 57 SF: 86 SB40: 86 BM: 58	CTL: 100 PM: 78 SB20: 85 SC1: 82 SC2: 78 SF: 98 SB40: 100 BM: 77	CTL: 100 PM: 136 SB20: 127 SC1: 125 SC2: 137 SF: 115 SB40: 116 BM: 133	Adelizi et al., 1998
Hybrid Striped Bass <i>Morone chrysops</i> x <i>M. saxatilis</i>	Grain Distillers Dried Yeast (G), Corn Gluten Meal (C), Distillers Dried Grains with Solubles (D), Poultry By-Product Meal (P), Soybean Meal (S), Soy (SC) Concentrate, Soy Isolate (SI)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diets formulated with inclusion of a single "test" ingredient to the same crude protein and energy basis and balanced for methionine. • Fish meal kept constant (~10%) with inclusion of each of the single alternatives and compared to a reference with 30% fish meal. • Some significant effects noted on consumer preference relative to ingredient use. 	FM: 100 G: 75 C: 88 D: 85 P: 106 S: 95	FM: 100 G: 86 C: 92 D: 100 P: 94 S: 97	FM: 100 G: 101 C: 99 D: 109 P: 91 S: 99	Trushenski and Gause 2013
Giant Tiger Prawn <i>Penaeus monodon</i>	Poultry Meal, Lupin kernel Meal, Microbial Biomass	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diets formulated with 45% to 0% fish meal, but to same crude protein and energy basis and not balanced for amino acids. • Clear decline in performance associated with decreasing fish meal inclusion linked to poorer conversion with a higher feed intake. • Growth loss could be offset using a microbial biomass supplement. • Effects of different environmental systems also observed. 	45%: 100 20%: 95 15%: 91 10%: 79 5%: 84 0%: 82	45%: 100 20%: 156 15%: 137 10%: 133 5%: 127 0%: 118	45%: 100 20%: 159 15%: 146 10%: 139 5%: 134 0%: 114	Glencross et al., 2014
Whiteleg Shrimp <i>Litopenaeus vannamei</i>	Poultry Meal, Soybean Meal, Corn Gluten	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diets formulated to same crude protein and energy basis and not balanced for amino acids. • Trial conducted in outdoor tanks mimicking pond system environment. • Replacement of fish meal (9% to 0%) with combined alternatives had no impact on feed intake, feed conversion or growth. 	9%: 100 6%: 101 3%: 102 0%: 94	9%: 100 6%: 100 3%: 100 0%: 100	9%: 100 6%: 99 3%: 98 0%: 106	Amaya et al. 2007)

Whiteleg Shrimp	Soybean Isolate, Corn Gluten	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diets formulated to same crude protein and energy basis. Trial conducted in a recirculating aquaculture system environment. Replacement of fish meal (56% to 0%) with combined alternatives had no impact on feed intake, feed conversion, survival or growth. Use of stable isotopes demonstrated differential contributions of the various raw materials 	56%: 100 28%: 101 18%: 104 14%: 82 0%: 35	N/A	N/A	Gamboa- Delgado et al., 2013
--------------------	---------------------------------	---	---	-----	-----	------------------------------------

1092

1093 Table 3. Summary of some selected studies in which the advantages of using blends of oils, evidences of omega-3 sparing effect of different dietary fatty
 1094 acid classes, and the importance of individual lipid nutrients (essential and non-essential) have been reported. (Within each category, entries are sorted per
 1095 species, alphabetically; finfish first, and then crustaceans).

Species	Raw Materials / Individual nutrient	Experiment Constraints and Observations	Outcomes	Reference
Lipid blends				
Atlantic Salmon <i>Salmo salar</i>	Fish oil (FO) Blend of vegetable oils (VO) (rapeseed 55%, palm 30% and linseed 15%)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FO replaced at two levels (75 and 100%), extruded diets. • Over entire production cycle. • Output measured: fish performances, tissues' fatty acid composition, astaxanthin content, and final product sensorial qualities. 	<p>No statistically significant difference in performance, except for 100%VO outperforming control (FO) during seawater, winter period.</p> <p>Fatty acid composition of fish tissues modified and reflective of that of the diet.</p> <p>No effects on pigmentation.</p> <p>100% VO had less rancid and marine characteristics and was preferred over flesh from the other dietary groups</p>	Torstensen et al., 2005
Atlantic Salmon	Fish oil (FO) Rapeseed oil (RO) Linseed oil (LO)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Isoenergetic and isoproteic extruded diets, fed over 50 weeks. • 9 experimental diets containing single oils or various blends of two vegetable oils at different inclusion, plus control (FO). • Output measured: fish performances, tissues' chemical and fatty acid composition. 	<p>Some differences in performance at 50 week being recorded, but likely due to constrains in feeding methodology.</p> <p>Fatty acid composition of fish tissues modified and reflective of that of the diet.</p> <p>Atlantic salmon can be raised on diets in which FO is replaced with different blends of vegetable oils for the entire seawater culture phase</p>	Bell et al., 2003
European Seabass <i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i>	Fish oil (FO) Rapeseed oil (RO) Linseed oil (LO) Palm oil (PO)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Isoenergetic and isoproteic extruded diets, fed to satiety. • Control (FO) and two experimental diet containing 60% of different blends of the three vegetable oils. • Output measured: fish performances, tissues' fatty acid composition, plasma prostaglandin, blood parameters (haematocrit, leucocytes erythrocytes), kidney macrophage activity, serum lysozyme activity, and tissue histology 	<p>Normal immune function can be more successfully achieved when dietary FO is replaced by a blend of VO (with physiologically balanced fatty acid composition), compared to using a single oil.</p>	Mourente et al., 2007)

Gilthead Seabream <i>Sparus aurata</i>	Fish oil (FO) Soybean oil (SO) Rapeseed oil (RO)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Isoenergetic and isoproteic extruded diets, fed to satiety. • Control 100% FO. • Experimental diets 60% of FO replaced by one of the tested oil. • Output measured: fish performances, fatty acid composition and final product sensorial qualities. 	No statistically significant difference in performance, but Mix resulted in numerical better values, even compared to FO. Fatty acid composition of fish tissues modified and reflective of that of the diet. No effects on smell, taste and texture of fish fillet, apart from stronger smell and taste recorded for fish fed SO.	Izquierdo et al., 2003
European Seabass	Linseed oil (LO) Mixture (Mix) of SO, RO and LO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Output measured: fish performances, fatty acid composition and final product sensorial qualities. 	No effects on smell, taste and texture of fish fillet, apart from stronger smell and taste recorded for fish fed SO.	
Giant Tiger Prawn <i>Penaeus monodon</i>	Fish oil (FO) Several different marine oils, vegetable oils and purified fatty acids.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Several dietary treatments to assess various dietary fatty acid combinations • Output measured: prawn performances, tissues' fatty acid composition, 	The correct balance of dietary fatty acids, particularly C18 PUFA of the n-3 and n-6 series, coupled with the optimal ratio between EPA and DHA, resulted in lower requirement, and more efficient utilisation, of n-3 LC-PUFA; Proper oil blend results also in improved growth performances compared to prawn fed with FO as the main dietary lipid source.	Glencross et al., 2002a, 2002b
Omega-3 sparing				
Atlantic Salmon	Fish oil (FO) Tuna oil (TO) Poultry oil (PoL) Rapeseed oil (RO)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Isoenergetic and isoproteic diets, fed to satiety. • Control 100% FO, compared to different blends of the other oils • Output measured: fish performances and fatty acid composition. 	A DHA:EPA ratio higher than that commonly occurring in FO, resulted in more efficient deposition of n-3 LC-PUFA. Blending FO with PoL increased the efficiency of n-3LC-PUFA retention/deposition compared to a diet based on FO only	Codabaccus et al., 2012
Barramundi <i>Lates calcarifer</i>	Fish oil (FO) Olive oil (OO) Palm oil (PO) Palm flake (PF)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Isoenergetic and isoproteic diets, fed to satiety. • Control 100% FO, and two experimental diets, SFA rich and one MUFA rich blending the different oils. • Output measured: fish performances, fatty acid composition and apparent <i>in vivo</i> fatty acid metabolism. 	Either dietary SFA or MUFA can influence the <i>in vivo</i> metabolism of fatty acids and the final fatty acid composition of the whole fish Dietary MUFA and SFA are both equally efficient at sparing n-3 LC-PUFA from an oxidative fate.	Salini et al., 2017
European Seabass	Fish oil (FO) Cottonseed oil (CSO) Canola oil (CO)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Isoenergetic and isoproteic diets, fed to satiety. • Control 100% FO. Each oil tested in isolation at a 50/50 mix at 100% substitution. • Output measured: fatty acid composition and apparent <i>in vivo</i> fatty acid metabolism. 	European sea bass was able to efficiently use n-6 PUFA for energy substrate, and this minimized the β -oxidation of n-3 LC-PUFA, and increased their deposition into body compartments.	Eroldogan et al., 2013

Murray Cod <i>Maccullochella</i> <i>peelii peelii</i>	Fish oil (FO) Linseed oil (LO) Olive oil (OO) Palm oil (PO) Sunflower oil (SFO)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Isoenergetic and isoproteic diets, fed to satiety. • Control 100% FO. Each oil tested at 100% substitution. • Grow-out plus finishing on FO. • Output measured: fish performances, fatty acid composition and apparent <i>in vivo</i> fatty acid metabolism. 	Not all alternative oils performed the same, and the actual overall fatty acid composition of the alternative oil used (i.e. SFA, MUFA, PUFA) had a remarkable effect on the final n-3 LC-PUFA content of the fish MUFA, and to a lesser extent SFA, showed an “omega-3 sparing effect”, where their abundant availability in the diet decreased the catabolism of n-3 LC-PUFA and resulting in a greater flesh deposition rate.	Turchini et al., 2011
Hybrid Striped Bass <i>Morone chrysops</i> x <i>M. saxatilis</i>	Fish oil (FO) Coconut oil (CCO) Palm oil (PO)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Isoenergetic and isoproteic diets, fed to satiety. • Control 100% FO, and CCO and PO either tested at 50% or 100% substitution of FO. • Output measured: fish performances and fatty acid composition. 	Dietary inclusion of abundant levels of SFA appeared to improve the retention of n-3 LC-PUFA in the tissues of the fish.	Trushenski 2009
Individual (essential and non-essential) lipids				
Atlantic Salmon Rainbow Trout <i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	Individual fatty acid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Different studies, see references for details. 	Individual dietary fatty acids trigger differential responses in regulation of gene transcription	Coccia et al., 2014; Kjaer et al., 2016
Atlantic salmon California Halibut <i>Paralichthys californicus</i> Cobia <i>Rachycentron canadum</i> Rainbow Trout	EPA (20:5n-3), DHA (22:6n-3) and EPA/DHA ratio	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Different studies, see references for details. 	EPA and DHA have different nutritional roles and metabolic fates. DHA appears to be nutritionally more important and preferentially retained into fish tissues, whereas EPA seems to be more metabolically expendable.	Betiku et al., 2016; Codabaccus et al., 2012; Emery et al., 2016; Trushenski et al., 2012; Vizcaino-Ochoa et al., 2010
Atlantic salmon	ARA (20:4n-6)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Different studies, see references for details. 	Dietary ARA plays a series of important roles affecting fish performance, health and	Ding et al., 2018; Glencross and Smith

Gilthead Seabream			reproduction and its dietary availability should be considered in feed formulation.	2001; Koven et al., 2001; Lund et al., 2007; Norambuena et al., 2015; Van Anholt et al., 2004
Giant Tiger Prawn <i>Penaeus monodon</i>				
Oriental River Shrimp <i>Macrobrachium nipponense</i>				
Atlantic Salmon	Cholesterol	• Different studies, see references for details.	Though not essential, the availability of dietary cholesterol appears to have several physiological important effects, which ultimately may affect fish performance. Diets where FM and FO are abundantly substituted with vegetable alternatives may be limited in their cholesterol availability.	Guerra-Olvera and Viana 2015; Leaver et al., 2008; Norambuena et al., 2013; Yun et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2014
Rainbow Trout				
Turbot <i>Scophthalmus maximus</i>				
Yellowtail Kingfish <i>Seriola lalandi</i>				

1097 Table 4. Influence of raw materials and diet processing on diet characteristics and fish performance.

Parameter	Finding	References
Raw materials processing		
- Particle size	- Reducing particle size had no effect on digestibility, but improved FCR	Zhu et al., 2001; Booth et al., 2001; Glencross et al., 2004, 2007, 2008; Ngo et al. 2015; Refstie et al. 1998, Barrows et al., 2007; Opstvedt et al. 2003
- Dehulling grain	- Dehulling (removal) of grain seed coats increases their protein content AND also increases the digestibility of that protein → some non-starch polysaccharides have a clear influence on nutrient absorption from vegetable proteins	
- Solvent extraction	- Solvent-extraction reduces the energy digestibility of canola meals - Solvent-extraction reduces the energy digestibility of soybean meals	
- Extrusion cooking	- Pre-extrusion of soybean meal improved its digestibility - Increased thermal cooking reduced digestibility of fish meals	
- Thermal cooking	- Increased thermal cooking reduced digestibility of canola meals	
Diet processing type		
- Pelleting cf. Extrusion	- Extrusion improved the durability of pellets and digestibility of starch - Extrusion improved the digestibility of energy - Extrusion improved the digestibility of most nutrients in most ingredients - That dry matter and energy digestibilities correlate between pelleting and extrusion, but not nitrogen or sum amino acid digestibilities	Hilton et al., 1981; Vens-Capell 1984; Cheng and Hardy 2003; Glencross et al. 2011
Extrusion constraints		
- Internal lipid levels	- That lipid levels with the extrudate mash cannot exceed a certain level without interfering with gelatinisation/melt → poor pellet binding and low expansion.	Lin et al., 1997; Sørensen, 2012; Oterhals and Samuelsen, 2015; Samuelsen and Oterhals 2016; Draganovic et al. 2013; Glencross et al., 2010, 2012; Samuelsen et al. 2013, 2014; Sorensen et al., 2002; Morken et al., 2011; Oehme et al., 2014; Storebakken et al., 2015
- Soluble protein levels	- Soluble protein content of the extrudate mash cause extrudate plasticisation - Soluble protein content of the extrudate mash improves pellet durability	
- Certain ingredient levels	- Certain ingredients cause acute densification (e.g. wheat gluten) - Certain ingredients cause acute expansion (e.g. tapioca)	
- Temperature	- Certain fish meals improve pellet durability more than others - Increasing temperatures (100°C, 125°C or 150°C) had no effect on nutrient digestibility	
- Inclusion of NaDiFormate	- The use of high extrusion temperature (141 °C) improved nutrient digestibility - Addition of NaDF increased the digestibility of most nutrients	
- Inclusion of water	- There are critical thresholds for water retention in the extrudate → changes in pellet rheology and extrusion operating parameters	
- Screw configuration	- Constrained water addition reduces starch gelatinization - Screw configuration affects pellet durability	

1098