Accepted refereed manuscript of:

Grant B, Davie A, Taggart J, Picchi N, Bradley C, Prodohl PA, Leclercq E & Migaud H (2016) Seasonal changes in broodstock spawning performance and egg quality in ballan wrasse (Labrus bergylta), *Aquaculture*, 464, pp. 505-514.

DOI: <u>10.1016/j.aquaculture.2016.07.027</u>

© 2016, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

| 1  | Seasonal changes in broodstock spawning performance and egg quality in ballan wrasse  |
|----|---|
| 2  | (Labrus bergylta)   |
| 3  |   |
| 4  |   |
| 5  |   |
| 6  | Grant B <sup>a†</sup> ., Davie A <sup>a†</sup> ., Taggart J.B. <sup>a</sup> , Selly S-L. C. <sup>a</sup> , Picchi N. <sup>a</sup> , Bradley C. <sup>b</sup> , Prodohl P. <sup>b</sup> , |
| 7  | Leclercq E. <sup>a</sup> , and Migaud H. <sup>a*</sup>  |
| 8  |   |
| 9  | <sup>a</sup> Institute of Aquaculture, University of Stirling, Stirling, Scotland, FK9 4LA  |
| 10 | <sup>b</sup> Queens University Belfast, Belfast, Northern Ireland, BT7 1NN  |
| 11 | <sup>†</sup> Equal contributors   |
| 12 |   |
| 13 |   |
| 14 |   |
| 15 |   |
| 16 | *Correspondence to: Prof. Herve Migaud, Institute of Aquaculture, University of Stirling,   |
| 17 | Stirling FK9 4LA, Scotland, UK, E-mail: <u>hm7@stir.ac.uk</u>   |
| 18 |   |

#### 19 Abstract

20 Sea lice continue to be one of the largest issues for the salmon farming industry and the use of ballan wrasse (Labrus bergylta) as a biological control is considered to be one of the most 21 sustainable solutions in development. Broodstock management has proved challenging in the 22 23 initial phases due to the significant lack of understanding of basic reproductive physiology and behaviour in the species. The aim of the study was to monitor captive breeding populations 24 throughout a spawning season to examine timing and duration of spawning, quantify egg 25 production, and look at seasonal changes in egg quality parameters as well as investigate the 26 27 parental contribution to spawning events. A clear spawning rhythm was shown with 3-5 spawning periods inclusive of spawning windows lasting 1-9 days followed by interspawning 28 29 intervals of 8-12 days. Fertilization rate remained consistently high (> 87.5 %) over the spawning season and did not differ significantly between spawning populations. Hatch rate was 30 31 variable (0-97.5 %), but peaked in the middle of the spawning season. Mean oocyte diameter and gum layer thickness decreased slightly over the spawning season with no significant 32 differences between spawning populations. Fatty acid (FA) profile of eggs remained consistent 33 throughout the season and with the exception of high levels of ARA ( $3.8 \pm 0.5$  % of total FA) 34 the FA profile was similar to that observed in other marine fish species. Parental contribution 35 analysis showed 3 out of 6 spawning events to be single paired mating while the remaining 3 36 had contributions from multiple parents. Furthermore, the proposed multiple batch spawning 37 nature of this species was confirmed with proof of a single female contributing to two separate 38 spawning events. Overall this work represents the first comprehensive dataset of spawning 39 activity of captive ballan wrasse, and as such and will be helpful in formulating sustainable 40 broodstock management plans for the species. 41

42 Keywords: Cleaner fish; ballan wrasse; broodstock management; parental contribution,
43 spawning patterns, fatty acid

### 44 **1. Introduction**

Sea lice (Lepeophtheirus salmonis and Caligus spp.) have been reported as the most harmful 45 ectoparasites to the Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) farming industry (Costello, 2006) with an 46 47 estimated total economic cost ranging from 4 to 10 % of production value globally (Rae, 2002) which translates to approximately € 33 million in Scotland alone (Costello, 2009). Parasitic sea 48 lice feed on the mucus, tissue and blood of their hosts leading to stress, reduced growth 49 performance, and a risk of secondary infections and mortalities. The use of wild wrasse as a 50 biological control of sea lice was first implemented in Norway in 1989 (Bjordal, 1990) 51 52 followed by Scotland in 1990 (Sayer et al. 1993; Rae, 2002). The method has gained new incentive in recent years across the European salmon industry in an effort to establish effective 53 integrated pest management practices (IPM) with minimal reliance on chemotherapeutants 54 55 (Leclercq et al. 2014a).

56 Ballan wrasse (Labrus bergylta) is the fastest growing of five wrasse species commonly found in northern European coastal waters (Treasurer, 2002), and further regarded as the most robust 57 and active in winter (Sayer et al. 1996; Kvenseth et al. 2003). It has therefore been selected by 58 the salmon industry as the prime labrid species for the development of a sustainable, steady, 59 and bio-secured supply of farmed cleaner fish. Ballan wrasse is a monandric protogynous 60 hermaphrodite with no apparent external sexual dimorphism (Dipper, 1987; Evans & 61 62 Claiborne, 2006; Muncaster et al. 2013; Leclercq et al. 2014b). The species exhibits a haremic 63 mating system (Sjölander et al. 1972) and a skewed sex-ratio of approximately 10 % males in wild populations (Dipper, 1987). Protogynous sex change, thought to be driven predominantly 64 by social cues (Dipper & Pullin, 1979; Hilldén, 1984; Muncaster et al. 2013), is reported to 65 66 occur from 5 - 6 years of age with an age and size at 50 % sex-change of 10.8 years, 636 g, and 342 mm in northern Europe (Dipper et al. 1977; Leclercq et al. 2014b). Ballan wrasse have 67 68 been classified as a group-synchronous multiple-batch spawning species, based on histological evidence, with gonad maturation starting in November extending over a 2 month period,typically from April to July, depending on geographic location (Muncaster et al. 2010).

Commercial hatcheries currently rely on the natural spawning of captive wild harems 71 72 maintained under controlled photo-thermal conditions. Ballan wrasse spawn adhesive, spherical, benthic eggs of approximately 1 mm in diameter (D'Arcy et al. 2012). Hatcheries 73 use artificial turf laid within broodstock tanks as a spawning substrate for the collection and 74 incubation of eggs with hatching reported at 72 degree days (DD) post-fertilization (Ottesen et 75 al. 2012). A description of the spawning periodicity of captive ballan wrasse along with 76 77 potential fluctuations in egg quality over a full spawning season has not been reported but represents an important first step to rationalise and optimise hatchery operations as with any 78 79 intensively cultured finfish species (Migaud et al. 2013).

80 Currently, there are no standard protocols to determine egg quality for ballan wrasse; 81 commonly used quality indicators across marine finfish species include, but are not limited to, egg size, fertilization and hatching rates, and the biochemical composition of eggs including 82 lipids and fatty acids (FA) composition in particular (Bobe and Labbe, 2010; Migaud et al. 83 2013). Egg diameter in many multiple batch spawning species has been reported to reduce in 84 size as the spawning season progresses (Bagenal, 1971; McEvoy & McEvoy, 1992) which may 85 indicate an exhaustion of an individual females' physiological and nutritional condition 86 (Trippel, 1998). Fatty acids, predominantly docosahexaenoic acid (22:6n-3; DHA), 87 88 eicosapentaenoic acid (20:5n-3; EPA) and arachidonic acid (20:4n-6; ARA), usually correlate well with egg viability, egg development, hatching and larval survival (Rainuzzo et al. 1997; 89 Sargent et al. 1999; Tveiten et al. 2004). However, no single parameter can define egg quality, 90 91 so therefore it is vital to benchmark and assess several quality indicators to help improve husbandry techniques and overall hatchery productivity (Migaud et al. 2013). 92

93 Assessing the parental contribution to daily spawning events in naturally spawning harems is also an important milestone to assist hatcheries in establishing the optimal spawning 94 populations. Furthermore, assessment of parental contribution could give further evidence to 95 support the multiple-batch spawning nature of this species as proposed by Muncaster et al. 96 (2010). Polymorphic microsatellite DNA markers have been used as a tool for parental 97 assignment in many marine aquaculture species (Chistiakov et al. 2005) and a panel of DNA 98 microsatellite markers have previously been developed for ballan wrasse (Quintela et al. 2014) 99 100 but as yet, have not been applied in a broodstock management context.

101 The aims of this study were to (1) describe for the first time the spawning dynamics of captive ballan wrasse, (2) identify potential variations in egg quality parameters over a full spawning 102 103 season with the view to get accurate estimates of hatchery production, and to (3) apply 104 microsatellite markers to investigate parental contribution in naturally spawning ballan wrasse 105 harems all within a commercial production context. Together, this research serves to further optimise and develop standardised protocols for the establishment of broodstock populations 106 and egg quality parameters to aid in the overall improvement of ballan wrasse hatchery 107 productivity. 108

109

## 110 2. Materials and methods

## 111 **2.1 Experimental fish and system**

Wild broodstock were captured using modified lobster creels off shore from Machrihanish in 2011 (55° 17'N, 5° 20'W; Scotland UK) and Dorset in 2012 (50° 44'N, 2° 20'W, England UK) and transferred to Machrihanish Marine Farm (Machrihanish, Scotland) where the study was performed. Prior to the start of the study, Dorset broodstock were overwintered in a common conditioning tank, kept on a simulated natural photoperiod (SNP) at ambient temperature (6-10 °C) and fed daily to satiation on an industry standard extruded pellet (Symbio Wrasse Diet, 6.5 mm diameter; Biomar<sup>Ltd</sup>, Grangemouth, Scotland UK). Machrihanish broodstock were
overwintered in a common conditioning tank, kept under SNP and at a constant 12 °C. Fish
were fed daily to satiation with a mixture of langoustine (*Nephrops norvegicus*) tails and
mussels (*Mytilus edulis*).

In January 2013, spawning harems were established in four commercial spawning tanks: three 122 tanks as Machrihanish (Tanks M1, M2 and M3) and one Dorset (Tank D1) origin (Table 1). 123 Fish were anaesthetised (Tricaine Methane Sulphonate; MS-222; 40 ppm; Pharmaq<sup>Ltd</sup>, 124 Hampshire, UK), measured for total body-weight (BW  $\pm 1$  g) and total body-length (TL  $\pm 1$ 125 126 mm) and assigned to a presumptive gender based on body-size and morphological parameters (Leclercq et al. 2014b). As was standard production practice presumed sex ratios were 127 manipulated based on morphological data where possible to reach approximately 25 % males 128 (range = 15-35 %) in each tank. Circular spawning tanks of 7 m<sup>3</sup> were adjacent and connected 129 onto a single indoor recirculating system (TMC System 10,000; Tropical Marine Centre, 130 Chorleywood, UK) equipped with protein skimmer, mechanical filters (100 µm), biofilters, UV 131 disinfection and photo-thermal control. The system received a  $\sim 20$  % pumped ashore natural 132 seawater exchange daily and the water inflow at each tank was set at 66 L/min (50 % renewal 133 / h). Fish were kept on SNP with a targeted constant water temperature of 12 °C. Water quality 134 parameters were checked daily and averaged over the spawning season: temperature of  $12.2 \pm$ 135 0.07 °C; salinity of  $33.3 \pm 0.1$  ppt; dissolved oxygen (DO) of  $94.1 \pm 0.99$  % saturation; and pH 136 of 8.0  $\pm$  0.03. Fish were fed a mixture of fresh langoustine tails and mussels and tanks were 137 siphoned for waste removal daily. Artificial spawning substrates (Miami Gel carpet, 70x40 cm; 138 MDC, Glasgow, Scotland UK) were placed within each tank (n = 16-20 / tank) in addition to 139 140 artificial kelp and PVC pipes as hides.

141

## 142 **2.2** Sampling schedule and parameters

From 1<sup>st</sup> of April to 25<sup>th</sup> of June 2013, spawning substrates within each tank were removed and 143 visually inspected daily for presence of spawned eggs at 9 am. Mats without eggs were 144 immediately returned to the tank while mats with presence of adhered eggs were replaced by 145 146 new ones and transferred into a holding bath freshly filled with seawater from the rearing system. Each mat was visually inspected and given a subjective score of egg quantity as 147 follows: 1: Low density of eggs and variable coverage, i.e. few eggs scattered over the mat; 2: 148 High density of eggs but low coverage, i.e. many eggs clustered together on a portion of the 149 mat; 3: High density of eggs and high coverage, i.e. many eggs covering the whole mat. A daily 150 151 'spawning score' for relative egg quantity per day per tank was given as the sum of the individual subjective mat scores. 152

For each daily spawn, a representative sample of eggs from across all spawned mats was randomly collected and pooled within a petri-dish previously filled with 10 ml rearing water for assessment of fertilisation and hatching rates, egg diameter (ED) and gum layer thickness (GLT), and lipid content and fatty acid profile as follows.

A sub-sample of 40 eggs was randomly taken for assessment of fertilisation and hatching rates 157 according to Thorsen et al. (2003). Eggs were individually placed into wells of a sterile 96-158 well microplate (Sarstedt 96U, Newton, NC, USA) pre-filled with 200 µl of rearing water 159 freshly filtered to 0.2 µm and kept at 12 °C. Eggs were inspected upon collection (GX Stereo 160 microscope; XTL3T, GT Vision, Suffolk, UK) for presence of cell cleavage indicating 161 162 fertilization. Well plates were then numbered, covered, sealed to prevent evaporation and incubated (LMS Cooled Incubator, LMS Ltd, Kent, UK) at 12 °C in darkness. Eggs were 163 individually examined at 108 DD post-fertilization (PF) to allow sufficient time for hatching 164 165 previously reported to initiate at 72 DD PF in ballan wrasse (Ottesen et al. 2012). The number of hatched larvae was counted and expressed as the proportion of sampled eggs (n = 40 eggs) 166 167 to define the hatching rate of each daily spawn.

168 A sub-sample of eggs was placed into a plastic petri dish with 5 ml of filtered seawater and immediately pictured using a digital microscope camera (1x magnification, GXCam3, GT 169 Vision, Suffolk, UK) fitted onto a stereo microscope and connected to a computer. Pictures 170 were subsequently uploaded onto an image analysis software (ImageJ® 1.47v, National 171 Institutes of Health, USA) and a total of 30 eggs was examined to determine developmental 172 stage according to D'Arcy et al. (2012) and measured as follows. Egg diameter was determined 173 as the average diameter of the chorion measured from two perpendicular lines passing through 174 the egg centre while gum layer thickness (GLT) was determined by measuring the total egg 175 176 diameter then dividing the difference between total and chorion diameter in two.

A last sub-sample of approximately 100 eggs was stored in a glass vial pre-filled with 20 ml 177 chloroform methanol (2:1 v/v) and stored at -20 °C for analysis of lipid content and fatty acid 178 179 composition. Lipid extraction was carried out using the Folch et al. (1957) protocol. The fatty acid composition was determined by subjecting the lipid fraction to acid-catalysed 180 transesterification (Christie, 2003) resulting in fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) which were 181 purified by thin-layer chromatography on silica-coated glass plates using the developing 182 solvent iso-hexane:diethyl ether (90:1 v/v) with 0.01 % BHT as antioxidant. The FAME were 183 then analysed by capillary gas chromatography. 184

185

# 186 **2.3 Batch fecundity**

The total number of eggs collected from a single day and tank was numerically estimated on six separate dates by back calculation of the volumetric count of larval density hatched in isolation corrected by the batch hatching rate (based on well plate hatch rate) in order to estimate a harems daily fecundity and assess the relative performance of the subjective egg quantity scoring system. For each of the six spawning dates, all egg mats were subjected to a static formalin bath treatment (100 ppm, 1 h; 36.6 % formaldehyde solution, Fisher Scientific, 193 Lanarkshire, UK) and stocked into a 500 L flow-through incubator supplied with aerated natural seawater (5 L / min; UV treated, filtered to 100 µm) and fitted with a 100 µm mesh 194 banjo filter at the outflow. Mean daily water temperature was  $12.0 \pm 0.4$  °C and DO = 96.0  $\pm$ 195 0.0 % over the incubation period. Eggs received two static bath treatments of bronopol (25 196 ppm, 1 h; Pyceze®; Novartis Animal Health<sup>Ltd</sup>; Frimley, UK) at 2 and 4 DPF. Hatching was 197 induced by physical shock (gently scraping the eggs from the spawning substrate using a metal 198 spatula) when deemed optimal as per commercial hatchery practice at 6 to 7 DPF. Once all 199 mats were scraped, larvae were observed rising at the surface within 10 min and left untouched 200 201 for 1 hour to allow maximum hatching rate. The incubator was then drained into a condenser fitted with a 50 µm mesh and larvae transferred to a container with a final volume of 30 L. 202 Larvae were gently mixed by light aeration and stirring, and replicated samples (n = 5 to 10) 203 204 of 100 ml separated. The total number of larvae per sample was counted and averaged across 205 replicate volumetric samples before translating the mean value to the batch total volume to calculate the total number of hatched larvae in the batch. 206

207

## 208 2.4 DNA extraction

Fin clip biopsies were taken from each of the 39 broodstock fish within tanks M2 and M3 and 209 a sample of one hundred newly hatched larvae each originating from a single day spawning (n 210 = 6 spawning events from M2 and M3 which were the same batches used for batch fecundity 211 estimation). Samples were stored in 95 % ethanol at 4 °C until processed. Genomic DNA from 212 fin samples was isolated using a salt extraction method; approximately 0.5 cm<sup>2</sup> tissue was 213 added to 300 µl SSTNE buffer (0.30 M NaCl; 0.04 M Tris; 200 µM EDTA; 0.199 mM EGTA 214 (E3889, Sigma Aldrich); 4.89 mM spermidine (SO266, Sigma Aldrich); 1.4 mM spermine 215 (S1141, Sigma Aldrich)) a further 20 µl of SDS (10 %; L3771, Sigma Aldrich) and 5 µl 216 proteinase K (10 mg/ml; P2308, Sigma Aldrich) was added and mixed well. Following a 4 hour 217

218 digestion at 55 °C, samples were incubated at 70 °C to inactivate proteinase K. 20 µl of RNase A (2 mg/ml; R6148, Sigma Aldrich) was added to each sample. Following an additional 1 hour 219 (37 °C) incubation, 200 µl of 5 mM NaCl was added for protein precipitation. 400 µl of 220 221 supernatant was retained, transferred to fresh tubes, and an equal volume of isopropanol added and mixed well. Samples were then centrifuged for 10 minutes, 4 °C, at 10,000 g to form a 222 pellet. The pellet was then washed overnight with 72 % ethanol, dried, and re-suspended in 100 223 µl 5 mM tris. A scaled down version of this protocol was used for larval extractions in the 96 224 well PCR plate format. DNA was quantified using a Nanodrop 1000 Spectrophotometer 225 226 (Thermo Scientific, USA). Broodstock fin clip and whole body larval samples yielded an average of 150 ng/µl and 10 ng/µl of DNA respectively. Genomic DNA was stored at 4 °C for 227 up to 6 months before PCR amplification. 228

229

### 230 **2.5 DNA microsatellites and PCR amplifications**

Seven polymorphic DNA microsatellites (Table 2) were chosen from the limited number of 231 loci reported for ballan wrasse (Quintela et al. 2014). Forward primers were fluorescently 232 labelled for automated detection of PCR products. The loci were amplified as 2 separate 233 multiplex PCR reactions: Multiplex 1 used markers WR-A111, WR-A107, WR-A113, and 234 WR-A103; multiplex 2 used markers WR-A228, WR-A224, and WR-A203. The 3.5 µl 235 reaction contained 5-10 ng of DNA template, 1.75 µl 2x concentrated Plain Combi PPP Master 236 237 Mix (C211, TOP-BIO), 0.67 µl PCR H<sub>2</sub>O (TOP-BIO, 18 Mohm.cm, ultrafiltered) and for multiplex 1: 0.03µM of each primer for WR-A111, WR-A113, and WR-A103, and 0.015 µM 238 of each primer for WR-A107; Multiplex 2: 0.04 µM of each primer for WR-A228, WR-A224, 239 and WR-A203. The PCR amplification program was: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 15 min, 240 25 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, 56 °C for 90 s, 72 °C for 1 min, and final extension step at 60 °C 241 for 30 min. PCR reaction products were stored at 4 °C until genotyped. 242

243

## 244 **2.6** Genotyping and parentage analyses

Parental samples were PCR amplified and genotyped on two separate occasions to obtain high 245 quality scores. Larval samples were screened only once, and samples were excluded where 246 PCR amplification had clearly failed. Following PCR, the amplified DNA fragments were 247 diluted one-seventh with double-distilled H<sub>2</sub>O and 1 µl of this dilution was added to 9 µl of 248 HiDi formamide (Life Technologies; www.lifetechnologies.com) mixed with Gene Scan 600-249 LIZ size standard (Life Technologies), as per standard ABI 3730xl genotyping protocol. Allele 250 peaks were detected using ABI Genescan <sup>TM</sup> software, and genotyping data were interpreted 251 using an exclusion based program called the Family Analysis Programme (FAP) described by 252 Taggart (2007). The number of observed alleles per locus, the expected and observed 253 254 heterozygosity (He and Ho), the inbreeding coefficient (F<sub>IS</sub>) and the probability of identity (PI) for each locus were calculated using GenAlEx 6.502 (Peakall and Smouse 2006, 2012). 255

256

#### 257 2.7 Statistical analysis

Where applicable, all figures were presented as mean  $\pm$  standard error (SE). Minitab 16 258 (Minitab, Coventry, UK) and Instat were used for statistical analysis. All data sets were 259 checked for normality using the Anderson-Darling and the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and 260 arcsine-transformed when normality was not confirmed. The data for days per spawning 261 262 window, inter-spawning interval (ISI), spawning score, fertilization rate, hatch rate, ED, GLT, and % FA of total FA were analysed using a one way ANOVA and a Tukey test for significant 263 differences between tanks, spawning windows and spawning periods. Linear regression 264 265 analysis was performed for ED and GLT data. All percentage data were arcsine-transformed. A probability level of P < 0.05 was considered significant in all tests. 266

# 268 **3. Results**

## **3.1 Spawning patterns and estimated egg quantity**

The spawning season started on the 9<sup>th</sup> of April and lasted until the 17<sup>th</sup> of June 2013 inclusive across the populations and averaged  $58.5 \pm 4.8$  days with a total of 14, 11, 12 and 26 days of spawning in M1, M2, M3 and D1 respectively (Table 3a.). The spawning pattern of all four spawning populations was characterised by a series of spawning periods (SP); each SP consisted of a series of days where spawning occurred, referred to as a 'spawning window' (SW) followed by a series of days without spawning, referred to as the 'inter-spawning interval' (ISI) (Fig. 1).

The total number of SW for isolated spawning populations ranged from 4-6, with individual SW's varying in length from 1 to 9 days. Mean SW duration of population M2 was significantly shorter than that of D1 and, inversely, the mean ISI duration was significantly shorter for D1 than for M1 and M2. However, average SP (SW + ISI) lasted  $14.2 \pm 0.5$  days (n = 16 SP) with no significant differences between spawning populations.

The M1, M2, and M3 spawning populations followed a similar spawning pattern with an average of  $5.2 \pm 0.7$  days (range of 4-7 days) between the SW start dates for tanks M1, M2, and M3. The D1 spawning population was different with SW starting on average  $5.6 \pm 0.8$  days (range = 3-8 days) prior to tanks M1-M3 (Fig. 1).

In each SW throughout the season and for all spawning populations, 85 % of all matts collected were scored 1 (n = 611/723 mats) and 14 % (n = 103/723 mats) were classed as score 2 and a final 1 % (n = 8/723 mats) were score 3. Individual values for the number of mats and corresponding scores varied between SW and between spawning populations (Fig. 2 a-d; Table 3b).

The total subjective score of daily egg quantity per SW was highest during the 2<sup>nd</sup> SW for tanks
M1, M2 and M3 and during the 4<sup>th</sup> SW for D1 (Fig. 2 e-h). For all spawning populations the

least productive SW was the last to occur with total spawning score reduced by an average of 75.9  $\pm$  4.2 % compared to their respective most productive SW.

The total number of eggs estimated from volumetric counts varied from 25,063 to 74,080 and from 4,177 to 7,347 eggs per unit of subjective egg quantity score (mean =  $5677 \pm 558$ ; n = 6) across daily egg batches incubated for numerical estimation (Table 4). Based on this estimated egg quantity per unit of subjective score, the presumed seasonal egg production per population was as follows: M1 = 1,061,524 eggs; M2 = 772,018 eggs; M3 = 726,605 eggs; and D1 = 2,208,197 eggs.

301

# 302 **3.2 Egg quality**

Fertilization rates remained consistently high in all four spawning populations throughout the season (overall mean batch fertilisation rate 98.6  $\pm$  0.7 %; min to max range: 87.5 to 100.0 %) with there being no significant differences between tanks (Table 3c). Hatching rates were highly variable between daily egg batches and spawning populations (range = 0-97.5 %) with population mean hatch rates being significantly lower for M2 compared to M3.

Mean egg diameter was  $0.95 \pm 0.004$  mm and decreased slightly, although not significantly, throughout the spawning season with no significant differences found between populations. Similarly, GLT was  $0.12 \pm 0.002$  mm with no differences between populations and showed an overall decreasing trend over the spawning season in all four spawning populations. However, linear regression between mean GLT over time showed that only M1 was characterised by a significant negative slope ( $r^2 = 0.68$ , n = 14, p < 0.001) (Fig. 3).

314

# 315 **3.3 Fatty acid profile**

The most abundant saturated (SFA), monounsaturated (MUFA), and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) observed in ballan wrasse eggs were palmitic acid (16:0), oleic acid (18:1*n*-9), 318 and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) (22:6n-3), respectively (Table 5). SFA accounted for an average of  $32.5 \pm 4.0$  % of the total fatty acids in ballan wrasse eggs. MUFA ranged from 18.4 319 to 29.4 % of the total fatty acids, however significant differences were seen between spawning 320 321 populations. Within the PUFA, the n-3 were more abundant than the n-6 and significant differences were observed between spawning populations for total n-6 PUFA. The mean EPA 322 to DHA ratio was  $1.72 \pm 0.02$  with there being no difference between population. However 323 the ARA to EPA ratio ranged from 0.28 to 0.31 with the ratio being significatly higher in D1 324 compared to M3. 325

There was little variation in the main FA classes over the course of the spawning season. However, significant differences were seen in ARA between SW for M2, EPA for D1 and for DHA:EPA for M3 and D1 (Table 6). Furthermore, there was an overall decreasing trend, although not significant, from the first to the last SW in all four tanks for ARA, EPA, and DHA with the exception of DHA in the M1 and M3 spawning populations.

331

## 332 **3.4 Genotyping and parental contribution**

Mean predictive assignment rates among families ranged from 81 to 83 % between tanks (Table 333 7). Of the 600 larvae from tanks M2 and M3 that were screened, complete genetic profiles were 334 obtained for 587 individuals. Of these genotyped offspring, 88 % assigned to at least one family 335 336 without error tolerance. When the genotyping model allowed for a single allele mismatch all 337 individuals were successfully assigned to families, with 83 % unambiguously assigned to a single family which correlates to the predicted assignment rates (Table 7). A further 17 % of 338 individuals were assigned to multiple families, however, in all multiple-match cases, at least 339 340 one of the candidate families was a previously confirmed spawning pair.

Analysis of parental contribution was performed on 27 % (n = 3/11) and 25 % (n = 3/12) of spawning events from M2 and M3, respectively (based on the 488 offspring assigned to single 343 match families, allowing up to one allele mismatch). Results indicated that in three out of the six total spawning events analysed, all larvae were assigned to a single mating pair (Table 8). 344 Two spawning events showed evidence of two mating pairs where two separate females had 345 spawned with a common male. Finally, in the last of the six spawning events, 97 % (n = 93/96) 346 of offspring were assigned to a single mating pair, with the remaining 3 % of offspring assigned 347 to three different mating pairs. Parental contribution during these spawning events was not 348 even across the populations with only 22 % of females (n = 3/14) and 60 % (n = 3/5) of males 349 present in tank M2 and 24 % of females (n = 4/17) and 33 % (n = 1/3) of males present in tank 350 351 M3 actually contributing to the offspring analysed. Furthermore, one out of the 7 spawning females (female #13, tank M2) was shown to have spawned twice; once in each of the SW 352 assessed and with a different male on each occasion. All larvae in tank M2 were assigned to a 353 354 single male (individual #05) during the first two spawning dates which were grouped within a single SW, but the third spawning date, which was in a separate SW, had a different male 355 (individual #12) as the main contributor. There was only one male assigned to all larvae from 356 357 tank M3, both within and between the two SW.

358

## 359 4. Discussion

The present study describes for the first time the spawning periodicity of captive ballan wrasse harems throughout an entire spawning season, along with the seasonal variation in reproductive performances including fecundity, egg quality, and parental contributions. This type of dataset is important when trying to close the captive lifecycle for any new species in order to develop hatchery protocols and increase spawning productivity.

Spawning occurred from early April to mid-June with a peak in egg production, based on the highest number of spawning days within a given spawning window, occurring in early May for the three Machrihanish origin populations, and in late May for the Dorset origin spawning 368 population. This coincides with evidence of peak egg production occurring in May as previously reported in Norwegian captive ballan wrasse broodstock (Muncaster et al. 2010). 369 Ballan wrasse have previously been proposed to be group synchronous multiple batch spawners 370 371 based on histological examination of ovaries (Muncaster et al. 2010), however empirical evidence of spawning pattern and rhythmicity during a full spawning season was lacking. The 372 spawning rhythmicity of captive ballan wrasse in this study was characterised by a succession 373 of spawning windows of 1-6 days followed by longer interspawning intervals of 8-15 days with 374 a total of 4 to 6 spawning windows over the spawning season. Such regular spawning rhythms 375 376 are suggestive of a "multiple or repetitive spawning" reproductive strategy as previously proposed in the species. This is further supported by the fact that the total number of spawning 377 dates for all spawning populations exceeded the total number of presumed females in each 378 379 tank; therefore it must be assumed that at least some of the females would have spawned on more than one occasion within the spawning season. This is ultimately supported by 380 genotyping analysis which clearly identified a single female being the predominant contributor 381 382 during two separate spawning events, in two separate spawning windows. Repeat or multiple batch spawning is a common spawning strategy for cultured temperate marine teleosts 383 including Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus*), which produce several batches of eggs 384 at regular intervals of 3-4 days over a 2-4 month period (Nordberg et al. 1991; Bromage et al. 385 386 2000; Brown et al. 2006) and Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua), which spawn egg batches every 387 few days for up to a 2 month period (Kjesbu, 1989).

388 Despite the differences between the four spawning populations in the number and duration of 389 SW and ISI, there was no difference in the overall duration of spawning periods. The average 390 SP across all tanks lasted on average 14 days, which is, by definition, equivalent to a semi-391 lunar spawning cycle. Semi-lunar spawning cycles have been observed in two other Labrid 392 species, *Thalassoma duperrey* and *Thalassoma lucasanum*, where peak spawning occurs on spring tides and on or around the quarter moon (Warner, 1982; Ross, 1983; Taylor, 1984).
Lunar reproductive cycles are common among marine fish and, as suggested by Robertson et
al. (1990) and Taylor (1984), moonlight or tidal regime may play a role in dispersal of eggs or
newly hatched larvae when conditions are best for predator avoidance and/or parental care.
However, the broodstock in this study have been in captivity for 2-3 years under enclosed
conditions and not directly exposed to lunar cycles therefore these rhythms are either
endogenous or other unidentified zeitgebers are providing a synchronising cue.

Due to the adhesive properties of spawned ballan wrasse eggs, the direct quantification of 400 401 individual egg batches has proven very difficult and could not be measured volumetrically as is common hatchery practice with other marine fish species releasing pelagic eggs. After 402 403 numerous attempts at quantifying eggs while adhered to egg mats (using image analysis or 404 scraping), it was concluded that a subjective 'spawning score' of relative egg quantity and 405 coverage across the egg mat was a more suitable and reproducible method. Due to limited facilities and commercial constraints, it was not possible to incubate and hatch each egg batch 406 407 separately for volumetric counts of larvae, thus larval counts were obtained from 6 random separate batches throughout the season. 408

The differences in spawning scores, i.e. egg dispersal over the spawning substrates, between batches and spawning populations cannot be explained at this stage, but it may be down to the number of females contributing to each egg batch or potential variation in individual females spawning behaviour. Furthermore, it is possible that not all eggs from an individual batch were adhered directly to the egg mats collected as the entire tank bottom was not covered with spawning substrate.

Using the total seasonal spawning score per tank, an estimation of population seasonal
fecundity was found to range between 726,605 and 2,208,197 eggs per spawning population.
However, this does not take into account the number of females per tank and without knowing

how many females actually spawned on a given day or how many batches each individual
female spawned, it is not possible to estimate total or batch fecundity to an individual level.
That said, this estimation is deemed vital to give baseline information for hatchery management
to forecast overall broodstock productivity and be able to compare estimates of productivity
from one season to the next.

Fertilization rate is a commonly used early indicator of egg batch quality in marine fish species 423 (Thorsen et al. 2003). However, in this study, fertilization rates, when measured at collection 424 (less than 24 hours post spawning) remained consistently high throughout the spawning season 425 426 for all spawning populations. This did not correlate with individual batch hatch rates which were highly variable between spawning windows and spawning populations. Therefore it must 427 be concluded that in this study fertilisation rate, assessed within 24 hours of spawning, is not a 428 429 valid early indicator of egg batch quality and thus the authors would encourage future studies 430 in ballan wrasse to perform such measurements at a later stage post spawning and then reexamine the predictive power of fertilisation rate as a quality indicator. 431

432 Mean total egg diameter in this study was marginally smaller (0.95  $\pm$  0.004 mm) than previously reported for Norwegian origin ballan wrasse eggs (measured at comparable 433 developmental stages) (1.05  $\pm$  0.04 by Ottesen et al. 2012); however, it was similar to egg 434 diameter reported for the brown wrasse (*Labrus merula*)  $(0.93 \pm 0.05 \text{ mm})$  (Dulčić et al. 1999), 435 and smaller to that seen in the green wrasse (Labrus viridis)  $(1.01 \pm 0.03 \text{ mm})$  (Kožul et al. 436 437 2011), both species of which also spawn adhesive benthic eggs. Egg size did not appear to vary along the spawning season as opposed to findings in other batch spawning species such as 438 Atlantic cod (~11 % seasonal decrease, Trippel, 1998), Arctic cod (Arctogadus glacialis) (2-7 439 440 % seasonal decrease, Wiborg, 1960), turbot (Scophthalmus maximus) (McEvoy & McEvoy, 1991) and halibut (Bagenal, 1971). Seasonal reduction in egg size has been supposedly linked 441 to physiological effects from the maternal component (Trippel, 1998) as batch spawning may 442

place a large physiological demand on spawning fish therefore depleting energy sources overthe course of the spawning season (Izquierdo et al. 2001).

While egg diameter remained consistent, a declining trend in mean gum layer thickness was 445 446 observed over the spawning season for the four spawning populations studied, however, only significantly for one population (M1) which represented a 32 % decline from the first SW to 447 the last. To date, there is a lack of literature on seasonal changes in egg adhesiveness for marine 448 teleosts. There was no clear reduction observed in the 'stickiness' of egg batches over the 449 season as a whole; however, casual observation suggested that eggs appeared to become 'less 450 451 sticky' during the later stages of incubation, just prior to hatch. Similarly, in the green wrasse, the adhesive gum layer has been shown to lose its stickiness and separate from the eggs a few 452 hours prior to hatching (Kožul et al. 2011). Further studies should be performed to determine 453 454 the role of the adhesive gum layer in ballan wrasse eggs and look at potential removal methods 455 for incubation purposes as is common commercial practice with many freshwater species that spawn adhesive eggs (Linhart et al. 2003). 456

457 Another indicator of egg quality in fish is lipid and FA contents derived directly from broodstock diet (Sargent et al. 1999; Migaud et al. 2013). They are required for the formation 458 of cell membranes and are a major source of metabolic energy (Sargent et al. 2002). In addition, 459 they play important roles in spawning, egg quality, in terms of successful embryo and larval 460 growth and development, hatching, and overall survival (Rainuzzo et al. 1997; Sargent et al. 461 462 2002; Tocher, 2003). Ballan wrasse egg FA composition in this study remained generally consistent throughout the spawning season and across spawning windows, although subtle 463 variances were observed. Such variability in FA between spawning populations and spawning 464 465 windows could potentially be due to genetic or nutritional variability between individual spawning fish. However, of the 63 egg batches collected, inclusive of all spawning populations, 466 no direct correlation was found between any FA and fertilisation or hatch rates. Therefore the 467

observed PUFA variance (DHA, EPA, ARA, and DHA:EPA) was independent of these quality
assessments. This was an unexpected result as DHA in particular and EPA have been linked to
fertilization and hatching success in many other marine teleost species including cod (Pickova
et al. 1997), sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) (Bruce et al. 1999) and common snook
(*Centropomus undecimalis*) (Yanes-Roca et al. 2009).

Lipid content and FA composition of fish eggs are known to vary considerably between species 473 (Sargent et al. 2002). With the exception of the high levels of ARA (~ $3.8 \pm 0.5$  % of total FA) 474 compared to  $\sim 2.5$  % total FA in other marine species, ballan wrasse egg FA profile observed 475 476 in this study fits the general profile for marine fish (Tocher et al. 1985; Fraser et al. 1988; Sargent et al. 2002). The relative levels of EPA observed in captive ballan eggs in this study 477 were similar to that reported for wild ballan wrasse ( $12 \pm 1$  %) and the levels of ARA, DHA, 478 479 and DHA:EPA ratio were lower than those previously reported for wild ballan wrasse ( $6 \pm 2$ ;  $30 \pm 4$ ; and  $2.5 \pm 0.5$  %, respectively) (Hamre et al. 2013). However, this comparison is not 480 straightforward as in the previously published study samples were taken from female gonads 481 482 just prior to spawning. Future research should aim to obtain more egg samples from wild ballan wrasse as well as benchmark egg quality more comprehensively. 483

Given the spontaneous spawning behaviour of ballan wrasse in captivity, it is difficult to 484 determine parental contribution to egg batches. Therefore, a seven loci microsatellite panel was 485 selected from an original pool of 20 previously published (Quintela et al. 2014). The panel 486 487 performed well, and provided robust genotyping data for all of the parents assessed as well as the majority of larvae. Loci performance (allele no., observed size range, He, Ho, F<sub>IS</sub> and PI) 488 was generally comparable with Quintela et al. (2104) which demonstrates these markers can 489 490 be used effectively, more widely across the species natural range. The exclusion based FAP had a higher level of single-match assignment (83 %) when a single allelic mismatch was 491 tolerated, which is the general level of acceptance for the expected low level of error 492

493 (Pompanon et al. 2005). The predictive FAP, which looks at the resolving power of parental genotypic data sets (Taggart, 2007), indicated that the 7 loci panel used would not be 494 unambiguously discriminating, and the low level of multiple matches found, 99 out of 587 495 496 larvae (17%) was similar to that predicted by FAP. The parental assignment results from the exclusion based FAP analysis indicated that, overall, within the six spawning dates analysed 497 for the two spawning populations, only 19.5 % of females and 50 % of males within tanks 498 actually contributed to the progeny. Bearing in mind that larval samples were taken 499 immediately post hatch, this should be a reliable and robust estimate of parental contribution, 500 501 as larvae were not subjected to any active (hatchery practice) or natural (selective mortality) grading. On all three spawning dates in M3 there was only a single male contributing to all 502 assigned larvae and within the three spawning dates for M2 there was one male contributing to 503 504 64 % of assigned larvae and a further two males showing a lower level of contribution. The 505 highly skewed male contribution is suggestive that male dominance is occurring within these spawning populations which is supported by observations of territorial male behaviour. 506 507 Furthermore, these results support the harem mating behaviour reported from studies of wild fish with territorial males courting and mating with several females (Sjölander et al. 1972; 508 Hilldén, 1984). Overall, the parentage assignment results highlight the need for further research 509 to be conducted on a larger scale and with improved assignment methods so that it can be 510 integrated as a management tool within hatcheries to test the social, environmental, or 511 512 hormonal manipulations on breeding activity.

As a whole this research provides the first detailed study on the spawning performance of captive ballan wrasse. Results showed clear spawning rhythms and confirmed that ballan wrasse is a multiple batch spawning species. In addition, parental contribution confirms the social hierarchical structuring in captive ballan wrasse, which should be taken into consideration when establishing spawning populations. Finally, the analysis of egg batch quality provide the first data to serve as a comparison in future commercial batches. The
knowledge gained on ballan wrasse reproductive performances and egg quality is critical for
the development of broodstock management programs to secure a sustainable supply of farmed
fish to combat sea lice.

522

# 523 Acknowledgments

This research was co-funded by Innovate UK (Project ref. 81199), Marine Harvest (Scotland)<sup>Ltd</sup>
and Scottish Sea Farms. The authors would like to thank Nicolas Picci for his invaluable help
with sample collection, all staff at the Machrihanish Marine Farm, as well as the supporting
staff at the Machrihanish Marine Environmental Research Laboratory (University of Stirling).

#### 528 **References**

- Bagenal, T.B., (1971). The inter-relation of the size of fish eggs, the date of spawning and the
  production cycle. *Journal of Fish Biology* 3, 207-219.
- Bjordal, Å., (1990). Wrasse as cleaner-fish for farmed salmon. *Progressive Underwater Science* 16, 17-28.
- Bobe, J., & Labbe, C., (2010). Egg and sperm quality in fish. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 165, 535-548.
- Bromage, N., Mazorra, C., Bruce, M., & Brown, N., (2000). Halibut culture. In: Stickney, R.R.
  (Ed.), *Encyclopaedia of Aquaculture*. Wiley and Sons, New York, 425-432.
- 537 Brown, N., Shields, R.J., & Bromage, N.R., (2006). The influence of water temperature on
- spawning patterns and egg quality in the Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus L.*). *Aquaculture* 261, 993-1002.
- 540 Bruce, M., Oyen, F., Bell, G., Asturiano, J.F., Farndale, B., Carrillo, M., Zanuy, S., Ramos, J.,
- 541 & Bromage, N., (1999). Development of broodstock diets for the European sea bass
- 542 (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) with special emphasis on the importance of n 3 and n 6 highly
- unsaturated fatty acid to reproductive performance. *Aquaculture* 177, 85-97.
- 544 Chistiakov, D.A., Hellemans, B., & Volckaert, F.A.M., (2005). Microsatellites and their
- genomic distribution, evolution, function and applications: a review with special reference
  to fish genetics. *Aquaculture* 225, 1-29.
- 547 Christie, W.W., (2003). *Lipid Analysis: Isolation, separation, identification and structural*548 *analysis of lipids*, Third edition. Oil Press, Bridgewater, England.
- 549 Costello, M., (2006). Ecology of sea lice parasitic on farmed and wild fish. *Trends in*550 *Parasitology* 22, 475-483.
- 551 Costello, M., (2009). The global economic cost of sea lice to the salmonid farming industry.
- *Journal of Fish Diseases* 32, 115-118.

- 553 D'Arcy, J., Dunaevskaya, E., Treasurer, J.W., Ottesen, O., Maguire, J., Zhuravleva, N.,
- Karlsen, A., Rebours, C., & Fitzgerald, R.D., (2012). Embryonic development in ballan
  wrasse *Labrus bergylta*. *Journal of Fish Biology* 81, 1101-1110.
- Dipper, F.A., Bridges, C.R., & Menz, A., (1977). Age, growth and feeding in the ballan wrasse *Labrus bergylta* Ascanius 1767. *Journal of Fish Biology* 11, 105-120.
- Dipper, F.A., & Pullin, R.S.V., (1979). Gonochorism and sex-inversion in British labridae
  (Pisces). *Journal of Zoology* 187, 97-112.
- 560 Dipper, F., (1987). British Sea Fishes. London: Underwater World Publication Ltd.
- 561 Dulčić, J., Kožul, V., Kraljević, B., Skaramuca, B., Glamuzina, B., & Ré, P., (1999).
- 562 Embryonic and larval development of the brown wrasse *Labrus merula* (Pisces: Labridae).
- *Journal of Marine Biology Association of the United Kingdom* 79, 327-332.
- Evans, D.H., & Claiborne, J.B., (2006). *The Physiology of Fishes*: Third Edition. Boca Raton,
  Florida: CRC Press.
- 566 Folch, J.M., Lees, M., & Sloane Standley, G.H., (1957). A simple method for the isolation and
- purification of total lipids from animal tissues. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 226, 497509.
- 569 Fraser, A.J., Gamble, J.C., & Sargent, J.R., (1988). Changes in lipid content, lipid class
- composition and fatty acid composition of developing eggs and unfed larvae of cod (*Gadus morhua*). *Marine Biology* 99, 307-313.
- Hamre, K., Nordgreen, A., Grøtan, E., & Breck, O., (2013). A holistic approach to development
  of diets for ballan wrasse (*Labrus bergylta*) a new species in aquaculture. *PeerJ*, DOI
  10.7717/peerj.99.
- 575 Hilldén, N.O., (1984). Behavioural ecology of the labrid fishes (*Teleostei: labridae*) at
- 576 Tjärnöon the Swedish west coast. PhD. University of Stockholm.

| 577 | Izquierdo, M.S., Fernández-Palacios, H., & Tacon, A.G.J., (2001). Effect of broodstock |
|-----|--|
| 578 | nutrition on reproductive performance of fish. Aquaculture 197, 25-42.                 |

- Kjesbu, O.S., (1989). The spawning activity of cod (*Gadus morhua* L.). *Journal of Fish Biology*34, 195-206.
- Kožul, V., Glavić, N., Tutman, P., Bolotin, J., & Onofri, V., (2011). The spawning, embryonic
  and early larval development of the green wrasse *Labrus viridis* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Labridae)
- in controlled conditions. *Animal Reproduction Science* 125, 196-203.
- Kvenseth, P.G., Sjømatsenter, N., Andreassen, J., & Solgaard, J., (2003). Ballan wrasse –
  strong medicine. In *Cleanerfish* (Hjelme, A. N., ed.) 18-22. Berger: Norsk Fiskeoppdrett
  A/S.
- Leclercq, E., Davie, A., & Migaud, H., (2014a). Delousing efficiency of farmed ballan wrasse
   (*Labrus bergylta*) against *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* infecting Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*)
   post-smolts. *Pest Management Science* 70, 1274-1282.
- Leclercq, E., Grant, B., Davie, A., & Migaud, H., (2014b). Gender distribution, sexual size
  dimorphism and morphometric sexing in ballan wrasse *Labrus bergylta*. *Journal of Fish Biology* 84, 1842-1862.
- Linhart, O., Rodina, M., Gela, D., Faljšhans, M., & Kocour, M., (2003). Enzyme treatment for
- elimination of egg stickiness in tench (*Tinca Tinca* L.) European catfish (*Silurus Galanis*
- L.) and common carp (*Cyprinus Carpio* L.). *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry* 28, 507-508.
- 596 McEvoy, L.A., & McEvoy, J., (1991). Size fluctuation in the eggs and newly hatched larvae of
- captive turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*). Journal of the Marine Biological Association of
  the United Kingdom 71, 679-690.
- McEvoy, L.A., & McEvoy, J., (1992). Multiple spawning in several commercial fish species
  and its consequences for fisheries management, cultivation and experimentation. *Journal of*
- 601 *Fish Biology* 41, 125-136.

- Migaud, H., Bell, G., Cabrita, E., McAndrew, B., Davie, A., Bobe, J., Herráez, M.P., &
- Carrillo, M., (2013). Gamete quality and broodstock management in temperate fish. *Reviews in Aquaculture* 5, 194-223.
- Muncaster, S., Andersson, E., Kjebsu, O.S., Taraner, G.L., Skiftesvik, A.B., & Norberg, B.,
- 606 (2010). The reproductive cycle of female ballan wrasse (*Labrus bergylta*) in high latitude,
  607 temperate waters. *Journal of Fish Biology* 77, 494-511.
- Muncaster, S., Norberg, B., & Andersson, E., (2013). Natural sex change in the temperate
  protogynous ballan wrasse *Labrus bergylta*. *Journal of Fish Biology* 82, 1858-1870.
- 610 Nordberg, B., Valkner, V., Huse, J., Karlsen, I., & Grung, G.R., (1991). Ovulatory rhythms
- and egg viability in the Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus*). *Aquaculture* 97, 365371.
- Ottesen, O.H., Dunaevskaya, E., & D'Arcy, J., (2012). Development of *Labrus bergylta*(Ascanius 1767) larvae from hatching to metamorphosis. *Aquaculture Research and Development* 3, 1-4.
- 616 Peakall, R. and Smouse P.E. (2006) GENALEX 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population
- 617 genetic software for teaching and research. *Molecular Ecology Notes*. 6, 288-295.
- Peakall, R. and Smouse P.E. (2012) GenAlEx 6.5: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic
  software for teaching and research-an update. *Bioinformatics* 28, 2537-2539.
- 620 Pickova, J., Dutta, P.C., Larsson, P.O., & Kiessling, A., (1997). Early embryonic cleavage
- 621 pattern, hatching success and egg lipid fatty acid composition: comparison between two cod
- 622 stocks. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science* 54, 2410-2416.
- 623 Pompanon, F., Bonin, A., Bellemain, E., & Taberlet, P., (2005). Genotyping errors: causes,
- 624 consequences and solutions. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 6, 847-859.

- 625 Quintela, M., Danielsen, E.A., Svåsand, T., Knutsen, H., Skiftesvik, A. B., & Glover, K.,
- 626 (2014). Isolation and characterisation of twenty microsatellite loci for the ballan wrasse,
- 627 *Labrus bertylta. Conservation Genetic Resources* 6, 425-428.
- Rae, G., (2002). Sea louse control in Scotland, past and present. *Pest Management Science* 58,
  515-520.
- Rainuzzo, J.R., Reitan, K.I., & Olsen, Y., (1997). The significance of lipids at early stages of
  marine fish: a review. *Aquaculture* 155, 103-115.
- Robertson, R.R., Petersen, C.W., & Brawn, J.D., (1990). Lunar reproductive cycles of benthic-
- brooding reef fishes: Reflections of larval biology or adult biology? *Ecological Monographs*60, 311-329.
- Ross, R.M., (1983). Annual, semilunar and diel reproductive rhythms in the Hawaiian labrid
   *Thalassoma duperrey. Marine Biology* 72, 311-318.
- 637 Sargent, J.R., Tocher, D.R., & Bell, J.D., (2002). The lipids, In: Halver, J.R., Hardy R.W., (Ed),
  638 *Fish Nutrition*, 3<sup>nd</sup> edition, 181-257. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- 639 Sargent, J.R., McEvoy, L., Estevez, A., Bell, J.G., Bell, M.V., Henderson, J.R., & Tocher, D.R.,
- 640 (1999). Lipid nutrition of marine fish during early development: current status and future641 directions. *Aquaculture* 179, 217-229.
- Sayer, M.D.J., Gibson, R.D., & Atkinson, R.J.A., (1993). Distribution and density of
  populations of goldsinny wrasse (*Ctenolabrus rupestris*) on the west coast of Scotland.
- 644 *Journal of Fish Biology* 43, 157-167.
- Sayer, M.D.J., Treasurer, J.W., & Costello, M.J., (1996). Wrasse: biology and use in *aquaculture*. Blackwell Science Ltd. Oxford, 3-13.
- 647 Sjölander, S., Larson, H., Engstrom, J., (1972). On the reproductive behaviour of two labrid
- 648 fishes, the ballan wrasse (*Labrus bergylta*) and Jago's goldsinny (*Ctenolabrus rupestris*).
- 649 *Revue Comporte Animale* 6, 43-51.

- Taggart, J.B., (2007). FAP: an exclusion-based parental assignment program with enhanced
   predictive functions. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 7, 412-415.
- Taylor, M.H., (1984). Lunar synchronization of fish reproduction. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 113, 484-493.
- Thorsen, A., Trippel, E.A., & Lambert, Y., (2003). Experimental methods to monitor the
  production and quality of eggs of captive marine fish. *Journal of Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Science* 33, 55-70.
- Tocher, D.R., (2003). Metabolism and functions of lipids and fatty acids in teleost fish. *Reviews in Fisheries Science* 11, 107-184.
- Tocher, D.R., Fraser, A.J., Sargent, J.R., & Gamble, J.C., (1985). Fatty acid composition of
- phospholipids and neutral lipids during embryonic and early larval development in Atlantic
  herring (*Clupea harengus* L.). *Lipids* 20, 69-74.
- Treasurer, J.W., (2002). A review of potential pathogens of sea lice and the application of
  cleaner fish in biological control. *Pest Management Science* 58, 546-558.
- Trippel, E.A., (1998). Egg size and viability and seasonal offspring production of young
  Atlantic cod. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 127, 339-359.
- 666 Tveiten, H., Jobling, M., & Andreassen, I., (2004). Influence of egg lipids and fatty acids on
- egg viability, and their utilization during embryonic development of spotted wolf-fish, *Anarhichas minor* Olafsen. *Aquaculture Research* 35, 152-161.
- Warner, R.R., (1982). Mating systems, sex change and sexual demography in the rainbow
  wrasse, *Thalassoma lucasanum. Copeia* 1982, 653-661.
- 671 Wiborg, K.F., (1960). Investigations on eggs and larvae of commercial fishes in Norwegian
- 672 costal and offshore waters in 1957-58. *Fiskeridirektorates Skrifter Serie Havundersokelser*
- 673 21, 1-27.

Yanes-Roca, C., Rhody, N., Mystrom, M., & Main, K.L., (2009). Effects of fatty acid
composition and spawning season patterns on egg quality and larval survival in common
snook (*Centropomus undecimalis*). *Aquaculture* 287, 335-340.

| 678 | <b>Table 1.</b> Description of ballan wrasse broodstock used in the study including origin, sex ratio |
|-----|---|
| 679 | and size parameters.  |

| M1               | M2                             | M3  | D1  |
|------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|
|                  |                                |   |   |
| 10               | 19                             | 20  | 28  |
| 3                | 5                              | 3   | 8   |
| 7                | 14                             | 17  | 20  |
| $1373.3\pm126.5$ | $945.0\pm54.5$                 | $1258.3\pm8.2$  | $1215.0\pm64.7$                                       |
| $957.1\pm59.4$   | $665.0\pm31.8$                 | $673.5\pm55.4$  | $767.3\pm33.9$  |
|                  | 10<br>3<br>7<br>1373.3 ± 126.5 | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |

**Table 2.** Details of the seven polymorphic microsatellite markers used in the present study inclusive of M2 and M3 spawning populations (n = 39 fish), "reported" allele observations are from Quintela et al. (2014).

| Locus   | Primer sequence (5' 2')                           | Fluorescent<br>Label | Poposta              | No. of<br>alleles<br>observed | Allele size<br>range<br>observed | He    | Ho    | Fis    | PI    | Multiplex |
|---------|---|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-----------|
| Wr-A103 | Primer sequence (5'-3')<br>F: TGGTTGCTACCAAATCATG | 6FAM                 | Repeats<br>(GTT)9    | 7                             | (bp)<br>186-197                  | 0.824 | 0.872 | -0.068 | 0.055 |           |
| WI-A103 | R: GGGACAGAATGAAATATCTCTG                         | 01 AM                | (011)9               | 7                             | 100-197                          | 0.824 | 0.872 | -0.008 | 0.055 | 1         |
| Wr-A107 | F: GAAAGAGACGGACAGAGACA                           | NED                  | (AAC) <sub>9</sub>   | 3                             | 185-194                          | 0.319 | 0.282 | 0.121  | 0.501 | 1         |
|         | R: CGTCCCTATTTCATTGTCAC                           |                      |                      |                               |                                  |       |       |        |       |           |
| Wr-A111 | F: ATCCAACAAATGGACTTAGTCA                         | VIC                  | (TCTA) <sub>18</sub> | 8                             | 199-240                          | 0.740 | 0.641 | 0.106  | 0.097 | 1         |
|         | R: AAACGGAGACCAGTGGAG                             |                      |                      |                               |                                  |       |       |        |       |           |
| Wr-A113 | F: TTGGAATCAAACAACCTCTC                           | PET                  | (GTT) <sub>17</sub>  | 8                             | 195-223                          | 0.751 | 0.795 | -0.059 | 0.090 | 1         |
|         | R: GAGCCTACAAATTATCATTGGT                         |                      |                      |                               |                                  |       |       |        |       |           |
| Wr-A203 | F: GATAGCGGGATAAAAGAAGATC                         | 6FAM                 | (GTT) <sub>14</sub>  | 11                            | 155-208                          | 0.760 | 0.795 | -0.051 | 0.078 | 2         |
|         | R: TTCTATTTGGCAACCTTTACAC                         |                      |                      |                               |                                  |       |       |        |       |           |
| Wr-A224 | F: GGACTGGGAACAGTTAAGATG                          | NED                  | (ATC) <sub>9</sub>   | 5                             | 171-193                          | 0.563 | 0.590 | -0.048 | 0.280 | 2         |
|         | R: CATGCGAGAGTTTTTCAAAG                           |                      |                      |                               |                                  |       |       |        |       |           |
| Wr-A228 | F:AGGAAAACAGAGCCTACAAATT                          | VIC                  | (AAC) <sub>12</sub>  | 8                             | 163-190                          | 0.751 | 0.795 | -0.059 | 0.090 | 2         |
|         | R: CTTGCTCCAGAACATTTCAG                           |                      |                      |                               |                                  |       |       |        |       |           |

**Table 3**. Ballan wrasse broodstock spawning performance in the four spawning populations

685 studied: (a) Spawning dynamic; Spawning windows (SW), Inter-spawning intervals (ISI) and

spawning period; (b) Relative egg production given as mean number of mats collected per

day, mean daily spawning score and the estimated seasonal egg production based on the

688 mean number of eggs per unit of subjective spawning sore (Table 4); and (c) Egg quality;

689 fertilization rate (%), hatch rate (%). *Note:* Superscripts represent significant differences

between spawning populations for each given parameter (all p values < 0.05).

|                                    | M1                   | M2                | M3                  | D1                |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| a. Spawning dynamic                |                      |                   |                     |                   |
| Spawning season (n days)           | 64                   | 56                | 46                  | 68                |
| Total number of spawning days      | 14                   | 11                | 12                  | 26                |
| Number of SW (n)                   | 5                    | 5                 | 4                   | 6                 |
| Length of SW (n days)              | $3.6\pm0.7^{ab}$     | $2.4\pm0.7^{b}$   | $4.5\pm1.2^{ab}$    | $6.0\pm1.0^{a}$   |
| Spawning days within SW (n days)   | $2.8\pm0.4^{ab}$     | $2.2\pm0.5^{b}$   | $3.0\pm0.4^{ab}$    | $4.3\pm0.6^{a}$   |
| Duration of ISI (n days)           | $12.5\pm1.0^{\rm a}$ | $12.0\pm0.4^{a}$  | $11.0 \pm 1.5^{ab}$ | $8.0\pm1.0^{b}$   |
| Spawning period (n days)           | 15.3 ± 1.2           | $14.5\pm0.6$      | $14.3\pm1.4$        | $12.8\pm0.6$      |
| b. Egg Production                  |                      |                   |                     |                   |
| Number of mats per spawning day    | $12.5\pm1.4$         | $11.5\pm3.5$      | $9.6\pm2.8$         | $11.8 \pm 1.1$    |
| Daily spawning score               | $13.4 \pm 1.6$       | $11.9 \pm 1.5$    | $10.7\pm1.3$        | $15.0\pm1.6$      |
| Total score (whole season)         | 187                  | 136               | 128                 | 389               |
| Estimated seasonal egg production* | 1,061,524            | 772,018           | 726,605             | 2,208,197         |
| c. Egg quality                     |                      |                   |                     |                   |
| Fertilization rate (%)             | $98.8\pm0.01$        | $96.9\pm0.01$     | $99.6\pm0.00$       | $99.3\pm0.00$     |
| Hatching rate (%)                  | $61.2\pm0.06^{ab}$   | $46.8\pm0.11^{b}$ | $75.8\pm0.07^{a}$   | $67.0\pm003^{ab}$ |

691 \* Estimation based on results presented in Table 4

**Table 4**. Hatch rate (%, Mean  $\pm$  SEM, n = 5 larval counts performed), volumetric counts, estimated larval number, estimated egg number using back calculation of larval number and well plate hatch rate; spawning score and estimated egg number per unit of spawning score from 6 individual egg batches, three each from M2 and M3.

|   |              | M2           |            |                   | M3         |              |
|---|--------------|--------------|------------|-------------------|------------|--------------|
| Spawning Date   | 16/05/2013   | 17/05/2013   | 29/05/2013 | 08/05/2013        | 18/05/2013 | 19/05/2013   |
| Hatch rate (%)  | 92.5         | 85.0         | 45.0       | 75.0              | 80.0       | 90.0         |
| Volumetric larval count (per 100ml)   | $161 \pm 23$ | $205 \pm 17$ | $82 \pm 2$ | $278\pm51$        | $67 \pm 3$ | $176 \pm 37$ |
| Estimated larvae number   | 48,375       | 61,613       | 24,750     | 55,560            | 20,050     | 52,900       |
| Estimated egg number  | 52,297       | 72,485       | 55,000     | 74,080            | 25,063     | 58,777       |
| Spawning score  | 8            | 17           | 11         | 11                | 6          | 8            |
| Estimated egg number per unit of spawning                                   |              |              |            |                   |            |              |
| score   | 6,537        | 4,264        | 5,000      | 6,735             | 4,177      | 7,347        |
| Mean egg number per unit of subjective<br>spawning score ( $n = 6$ batches) |              |              | 50         | $576.6 \pm 558.4$ |            |              |

- **Table 5.** Captive ballan wrasse egg fatty acid composition for each of the four broodstock
- 698 populations, values averaged over the season, per tank. *Note:* Superscripts represent
- 699 significant differences between spawning populations for each parameter (all p values <
- 700 0.05).

| % Fatty Acid of total fatty acid |                          |                          |                           |                          |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| Fatty Acid                       | M 1                      | M2                       | M3                        | D 1                      |  |  |  |
| 14:0                             | $1.50\pm0.07$            | $1.39\pm0.06$            | $1.30\pm0.06$             | $1.39\pm0.04$            |  |  |  |
| 15:0                             | $0.38\pm0.01^{a}$        | $0.39\pm0.01^{a}$        | $0.36\pm0.01^{ab}$        | $0.33\pm0.01^{b}$        |  |  |  |
| 16:0                             | $25.96\pm0.27$           | $25.46 \pm 0.26$         | $25.41\pm0.27$            | $25.46\pm0.20$           |  |  |  |
| 18:0                             | $5.13\pm0.20$            | $4.94\pm0.15$            | $5.15\pm0.20$             | $5.07\pm0.11$            |  |  |  |
| 20:0                             | $0.02\pm0.01^{ab}$       | $0.04\pm0.02^{a}$        | $0.01\pm0.01^{ab}$        | $0.00\pm0.00^{\rm b}$    |  |  |  |
| 22:0                             | $0.15\pm0.04$            | $0.17\pm0.03$            | $0.11\pm0.02$             | $0.12\pm0.02$            |  |  |  |
| $\Sigma$ Saturated               | $33.15\pm0.36$           | $32.39 \pm 0.30$         | $32.34\pm0.26$            | $32.38\pm0.20$           |  |  |  |
| 16:1n-9                          | $1.29\pm0.06^{ab}$       | $1.51\pm0.12^{ab}$       | $1.19\pm0.04^{\text{b}}$  | $1.60 \pm 0.11^{a}$      |  |  |  |
| 16:1n-7                          | $3.73 \pm 0.19$          | $4.47\pm0.29$            | $3.47\pm0.28$             | $4.24\pm0.24$            |  |  |  |
| 18:1n-9                          | $11.91\pm0.34^{ab}$      | $12.04\pm0.30^{ab}$      | $11.37\pm0.19^{\text{b}}$ | $12.63\pm0.27^{a}$       |  |  |  |
| 18:1n-7                          | $3.86 \pm 0.14$          | $4.30\pm0.15$            | $3.97\pm0.16$             | $4.27\pm0.08$            |  |  |  |
| 20:1n-11                         | $0.17 \pm 0.11$          | $0.08\pm0.08$            | $0.00\pm0.00$             | $0.00\pm0.00$            |  |  |  |
| 20:1n-9                          | $1.01 \pm 0.10$          | $0.95\pm0.06$            | $1.12\pm0.05$             | $1.10 \pm 0.03$          |  |  |  |
| 20:1n-7                          | $0.19 \pm 0.01$          | $0.20 \pm 0.02$          | $0.19 \pm 0.01$           | $0.17 \pm 0.01$          |  |  |  |
| Σ Monounsaturated                | $22.25\pm0.57^{ab}$      | $23.55\pm0.79^{ab}$      | $21.32\pm0.62^{\text{b}}$ | $24.06\pm0.54^{a}$       |  |  |  |
| 18:2n-6                          | $1.07\pm0.10^{\circ}$    | $1.35\pm0.07^{ab}$       | $1.59\pm0.10^{\rm a}$     | $1.19\pm0.04^{bc}$       |  |  |  |
| 18:3n-6                          | $0.03\pm0.03^{\text{b}}$ | $0.07\pm0.01^{a}$        | $0.03\pm0.01^{ab}$        | $0.02\pm0.01^{\text{b}}$ |  |  |  |
| 20:2n-6                          | $0.24\pm0.02^{\text{b}}$ | $0.27\pm0.02^{\text{b}}$ | $0.33\pm0.02^{\text{a}}$  | $0.24\pm0.01^{\text{b}}$ |  |  |  |
| 20:3n-6                          | 0.11 0.02 <sup>b</sup>   | $0.14\pm0.01^{ab}$       | $0.17\pm0.01^{a}$         | $0.14\pm0.01^{ab}$       |  |  |  |
| 20:4n-6 ARA                      | $3.49\pm0.06^{\text{b}}$ | $3.74\pm0.14^{ab}$       | $3.94\pm0.12^{\text{a}}$  | $3.82\pm0.09^{ab}$       |  |  |  |
| 22:4n-6                          | $0.22 \pm 0.01$          | $0.25\pm0.01$            | $0.22\pm0.01$             | $0.25 \pm 0.01$          |  |  |  |
| 22:5n-6                          | $0.30\pm0.01$            | $0.32\pm0.01$            | $0.30\pm0.01$             | $0.30\pm0.02$            |  |  |  |
| Σn-6 PUFA                        | $5.45\pm0.16^{\rm c}$    | $6.14\pm0.18^{ab}$       | $6.57\pm0.20^{\rm a}$     | $5.97\pm0.09^{b}$        |  |  |  |
| 18:3n-3                          | $0.21\pm0.02$            | $0.21\pm0.01$            | $0.23\pm0.01$             | $0.18\pm0.01$            |  |  |  |
| 18:4n-3                          | $0.11\pm0.01$            | $0.15\pm0.01$            | $0.17\pm0.01$             | $0.13\pm0.01$            |  |  |  |
| 20:4n-3                          | $0.24\pm0.01^{\text{b}}$ | $0.28\pm0.01^{ab}$       | $0.31\pm0.01^{a}$         | $0.26\pm0.01^{\text{b}}$ |  |  |  |
| 20:5n-3 EPA                      | $12.67\pm0.19^{ab}$      | $12.69\pm0.42^{ab}$      | $13.59\pm0.20^{\rm a}$    | $12.30\pm0.19^{b}$       |  |  |  |
| 22:5n-3                          | $2.36\pm0.09$            | $2.09\pm0.07$            | $2.08\pm0.35$             | $2.25\pm0.10$            |  |  |  |
| 22:6n-3 DHA                      | $22.40 \pm 0.33$         | $21.3\pm0.54$            | $22.24\pm0.50$            | $21.32\pm0.32$           |  |  |  |
| Σn-3 PUFA                        | $38.00 \pm 0.50$         | $36.72\pm0.85$           | $38.61 \pm 0.54$          | $36.44 \pm 0.49$         |  |  |  |
| 16:2                             | $0.14\pm0.01$            | $0.15\pm0.00$            | $0.14\pm0.00$             | $0.15\pm0.01$            |  |  |  |
| 16:3                             | $0.35\pm0.03$            | $0.39\pm0.02$            | $0.35\pm0.02$             | $0.33\pm0.02$            |  |  |  |
| 16:4                             | $0.00\pm0.00$            | $0.00\pm0.00$            | $0.00\pm0.00$             | $0.01\pm0.01$            |  |  |  |
| Σ                                | $0.48\pm0.03$            | $0.53\pm0.03$            | $0.49\pm0.02$             | $0.49\pm0.02$            |  |  |  |
| 16:0 DMA                         | $0.14\pm0.01$            | $0.11\pm0.01$            | $0.13\pm0.01$             | $0.12\pm0.01$            |  |  |  |
| 18:0DMA                          | $0.34\pm0.01$            | $0.36\pm0.01$            | $0.34\pm0.01$             | $0.36\pm0.01$            |  |  |  |
| 18:1DMA                          | $0.19\pm0.01$            | $0.20\pm0.01$            | $0.20\pm0.01$             | $0.19\pm0.01$            |  |  |  |
| Σ                                | $0.67\pm0.02$            | $0.67\pm0.01$            | $0.67\pm0.01$             | $0.67\pm0.02$            |  |  |  |
| Σ ΡυγΑ                           | $43.93\pm0.39^{ab}$      | $43.39\pm0.93^{ab}$      | $45.67\pm0.51^{\rm a}$    | $42.90\pm0.53^{b}$       |  |  |  |
| Σ FA                             | $100.0\pm0.00$           | $100.0\pm0.00$           | $100.0\pm0.00$            | $100.0\pm0.00$           |  |  |  |
| EPA/DHA                          | $1.77\pm0.03$            | $1.69\pm0.05$            | $1.64\pm0.04$             | $1.74\pm0.02$            |  |  |  |
| ARA/EPA                          | $0.28\pm0.01^{\text{b}}$ | $0.30\pm0.01^{ab}$       | $0.29\pm0.01^{\text{b}}$  | $0.31{\pm}0.00^{a}$      |  |  |  |

701

**Table 6**. Mean egg fatty acid composition per spawning window (SW) for each spawning
 population. *Note:* Superscripts represent significant differences between SW (all *p* values <</li>

704 population. 705 0.05).

| Tank | SW (days) | ARA                      | EPA                 | DHA              | DHA:EPA            |
|------|-----------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| M1   | 1 (3)     | $3.49\pm0.15$            | $12.97\pm0.72$      | $22.17\pm0.97$   | $1.71\pm0.07$      |
|      | 2 (4)     | $3.59\pm0.13$            | $12.87\pm0.20$      | $23.11\pm0.62$   | $1.79\pm0.06$      |
|      | 3 (3)     | $3.39\pm0.16$            | $12.82\pm0.37$      | $22.14\pm0.53$   | $1.72\pm0.01$      |
|      | 4 (2)     | $3.47\pm0.02$            | $11.91\pm0.19$      | $21.38\pm0.92$   | $1.79\pm0.04$      |
|      | 5 (2)     | $3.41\pm0.13$            | $12.32\pm0.16$      | $22.74\pm0.76$   | $1.84\pm0.08$      |
| M2   | 1 (4)     | $4.27\pm0.13^{\text{a}}$ | $13.64\pm0.49$      | $21.28\pm0.89$   | $1.57\pm0.10$      |
|      | 2 (2)     | $3.77\pm0.32^{ab}$       | $13.38 \pm 1.73$    | $22.36\pm0.82$   | $1.69\pm0.15$      |
|      | 3 (2)     | $3.46\pm0.28^{ab}$       | $12.58 \pm 1.05$    | $21.56 \pm 1.53$ | $1.71\pm0.03$      |
|      | 4 (2)     | $3.12\pm0.07^{ab}$       | $11.63\pm0.36$      | $21.71 \pm 1.20$ | $1.86\pm0.04$      |
|      | 5 (1)     | $3.20\pm0.04^{\text{b}}$ | $10.84\pm0.42$      | $19.45\pm2.14$   | $1.78\pm0.12$      |
| M3   | 1 (3)     | $4.14\pm0.16$            | $13.82\pm0.16$      | $20.70\pm0.92$   | $1.05\pm0.08^{b}$  |
|      | 2 (4)     | $3.98\pm0.30$            | $13.82\pm0.40$      | $22.55\pm0.52$   | $1.63\pm0.04^{ab}$ |
|      | 3 (3)     | $3.84\pm0.26$            | $13.41\pm0.63$      | $23.11 \pm 1.33$ | $1.72\pm0.02^{ab}$ |
|      | 4 (2)     | $3.59\pm0.004$           | $12.92\pm0.22$      | $23.37\pm0.20$   | $1.80\pm0.04^{a}$  |
| D1   | 1 (5)     | $4.05\pm0.15$            | $12.78\pm0.19^{ab}$ | $20.48\pm0.50$   | $1.60\pm0.04^{b}$  |
|      | 2 (4)     | $4.11\pm0.14$            | $13.26\pm0.33^a$    | $22.31\pm0.66$   | $1.68\pm0.05^{ab}$ |
|      | 3 (5)     | $3.83\pm0.11$            | $12.39\pm0.22^{ab}$ | $22.21\pm0.54$   | $1.79\pm0.03^{a}$  |
|      | 4 (6)     | $3.44\pm0.14$            | $11.48\pm0.39^{b}$  | $20.91\pm0.72$   | $1.82\pm0.05^a$    |
|      | 5 (4)     | $3.64\pm0.38$            | $11.28\pm0.74^{b}$  | $20.61 \pm 1.29$ | $1.82\pm0.03^a$    |
|      | 6 (2)     | $3.24\pm0.16$            | $10.98\pm.26^{b}$   | $18.75\pm0.35$   | $1.71\pm0.01^{ab}$ |

- **Table 7.** Computation of the resolving power of microsatellite panels within two (M2 and
- M3) of the broodstock tanks. The proportion of offspring per family that should be
- unambiguously assignable to a single family are given. Seven loci are considered for all
- 710 individuals. The calculations, performed using FAP (Taggart, 2007), were based on the
- 711 known parental genotypes within each spawning tank and assume that all female/male parent
- combinations were equally likely to occur. Numbers in brackets represent the potential
- 713 different families possible, given the number of males and females present in each tank.

|            |      | Tank M2 (70) | Tank M3 (36) |
|------------|------|--------------|--------------|
| All 7 loci | Mean | 0.83         | 0.81         |
|            | SD   | 0.17         | 0.16         |
|            | Min  | 0.43         | 0.47         |
|            | Max  | 1.00         | 1.00         |

714

- **Table 8.** Parental contribution to the ballan wrasse larval samples taken from six separate
- spawning dates, as determined by exclusion based parentage based on the genotyping of 7
- 718 DNA microsatellites. *Note:* Format '**35**/5' where first number (in bold) refers to both the total
- number of offspring assigned unambiguously and those assigned allowing up to one allelic
- mismatch and the second number (not bold) refers to offspring assigned to multiple families,
- with one of the potential families being that of the previously identified single-match family.
- **722** Shaded area implies that the spawning dates occurred within the same spawning window.

| Spawning pair (Female x Male) | No. of larvae assigned |                |                |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| M2                            | 16/05/2013             | 17/05/2013     | 14/06/2013     |
| 04x05                         | <b>35</b> /5           |                | 1/2            |
| 10x05                         | <b>42</b> /18          |                | 1/0            |
| 13x05                         |                        | <b>85</b> /4   |                |
| 13x11                         |                        |                | 1/0            |
| 13x12                         |                        |                | <b>93</b> /1   |
| Total no. larvae genotyped    | <b>77</b> /100         | <b>85</b> /89  | <b>96</b> /99  |
| M3                            | 08/05/2013             | 18/05/2013     | 19/05/2013     |
| 26x24                         | <b>13</b> /2           |                |                |
| 27x24                         |                        |                | <b>73</b> /27  |
| 30x24                         |                        | <b>67</b> /33  |                |
| 36x24                         | <b>77</b> /7           |                |                |
| Total no. larvae genotyped    | <b>90</b> /99          | <b>67</b> /100 | <b>73</b> /100 |

723

## 725 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Spawning dynamics for M1, M2, M3 and D1 including spawning period (SP),
spawning window (SW), and inter spawning interval (ISI). Each point on the graph represents
a single spawning date.

- **Figure 2**. Proportion of the total number of mats collected per spawning window (SW)
- defined as score 1, 2, or 3: (a) M1; (b) M2; (c) M3; (d) D1. *Note*: numbers above each bar
- represent the total number of mats collected / total number of mats offered in each SW; and
- total spawning score per (SW) for each population: (e) M1; (f) M2; (g) M3; (h) D1.
- **Figure 3.** Mean egg diameter (ED)  $\pm$  SE and mean gum layer thickness (GLT)  $\pm$  SE over the
- spawning season for M1.











