

Electronic Monitoring In Scotland

July 2017

EM Champions share their reflections of wearing an electronic tag



Donna McEwan
Practice Development
Advisor
Centre for Youth &
Criminal Justice

Life after tagging!

Whilst my experience of being tagged was extremely short and on the face of it had no consequences, I mean I even volunteered, why am I still reflecting some 6 months later? I think what struck me most was the vulnerability of the individuals I met who were subject to Court ordered Electronic Monitoring (EM). This is not to minimise their having offended but they were all so different and I wondered how the restrictions on their tag differed to reflect their individual circumstances and behaviours? From my short tagging experience I reflected on my feelings which to begin with were bravado but that was fine with those that knew me and that I was only 'pretending' but when strangers clocked my accessory I was not quite

so brave. I felt shame, humiliation and defensive and I had no way of knowing if others had clocked it or it was just my perceptions. This sense of being judged, viewed negatively by random strangers really made me think of what we are expecting of particularly young people in relation to being tagged. A higher level of understanding of what we are expecting people to be able to do and the support and preparation to do it is necessary to ensure tagging is a meaningful intervention in managing and reducing offending and harm to others. I look forward to learning more from those who have lived this experience for real and putting that knowledge to use.



Phil Eaglesham
Organisational Lead
(Human Rights)
NHS Health Scotland

Monitoring the Future

Last year I volunteered at a local EM event and

found myself fitted as a demonstration of a monitoring device on my left ankle. Revealing a tattoo of a chain of sumo wrestlers, the audience visibly crept forward to investigate. This was the beginning of my interest in



the use of technology to build on the new vision for Justice in Scotland and my starting point as a champion for the approach.

Seeing the process in action was a privilege and I've now just completed my training at the control centre with Jim and out on a late shift with Mandy - fitting, removing and adjusting devices. The G4S staff I've worked with have a strong person-centred ethic and an outstanding skillset. I've heard the respectful tone of the calls the staff place and receive. The skilful way staff navigate and balance at times tense home situations with nightly encounters of sometimes bleak over-familiarity.

The impression I gained, particularly out in the field was of a service which operates well across a highly charged boundary. Building a rapport, offering practical support but constantly managing the allowances our justice

system provides or denies. I saw health opportunities also; signs of substance use, mental health issues, and trauma were balanced with positive parenting, ambitions for work and loving family support. I left clear that in order to reduce reoffending we need alternatives to prison custody. The future lies in these technical solutions founded on relationships of acceptance, compassion and empathy.



Champions Network

From the periphery to a priority: changing uses of electronic monitoring in Australia



Dr Hannah Graham is a Lecturer in Criminology in the Scottish Centre for Crime and Justice Research, University of Stirling. She is an Australian citizen and a British citizen, having lived and worked in **Tasmania**.

Dynamics in criminal justice are changing in Australia, with electronic monitoring in the national spotlight after decades of low-profile use on the periphery. This is partly compelled by domestic abuse as a highly visible political priority and a 12 year [National Plan to reduce violence against women and children](#).

To put these developments in context: In Australia, a nation of 24 million, criminal justice is a devolved power to states and territories (currently six Labor and two conservative governments), which have separate and independent criminal justice systems. Private sector involvement in criminal justice exists in some states, but is not widespread. The federal Australian Government (currently a conservative coalition) can fund and work with state governments on justice and safety issues. Also, taxation powers exist at federal and state levels.

GPS electronic monitoring has recently risen to prominence with the May 2017 announcement of new

three-year Technology Trials, based on equal matched funding from federal and state governments. These uses of EM will be informed by risk assessments; the Risk-Need-Responsivity (RNR) model and domestic and family violence risk tools are commonly used across Australia. Specialised family violence courts and problem-solving approaches have existed there for over a decade.

In [new Technology Trials in Tasmania](#), repeat family violence offenders may have a monitoring condition added to a family violence order, enabling GPS tagging and tracking with exclusion zones. Victims, if they consent and opt-in, can carry a GPS bilateral tracking device. Similarly [in New South Wales](#), GPS tagging can be used with sentenced offenders, paroled offenders, and at the bail stage with Apprehended Domestic Violence Orders. Again, victims in NSW can choose to take part in bilateral monitoring. Tasmania is moderate in its sentencing cultures and justice policies; NSW is punitive

in its justice cultures, policies and practices.

Of fundamental importance is the fact that electronic monitoring technology trials are *one part* of multi-faceted, collaborative strategic approaches in Australia. New uses of EM are not being initiated in isolation.

In Australia, especially in the last year, the amounts of funding and resources being invested by governments to address domestic abuse and promote women's safety are staggering – an impressive use of public money and puissant display of political backbone. They are putting their money where their mouth is when they speak of pursuing change within a generation. Following a Royal Commission inquiry into family violence, this year the Labor state government of Victoria (a state of 5 million people) put *\$1.9 billion AUD* (almost £1.1 billion) to tackle family violence and implement the Commission's recommendations. This state government budget commitment is separate from other states, and from the \$100 million AUD (£577 million) Australian Government Women's Safety Package, which includes the match-funding for EM Technology Trials.

Taken in context, electronic monitoring is now more of a priority in Australian justice systems, but one that remains a fraction of the overall budget and a portion of the overarching focus on tackling domestic abuse – a focus which centres on human beings, human service provision and the ambition of realising family-level, community-level and societal change within a generation.



@DrHannahGraham

'Rocky Road' tells the story of co-production challenges and successes

This is the first of '100 Stories of Co-Production', an [Ingage](#)-led project supported by the Scottish Co-Production Network

'Rocky Road' tells the story of how local community activists took over the Community Centre in their ex-mining village Dalmellington.

In the 5 minute film, community activist Sharon Smith and Angela Graham, Senior Estates Surveryor at East Ayrshire Council, take the camera into their own hands, and grill one another about the ups and downs of the process. It's a revealing conversation.

For more information and to view the film please go to:

www.coproductionscotland.org.uk



Tracking People: Controversies and challenge

The University of Leeds has hosted three events exploring the ethical, legal, social and technical issues arising from the current and future use of wearable tagging and tracking devices. Reports from the first three events are now available:

www.trackingpeople.leeds.ac.uk

The final event 'Tracking people: looking to the future' will be held in London on 9 November 2017. This conference will disseminate the principle findings drawing out core themes from preceding events.

For more information email: trackingpeople@leeds.ac.uk

Your EM Newsletter

We hope you found this edition of the EM Newsletter useful.

For future editions we plan to feature regular updates about EM, highlight good practice and innovation and provide useful links and information.

We would be delighted if you would get in touch with any feedback or ideas for future content.

Please contact Gill Scott with your thoughts:

Tel: 0131 244 4919

Email: gill.scott@gov.scot



[@ScotGovJustice](https://twitter.com/ScotGovJustice)

www.gov.scot/Topics/Justice

<https://blogs.gov.scot/justice-safety/category/justice/>