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Summary

Aim
To conduct a systematic literature search to identify studies providing data on the tracking of physical activity behaviours in children and young people.

Methods
Seven bibliographic databases were searched systematically in July-August 2008 using search strategies built around three groups of keywords: physical activity, study type and young people. Studies included in the review had to be prospective, longitudinal studies that reported data on any physical activity behaviour for at least two time-points (two or more years apart). The study was restricted to community-based populations who were 18 years or younger at baseline. Two reviewers independently undertook data extraction from all suitable papers, and performed quality appraisal.

Results
The database search yielded a total of 10,685 titles, from which 59 were included in the review. There were only 15 papers that specifically examined tracking of physical activity behaviours. Tracking co-efficients ranged from -0.11 to 0.59; all indicating low or moderate tracking of physical activity, with no clear differences between males and females. Moderate tracking was observed in studies where follow-up was five years or less. The highest degree of tracking was observed for club sport participation and even over long follow-up, sports training and organized physical activity showed higher tracking than other physical activity behaviours.

Physical activity levels declined consistently during adolescence, as did sports participation. However, the decrease in physical activity was less marked among those who participated in sports in early adolescence, and those who participated with parents or at high levels. The likelihood that young people continue with specific sports over short periods is generally low, but the likelihood that they continue to take part in any team, individual or vigorous activity is higher. There were no studies that evaluated the effect of sports participation during early childhood on later physical activity behaviours.

Conclusions
In general, tracking of physical activity behaviours between childhood, adolescence and young adulthood is low, although there is limited evidence.

The study has confirmed that levels of physical activity decrease with age, indicating the need to develop and test interventions to promote activity. Research is also needed to explore the reasons why adolescents and young adults give up physical activity and participation in sports.

However, several factors in adolescence (participation in organised sports, participation with parents and high levels of participation) do lessen the chances of being inactive at a later age.
**Original Aims**

To conduct a systematic review of the literature exploring the tracking of physical activity behaviours during childhood, adolescence and young adulthood, to provide information for the design of health promotion interventions.

**Research Questions:**

1. How does the tracking of physical activity behaviours differ between early childhood, middle childhood, adolescence and young adulthood?
2. What are the characteristics of physical activity behaviours (frequency, duration, type) that are associated with higher levels of tracking?
3. What are the main differences between males and females with respect to tracking of physical activity behaviours?

**Methodology**

A systematic literature search was carried out to identify studies providing data on the tracking of physical activity behaviours in children and young people.

**Search methods:** Seven bibliographic databases were searched systematically in July-August 2008 (from inception to a specified end-date): Ovid MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, PsycINFO, ASSIA, ChildData and the Cochrane library. The searches strategies were built around three keywords: physical activity (using search terms such as ‘exercise’, ‘sports’ and ‘physical education’); study types (search terms including ‘longitudinal studies’, ‘randomized controlled trials’, and ‘follow up studies’); young people (search terms including ‘child’, ‘adolescent’, and ‘boys or girls’). The exact search strategies are presented in Appendix 1. A search log was kept and the references were managed using End Note.

**Inclusion and exclusion:** Studies included in the review had to be prospective, longitudinal studies that reported data on a physical activity behaviour (sports, leisure, work-related) for at least two time-points (two or more years apart), among young people who were 18 years or less at baseline. The review included observational studies and intervention studies, provided that data were available for a control group (with no intervention). The study was restricted to community-based populations of healthy children and young people (excluding pregnant, sick or clinic populations). Studies published in any language, carried out anywhere in the world, were considered.

One of the reviewers (CM) excluded obviously irrelevant papers after screening the titles. The abstracts for the remaining papers were then obtained and two reviewers (JE, CM) selected those that were potentially eligible. The full texts were obtained and screened again independently by JE and CM. Disagreements were resolved by discussion.

**Data extraction and quality appraisal:** Two reviewers (CM, AK) independently undertook data extraction from suitable papers, including the physical activity related outcomes, how they were measured, relevant results of statistical tests and the number and age (range) of the study participants. A data extraction form was specifically designed for this (Appendix 2).

The quality of each paper was assessed using a modified version of the ‘Effective Public Health Practice project (EPHPP) quality assessment tool for quantitative studies’. From this tool, we identified the most relevant measures of study quality: selection bias, confounders, data collection methods, and withdrawals and dropouts. Papers were graded by CM as strong, moderate or weak accordingly, as shown in Appendix 3. JE cross-checked the results. Disagreements were resolved by discussion.
Analysis: Studies that specifically measured tracking were identified and stratified by age at baseline. The degree of tracking was then compared by sex and type of activity. It became clear from the heterogeneity of the studies that it would not be feasible to pool data. Few data were provided in any of the studies on activity type, unless relating specifically to sports participation. The studies that measured sports participation at baseline (rather than total physical activity) were therefore examined as a separate group. The remaining studies were grouped according to physical activity measure.

Results

The database search yielded a total of 10,685 titles. The process of selection of the papers is shown in Fig 1. After screening the titles and abstracts, 201 papers were identified as potentially eligible for inclusion in the study. A further 17 were identified from reference lists, contacting authors and systematic reviews. The search of ChildData and the Cochrane library produced no potentially eligible papers. There was one study in French which was translated by one of the study team (JE).

Fig 1 shows how the final 59 papers were selected for the review. It was possible to obtain the full text for 217 of the 218 papers. Of these, 29 did not meet the inclusion criteria and 58 were duplicates. There were 34 that did not collect physical activity data in a usable format. In situations where relevant data were collected in the study but were not presented in the paper, the authors were contacted (although 20 did not respond). We performed quality appraisal on 76 papers, with 17 excluded for being of weak quality. The full list of the final 59 papers included in the review is shown in Appendix 4. Reasons for excluding the 17 weak papers are presented in Appendix 5.

The 59 papers were very heterogeneous, particularly in terms of the physical activity behaviour studied and the length of follow-up. There was no standard approach to the measurement of physical activity. Only six studies were carried out in the UK.

Tracking of physical activity

There were 15 papers (13 separate studies) that specifically examined tracking of physical activity behaviours and measured this using either a correlation (tracking) coefficient or stability coefficient. These are summarised in Table 1. Results from the 10 studies where a tracking coefficient was given for overall physical activity are shown in Fig 2. The coefficients ranged from -0.11 to 0.59; all within the range of low (<0.30) or moderate (0.30 to 0.60) tracking. However, the differing lengths of follow-up and the diverse methods used to measure physical activity made it very difficult to compare tracking between studies. Some studies used objective methods of measuring physical activity; others relied on observation or self-report. There were also different aspects of physical activity behaviour studied: moderate activity, vigorous activity, engagement in specific sports, organized activity and sedentary behaviour. For all these reasons, pooling data from different studies was inappropriate.

A higher coefficient is likely to be associated with shorter length of follow-up. In this review, moderate tracking for physical activity was only observed in studies where follow-up was five years or less.

Six of the studies presented tracking coefficients for total physical activity for boys and girls separately (Fig 3). Although no clear pattern emerges, two studies show no tracking of physical activity between girls at 9 and 11 years and adulthood 12 and 31 years later) (although higher tracking was observed for slightly older girls in middle childhood).
Tracking co-efficients for different types of physical activity behaviours are shown in Figs4-6 for early childhood, middle childhood and adolescence. No clear patterns are evident. It has previously been suggested that sedentary behaviours may track more than physical activity, but our results are inconclusive, with three studies reporting higher co-efficients for sedentary behaviours\textsuperscript{5,11,12} (two only in boys)\textsuperscript{5,12}, and three studies reporting lower co-efficients\textsuperscript{8,13,14}.

The highest degree of tracking was observed for club sport participation\textsuperscript{6} (Table 2) and even over long follow-up, sports training and organized physical activity showed higher tracking than other physical activity behaviours\textsuperscript{10,13}.

Four papers from studies carried out in the USA appeared to report higher tracking\textsuperscript{3,4,5,12}. It is impossible to know whether this is a spurious effect. Only two studies were from the UK\textsuperscript{2,14}. Neither could be easily compared with other studies; one was a small short-term follow-up of very young children, the other had a 31 year follow-up.

**Participation in sports**

We identified 11 studies that specifically measured participation in sports at baseline (rather than overall physical activity)\textsuperscript{17-27} (Table 2), with almost all carried out in adolescents, and none in the UK. The only study in very young children suggested that sports performance at a very young age is not an important predictor of physical activity in middle childhood\textsuperscript{17}.

The other studies were of three types: studies that investigated whether sports behaviour predicted later physical activity levels\textsuperscript{18,21,22,23,24} studies that examined the likelihood of participants continuing in specific sports\textsuperscript{19,20}, and those that compared proportions participating in specific sports at baseline and follow up\textsuperscript{25,26,27}.

Participation in sports during adolescence is associated with physical activity levels in late adolescence and young adulthood, for both males and females\textsuperscript{18,21-24}. Participation with parents\textsuperscript{24}, or at high level and with increasing frequency\textsuperscript{18}, is associated with increased later activity, and the effect may differ by sport type\textsuperscript{22}. However, there were no studies that evaluated the effect of sports participation during early childhood on later physical activity behaviours.

The likelihood that young people continue to take part in specific sports over a 4 year period is generally low (only more than 0.5 for basketball, football and weightlifting in boys, and baseball for girls)\textsuperscript{19,20}. However, the likelihood that they continue to take part in any team, individual or vigorous activity is much higher over this period, particularly for boys\textsuperscript{19}.

The other sports participation studies are less useful in terms of tracking, because they assessed the proportions of a defined group who took part at different time-points, but these were not necessarily the same individuals\textsuperscript{25-27}. Between 15 and 18 years, proportions of young people taking part in almost every sport decreased\textsuperscript{25}. Similarly, individual sports participation at 34 years was generally lower than at 16 years, although the numbers in this Finnish study were very small\textsuperscript{27}. There was some evidence to suggest that less structured activities such as running, cycling and walking might increase\textsuperscript{22}, or remain high\textsuperscript{26}, from adolescence to adulthood, and these less structured sporting activities may also increase the odds of being active in adulthood\textsuperscript{22}. 


Frequency of physical activity, time spent in physical activity and physical activity scores

The remaining studies were grouped according to how physical activity was measured; whether by frequency of physical activity behaviour[^28-^38] (Table 3) or time spent carrying out physical activity[^39-^52] (Table 4). Several studies calculated an overall physical activity level or score for subjects[^17,^26,^30,^53-^59] (Table 5). The majority of these studies simply provided an overall or mean measurement of physical activity in the populations at two time-points, with no facility to estimate degree of tracking (for which individual measurements are required). Despite this, the studies were retained in the review to provide background data on physical activity levels.

In these studies, frequency of physical activity peaks at about 10-11 years[^29], and then declines[^30]. The biggest decrease is between 12 and 15 years[^31]. The decline slows after the age of 16 years[^31,^36] although over longer follow-up, physical activity continues to decline into adulthood[^33-^35], and those never doing any physical activity increases[^38]. Young adults were more likely to become inactive than remain active over time, even if they were active during adolescence[^34]. However, perhaps more importantly, adults most likely to be active were those who were active at 16 years[^37].

There were 11 studies that measured time spent in physical activity, although follow-up in these studies was generally relatively short[^39-^52]. While time spent in physical activity increased during early childhood[^39-^41], there was almost universal decline after the ages of about 10-12 years[^42,^43-^52]. One study suggested that this decline may start slightly later in girls[^43]. The increase in physical activity during early childhood was also observed in a study using physical activity scores[^54]. After this age, however, again there was a general decline in physical activity[^53-^55,^57-^59].

Sedentary behaviours

Seven of the studies in this review also measured sedentary behaviours (Table 6)[^17,^28,^34,^38,^51,^52,^60], although none were carried out in the UK. Very young children with low physical activity levels were more likely to be sedentary in middle childhood[^17], and sedentary teenagers were much more likely to remain sedentary than become active in adulthood[^34]. Although active teenagers overall were also more likely to become sedentary than remain active, the chance of becoming sedentary was much lower than for those who were already sedentary[^34]. Sedentary behaviours increase during the early teenage years[^50] as TV and video watching increase[^60], but in slightly older teenagers, it is leisure-time computer use contributes more to sedentary behaviour[^51].
Discussion

This review highlights the paucity of data on the tracking of physical activity behaviours from childhood and adolescence into adulthood. In particular, there is almost no evidence from the UK. Despite a commitment to increasing physical activity levels in the Scottish population, there is a huge evidence gap that makes it extremely difficult to know which types of physical activity behaviours can most effectively be targeted at which groups, to promote lifelong healthy physical activity levels.

This limited evidence is set against a backdrop of almost universal decreases in physical activity during the adolescent years. Although public health practitioners are aware of this decrease, this review has confirmed its persistent nature. The dramatic decline in physical activity levels after the ages of about 10-12 years (before which physical activity seems to increase) is evident regardless of the national context, the length of follow-up, the particular measure of physical activity and the intensity of physical activity. Maintaining healthy levels of physical activity in adolescence and beyond therefore remains a priority and a challenge.

There were surprisingly few studies in the review that specifically measured tracking. Tracking relates to the extent to which a behaviour is stable between different ages, and requires individual measurements within a study population for at least two separate time-points. The majority of the studies simply presented overall or mean measures of physical activity for the population (or sub-groups) at different time-points, making estimation of tracking impossible.

Even studies that did measure tracking were very heterogeneous, in terms of the diversity of physical activity behaviours, the different methods used to measure them, and the particular choice of tracking co-efficient. Interpreting the tracking co-efficient can be challenging. Also most studies provided only a point estimate of the co-efficient, making it difficult to judge reliability. These reasons made comparing results between studies problematic, and precluded any pooling of data.

Lengths of follow-up in our review ranged from 2 to 31 years. This has a direct effect on the tracking co-efficient, and it is notable that moderate tracking of physical activity behaviours was generally only observed where follow-up was less than five years. Otherwise, tracking of physical activity was low. The limited data available therefore suggest that physical activity behaviours do not track highly between different age-groups, and certainly not over more than a few years.

Despite low to moderate tracking of physical activity behaviours in all age-groups, active adolescents are slightly more likely than inactive adolescents to remain active, and less likely to become sedentary, in adulthood (even though overall the chances are that they will become inactive).

The importance of structured sports activities during and adolescence is highlighted by this review. High tracking co-efficients were observed for club sport participation and training during teenage years; this was evident despite both studies having long follow-up. There was also an effect of sports participation (with parents) on later overall physical activity. The mechanism by which this occurs needs to be explored further. It may be that adults continue with or re-start activities that they were previously introduced to. Or they may generally be more confident in participating in any sport or activity and be more committed to healthy physical activity levels. Alternatively, this may simply be a selection effect of individuals who have an interest in physical activity.

Although the actual probability of young people continuing with specific sports is quite low over even short follow-up, the probability of continuing in any individual or team
sport is higher. Thus it may be advisable to introduce young people to a wide range of activities during adolescence, rather than encouraging them to specialise in one or two.

There were no studies that evaluated the effects of sports participation during early childhood on later physical activity behaviours. This is an important finding because many of the initiatives promoting sports in young people are aimed at children of primary school age.

**Conclusions**

There are very few studies, particularly in the UK, that provide evidence on the tracking of physical activity behaviours across the life-course. The heterogeneity in the physical activity behaviours studied and the methods used to measure them, make synthesis of the evidence problematic. There is no standard approach to the measurement of physical activity.

There is a substantial and persistent decline in overall physical activity levels after the age of about 10-12 years in males and females.

Tracking of physical activity behaviours, as measured by tracking coefficients, is low to moderate. Moderate tracking is only observed where follow-up is short.

There is a suggestion that structured or organized sports may show higher levels of tracking than general physical activity. However, there were no studies that evaluated the effects of sports behaviour during early childhood on later physical activity behaviours.

Levels of participation in nearly all sports decrease during adolescence. The chances of young people continuing with any specific sport are relatively low, although the chances of them continuing with any individual or team activity are higher. However, adolescents who participate in sports are more likely to be active in late adolescence and young adulthood than those who do not.
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# Tables

## Table 1: Summary table for 15 studies that reported tracking (correlation) or stability co-efficients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>N / Age at baseline</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Follow-up commencing in early childhood (birth to 8 years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelly LA et al. 2007(^2)</td>
<td>42 3.8 ± 0.5 yrs</td>
<td>2 yrs</td>
<td>Total physical activity % Moderate/vigorous physical activity % Sedentary behaviour</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sallis JF et al. 1995(^3)</td>
<td>351 4.4 +0.52 yrs</td>
<td>2 yrs</td>
<td>At home During recess</td>
<td>0.38-0.16</td>
<td>0.29-0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janz KF et al. 2006(^4)</td>
<td>370 5.3 yrs</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>Overall physical activity (counts/min) Active minutes (freq)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janz KF et al. 2005(^5)</td>
<td>378 5.6 ± 0.5 yrs</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>Overall physical activity (counts/min) Vigorous physical activity Moderate physical activity TV Viewing Video playing</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richards R et al. 2007(^6)</td>
<td>992 7 yrs</td>
<td>14 yrs</td>
<td>All activity Club sport participation</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Follow-up commencing in middle childhood (8 to 12 years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kristensen PL et al. 2008(^7)</td>
<td>384 8-10 yrs</td>
<td>5 yrs</td>
<td>Mean physical activity</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen X et al. 2005(^8)</td>
<td>7,794 9.7 yrs</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>Frequency of physical activity TV viewing Video games</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telama R et al. 1997(^9)</td>
<td>2,309 9-18 yrs</td>
<td>12 yrs</td>
<td>Physical activity index: 9 yrs 12 yrs 15 yrs 18 yrs</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telama R et al. 1996(^10)</td>
<td>2,309 12 yrs</td>
<td>Frequency of physical activity: 9 yrs</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Age Range</td>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>9 yrs</td>
<td>12 yrs</td>
<td>15 yrs</td>
<td>18 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>9-18 yrs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pate, RR et al. 1999</td>
<td>181 10.7 + 0.7 yrs</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>Vigorous physical activity</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janz, KF et al. 2000</td>
<td>110 10.8 yrs</td>
<td>5 yrs</td>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trudeau F et al. 2004</td>
<td>166 10-12 yrs</td>
<td>22 yrs</td>
<td>Total physical activity</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parsons TJ et al. 2006</td>
<td>11,407 11 yrs</td>
<td>31 yrs</td>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raustorp A et al. 2007</td>
<td>97 12.7 + 0.8 yrs</td>
<td>4 yrs</td>
<td>Total physical activity</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Follow-up commencing in adolescence (13 to 18 years)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>8 yrs</th>
<th>9 yrs</th>
<th>12 yrs</th>
<th>15 yrs</th>
<th>18 yrs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anderssen N et al. 2005</td>
<td>557 13.3 yrs</td>
<td>8 yrs</td>
<td>Frequency of physical activity</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Time spent in physical activity</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NB Stability co-efficients in italics*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>N / Age at baseline</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hallal PC et al. 2006</td>
<td>634 / 4 years</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>Physical activity score at follow up (mins/week) by baseline sports performance</td>
<td>Physical activity score was slightly higher in subjects who had average or above average sports performance at baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telama R et al. 2006</td>
<td>2,309 / 9-18 years</td>
<td>12 years</td>
<td>Odds ratio for being physically active (v inactive) in adulthood by sports behaviour during adolescence</td>
<td>The odds of being a physically active adult increased with increasing sports club training during childhood and adolescence, with competition at increasingly high level and with increased duration of sports club training. The effects were statistically significant in males and females, and the odds ratios were as high as 13 in some cases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaron DJ et al. 2002</td>
<td>782 / 12-15 years</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>Positive and negative predictive values of participation at baseline predicting participation at follow-up in a range of sports for males and females.</td>
<td>The PPV of continuing with a specific sport ranged from 0.04 (cycling) to 0.71 (basketball) in males and 0.02 (cycling) and 0.90 (baseball) in females. The PPVs of continuing with any individual or team sport were much higher (0.89 and 0.64 for males and 0.60 and 0.66 for females). NPVs were all higher than 0.60.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wichstrom L et al. 2006</td>
<td>2,924 / 12-20 years</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Positive and negative predictive values of participation at baseline predicting participation at follow-up of power sports in males.</td>
<td>PPV was 0.42, NPV was 0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pfeiffer KA et al. 2006</td>
<td>429 / 13 years</td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>Odds ratio for being physically active (v active) at end of follow-up (blocks of moderate/vigorous or vigorous physical activity) by sports behaviour at baseline (8th grade) or 1 year later; in females</td>
<td>In females, the odds ratios of being physically active at follow-up increased (up to double) with increased sports classes in 8th and 9th grade.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tammelin T et al. 2003</td>
<td>7,794 / (5,286)</td>
<td>17 years</td>
<td>% of subjects at baseline / follow-up, with odds ratios for being active (v inactive) at</td>
<td>Cycling and walking increased over follow-up in males and females, as did gym attendance in males. Cycling,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Years</td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>Research Findings</td>
<td>Study Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>follow-up</td>
<td>walking and running at baseline increased the odds of being physically active at follow-up.</td>
<td>Tammelin T et al. 2004&lt;sup&gt;23&lt;/sup&gt; Finland 5,706 14 years 17 years % of subjects classified by change in activity levels over follow-up (sports participation measured at baseline, physical activity levels measured at follow-up) Over half of males and females were active at baseline and follow-up. Less than 10% were inactive at both time-points. 10% of males and 20% of females became active, but 23% and 17% respectively became inactive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nelson et al. 2005&lt;sup&gt;24&lt;/sup&gt; USA 11,957 14 years 7 years Odds of meeting activity guidelines in young adulthood by sports behaviour during adolescence. Skaters and gamers, children who played sports with parents, those who used a recreation centre, those active in school and those with limited TV watching were all more likely to meet activity guidelines in adulthood, than those who watched a lot of TV. The odds ratios were all statistically significant and between 1.8 and 2.6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dovey SM et al. 1998&lt;sup&gt;25&lt;/sup&gt; New Zealand 775 15 years 3 years No. of participants at baseline and follow-up, for different sports. Participation in almost every sport decreased in males and females.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bratteby L-E et al. 2005&lt;sup&gt;26&lt;/sup&gt; Sweden 160 15 years 6 years % of subjects at baseline and follow-up for different sports behaviours. Sports club membership, competitive sport and regular cycling/walking decreased over follow-up, but regular training increased, in males and females.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Barnekow-Bergkvist M et al. 1996&lt;sup&gt;27&lt;/sup&gt; Finland 425 16 years 18 years No. of subjects at baseline and follow-up, for different sports. Participation in almost every sport decreased in males and females.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3: Summary table for studies that measured frequencies of physical activity behaviours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>N / Age at baseline</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fitzgibbon ML et al. 2006</td>
<td>199 4 years</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>% of subjects carrying out physical activity at baseline and follow-up</td>
<td>Proportions of subjects doing more than 7 sessions per week decreased from 22.4% to 17.8%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ness AR. 2004</td>
<td>13,971 9 years</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>Numbers of subjects carrying out vigorous physical activity at 9,10, 11, 12.13,14 years</td>
<td>The highest numbers of subjects doing physical activity daily peaked at 10 years. No physical activity peaked at 14 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McMurray RG et al. 2008</td>
<td>456 9-11 years</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>Mean no. of sessions per week of moderate and vigorous physical activity in normal weight children</td>
<td>Mean number of sessions of moderate and vigorous physical activity declined by about two-thirds in males and females.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telama R and Yang X. 2000</td>
<td>2,309 9-18 years</td>
<td>9 years</td>
<td>% decrease in physical activity frequency between 9-12 years, 12-15 years, 15-18 years, 18-21 years, 21-24 years, 24-27 years</td>
<td>There was a decrease in every age-group for males; the biggest decrease was 26.4% between 12-15 years and 15.9% between 15-18 years. These age-groups also showed the biggest decreases for girls, although there were small increases between 9-12, 18-21, 24-27 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parsons TJ et al. 2005</td>
<td>11,407 11 yrs</td>
<td>31 yrs</td>
<td>% of subjects carrying out physical activity at specific frequency levels at 11,16,23,33 and 42 years</td>
<td>The highest proportions of subjects doing physical activity at lowest frequency occurred at the ages of 16 years and 23 years in males and females.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kvaavik E et al. 2003</td>
<td>485 11-16 years</td>
<td>18 years</td>
<td>% of subjects at baseline / follow-up by frequency of leisure physical activity</td>
<td>The proportions of subjects carrying out leisure time physical activity more than twice a week decreased, and the proportion less than once a month increased.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon-Larsen P et al. 2004</td>
<td>13,030 11-21 years</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>% of subjects classified by activity change over follow-up (active: &gt; 5 sessions of moderate or vigorous physical activity / week)</td>
<td>About half the males and 70% of females were inactive at baseline and remained inactive. 6% of boys and 3% of girls were active and remained active, but if they were active at baseline, they were more likely to become inactive than stay active.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pietila A et al. 1995</td>
<td>1,489 14 years</td>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>% of subjects inactive /active at follow-up (physical activity &gt; or &lt; once a week) by baseline physical activity frequency</td>
<td>71% of subjects active at baseline became inactive. 55% of subjects inactive at baseline stayed inactive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Reference</td>
<td>Sample Size</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Outcome Measure</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aarnio et al. 2002&lt;sup&gt;36&lt;/sup&gt; Finland</td>
<td>1,934 16 years</td>
<td>2.5 years</td>
<td>% of subjects carrying out physical activity at baseline and follow-up at specific frequency levels.</td>
<td>The changes in proportions were all less than 2%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kujala UM et al. 2007&lt;sup&gt;37&lt;/sup&gt; Finland</td>
<td>4,240 16 years</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>% of subjects active / occasionally active / inactive at follow-up by activity frequency at baseline</td>
<td>Active subjects at baseline were more likely to be active at follow-up, but only 54% of males and 62% of females stayed active. Inactive subjects were more likely to be inactive at follow-up. Only 7% and 12% became active.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novak M et al. 2006&lt;sup&gt;38&lt;/sup&gt; Sweden</td>
<td>1,044 16 years</td>
<td>14 years</td>
<td>% of subjects never doing physical activity at baseline and follow-up</td>
<td>Proportions of males never doing physical activity increased from 11.6% to 28.4%, and in females from 7.2% to 27.2%.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 4: Summary table for studies that measured time spent in physical activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>N / Age at baseline</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saakslahti A et al. 2004&lt;sup&gt;39&lt;/sup&gt; Finland</td>
<td>228 / 4 years</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Hours of physical activity at weekend at baseline and follow-up</td>
<td>In general, hours of low and high activity physical activity, both indoors and outdoors, increased slightly or remained the same for males and females.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metcalf BS et al. 2008&lt;sup&gt;40&lt;/sup&gt; UK</td>
<td>212 / 5 years</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>Mean daily no. of active mins (&gt;=2500 actigraph counts/min) at 5,6,7,8 years</td>
<td>Active minutes increased slightly over follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manios Y et al. 1998&lt;sup&gt;41&lt;/sup&gt; Greece</td>
<td>483 / 6 years</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>Hours / week of moderate / vigorous physical activity at baseline and follow-up</td>
<td>Hours per week of moderate / vigorous physical activity increased slightly over follow-up.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nader PR et al. 2008&lt;sup&gt;42&lt;/sup&gt; USA</td>
<td>1,032 / 9 years</td>
<td>6 years</td>
<td>Mean daily mins of moderate / vigorous physical activity at 9, 11, 12, 15 years on weekdays and weekend</td>
<td>Mean daily minutes decreased over follow-up by up to three-quarters for males and females on weekdays and weekend.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kahn JA et al. 2008&lt;sup&gt;43&lt;/sup&gt; USA</td>
<td>12,812 / 9-15 years</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Hours / week of moderate / vigorous physical activity by age at baseline</td>
<td>Hours per week of moderate / vigorous physical activity decreased over a 2 year follow-up for boys aged 10 and over, and girls aged 12 and over.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stein C et al. 2007&lt;sup&gt;44&lt;/sup&gt; USA</td>
<td>8,670 / 9-16 years</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Hours of activity / week at baseline and follow-up</td>
<td>Hours of activity increased slightly in males and decreased slightly in females.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nader PR et al. 1999&lt;sup&gt;45&lt;/sup&gt; USA</td>
<td>3,714 / 10 years</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>Mean daily mins of vigorous and total physical activity at 10, 11, 12, 13 years</td>
<td>Mean daily minutes decreased substantially in males and females.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duncan SC et al. 2007&lt;sup&gt;46&lt;/sup&gt; USA</td>
<td>10-14 years / 371</td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>Mean days / week of hard and typical physical activity at baseline and follow-up</td>
<td>There was a decrease in the no. of days per week of subjects doing both hard and typical physical activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baquet et al. 2006&lt;sup&gt;47&lt;/sup&gt; France</td>
<td>158 / 11 years</td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>Mins / day of moderate / vigorous physical activity at baseline and follow-up</td>
<td>There was a decrease in minutes per day of moderate / vigorous physical activity for males and females.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brodersen</td>
<td>5,863</td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>Mean no. of days / week of vigorous</td>
<td>Median days per week of vigorous physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Follow-up Duration</td>
<td>Type of Data</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH et al. 2007&lt;sup&gt;48&lt;/sup&gt; UK</td>
<td>11-12 years</td>
<td>Physical activity at baseline and at 1,2,3,4 years follow-up</td>
<td>Decreased over follow-up for males and females.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manios Y et al. 2006&lt;sup&gt;49&lt;/sup&gt; Greece</td>
<td>187 12 years</td>
<td>Mins / week of moderate / vigorous physical activity at baseline and follow-up</td>
<td>There was a decrease in minutes per week of moderate / vigorous physical activity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuchs R et al. 1988&lt;sup&gt;50&lt;/sup&gt; Germany</td>
<td>932 12-14 years</td>
<td>Median hours / week of moderate, vigorous and total physical activity at baseline and follow-up by type of school</td>
<td>Median hours per week decreased for all types of activity for both males and females at ‘gym’ schools, and for females at ‘haupt’ schools. Total and moderate physical activity increased slightly for males at ‘haupt’ schools.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson MC et al. 2006&lt;sup&gt;51&lt;/sup&gt; USA</td>
<td>2,516 12 years</td>
<td>Hours / week of moderate / vigorous physical activity at baseline and follow-up</td>
<td>There was a decrease in hours per week of moderate / vigorous physical activity for both males and females, at baseline age of 12 years and 15 years.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kettaneh et al. 2005&lt;sup&gt;52&lt;/sup&gt; France</td>
<td>436 13 years</td>
<td>Hours / week of leisure time physical activity at baseline and follow-up</td>
<td>Hours per week of leisure time physical activity decreased for males and females.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5: Summary table for studies that used a physical activity score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>N / Age at baseline</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hallal PC et al. 2006</td>
<td>634 4 years</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>Median physical activity score at follow-up by baseline physical activity level</td>
<td>The median score was 178 in children with low baseline physical activity level, and 270 /280 in those average or above average at baseline.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baxter-Jones ADG et al. 2006</td>
<td>222 8-15 years</td>
<td>6 years</td>
<td>Physical activity score (1 = low, 5 = high) at biological age (years from peak height volume)</td>
<td>The physical activity score decreased from the age at which peak height volume was attained (biological age) in males and females.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French SA et al. 2005</td>
<td>30 9 years</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Weight bearing physical activity score at baseline and follow-up in females</td>
<td>The physical activity score increased.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimm SYS et al. 2005</td>
<td>2,287 9-10 years</td>
<td>9 years</td>
<td>Physical activity scores during follow-up in black and white girls, who were inactive, moderately active or active at baseline.</td>
<td>The physical activity score decreased in all black and white girls, regardless of baseline activity level. Active girls at baseline had highest physical activity scores at follow-up.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McMurray RG et al. 2008</td>
<td>456 9-11 years</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>Physical activity score at baseline and follow-up in normal weight children</td>
<td>The physical activity score decreased by over one half in males and females.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang X et al. 2006</td>
<td>1,319 9-18 years</td>
<td>21 years</td>
<td>% of subjects classified by change in physical activity index over follow-up</td>
<td>About one-fifth of males and females were persistently active or persistently inactive. About one-third either increased or decreased activity levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twisk et al. 1996</td>
<td>181 13 years</td>
<td>14 years</td>
<td>Total weighted activity score: mean METs / week at 13, 14, 15, 16, 21 and 27 years</td>
<td>Overall, the activity score decreased in males and females over follow-up, although was relatively stable in females between 15 and 21 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefice E et al. 2001</td>
<td>40 13 years</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>PAL units at baseline and follow-up in females</td>
<td>PAL units decreased over follow-up.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bratteby L-E et al. 2005</td>
<td>160 15 years</td>
<td>6 years</td>
<td>Physical activity level at baseline and follow-up</td>
<td>Physical activity levels increased slightly in males and females.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Studies using recommended guidelines for PA**

| Godin G *et al.* 2004<sup>59</sup> Canada | 740 13 years | 2 years | % meeting recommended physical activity levels at baseline and follow-up | The proportions decreased to 23% in males and 13% in females. |
Table 6: Summary table for studies that measured sedentary behaviours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>N / Age at baseline</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hallal PC et al. 2006&lt;sup&gt;17&lt;/sup&gt; Brazil</td>
<td>634  4 years</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>% sedentary lifestyle at follow-up by baseline physical activity level</td>
<td>Two-thirds of children who had below average baseline physical activity were sedentary at follow-up. The proportions were just over one half for those of average or above average baseline physical activity level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitzgibbon ML et al. 2006&lt;sup&gt;20&lt;/sup&gt; USA</td>
<td>199  4 years</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>TV viewing (hrs per day) at baseline and follow-up</td>
<td>TV viewing decreased from 2.6 hours to 2.3 hours over follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon-Larsen P et al. 2004&lt;sup&gt;34&lt;/sup&gt; USA</td>
<td>13,030 11-21 years</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>% of subjects classified by sedentary behaviour change over follow-up (sedentary: &gt; 14 hours TV / week)</td>
<td>44% of males and 29% of females were sedentary at baseline and follow-up. 18% and 29% were active at the two time-points. Nearly one-fifth of males and females were either sedentary and became active, or vice versa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardy LL et al. 2007&lt;sup&gt;60&lt;/sup&gt; Australia</td>
<td>163  12 years</td>
<td>2.5 years</td>
<td>Mean hours / week of sedentary behaviour at baseline and follow-up in females</td>
<td>Sedentary behaviour in females increased from 35 to 49 hours per week.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson MC et al. 2006&lt;sup&gt;51&lt;/sup&gt; USA</td>
<td>2,516 12 years&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt; 15 years&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>Hours / week at baseline and follow-up of TV / video, or leisure time computer use</td>
<td>TV and video watching increased slightly or remained the same. Leisure time computer use increased in males and females.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kettaneh et al. 2005&lt;sup&gt;52&lt;/sup&gt; France</td>
<td>436  13 years</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Hours / week of TV / video at baseline and follow-up</td>
<td>Hours per week of TV and video increased by 5 hours in males and 2 hours in females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novak M et al. 2006&lt;sup&gt;38&lt;/sup&gt; Sweden</td>
<td>1,044  16 years</td>
<td>14 years</td>
<td>% of subjects watching several TV programmes daily at baseline and follow-up</td>
<td>Proportions of males watching several programmes daily increased from 40% to 45%, and proportions of females from 17% to 32%.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig 1: Selection of papers for study
Fig 2: Tracking co-efficients for total physical activity, ordered by length of follow-up and stratified by period of childhood/adolescence.
Fig 3: Tracking co-efficients for total physical activity for boys and girls, ordered by length of follow-up and stratified by period of childhood / adolescence.
Fig 4: Tracking co-efficients for different types of activity in early childhood, ordered by length of follow-up.
Fig 5: Tracking co-efficients for different types of activity in middle childhood, ordered by length of follow-up.
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Fig 6: Tracking co-efficients for different types of activity in adolescence, ordered by length of follow-up.
APPENDIX 1

SEARCH STRATEGIES

MEDLINE (1950 – August week 3 2008)
1. exp exercise/
2. exercis$.af.
3. (aerobics or PA or physical inactivity).af.
4. exp PA/
5. (fitness adj (class$ or regime$ or program$)).af.
6. (physical adj (training or education)).af.
7. exp sport$/
8. 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7
9. exp Randomized Controlled Trial/
10. exp Intervention Studies/
11. exp longitudinal studies/
12. exp evaluation studies/
13. exp follow up studies/
14. exp prospective studies/
15. prospectiv$.tw.
16. 11 or 13 or 10 or 9 or 12 or 15 or 14
17. exp child/
18. exp adolescent/
19. exp child,preschool/ or child.mp.
20. exp infant/
21. (child$ or adolescent$ or infant$).af.
22. (teenage$ or young people or young person or young adult$).af.
23. (school children or schoolchildren).af.
24. (boys or girls or youth or youths).af.
25. 22 or 21 or 18 or 24 or 23 or 19 or 17 or 20
26. 25 and 8 and 16
27. (animals or (human and animals)).mp.
28. 26 not 27
29. exp neoplasms/
30. exp pregnancy/
31. exp Cardiovascular Physiologic Processes/ or exp Models, Cardiovascular/ or exp Cardiovascular Diseases/ or exp Cardiovascular Physiology/ or exp Cardiovascular System/ or exp Cardiovascular Deconditioning/ or exp Pregnancy Complications, Cardiovascular/ or exp Tuberculosis, Cardiovascular/ or exp Cardiovascular Surgical Procedures/ or exp Cardiovascular Agents/ or exp Cardiovascular Infections/ or exp
Cardiovascular Abnormalities/ or exp Diagnostic Techniques, Cardiovascular/ or exp Syphilis, Cardiovascular/ or exp Cardiovascular Physiologic Phenomena/

32. exp Diabetes Mellitus, Experimental/ or exp Diabetes Insipidus, Nephrogenic/ or exp Diabetes Mellitus, Lipoatrophic/ or exp Diabetes Mellitus, Type 2/ or exp Diabetes Insipidus/ or exp Diabetes Mellitus, Type 1/ or exp Diabetes Insipidus, Neurogenic/ or exp Diabetes, Gestational/ or exp Diabetes Complications/ or exp Diabetes Mellitus/

33. exp "wounds and injuries"/

34. 33 or 32 or 30 or 31 or 29

35. 28 not 34

EMBASE (1980 to 2008 week 31)
1. exp exercise/
2. exercis$.af.
3. exp PA/
4. (aerobics or PA or physical inactivity).af.
5. (fitness adj (class$ or regime$ or program$)).af.
6. (physical adj (training or education)).af.
7. exp sport$/
8. 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7
9. Randomized Controlled Trial/
10. exp intervention studies/
11. exp longitudinal studies/
12. exp follow up studies/
13. exp prospective studies/
14. prospectiv$.tw.
15. 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14
16. exp child/
17. exp adolescent/
18. exp child, preschool/ or child.mp.
19. exp infant/
20. (child$ or adolescent$ or infant$).af.
21. (schoolchildren or schoolchildren).af.
22. (boys or girls or youth or youths).af.
23. 16 or 17 or 18 or 19 or 20 or 21 or 22
24. 8 and 15 and 23
25. (animals or (human and animals)).mp.
26. 24 not 25
27. exp neoplasms/
28. exp pregnancy/
29. exp CARDIOVASCULAR NURSING/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM EXAMINATION/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR GENE THERAPY/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR RISK/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR AUTOREGULATION/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM TUMOR/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR AGENT/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR SURGERY/ or exp Atherosclerotic CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE/ or exp INTERVENTIONAL CARDIOVASCULAR PROCEDURE/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR RESPONSE/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR PERFORMANCE/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR FUNCTION/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR INFECTION/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR INFLAMMATION/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR PARAMETERS/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR REFLEX/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR SYMPTOM/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR MALFORMATION/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR EQUIPMENT/
30. diabetes.mp.
31. (wounds and injuries).mp.
32. 27 or 28 or 29 or 30 or 31
33. 26 not 32

CINAHL (1982 to August week 2 2008)
1. exp randomized clinical trials/
2. exp intervention studies/
3. exp follow up studies/
4. exp prospective studies/
5. prospectiv$.tw.
6. exp evaluation studies/
7. 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6
8. exp exercise/
9. exp PA/
10. exercis$.af.
11. (aerobics or PA or physical inactivity).af.
12. (physical training or physical education).af.
13. exp sport$/
14. (fitness adj (class$ or regime$ or program$)).af.
15. 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14
16. exp child/
17. exp adolescent/
18. exp child, preschool/ or child.mp.
19. exp infant/
20. (child$ or adolescent$ or infant$).af.
21. (teenage$ or young people or young people or young person or young adult$).af.
22. (schoolchildren or school children).af.
23. (boys or girls or youth or youths).af.
24. 16 or 17 or 18 or 19 or 20 or 21 or 22 or 23
25. 7 and 15 and 24
26. (animals or (human and animals)).mp.
27. 25 not 26
28. exp neoplasms/
29. exp pregnancy/
30. exp CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASES/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR AGENTS/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR NURSING/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM/ or exp TECHNOLOGY, CARDIOVASCULAR/ or exp DIAGNOSIS, CARDIOVASCULAR/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR ABNORMALITIES/ or exp PREGNANCY COMPLICATIONS, CARDIOVASCULAR/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR RISK FACTORS/ or exp "CARDIOVASCULAR ALTERATION (SABA CCC)"/ or exp SURGERY, CARDIOVASCULAR/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM PHYSIOLOGY/ or exp CARDIOVASCULAR CARE/
31. exp "wounds and injuries"/
32. exp diabetes mellitus/
33. 28 or 29 or 30 or 31 or 32
34. 27 not 33

PsycINFO (1806 to July week 5 2008)
1. exp exercise/
2. exercis$.af.
3. exp PA/
4. (aerobics or PA or physical inactivity).af.
5. (fitness adj (class$ or regime$ or program$)).af.
6. (physical training or physical education).af.
7. exp sports/
8. 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7
9. exp clinical trials/
10. exp followup studies/
11. exp prospective studies/
12. exp longitudinal studies/
13. prospectiv$.tw.
14. randomized controlled trial.tw.
15. Evaluation study.tw.
17. 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 or 15 or 16
18. exp adolescent development/ or exp adolescent attitudes/
19. exp childhood development/ or exp childhood play behavior/
20. 18 or 19
21. 8 and 17 and 20
22. exp neoplasms/
23. exp pregnancy/
24. exp cardiovascular disorders/ or cardiovascular system.mp. [mp=title, abstract, heading word, table of contents, key concepts]
25. exp diabetes insipidus/ or exp diabetes/ or exp diabetes mellitus/
26. exp wounds/
27. exp injuries/
28. 22 or 23 or 24 or 25 or 26 or 27
29. 21 not 28
30. from 29 keep 1-121

ASSIA (August 25th, 2008)
1. ((boys or girls or child) or (adolescen$ or infant or teenager$) or (youth$ or (young person) or (young adult$))) AND
2. (((randomized controlled trial) or (intervention studies) or (longitudinal studies)) or ((prospective studies) or (evaluation studies) or (follow up studies))) AND
3. (((PA) or exercise or sport$) or (aerobics or (physical inactivity) or (physical education)) or ((fitness class) or (fitness regime) or (physical training)))
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### DATA EXTRACTION FORM
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<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paper I.D:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Today’s date:</td>
<td>Reviewer:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### basic INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main Author:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title of paper:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of publication:</th>
<th>Language:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### STUDY CHARACTERISTICS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Journal article</td>
<td>Technical report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of study:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tracking of PA</td>
<td>measuring PA as $1^0$ outcome</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study design:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Where was the study done (country)?</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setting:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>Community wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Objective/Aim:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General study population:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recruitment procedures used (participation rates if possible):</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Inclusion criteria |  |
DESCRIPTION OF STUDY PARTICIPANTS

Age (range) at baseline:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total number:</th>
<th>Eligible:</th>
<th>At baseline:</th>
<th>End of follow-up:</th>
<th>Included in analysis:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Sex:

Ethnicity:

Geographical region:

Other:

OUTCOMES & OUTCOME MEASURES

What year was baseline data collected?:

Interval between measurement of outcome(s):

Number of times outcome was measured:

PA measures used:

What was measured at baseline?

What was measured at follow-up?

Who measured?  □ Self  □ Self & Parent(s)  □ Parent(s)  □ Researcher  □ Other:
How was it measured?

- Equipment:
- Activity Diary
- Self administered questionnaire:
- Other:

Were the measurement tool(s) validated?

- Yes
- No
- n/a

How was the validity of self reported behaviour maximized?

RESULTS & ANALYSIS

Statistical methods/ tests used:

Does technique adjust for confounding?

- Yes
- No
- n/a

Unit of analysis:

 Dropout rate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary outcome/PA/no n-PA measure(s)</th>
<th>TIME 1</th>
<th>TIME 2</th>
<th>TIME 3</th>
<th>TIME 4</th>
<th>TIME 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age of Time 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>participants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Author’s conclusions:

Notes:

Reviewers comments:
APPENDIX 3
QUALITY ASSESSMENT TOOL

Paper I.D: ____________________________________________
Reviewer: _____________________________________________
Date: __________________________________________________

COMPONENT RATINGS
A) SELECTION BIAS

(Q1) Are the individuals selected to participate in the study likely to be representative of the target population?
   □ Very likely
   □ Somewhat likely
   □ Not likely
   □ Can’t tell

(Q2) What percentage of selected individuals agreed to participate/were enrolled?
   □ 80 – 100% agreement
   □ 60 – 79% agreement
   □ Less than 60% agreement
   □ Not applicable
   □ Can’t tell

RATE THIS SECTION         STRONG        MODERATE        WEAK
See Dictionary
1               2               3

B) CONFOUNDERS

(Q1) Did the study design address issues pertaining to confounding?
   □ Yes
   □ No
   □ Can’t tell

(Q2) Did the study analysis address issues pertaining to confounding?
   □ Yes
   □ No
   □ Can’t tell

RATE THIS SECTION         STRONG        MODERATE        WEAK
1               2               3

C) DATA COLLECTION METHODS

(Q1) Were ALL OTHER data collection tools shown to be valid and/or reliable?
   □ Yes
   □ No
   □ Can’t tell
   □ n/a
(Q2) Were the PA data collection tools shown to be valid and/or reliable?

- Yes
- No
- Can’t tell
- Not all of them

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RATE THIS SECTION</th>
<th>STRONG</th>
<th>MODERATE</th>
<th>WEAK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>See Dictionary</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**D) WITHDRAWALS AND DROP OUTS**

(Q1) Were withdrawals and drop outs reported in terms of numbers and/or reasons per group?

- Yes
- No
- Can’t tell

(Q2) Indicate the percentage of participants completing the study.

- 80 – 100%
- 60 – 79%
- Less than 60%
- Can’t tell

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RATE THIS SECTION</th>
<th>STRONG</th>
<th>MODERATE</th>
<th>WEAK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>See Dictionary</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>STRONG</th>
<th>MODERATE</th>
<th>WEAK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A- SELECTION BIAS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B- CONFOUNDERS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C- DATA COLLECTION METHODS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D- WITHDRAWALS AND DROP OUTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GLOBAL RATING FOR THIS PAPER (tick one):

- STRONG (two STRONG ratings with no WEAK ratings)
- MODERATE (one STRONG rating or one WEAK rating)
- WEAK (two or more WEAK ratings)

With both reviewers discussing the ratings:
Is there a discrepancy between the two reviewers with respect to the component (A-D) ratings?

- No
- Yes

If yes, indicate the reason for the discrepancy

1 Oversight
2 Differences in interpretation of criteria
3 Differences in interpretation of study

Final decision of both reviewers (tick one):

- STRONG
- MODERATE
- WEAK