This is an Accepted Manuscript version of the following article, accepted for publication in *Journal of Visual Communication in Medicine*. Boodoosingh R, Lima U, Fulu-kiolupotea SM, Dembinsky M & Hagen S (2022) Lessons learned from developing a Samoan health education video on pelvic organ prolapse. *Journal of Visual Communication in Medicine*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/17453054.2022.2043736</u>. It is deposited under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/</u>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1 Lessons learned from developing a Samoan health education video on Pelvic Organ Prolapse

- Ramona Boodoosingh¹, Uila Lima², Saunima'a Ma Fulu-Aiolupotea³, Melanie Dembinsky⁴, Suzanne
 Hagen⁵
- ¹ Phd, MSc. School of Nursing, National University of Samoa, To'omatagi Samoa. Phone 685-20072.
 Email <u>r.boodoosingh@nus.edu.ws</u>
- ⁷ ²BN. Doctor of Medicine in Progress. School of Nursing, National University of Samoa, To'omatagi
 ⁸ Samoa. Phone 685-20072. Email <u>u.lima@nus.edu.ws</u>
- ³ Post Graduate Diploma, BN. School of Nursing, National University of Samoa, To'omatagi Samoa.
 Phone -685-20072. Email m.aiolupotea@nus.edu.ws
- ⁴PhD, MA. Pathfoot Building, G.10, University of Stirling, Stirling, FK9 4LA, Phone +44 7795092280.
 <u>Melanie.Dembinsky@stir.ac.uk</u>
- ⁵Prof, PhD, Nursing, Midwifery and Allied Health Professions Research Unit (NMAHP RU), Govan Mbeki
- 17 Building, Glasgow Caledonian University, Cowcaddens Road, Glasgow, G4 0B. +44 141 331 8104.
- 18 <u>S.Hagen@gcu.ac.uk</u>
- 19

6

9

12

- 20 Corresponding author information
- 21 Ramona Boodoosingh
- 22 National University of Samoa
- 23 PO Box 1622
- 24 Lepapaigalagala Campus
- 25 Toomatagi
- 26 SAMOA
- 27 Phone: +685 20072 ext 402
- 28 Email: r.boodoosingh@nus.edu.ws
- 29

Formatted: Numbering: Continuous, Different first page header

30 Title – Lessons learned from developing a Samoan health education video on Pelvic Organ Prolapse

31 Abstract

32 Pelvic Organ Prolapse affects an estimated 40% of parous women in developed countries, but there is

no prevalence data in Samoa. The impacts of Pelvic Organ Prolapse include urinary incontinence and

34 bowel incontinence, with many women being asymptomatic. Samoan women have high prevalence of

35 risk factors for pelvic organ prolapse, such as obesity and parity. There are non-surgical and surgical

36 interventions for pelvic organ prolapse. Limited sexual and reproductive health education in Samoa

hinders women's knowledge of the pelvic organs and what is considered normal. This short report

38 describes the process of developing and producing an educational video on Pelvic Organ Prolapse in

39 Samoan and English and the lessons learned from the process.

40 Keywords

41 Education; Pelvic Organ Prolapse; Samoa; Sexual and Reproductive Health; Video

42 Introduction

43 Health communication materials, be they in printed, visual or auditory formats are designed with the

44 intention to inform, empower and affect health behaviors and decisions. Pelvic Organ Prolapse (POP)

45 affects an estimated 40% of parous women in developed countries ¹. Symptoms of POP include urinary

46 and/or bowel incontinence, back pain and a bulge ². Treatment of Pelvic Organ Prolapse include nonsurgical interventions such as pelvic floor training exercises, or use of pessaries, or surgical intervention

surgical interventions such as pelvic floor training exercises, or use of pessaries, or surgical interventions
 if required³. The prevalence of risk factors for Pelvic Organ Prolapse, such as parity (<u>the</u> total fertility rate

49 of approximately 4 live births over a woman's reproductive life, is higher than the global average)⁴ and

50 obesity (58.4%)⁵, are high among Samoan women, but there is no prevalence data on the condition for

51 the country. Sexual and reproductive health education in the country is limited⁶, as this area of the body

52 is considered "sensitive" and "taboo". The team comprised of researchers from a Samoan tertiary

53 institution and two UK institutions, translated and piloted the Pelvic Organ Prolapse Symptom Score

54 (POP-SS)⁷ with thirteen Samoan women in 2020. Limited anatomy and physiology knowledge of the

55 pelvic system was highlighted among the pilot participants and they expressed a desire to know more,

56 leading to the decision to develop this educational video on Pelvic Organ Prolapse. This short

57 communication piece outlines the development of a health education video in Samoa on a condition

58 about which little is known in the Pacific Region, Pelvic Organ Prolapse.

59 Methodology

60 This project did not require Ethics Approval. An English script was developed by the Samoan and UK

61 teams, which covered the risk factors for Pelvic Organ Prolapse, the organs affected, the signs and

62 symptoms of the condition, the treatment options and additional links for information. The script was

63 then passed to a bilingual registered nurse midwife and nursing instructor for translation into Samoan.

64 The value of competency in translation and subject knowledge has been highlighted in the work by Behr

⁸ the principles of which were used in the translation of the POP-SS⁷. A bilingual Samoan nurse

66 instructor and medical student was the presenter and narrator for both the English and Samoan versions

of the films. A local Samoan filmmaker was contracted to produce a Samoan and an English version of

the video, with each version subtitled in the same language and with sign language. Due to difficulties in

69 accessing existing licensed illustrations, as the Samoan team did not have access to a credit card, all of

70 the illustrations were drawn by the film maker as well. The filmmaker also used a Do It Yourself

71 approach to make a teleprompter as this equipment was not available locally. The English script was

72 provided to the local Deaf Association to provide sign language services, as many deaf people in Samoa

73 learn sign language using the English language. It was challenging for the sign language team as several

74 terms and phrases were unfamiliar, this required additional research and practice. Signing was video

recorded one sentence at a time, due to the speed of the narration compared to the rate of signing. This

76 process was agreed with the signing individual.

77 The drafts of the English version of the video and the Samoan version of the video were piloted with

78 three groups, each comprised of five members. These were health workers (nurses and doctors), a

79 mixture of women who worked at the university (different education levels, demographics and non-

80 health workers) and women with disabilities (blind, deaf, intellectual disabilities). The pilot viewings

81 were moderated by the narrator and presenter of the films and took place in a mixture of Samoan and

English. No names or identifying information were recorded. All participants were provided with a
 mealofa (gift) of 20 WST for their time and 10 WST to cover a small refreshment. Questions were posed

as meaning (girl) of 20 w31 for their time and 10 w31 to cover a small refreshinger. Questions were posed

to the group and notes taken, on the quality of the audio and video, what did they learn from the video,
would they watch the video again and would they share it with others. Recommendations on how to

improve the video were provided to the film maker for final edits.

87 Discussion

88 The feedback from the pilot groups was positive, with many asking when they could get access to the

89 videos to share them. Although there was some willingness to share the video on social media platforms

90 openly like a Facebook post, several indicated they would be willing to share as a link to others through

91 private social media such as Facebook messenger. There was also willingness to view the video again.

92 Despite the sign language and subtitles making the videos inclusive for the pilot group of women with

93 hearing disabilities, for the woman who was visually impaired in the pilot group, it was difficult to

94 picture the illustrations. Recommendations for improvement were to increase the color contrast

95 between illustrations and labels and to slow down the speed at the labelled diagrams.

96 The filmmaker used the feedback to amend the colors on the labels, to provide time stamps where the

97 labelled illustrations were found in the videos, and inserted the links at the end of the video which was

deemed as being helpful by the audience. The videos were publicly launched at an event in November,
 2021, and the video uploaded onto YouTube. A media release with the accompanying YouTube links

100 were emailed to local NGOs, as well as local and regional networks. The Samoa and UK teams will work

101 on distribution through online platforms, and to deliver seminars using the videos. The English version

102 can be accessed at https://youtu.be/HMcdKgmK7wo (viewed 371 times) and the Samoan version at

103 <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aZ-dUWhEESc</u> (584 times).

104 The article on the

105 National University of Samoa's website was viewed 1887 times (https://nus.edu.ws/launch-of-ata-

106 puupuu-ile-pau-poo-le-oso-ese-o-totoga-o-le-suilapalapa/). A newspaper article on the launch was

107 published on the 17th of November 2021 (https://www.samoaobserver.ws/category/samoa/94740).

108 Further research is required to assess the efficacy of the educational videos as teaching tools.

109 Conclusion

110 There were several valuable lessons learnt from this experience for the Samoan and UK teams. While it

- 111 will necessitate additional work, it is recommended to produce <u>complete</u> videos in one
- 112 language at a time(subtitles and narration), as the run time for the English Version was 5
- 113 minutes 44 seconds, while the Samoan version was 6 minutes 34 seconds. Sometimes there are simply
- no words to directly translate from one language to another ⁹ and context specific translation skills are
- 115 invaluable⁸. The final takeaway is that although all of the ideal equipment may not be available, Do It
- Yourself approaches using locally available materials are an option.
- 118
- 119 Funding This Project was funded by the Global Challenges Research Fund
- 120 Disclosure Statement Authors declare no potential competing interests
- Acknowledgements This project would not have been possible without the excellent work of Samoa
 Grassroot Studio

123 References

- 124 1. Hendrix S, Clark A, Nygaard I, Aragaki A, Barnabei V, McTiernan A. Pelvic organ prolapse in the 125 Women's Health Initiative: gravity and gravidity. *Am J Obs Gynecol*. 2002;186(6):1160-1166.
- Walker GJA, Gunasekera P. Pelvic organ prolapse and incontinence in developing countries:
 review of prevalence and risk factors. *Int Urogynecol J*. 2011;22:127-135.
- 128 3. Iglesia CB, Smithling KR. Pelvic organ prolapse. Am Fam Physician. 2017;96(3):179-185.
- Samoa Bureau of Statistics, UNFPA Pacific Sub Regional Office Samoa and Fiji. Samoan Gender
 Monograph 2019 GENDER & HEALTH Policy Brief 3.; 2019. https://www.sbs.gov.ws/images/sbs documents/info-graphics/SGM/SGM_PB3_Health_2aug.pdf
- Development Initiatives. Samoa Country Nutrition Profile. global nutrition report. Published
 2021. Accessed December 16, 2021. https://globalnutritionreport.org/resources/nutrition profiles/oceania/polynesia/samoa/
- Samoa Bureau of Statistics, UNFPA Pacific Sub Regional Office Samoa and Fiji. Samoa Youth
 Monograph 2020 FAMILY LIFE EDUCATION : A STRATEGIC PATHWAY TO ACCELERATE SEXUAL
 AND REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH OUTCOMES FOR ADOLESCENTS AND YOUTH IN SAMOA Policy Brief
 1; 2020. https://www.sbs.gov.ws/images/sbs-documents/info-
- 139 graphics/SYM/SYM_PB1_FLE_PRINT_19aug.pdf
- Boodoosingh R, Dembinsky M, Fulu-Aiolupotea SM, et al. Example of Health Research
 Collaboration: the translation of a symptom score into Samoan. J Samoan Stud. 2021;11:88-91.
- 1428.Behr D. Translating Answers to Open-ended Survey Questions in Cross-cultural Research: A Case143Study on the Interplay between Translation, Coding, and Analysis. Field methods. 2015;27(3):284-144299. doi:10.1177/1525822X14553175
- 1459.Squires A. Methodological Challenges in Cross-Language Qualitative Research: A Research146Review. Int J Nurs Stud. 2009;46(2):277-287. doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2008.08.006.
- 147