

Accepted refereed manuscript of:

Goodbrand JA, Hughes LD, Cochrane L, Donnan PT, Frost H, McMurdo MET & Witham MD (2017) Association between bisphosphonate therapy and outcomes from rehabilitation in older people, *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*, 70, pp. 195-200.

DOI: [10.1016/j.archger.2017.01.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2017.01.017)

© 2017, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

1 Association between bisphosphonate therapy and outcomes from 2 rehabilitation in older people

3 4 **Abstract**

5 *Background*

6 Bisphosphonate therapy may have actions beyond bone, including effects on cardiovascular,
7 immune and muscle function. We tested whether bisphosphonate treatment is associated with
8 improved outcomes in older people undergoing inpatient rehabilitation

9 10 *Methods*

11 Analysis of prospectively collected, linked routine clinical datasets. Participants were divided
12 into never users of bisphosphonates, use prior to rehabilitation only, use after rehabilitation
13 only, and current users (use before and after rehabilitation). We calculated change in 20-point
14 Barthel scores during rehabilitation, adjusting for comorbid disease and laboratory data using
15 multivariable regression analysis. Cox regression analyses were performed to analyse the
16 association between bisphosphonate use and time to death or hospitalisation.

17 18 *Results*

19 2797 patients were included in the analysis. Current bisphosphonate users showed greater
20 improvement in Barthel score during rehabilitation than non-users (5.0 points [95%CI 4.3 to
21 5.7] vs 3.8 [95%CI 3.6 to 3.9]), but no difference compared to those receiving bisphosphonates
22 only after discharge (5.1 [95%CI 4.6 to 5.5]). Previous bisphosphonate use was significantly
23 associated with time to death (adjusted hazard ratio 1.41 [95%CI 1.15 to 1.73]) but less strongly
24 with time to combined endpoint of hospitalisation or death (adjusted hazard ratio 1.18 [95%CI
25 0.98 to 1.48]). Use after discharge from rehabilitation was associated with reduced risk of death

26 (adjusted hazard ratio 0.64 [95%CI 0.55 to 0.73]; hazard ratio per year of bisphosphonate
27 prescription 0.98 [95%CI 0.97 to 0.99])

28

29 *Conclusion*

30 Bisphosphonate use is unlikely to be causally associated with improved physical function in
31 older people, but continuing use may be associated with lower risk of death.

32

33 Keywords: Older, Bisphosphonate, rehabilitation, resilience

34

35

36 **Introduction**

37

38 Bisphosphonates are widely used as antiresorptive agents for treating osteoporosis. They bind
39 to bone with high affinity, impairing the ability of osteoclasts to adhere to and resorb bone;
40 they also promote apoptosis of osteoclasts, impair maturation of osteoclast progenitors, and
41 hence reduce bone turnover and resorption. The consequent increase in bone mineral density
42 reduces the relative risk of post-menopausal osteoporotic fractures by between 30 and 70%¹.
43 In addition, bisphosphonate therapy may have effects beyond reducing fracture rates; in a
44 recent meta-analysis, bisphosphonate therapy reduced all-cause mortality by 10% in high-risk
45 groups, an effect that appears much greater than can be attributed solely to their effect on
46 fracture reduction^{2,3}. Furthermore, the reduction in all-cause mortality is not driven by
47 reductions in specific major event groups (e.g. cardiovascular events, cancer or infection) but
48 appears to be distributed across multiple causes of death⁴.

49

50 Bisphosphonates have been shown to display a number of pleiotropic biological effects that
51 might contribute to the above findings. First, nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates may exhibit
52 actions on lipid metabolism similar to statin medications, via inhibition of the mevalonate
53 pathway, thereby reducing the progression of atherogenic processes⁵⁻⁸. Statins themselves have
54 been associated with improved outcomes from rehabilitation^{9,10}. Related effects on the
55 mevalonate pathway underlie alterations to lipid anchoring of a number of intracellular
56 signalling molecules, which may explain the anticancer effects of bisphosphonates therapy
57 observed in some studies. Effects on reducing oxidative stress have also been postulated;
58 oxidative stress in turn has been linked to a wide range of disease states including
59 cardiovascular disease¹¹, cancer, and sarcopenia - the age-related loss of muscle mass and

60 strength^{12,13}. Bisphosphonates may also initially promote low-grade, chronic inflammation (via
61 production of pro-inflammatory cytokines^{14,15}) which in turn may activate protective
62 mechanisms at a cellular level which protect against the consequences of more severe
63 inflammation. Finally, recent preclinical data suggests that zoledronate can protect
64 mesenchymal stem cells against the accumulation of DNA damage¹⁶.

65

66 Rehabilitation is an essential step on the pathway back to independent function for older people
67 who have suffered intercurrent illness. Whilst it is recognised that rehabilitation is dependent
68 on a number of factors, not least the quality and input of an exercise programme, it can be
69 interrupted by further intercurrent illness with a consequent vicious cycle of immobility,
70 worsening physical function and increased susceptibility to illness. Rehabilitation may also
71 progress slowly due to intrinsic pathophysiological limitations like sarcopenia. Successful
72 rehabilitation in older people might thus be enhanced by agents with pleiotropic effects on a
73 variety of biological pathways to improve resilience; agents that improve muscle function
74 directly would clearly be useful, but agents that either reduce intercurrent illness or mitigate
75 the effects of intercurrent illness may also be of benefit. We therefore tested whether
76 bisphosphonate treatment was associated with improved outcomes in a large cohort of older
77 people undergoing inpatient rehabilitation, using routinely collected health and functional data.

78

79 **Methods**

80 *Data Sources and Patient Population*

81 This study was performed as part of a data linkage project which combined detailed healthcare
82 data held on residents of Tayside, Scotland, held by the University of Dundee Health
83 Informatics Centre (HIC) with functional outcome data on older people who had undergone
84 inpatient rehabilitation within the Dundee Medicine for the Elderly service (DOME). Data

85 linkage was achieved using the Community Health Index (CHI), a unique healthcare identifier
86 assigned to all Scottish healthcare users. Data linkage was carried out by HIC, with the
87 combined, anonymised dataset hosted in a safe haven facility, which allows analysis by
88 permitted parties without release of raw data outside the safe haven facility.

89

90 The DOME functional outcome data forming the basis of this analysis has been described
91 previously^{17,18}. We used an extended version of this dataset, which was collected prospectively
92 on all patients admitted for rehabilitation over a 13 year period between 1st January 1999 and
93 31st December 2011, and comprised approximately 5500 admissions on 4382 individuals. The
94 HIC database is a comprehensive set of health data on 400,000 people within the Tayside,
95 Scotland area. In this study, health data was extracted from the HIC database for those patients
96 registered on the DOME database. Prescribing information, biochemistry and haematology
97 results, hospitalization data and diagnoses (Scottish Morbidity Register 01) coded using ICD-
98 10 codes were available. Data on date of death was obtained via the Scottish Government
99 Records Office, which records all deaths registered in Scotland. For this analysis, the cohort
100 consisted of patients undergoing their first admission to the rehabilitation service, and omitted
101 repeat admissions to the rehabilitation service, so that effects of previous rehabilitation did not
102 impact on either baseline function or response to rehabilitation.

103

104 *Bisphosphonate use*

105 Bisphosphonate use was defined by extracting prescription records for bisphosphonate
106 medications contained in the British National Formulary. All bisphosphonates used in the study
107 population were included, namely alendronate, risedronate, etidronate, clodronate and
108 ibandronate. Zoledronic acid was not used within the service covered by this cohort during the
109 time period under study. Data on prescribing are held only for prescriptions dispensed in the

110 community, not in hospital; no electronic record exists for in-hospital prescriptions. We thus
111 used community prescribing data from before and after each inpatient rehabilitation period to
112 categorise patients into four groups: Current users comprised patients who were prescribed
113 bisphosphonates at any time during the six months immediately prior and at any time in the 6
114 months subsequent to rehabilitation. Previous users comprised patients prescribed
115 bisphosphonates in the two year period prior to rehabilitation, excluding those in group A.
116 Subsequent users comprised patients who received bisphosphonates only after discharge from
117 rehabilitation, and did not receive bisphosphonates in the two years prior to admission. Never
118 users consisted of patients with no prescription for bisphosphonates recorded either before or
119 after the rehabilitation stay at any point covered by the database (dating back to 01/01/1998
120 and censored at 04/05/2012). This approach allowed us to dissect out whether changes
121 associated with bisphosphonate use were likely to be due to bisphosphonates, or due to
122 unmeasured characteristics of patients who were more likely to be prescribed bisphosphonates.
123 Relatively wide time windows were employed in part due to the known long duration of action
124 of bisphosphonate medications, and because prescriptions for bisphosphonates are renewed
125 infrequently due to the weekly dosing of many preparations.

126

127

128 *Measurement of functional status*

129 The functional outcome utilised in this study was the 20 point Barthel Index¹⁹, a widely used
130 and validated measure of patients' abilities in activities of daily living. The Barthel index
131 consists of 10 separate function categories each with possible scores of 0/1, 0/1/2, or 0/1/2/3,
132 yielding a total score out of 20, with a higher score indicating greater independence. A Barthel
133 score was recorded by rehabilitation staff at admission and at discharge from inpatient

134 rehabilitation. Discharge destination (coded as return to own home or elsewhere) was obtained
135 from the rehabilitation dataset.

136

137 *Comorbidities and other covariates*

138 Covariates were selected on the basis of clinical plausibility and prior knowledge, based on
139 their likelihood to interact with bisphosphonate therapy, affect rehabilitation outcome, physical
140 function or susceptibility to illness. Age and sex were obtained from healthcare demographic
141 information held within HIC data. Previous hospitalisation for myocardial infarction, stroke,
142 COPD and heart failure were coded from ICD-10 codes held in HIC healthcare data. Previous
143 diagnoses of cancer were obtained from SMR06 (Scottish Cancer Registry) data, and previous
144 diagnoses of diabetes mellitus were obtained from the Scottish Care Information - Diabetes
145 Collaboration (SCI-DC) database, which records all diagnoses of diabetes within Scotland.
146 Renal function (recorded as estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR] and calculated by the
147 Modified Diet in Renal Disease [MRDR4] equation²⁰, serum calcium and serum albumin
148 values were extracted from routinely collected biochemistry data held in HIC; the value closest
149 to the date of admission to rehabilitation was used. Prescribed calcium and vitamin D
150 supplementation was assessed by extraction of prescribing data in a similar way to
151 bisphosphonate medication.

152

153 *Data Analysis*

154 Data analyses were performed using SPSS v21 (IBM, New York, USA) or SAS v9.2 (SAS
155 Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Patients who died during admission or had a missing admission
156 or discharge Barthel score were excluded from analysis. Where patients had had multiple
157 admissions to the rehabilitation service, only the first admission was included in the analysis,
158 and subsequent admissions were ignored. Baseline factors were compared by bisphosphonate

159 use, using one-way ANOVA for normally distributed continuous variables, Kruskal-Wallis test
160 for non-normally distributed continuous variables, and Pearson's Chi-squared test for
161 categorical variables. The association between bisphosphonate use and improvement in Barthel
162 score during rehabilitation was assessed by multivariable regression analysis, adjusting for age,
163 sex, admission Barthel score, calcium/vitamin D use, renal function (eGFR), albumin,
164 corrected calcium, previous diagnosis of diabetes mellitus, previous indication of IHD, stroke,
165 cancer, COPD and CHF, and number of prescribed medications. A sensitivity analysis was
166 conducted excluding those patients who had received non-aminobisphosphonates (clodronate
167 or etidronate) due to their lack of effect on the mevalonate pathway. Because calcium and
168 vitamin D are almost always co-administered with bisphosphonates, we analysed whether
169 calcium and vitamin D use was associated with differences in rehabilitation outcomes, death
170 or time to hospitalisation in the group of patients who had never taken bisphosphonates; if a
171 significant effect were to be evident, the results of analyses of bisphosphonate exposure would
172 not be reliably attributable to bisphosphonates. For those taking bisphosphonates prior to
173 rehabilitation, the number of days of exposure in the year prior to rehabilitation was calculated
174 – those on weekly preparations counted as 7 days per exposure, those on monthly preparations
175 counted as 30 days per exposure. Adherence, which is known to be suboptimal with oral
176 bisphosphonates, could not be directly calculated as data on encashed prescriptions was
177 available, but date of decision to commence prescribing was not.

178

179 We conducted Cox regression analyses to estimate the association between bisphosphonate use
180 and time to death after discharge from rehabilitation; similar analyses were conducted for time
181 to a combined endpoint of death or next hospitalisation. For each analysis, models were run
182 both unadjusted and adjusted for the variables listed above including discharge destination.
183 Models were run comparing each of the groups against those patients never using

184 bisphosphonates. To separate out the effect of previous exposure to bisphosphonates (which
185 might be a marker for unmeasured frailty or comorbidity) from the effect of subsequent use, a
186 separate analysis was run using any use prior to rehabilitation as a distinct variable from any
187 use after discharge from rehabilitation. Further analyses were run using time-dependent Cox
188 regression analyses; the cumulative exposure to bisphosphonates post-discharge was included
189 as a time-dependent variable, with pre-admission exposure included as a categorical variable
190 and other adjusting variables included as listed above.

191

192

193 **Results**

194 Data were available on 4382 first admissions to rehabilitation. 95 patients were omitted from
195 the analysis because they had last received bisphosphonates greater than 2 years prior to
196 admission. 366 patients died during their rehabilitation stay (27/392 [6.9%] of previous
197 bisphosphonate users versus 339/3895 (8.7%) of never users, $p=0.22$). Of the remainder of the
198 cohort, 1124 patients were excluded due to missing admission or discharge Barthel data.
199 Analyses were therefore conducted on the remaining 2797 patients. Table 1 gives the baseline
200 details for the four analysis groups.

201

202 No effect of calcium and vitamin D supplementation was evident on either rehabilitation
203 outcomes (3.8 points vs 3.7 points improvement during rehabilitation, $p=0.15$), risk of death
204 (hazard ratio 0.90, 95%CI 0.72 to 1.12), or risk of hospitalisation or death (hazard ratio 0.99,
205 95%CI 0.81 to 1.21) in the group of patients who had never used bisphosphonates. Calcium
206 and vitamin D use was included as a covariate in all subsequent analyses. Table 2 shows the
207 association between different patterns of bisphosphonate exposure and improvements seen in
208 Barthel score during inpatient rehabilitation, giving both unadjusted results and results adjusted
209 for the variables listed above. Excluding those patients who had used non-nitrogen-containing
210 bisphosphonates (clodronate or etidronate) did not significantly change the results (adjusted
211 improvement in Barthel scores for never, previous, current and subsequent users: 3.8 [3.6 to
212 3.9]; 3.7 [2.9 to 4.5]; 5.8 [4.9 to 6.6]; 5.1 [4.6 to 5.5]; $p=0.17$ for current vs subsequent users).
213 Exposure to bisphosphonates in the year prior to rehabilitation varied, with 43% of those taking
214 bisphosphonates prior to rehabilitation taking less than 180 days equivalent in the year prior to
215 admission. However there was no significant correlation between the number of days of

216 bisphosphonate use in the year prior to rehabilitation and the improvement in Barthel score
217 (unadjusted $r=-0.05$, $p=0.49$; adjusted $r=-0.12$, $p=0.15$)

218

219 Table 3 gives the results of both unadjusted and adjusted Cox regression analyses, showing the
220 effect of exposure to bisphosphonates post-discharge on both survival and time to the combined
221 death or next hospitalisation endpoint. Time-dependent Cox regression analyses showed
222 similar results; the adjusted hazard ratio for death post-discharge was 0.98 (95%CI 0.97 to
223 0.99) per year of post-discharge bisphosphonate exposure, and the adjusted hazard ratio for
224 death or next hospitalisation post-discharge was 1.01 (95%CI 0.98 to 1.04) per year of post-
225 discharge bisphosphonate exposure.

226

227

228 **Discussion**

229 The results from this analysis do not support a beneficial effect of bisphosphonate use on
230 physical function outcomes in rehabilitation, as measured by the Barthel score. Although
231 current bisphosphonate users achieved greater improvement in function during rehabilitation
232 compared to previous users and never users, current users showed similar improvements to
233 those who used bisphosphonates only after discharge from rehabilitation. For this latter group,
234 drug exposure occurred only after discharge from rehabilitation and thus their functional
235 improvement cannot be attributed to the effects of bisphosphonates. Our results do not
236 therefore support a causal association between bisphosphonate therapy and functional
237 improvement in this cohort. For post-discharge time to death and to next hospitalisation, our
238 results suggest that previous exposure to bisphosphonates is a marker of increased risk of death
239 or hospitalisation, but that ongoing exposure to bisphosphonates is associated with reduced
240 hazard of death, and a less significant reduction in hazard of hospitalisation.

241

242 To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the relationship between bisphosphonate
243 use and functional outcomes during rehabilitation. The results of our analyses do not suggest a
244 biological effect of bisphosphonates on biological pathways that might improve performance
245 during rehabilitation – either via direct effects on musculoskeletal function or by reducing
246 adverse events that interrupt rehabilitation. Rather, the results are consistent with current and
247 future bisphosphonate use being a marker for unmeasured patient characteristics that are
248 associated with better rehabilitation outcomes. Fitter, more robust patients who are perceived
249 as having more to gain and longer to live may be more likely to be given bisphosphonates, and
250 although the Barthel scores at admission to rehabilitation were similar across all four groups,
251 there are other aspects of physical function and frailty that we were unable to measure directly
252 using this routinely collected dataset.

253

254 A further potential confounder to address in this context is the frequent co-administration of
255 calcium and vitamin D in routine treatment with bisphosphonates. UK clinical guidelines state
256 that clinicians should ensure patients have an adequate intake of calcium and are vitamin D
257 replete before prescribing bisphosphonates. The majority of older, frail patients in Scotland
258 have low 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels – and our cohort are even more likely to have low levels
259 given their prolonged stay in hospital. In the absence of vitamin D repletion, the increases in
260 bone mineral density and anti-fracture efficacy associated with bisphosphonates, are
261 attenuated²¹. Vitamin D has a direct effect on muscle function²², and therefore supplementation
262 with this agent could confound the association between bisphosphonates and functional
263 outcomes. We did not have data on 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels for this cohort, and thus we
264 cannot completely adjust for the effect that vitamin D repletion might have had on the analyses.
265 However, analysis of the large group of patients who had never received a bisphosphonate did

266 not support an effect of calcium and vitamin D on either rehabilitation outcomes, survival or
267 hospitalisation in this group, making this explanation less likely.

268

269 The results from analysis of time to death are broadly consistent with other randomised trial
270 and observational data^{3,4,23,24} suggesting that bisphosphonates are associated with a lower risk
271 of death. This is despite the fact that previous bisphosphonate use appears to be a risk marker
272 for higher rates of death and hospitalisation. Such a finding, whilst paradoxical at first sight, is
273 consistent with the fact that bisphosphonates will typically be used in those with a disease
274 (osteoporosis) with major adverse consequences on fitness and function, which is itself
275 associated with other life-shortening disease complexes (particularly cardiovascular
276 disease^{5,25}). Thus being prescribed bisphosphonates at some previous time may be a marker of
277 a group at increased risk of death, but greater exposure to bisphosphonates themselves could
278 still confer protective effects. Less striking results were seen on analysing time to
279 hospitalisation or death; some previous studies have suggested lower death rates with
280 bisphosphonate use, but not lower event rates for vascular disease. This would be consistent
281 with our findings, and one possibility is that bisphosphonates might not reduce event rates, but
282 might reduce the severity or impact of events on homeostatic function – i.e. they might enhance
283 biological resilience²⁶ via yet to be determined mechanisms. It is noteworthy that the more
284 potent bisphosphonates are known to induce an acute-phase inflammatory response in some
285 users²; inflammatory responses are also thought to contribute to the pathophysiology
286 underlying phenomena such as ischaemic preconditioning in different organ systems^{27,28}.
287 Another possible mechanism is via anti-apoptotic effects; although bisphosphonates promote
288 apoptosis of osteoclasts, they inhibit apoptosis of osteoblasts and osteoclasts, possibly via
289 effects on pathways linked to connexin 43^{29,30}. Similar pathways are present in other tissues,

290 including cardiomyocytes³¹, although the actions of bisphosphonates on apoptosis in human
291 organ systems outwith bone remain to be elucidated.

292

293 Our study had a number of significant strengths. The dataset combined detailed health and
294 functional outcomes data on a large set of patients undergoing rehabilitation in the real world,
295 which enhances the generalisability of the data. Use of prescribing data from both before and
296 after rehabilitation allowed us to test causal relationships in a way that would not have been
297 possible without post-discharge prescribing data; these data enabled a more robust schema to
298 be used to determine bisphosphonate treatment level (including use up to two years prior to
299 rehabilitation and subsequent use), as opposed to a simple dichotomous indicator of treatment
300 or no treatment at admission. Furthermore, the prescribing data comprises prescriptions
301 encashed by patients and dispensed by pharmacists, rather than merely prescriptions written by
302 physicians, thus the prescribing data may better reflect medication adherence than measures
303 based on analysing numbers of prescriptions written. Combining detailed biochemical data
304 allowed us to adjust analyses for albumin and renal function, both of which are important
305 potential confounders.

306

307 A number of weaknesses deserve comment. The use of routine data limits the type of measures
308 of frailty and function to those available from clinical practice when the data were collected,
309 and missing data are frequent. Adherence was not measured directly; although prescriptions
310 were dispensed we have no measure of ingestion of medication. Furthermore, we cannot
311 account for medications available without prescription, which included low-dose calcium and
312 vitamin D. Although intravenous bisphosphonates such as ibandronate and zoledronate were
313 not used within our service (which included osteoporosis management) during the time period
314 studied, we cannot exclude the possibility that a few patients received courses of intravenous

315 bisphosphonates (e.g. to treat hypercalcaemia of malignancy) via oncology or other services;
316 community prescribing data does not capture this use. We did not attempt to distinguish
317 between different types of bisphosphonate; the majority of patients took once-weekly oral
318 bisphosphonates. Although there may be different effects between different agents, the effects
319 on mortality from trials appear to be broadly consistent in meta-analysis³. A further potential
320 limitation is that we did not have access to 25-hydroxyvitamin D or PTH levels on patients; we
321 are therefore unable to test whether vitamin D insufficiency or secondary hyperparathyroidism
322 might modify the results of our analysis.

323

324 Bisphosphonates have a long duration of action on bone³², in part because they bind to
325 hydroxyapatite crystals. The time course of biological effects in other organ systems is less
326 clear³³; our analysis assumes an extended duration of action after dosing, but this may not be
327 the case for all potential biological effects. Similarly, the effects of bisphosphonates in this
328 analysis are difficult to fully separate from any effects of calcium and vitamin D, both of which
329 are known to have pleiotropic biological effects across multiple organ systems²². Finally, the
330 cohort that we used comprised older patients selected for inpatient rehabilitation, and the cohort
331 was exclusively white and mostly Northern European in ancestry. The findings from this cohort
332 are not therefore necessarily generalizable to cohort comprising younger, fitter patients,
333 unselected older patients or patients with different racial or ethnic background.

334

335 Our work suggests a number of avenues for future research. Replication of these findings in
336 other cohorts would be of interest to ensure that an effect has not been missed by our analysis.
337 Although the lack of evidence for a causal relationship between bisphosphonate use and
338 improved rehabilitation outcomes does not support conducting trials in this specific area, the
339 idea that bisphosphonates might be able to reduce death rates in older people by mitigating the

340 deleterious impact of health events is an intriguing one, which merits further study. Studies
341 designed specifically to examine this idea are needed, and should not be confined to patients
342 with osteoporosis; both studies to explore possible biological mechanisms for the lower
343 mortality seen in bisphosphonate users, and studies to test whether such an effect can be
344 reproduced in those without osteoporosis, would be of considerable interest.

345

346 Funding: Scottish Collaboration for Public Health Research and Policy, grant SCPH/10

347 Acknowledgements: None

348 Author disclosure statement: None of the authors have any financial or other conflicts of
349 interest to declare

350

351

352

353

354 **References**

- 355 1. Reginster JY. Antifracture efficacy of currently available therapies for postmenopausal
356 osteoporosis. *Drugs* 2011;71:65-78.
- 357 2. Lyles KW, Colon-Emeric CS, Magaziner JS, Adachi JD, Pieper CF, Mautalen C, Hyldstrup
358 L, Recknor C, Nordsletten L, Moore KA, Lavecchia C, Zhang J, Mesenbrink P, Hodgson PK,
359 Abrams K, Orloff JJ, Horowitz Z, Eriksen EF, Boonen S; HORIZON Recurrent Fracture Trial.
360 Zoledronic Acid and Clinical Fractures and Mortality after Hip Fracture. *N Engl J Med*
361 2007;357:1799-1809.
- 362 3. Bolland MJ, Grey AB, Gamble GD, Reid IR. Effect of osteoporosis treatment on mortality:
363 a meta-analysis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2010;95:1174-1181.
- 364 4. Sambrook PN, Cameron ID, Chen JS, March LM, Simpson JM, Cumming RG, Seibel MJ.
365 Oral bisphosphonates are associated with reduced mortality in frail older people: a prospective
366 five-year study. *Osteoporos Int* 2011;22:2551-2556.
- 367 5. Burnett JR, Vasikaran SD. Cardiovascular disease and osteoporosis: is there a link between
368 lipids and bone? *Ann Clin Biochem* 2002;39:203-210.
- 369 6. Hamerman D. Osteoporosis and atherosclerosis: biological linkages and the emergence of
370 dual-purpose therapies. *QJM* 2005;98:467-484.
- 371 7. Ugur UA, Avcu F, Ozturk K. Bisphosphonates may retrieve endothelial function in vascular
372 diseases similar to statins' effects. *Eur J Haematol* 2008;81:77-78.
- 373 8. Santos LL, Cavalcanti TB, Bandeira FA. Vascular effects of bisphosphonates – a systematic
374 review. *Clin Med Insights Endocrinol Diabetes* 2012;5:47-54.
- 375 9. Lynch JE, Henderson NR, Ramage L, McMurdo ME, Witham MD. Association between
376 statin medication use and improved outcomes during inpatient rehabilitation in older people.
377 *Age Ageing* 2012;41:260-262.

- 378 10. Morandi A, Girard TD, Shintani A, Turco R, Guerini F, Torpilliesi T, Gentile S, Trabucchi
379 M, Bellelli G. Association between statin use at admission to inpatient rehabilitation and
380 functional status at discharge among older patients. *Rejuvenation Res.* 2014;17:490-495.
- 381 11. George J, Struthers AD. Role of urate, xanthine oxidase and the effects of allopurinol in
382 vascular oxidative stress. *Vasc Health Risk Manag* 2009;5:265-272.
- 383 12. Burton LA, Sumukadas D. Optimal management of sarcopenia. *Clin Interv Aging*
384 2010;5:217-228.
- 385 13. Rossi P, Marzani B, Giardina S, Negro M, Marzatico F. Human skeletal muscle aging and
386 the oxidative system: cellular events. *Curr Aging Sci* 2008;1:182-191.
- 387 14. Corrado A, Santoro N, Cantatore FP. Extra-skeletal effects of bisphosphonates. *Joint Bone*
388 *Spine* 2007;74:32-38.
- 389 15. Richards PJ, Amos N, Williams AS, Williams BD. Pro-inflammatory effects of the
390 aminobisphosphonate ibandronate in vitro and in vivo. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 1999;38:984-
391 991.
- 392 16. Misra J, Mohanty ST, Madan S, Fernandes JA, Ebetino FH, Graham R, Russell G,
393 Bellantuono I. Zoledronate Attenuates Accumulation of DNA Damage in Mesenchymal Stem
394 Cells and Protects their Function. *Stem Cells* 2015 [epub ahead of print Dec 17th 2015; doi:
395 10.1002/stem.2255]
- 396 17. Witham MD, Ramage L, Burns SL, Gillespie ND, Hanslip J, Laidlaw S, Leslie CA,
397 McMurdo ME. Trends in function and postdischarge mortality in a medicine for the elderly
398 rehabilitation center over a 10-year period. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2011;92:1288-1292.
- 399 18. Witham MD, Frost H, McMurdo M, Donnan PT, McGilchrist M. Construction of a linked
400 health and social care database resource - lessons on process, content and culture. *Inform*
401 *Health Soc Care* 2014 [Epub ahead of print March 20th 2014].

- 402 19. Wade DT, Collin C. The Barthel ADL Index: a standard measure of physical disability? Int
403 Disabil Stud 1988;10:64-67.
- 404 20. Levey AS, Bosch JP, Lewis JB, Greene T, Rogers N, Roth D. A more accurate method to
405 estimate glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine: a new prediction equation.
406 Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study Group. Ann Intern Med 1999;130:461-470.
- 407 21. Adami S, Giannini S, Bianchi G, Sinigaglia L, Di Munno O, Fiore CE, Minisola S, Rossini
408 M. Vitamin D status and response to treatment in post-menopausal osteoporosis. Osteoporos
409 Int 2009;20:239-244.
- 410 22. Holick MF. Vitamin D deficiency. N Engl J Med 2007;357:266-281.
- 411 23. Beaupre LA, Morrish DW, Hanley DA, Maksymowych WP, Bell NR, Juby AG, Majumdar
412 SR. Oral bisphosphonates are associated with reduced mortality after hip fracture. Osteoporos
413 Int 2011;22:983-991.
- 414 24. Center JR, Bliuc D, Nguyen ND, Eisman JA, Center JR. Osteoporosis medication and
415 reduced mortality risk in elderly women and men. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2011;96:1006-
416 1014.
- 417 25. Lampropoulos CE, Papaioannou I, D'Cruz DP. Osteoporosis--a risk factor for
418 cardiovascular disease? Nat Rev Rheumatol 2012;8:587-598.
- 419 26. Witham MD, Sayer AA. Biological resilience in older people - a step beyond frailty? Eur
420 Geriatr Med 2015; 6: 101-102.
- 421 27. Alchera E, Dal Ponte C, Imarisio C, Albano E, Carini R. Molecular mechanisms of liver
422 preconditioning. World J Gastroenterol 2010;16:6058-6067
- 423 28. Wang Y, Reis C, Applegate R 2nd, Stier G, Martin R, Zhang JH. Ischemic conditioning-
424 induced endogenous brain protection: Applications pre-, per- or post-stroke. Exp Neurol 2015
425 [Epub ahead of print Apr 18: doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2015.04.009]

- 426 29. Sadr-Eshkevari P, Ashnagar S, Rashad A, Dietz M, Jackowski J, Abdulazim A, Prochnow
427 N. Bisphosphonates and connexin-43: a critical review of the evidence. *Cell Commun Adhes*
428 2014;21:241-247
- 429 30. Plotkin LI, Lezcano V, Thostenson J, Weinstein RS, Manolagas SC, Bellido T. Connexin
430 43 is required for the anti-apoptotic effect of bisphosphonates on osteocytes and osteoblasts in
431 vivo. *J Bone Miner Res* 2008;23:1712-1721.
- 432 31. Jeyaraman MM, Srisakuldee W, Nickel BE, Kardami E. Connexin 43 phosphorylation and
433 cytoprotection in the heart. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2012;1818:2009-2013.
- 434 32. McClung M, Harris ST, Miller PD, Bauer DC, Davison KS, Dian L, Hanley DA, Kendler
435 DL, Yuen CK, Lewiecki EM. Bisphosphonate therapy for osteoporosis: benefits, risks, and
436 drug holiday. *Am J Med* 2013;126:13-20.
- 437 33. Cremers S, Papapoulos S. Pharmacology of bisphosphonates. *Bone* 2011;49:42-49.

438 **Table 1. Baseline Details (n=2797)**

439

	Never used	Previous use	Current use	Subsequent use
N (%)	2351 (84)	124 (4)	95 (3)	227 (8)
Mean age (SD)	84.2 (7.6)	83.3 (6.9)	84.7 (6.3)	83.7 (7)
Male sex (%)	1056 (45)	24 (19)	15 (16)	58 (26)
Median length of stay (IQR)	36 (46)	33 (44)	35 (46)	38 (40)
Previous myocardial infarction (%)	533 (23)	38 (31)	33 (35)	41 (18)
Previous stroke (%)	533 (23)	17 (14)	17 (18)	41 (18)
Previous heart failure (%)	370 (16)	22 (18)	14 (15)	14 (6)
Previous hip fracture (%)	188 (8)	10 (8)	11 (12)	47 (21)
Previous COPD (%)	299 (13)	33 (27)	20 (21)	27 (12)

Previous diagnosis of cancer (%)	290 (12)	18 (15)	7 (7)	25 (11)
Diabetes mellitus (%)	418 (18)	20 (16)	11 (12)	37 (16)
Mean admission Barthel score (SD)	10.4 (3.9)	10.9 (3.4)	10.5 (3)	10.9 (3.2)
Median no of medications at admission (IQR)	2 (5)	3 (6)	7 (4)	2 (3)
Discharged to own home (%)	1743 (74)	97 (78)	87 (92)	202 (89)
Mean adjusted serum calcium (mmol/L) (SD)	2.4 (0.1)	2.4 (0.1)	2.4 (0.1)	2.4 (0.1)
Mean eGFR (ml/min) (SD)	61.2 (23.7)	68 (31.5)	64.5 (28.2)	65.2 (23.2)
Mean haemoglobin (g/dL) (SD)	12.1 (1.9)	11.7 (1.8)	11.9 (2.2)	11.8 (1.8)
Mean albumin (g/L) (SD)	36.7 (4.9)	36.0 (4.6)	37.5 (4.5)	36.9 (4.9)

440

441

442 **Table 2. Association between Bisphosphonate use and change in Barthel Score during Rehabilitation**

443

	Never used	Previous use	Current use	Subsequent use
Unadjusted change in Barthel score (95% CI)	3.8 (3.6-3.9)	3.4 (2.8-4.0)	5.2** (4.6-5.9)	5.0** (4.6-5.5)
Adjusted change in Barthel score (95% CI)	3.8 (3.6-3.9)	3.4 (2.8-4.0)	5.0 ** (4.3-5.7)	5.1** (4.6-5.5)
Unadjusted length of stay (95% CI) (days)	57 (54.6-59)	46 (36-57)	51 (39-63)	54 (47-62)
Adjusted length of stay (95% CI) (days)	56 (54-58)	50 (40-59)	62 (50-73)	54 (47-61)

444

445 * $P < .05$, ** $P < .001$ vs never users

446 Adjusted for: Baseline Barthel score, age, sex, comorbid disease (myocardial infarction, stroke, heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, diabetes

447 mellitus, previous cancer), medication burden, recent hip fracture, baseline albumin, calcium, renal function (eGFR), and haemoglobin

448 Barthel score range 0 to 20; higher values indicate better function

449

450 **Table 3. Cox Regression Analysis for Time to Death or next Hospitalisation**

	Never used (n=2459)	Previous use (n=133)	Current use (n=100)	Subsequent use only (n=237)
Unadjusted hazard ratio for death (95% CI)	1	1.39 (1.13 to 1.69)	0.79 (0.62 to 1.00)	0.50 (0.43 to 0.60)
Adjusted hazard ratio for death (95% CI)	1	1.41 (1.15 to 1.73)	1.00 (0.77 to 1.29)	0.57 (0.48 to 0.67)
Unadjusted hazard ratio for next hospitalisation or death (95% CI)	1	1.21 (1.00 to 1.45)	1.20 (0.98 to 1.47)	0.81 (0.71 to 0.93)
Adjusted hazard ratio for next hospitalisation or death (95% CI)	1	1.18 (0.98 to 1.48)	1.27 (1.01 to 1.59)	0.88 (0.77 to 1.02)
	Previous use vs no previous use		Use post-discharge vs no use post-discharge	
Unadjusted hazard ratio for death (95% CI)	1.13 (0.97 to 1.32)		0.56 (0.48 vs 0.65)	
Adjusted hazard ratio for death (95% CI)	1.32 (1.11 to 1.56)		0.64 (0.55 to 0.73)	
Unadjusted hazard ratio for next hospitalisation or death (95% CI)	1.23 (1.07 to 1.41)		0.89 (0.79 to 1.00)	
Adjusted hazard ratio for next hospitalisation or death (95% CI)	1.24 (1.06 to 1.44)		0.95 (0.84 to 1.08)	

