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## Abstrect

The intention of this work was to investigate the problems concerned with transferring rainbow trout Salmo geircneri directly from fresh water into sea water with reference to this procedure on a marine trout farm. A laboratory study of some of the physiological changes that occur following direct transfer of rainoow trout to sea water was undertaken. The effect of size and salinity on the osmoregulatory ability of the fish was investigated. Field trials involving sea cages were also performed with fish of different sizes and varying salinities. The res llts obtained from the field were used to produce a nomogram relating size and salinity with expected levels of mortality; this nomogram is thought to have considerable applications in the marine trout farming industry. The results from the field and the laboratory were compared and they indicated a considerable detrimental effect of prior transportation.

Two methods for allevisting the osmotic shock following transfer were investigated in the hope of lowering mortalities. The first method investigated was the feeding of wet diet containing $50 \%$ fresh water following transfer with the intention of reducing the dehydration and high plasma concentrations. This method was shown to be ineffective and possible reasons for this are discussed.

The second method examined in an attempt to reduce mortalities was the prior feeding of a high salt diet. It was found that the feeding of a diet containing $10 \% \mathrm{HaCl}$ for a period prior to seawater transfer significantly reduced mortalities during the first few days in the marine enviranment. The optimum period of prior feeding of the high salt diet was found to be about 2 weeks as longer periods did
not improve the bereficial results and resulted in poorer growtin rates. Those fish fed or the high salt diet wi re found to have significently lower plasma osmotic concentrations following transfer and this firding is discussed ir relation to known mechanises of salt excretion. is study of the "chloride cells" in the gills was undertaken but no attributable effect was found following the feedirg of the hign salt diet.
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Rainbow trout culture is becoming increasingly common in many regions of the world. However, one of the factors which is limiting its expansion is the number of suitable freshwater sites. In several countries, notably Norway and Scotland, this has led to the on-growing of rainbow trout in sea water.

The trout which are to be on-grown in the sea are transferred from the freshwater hatchery either to seawater tanks or floating cages. Floating cages are at present more widely used since they require about half the capital expenditure and also have considerably lower operating costs than seawater tank systems requiring pumped water (Varley, 1977). The indented coastline of both Norway and Scotland also provides a large number of suitable sheltered sites for the mooring of cages.

One major disadvantage of the cage system is that the fish may have to be transferred directly from the fresh water to the sea with no possibility of acclimatisation to intermediate salinities. Most of the freshwater hatcheries are chosen for good water quality, and are of ten some distance from the sea. Thus the use of acclimatisation tanks, where the salinity could be raised over a period of weeks, becomes impractical. Almost all the trout farmers therefore transfer their trout diractly from the hatchery to the flaating cages, having learned from experience that fish of above 100 g will transfer to full-strengch sea water with fev mortalities. Trout nomally reach this weight during their second summer, but it is during summer that a freshwater shortage is most likely to occur and as the flow rates decrease so the water temperatures increase. It would therefore be much more convenient to transfer them earlier.

There is not only the problem of decreasing water availability but
also the fact that as the fish grow, more water is required. For every 1000 kg of fish held there must be a flow rate of $10-15 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{sec}$. In practice this means that a small farm producing $50,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ of 250 g trout will require $100 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{sec}$ in the spring when the fish are 50 g and $250 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{sec}$ by the summer, when they are 100 g , if they continue to be held in fresh water.

The problems of holding trout in fresh water until they are over 100 g , sometime in their second summer, has meant that most farmers are obiiged to transfer their fish in the second spring when the fish are about 60g. At this size the osmotic shock caused by transferring the trout straight from fresh water into the sea can often result in mortalities as high as $10 \%$. At present farmers accept a certain level oi mortality to obtain the advantages of getting their fish into the sea before the freshwater temperatures increase and the flow rates decrease.

Another advantage in transferring trout at the smallest possible size is that sea weter temperatures on the west coasts of Scotland and Norway rarely fall below $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in winter: since trout will grow till the temperature falls below $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ growth can thus continue throughout the winter. This contrasts with fresh water where no growth occurs for about 3 months during December, January and February. For this reason it is an advantage to transfer trout in the autumn of their first year. Normally the fish are only 20 to 30 g at this time and cannot tolerate sea water trensfer, however, if a marine site is used where the salinity is reduced by freshwater run-off, it is possible to effect a transfer with acceptable levels of mortality (Landless, 1976).

The number of sites where the salinity is reduced sufficiently to cllow autum transfer ia amall and for this reason several farme particularly in Morway, have developed an alternative cycle of production (Edwards, 1978). By holding brood fioh in spring water with a temperature
of $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ all winter they are able to induce spawning in January, instead of April. Then by heating the water to $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ they accelerate hatching and fry development. The fish then grow rapidly during the spring and summer and by their first autumn they are 50-70g and large enough for some of them to tolerate seawater transfer.

Early trials on-growing trout in sea water involved the steelhead race of Salmo gairdneri which is naturally anadromous and undergoes smolting in spring after one or two years in fresh water. Although it a naturally adapts to jseawater environment, there are a number of disadvantages. It is only possible to effect a safe transfer during the period of smolting, March and April; at other times mortalities tend to be high (Conte and Wagner, 1965). Within a stock of trout not all the fish will smolt after one year; many have to be held in fresh water for another year before they will change. The whole stock therefore has to be eramined, the emolts removed and the remaining fish held over for another 12 months. For these reasons the steelhsad trout have been discarded and almost all trout now on-grown in the sea are of rainbow trout stock.

The purpose of this research was to examine the problem of transferring rainbow trout directly from fresh water into ses water. At present farmers accept a mortality of up to $10 \%$ during the 2 wesk following transfer. On a farm producing $50,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ per annum of 250 g trout a $10 \%$ mortality is a loss of 20,000 fish. This represents a direct economic loss of about c 1500 at 1978 prices, but more importently it reduces the total anmual production by about 5000kg (with a current value Of c6000). The factor which limits annual production on most seavater farms is the number of fry which can be reared in the available freah water at the hrichery. The seavater ite is rarely the limiting factor as additional cages can be constructed to accommodate any expansion in
the output of the hatchery. Therefore any reduction in the number of mortalities at transfer is reflected by a proportional increase in total annual production.

Before methods can be devised to reduce the mortality at transfer, it is importand to understand the physiological changes that occur during acclimatisation. A study of some of these changes was undertaken including the effect on them of fish size, salinity and temperature difference. Fieid trials were completed with trout of different sizes and water of different salinities with the intention of developing a means by which, knowing the salinity and the size of the fish, an estimation of the expected mortality could be made.

With a knowledge of the physiological changes which trout experience following transfer, attempts were made to reduce the osmotic stress and so lower the mortality. Two methods were evaluated, one involving the feeding of a wet diet, the other feeding a diet containing a high level of NaCl. Landless (1976) suggested that the feeding of a wet diet following transfer might prove beneficial by reducing the osmotic stress on the trout, so this possibility was examined. Zargg and McLain (1969) and Basulto (1976), both working with salmon species, reported that prior feeding of a diet containing a high level of NaCl reduced mortalities following transfer to sea water; perhaps by atimulating the salt secretory mechanisms required for a marine environment. It was therefore decided to test this technique using rainbow trout.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 1. Holding facilitics

Sea cages in Dunstaffnage Bay

The cages used for both the holding of stock and acclimatisation trials were 4 m square and made to the design of Landless (1974). Five of these cages were moored 50 m from the shore in the sheltered waters of Dunstaffnage Bay in a minimum of $5 m$ of water. The cages consisted of three basin components, the framework, the flotation and the net. The framework was constructed using galvanised scaffolding tubing and standard scaffolding fittings. The inner square formed by the tubing was $4 m \times 4 m$ and along two of the sides of this square were the floats. Each float was 4 m long and was constructed of expanded polystyrene encased in glass reinforced concrete; two 6 m lengths of scaffolding passed through the middle of each float and provided strengthening. The floats being 0.6 m wide also provided a walkway which eased access to the nets.

Another three cages were constructed in late 1975 to increase the holding capacity for the trials required in this study. A modification as suggested by Landless (1974) was included in the new cages. In the - old design cracks had appeared in the concrete skin in the middle of the 4 floats. This was thought to be due to slight flexing during rough weather. The modification consisted of replacing each 4 m floet by two 1.85 m floats, but retaining the two 6 m tubes. This allowed the tubes to bend in the middle without cracling the concrete. These new cages have now been in the vater for three years and there is no evidence of the concrete akin cracking.

The nets hung from the soaffolding framework were of the knotlees
variety, as this was seen to cause less damage to the fish. For the holding of stock, the full cage was used and a $4 m$ cube net suspended from the scaffolding with a weight in each of the four lower corners. When using small numbers of fish for acclimation trials the surface of one of the cages was divided into four by means of two $4 m$ scaffolding tubes and four 2 m cube nets hung from them. The nets were changed whenever fouling became heavy and started seriously to reduce water interchange. The time between changes depended upon the mesh size and the time of year.

The temperature of the water in Dunstaffnage Bay varied seasonally, reaching a maximum in September of $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a minimum in February of about $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The salinity also varied from month to month depending on the freshwater run-off into the adjacent Loch Etive. This fresh water is mixed with coastal sea water by the tidal race at the mouth of Loch Etive about 2 km from the experimental site. The salinity can reach as low as $12^{\circ} / 00$ after a continuous perioa of heavy rain and at times of summer drought can reach 32 to $33 \%$, only just lower than the coastal water.

The salinity and to a lesser extent, the temperature of the water in Dunstaffnage Bay fluctuates with the tidal cycle. The ebb tide brings low aalinity water from the inner basin into the bay, while a flood tide brings in coastal water of higher salinity. The lowest salinities are therefore recorded at low tide while the highest are recorded at high tide. The fluctuations are most marked when the salinity from the inner basin is greatly reduced. Salinities can then range from $15 \%$ at low tide to $28^{\circ} / 00$ at high tide. The temporature fluctuations during a tidal cycle are much amaller and are due to the differences in temperature between the conatal water and the water of the inner basin of Loch Etive.

## Sea cages at Komen Pioh Fary.

The sea cages at Kames Fish Farm are moored in a wheltered bey in

Loch Melfort, 40 km south of Oban. Unlike the Landless cage the frame is a wooden construction, but expanded polystyrene is used for flotation. The cages are moored in 7 m of water, close to the shore. Access is by means of a floating pontoon alongside a pier. The cages are of two different sizes, one $6 \mathrm{~m} \times \mathrm{m}$, designed to hold three tons of fish, the other $4 \mathrm{~m} \times 4 \mathrm{~m}$, holding one ton. When transferring fish, both under normal circumstances and during experiments in this study, fish are washed dom a chute attached to the transporter tank positioned at the end of the pier. The fish then fall about 5m (depending on the state of the tide), into the cage below.

The water of Loch Melford, unlike that in Dunstaffnage Eay, has an almost constant salinity of $32-33 \%$ throughout the year with no tidal fluctuations. The temperature of the water reaches a maximun of $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in September and a minimum of about $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in February.

Frashvater tanks at the Laboratory
In some of the early experiments fish which had been transported from Stirling were given a period in fresh water to recover before the acclimation trials started. The fresh water was collected from Lusragan Burn near Connel and placed in two large circular tanks of 11001 capecity and used as required. This proved rather unsatisfactory due to the problems of collection and also, the fish could only be held for a limited period of time in the still vater.

It was decided to try and bold fish in the laboratory's tap water supply. Earlier work which involved holding trout in the Leboratory's tap water had led to problems with copper poisoning, (Landless Ph.D. thesis). A group of fiah was hourror held in the tap water for three monthe with no visible lothel o: ab-lethal effecte. This indicated that
after several years the level of copper in the water had apparently fallen to a level which had no noticeable effect on the fish.

As part of a new Water Board Scheme, chlorination of the water was atarted in March 1977. The residual level of chlorine was of the order of 0.05 p.p.m. Dandy (1972) showed that with brown trout Salvelinus fontinalis, survival time in 0.04 p.p.m. was only 48 h and that levels of 0.005 p.p.m. caused depression in general activity. Several deaths were recorded at the time chlorination started, so all freshwater experiments were terminated. Since many of the planned experiments involved the holaing of several thousand fish for several months in fresh water prior to transfer, it was thought essential to find a site where such experiments could be performed.

There were other experiments planned which required fewer tnan a hundred fish involved in physiological studies. Most of these laboratory experiments were carried out in an air-conditioned room at Dunstaffnage. The room was equipped with freshwater, and seawater taps from the Laboratory's own supply. To remove any residual chlorine the fresh water was passed through an activated charcoal filter (see Appendix 1). Once the filter had been installed the fish showed no sub-lethal effects and feeding and behaviour appeared normal.

The temperature of the tap water ranged from $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in January to $17^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in August. The air-conditioning unit in the room was set at. $14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, but the fast flow rates of water required reaulted in there being only a small change of temperature in the tanks.

The sea water was pumped to the aquarium and air-conditioned roams at 10 pai. Early experiments of holding trout in sea water led to some unexpected deaths which may have been due to air superisturaticn geused by entraiment of air in the pumps. Measurements with au oxygen meter
showed levels sometimes exceeding $120 \%$ saturated. To reduce the supersaturation the sea water was first passed into a header tank where it was vigorously aerated, forcing the excess gas out of solution.

The temperature of the pumped sea water rariged from $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in winter to $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in summer. The salinity also fluctuated, but it was not as marked as the salinity changes out on the rafts and rarely fell below $30 \%$. The smaller fluctuation was due to the position of the intake pipe while the large storage tanks at the Laboratory smoothed out the tidal fluctuations.

There were normally three tanks installed in the air-conditioned room, two circular black tanks with a cepacity of 2251 and one large white glassfibre tank with a capacity of 1100 l. The salinity into one of the 2251 tanks could be adjusted by controlled mixing of the sea and fresh water. Since the pressure in both water systems varied considerably a constant head device was built (Fig. 1), which ensured a constant predetermined salinity. Once adjusted a given salinity could be maintained throughout an experiment, unless the salinity of the incoming sea water changed. Regular check was therefore made by a hydrometer specially calibrated in $\%$, a temperature correction being made for water of more or less than $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

For most of the experiments no attempt was made to control the temperature as such large flow rates were required thet either heating or cooling would prove impractical. The exception to this was the experiment which was designed to test whother the degree of thermal shock between the fresh water and sea water effected the ability of trout to acclimatise to a rapid change in salinity (Section 3 ).

## Figure 1. Constant-level mixing device.

A constant level was maintained in the two 101 vessels by means of an input and an overflow, this ensured a fixed pressure of water at the two taps. The selinity was set by means of adjusting the taps until the required value was obtained, measured using a hydrometer. The value remained constant unless the salinity of the incoming sea water changed. Aeration was present in the seawater vessel to reduce any supersaturation.



## Freshwater tanks at Kilmore

A freshwater site was required where large nambers of fish could be held for extended periods. A freshwater spring with a reliable flow of $2 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{sec}$ and a 50 cm head was available at Kilmore, 5 km south of Cban. Four tanks each 150 cm in diameter and 50 cm deep were constructed on this site. Two tanks were entirely of glassfibre but these proved difficult to construct and the walls lacked strength. The other two were made with curved galvanised corrugated-iron walls. Three curved sheets riveted together and sealed with a rubberised paint were used for each tank. The bases of the tanks were made with two sheets of glassfibre, one passing up the outside and the other up the inside of the corrugated-iron to provide an overlap of about 10 cm which was sealed with resin. These latter tanks proved easier to make and were more robust on completion.

In all four tanks e central drain was included to provide a selfcleaning action. Each drain consisted of a central standpipe which acted as an overflow, and hence a level control. A wider diameter length of tubing was placed outside the central standpipe and this was knotched at its lower end. The function of this was to ensure that water leaving the tank was drawn from the bottom thus providing the self-cleaning action. Both pipes were then surrounded by a screen to stop the fish leaving via the overflow. The screen was made of plagtic mesh riveted into a cylinder, the rivets providing sufficient weight to stop the plastic floating.

Each tank had a separate freshwater input taken from a 10 cm pipe coming from the spring. The vater input was arranged to produce a circular motion in the tank, thus ensuring a complete exchange of water as well as creating a vortex action which washed any detritus dow the drain.

The epring water remained at a temperature of $9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ throughout the
year, like much other spring water the oxygen saturation was only about $80 \%$. The oxygen level of the incoming water was therefore only about $9.2 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ instead of $11.6 \mathrm{mg} / 1$. Kainbow trout cannot tolerate oxygen levels much below $5 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ so very careful attention was paid to water flows and stocking densities.

## Freshwater tanks at Kames Fish Farm

During the freshwater phase the fish at Kames were held in large 4m diameter corrugated-iron tanks with a concrete base, situated within an old factory building with no ar tificial light. A central drain and circular water movement ensured good water exchange end a self-cleaning action in each tank. Each tank had a separate input of water collected from two nearby streams. There was a seasonal fluctuation in temperature reaching a maximum of $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in August and a minimum of $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in Janusry. The water flow was subject to drought and in times of water shortage a partial recirculating system could be brought into operation, although this was not required during the course of any of the reported experiments.

## 2. Fish stocks

The fish used in all the experiments were Salmo gairdneri of rainbow trout stock as opposed to the smolting steelhead trout. They were purchased mainly from Cloan Hatcheries at Auchterarder while amaller numbers were acquired from Howieton and Northern Fisheries near Stirling. The fish at Kames had originally been purchased from Cloan as fry. Na difference was noticed between stock bought from different hatcheries.

## 3. Fish food and feeding

Comercial trout pellets purchased from Edward Baker Ltd. of Bathgete
were used for all feeding. The percentage composition of the normal diets according to the manufacturers were as follows:

Floating pellet
Size of pellet
No. 4
8
47
4.5

10
8
22.5

No. 5
5
41
4.5
10.5

9
30

High density pellet
No. 5
8 47
4.5

10 11
19.5

The level of NaCl salt varied according to the source of the fish meal used to form the pellet, but was always below $1 \%$. The High Salt Diet (H.S.D.) which was used for pre-transfer feeding was made specially to order by Edward Baker and had the same composition as the control diet (High density No. 5), except the salt level was artificially raised to $10 \%$.

The wet diet used was produced by soaking pellets (Floating No. 4 or 5) in freah water for 30 min , any longer and the pellets lost their form. The pellets took up elmost exactly their own weight in water, e.g., 100g of a No. 4 floating pellet absorbed 106 g of water. A floating pellet wae normally used as thas held more water than the sinicing high-density pellets.

The levels of feeding varied. The tock fish and many of the experimental fish were fed according to the table provided by the manufacturers (Appendix III). Following transfer in the ecclimation trials fich were fed to setiation, the amount consumed depending on their
capacity to adjust. A scale was devised to help compare feeding activity in different trials.

N - None, no feeding activity.
VL - Very light, a few pellets taken as they sank.
L - Light, pellets taken as they sank, a few from the surface.
A - Active, a normal level of feeding with most fish taking the food at the surface.

VA - Very active, all the fish at the surface thrashing to obtain food, as seen with healthy fish after one day of starvation.

Feeding of stock fish was usually by automatic feeder, either of commercial design or made at the Laboratory (Landless pers. comm.). All feeders composed an electrically driven vibrating plate or worm screw with a photocell control to ensure daytime feeding only. Since the fish being used in most of the experiments were below 100 g short feeds at half-hourly or hourly intervals were used. Control and experimental fish were fed to the same feeding regime.

Fish being used for the acclimation trials were all hand fed for the first 10 to 14 days so that a note could be made of their feeding activity. Fish held in the tanks in the air-conditioned room were always hand fed, as were the fish at Kames where it was felt that hand feeding was a good husbandry practice and gave an idea as to the state of health of the fish.

## 4. Blood sampling

Blood samples of about 0.4 ml were required for the determinations of plasma osmolerity. To obtain this volume from fish of the aises used
necessitated killing them. The method used was adapted from Conte and Wagner (1965). The fish were first anaesthetized in a 1:10,000 solution of MS 222 (Tricaine methansulfonate, Sandoz); they were then blotted by wrapping in a paper towel. Blood was obtained through severance of the caudal peduncle. Blood flowing from the caudal artery was bled onto a stretched piece of cold paraffin-wax film and immediate?y transferred via a glass capillary tube, into a heparinised 0.5 ml polypropylene centrifuge tube. The disposable tubes were then sealed and placed in a Hawksley Micro-Haematocrit centrifuge fitted with the Micro-Chemistry head which develops $10,000 \mathrm{~g}$. The tubes were then spun for 3 min and the supernatant plasma removed by glass capillary and placed in polypropylene tubes. The plasma was then deep frozen until required for analysis on the osmometer.

A Fiske osmometer was used for determinations of freezing-point depression. It was adjusted to take the smallest sample size of 0.2 ml and then calibrated uaing three standards of 100,300 and $900 \mathrm{mOsm} / 1$. The accuracy of the osmometer was stated to be $\pm 1 \mathrm{mOsm} / 1$.

The haematocrit values were obtained at the same time as the plasma samples by drawing a small volume of blood into a heparinised haematocrit tube. The tubes were then sealed with Cristaseal, spun for 5 min on the microcentrifuge using the haematocrit head which develops 12,000g. The packed cell volume was then determined using the Hawksley microhaematocrit reader. Occasionally clotting occurred before separation and these samples were discarded.

## 5. Determinations of water content

The messurements of water content of whole fish were obtained using a method sinilar to Black (1951). The fish vere first killed and weighed
and then placed in pre-weighed dry open-necked flasks and dried to constant weight in an oven at $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## 6. Fish transportation

The methods used for transportation varied on the number of fish to be moved as well as the distance they had to be transported. Large numbers of fish were always transported in a commercial 20001 fish transporter tank on the back of a lorry. Bottled oxygen was passed into the water through a diffuser on the bottom of the tank, this ensured they could survive many hours in the tank.

Smaller numbers of fish were transported over long distances in polyth ene bags, holding about 251 of water. In summer blocks of ice were added to keep the temperature as low as possible. The bags were then inflated with oxygen and tied. In very hot weather the bags were then placed in 1001 tanks filled with crushed ice.

Small numbers of fish were transported over short distances, e.g., from Kilmore to the Laboratory, in plastic dustbins. The dustbins were half filled with water and the number of fish added to each bin was dependent upon their weight. Each bin contained a diffuser stone connected to an oxygen cylinder.
and then placed in pre-weighed dry open-necked flasks and dried to constant weight in an oven at $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## 6. Fish transportation

The methods used for transportation varied on the number of fish to be moved as well as the distance they had to be transported. Large numbers of fish were always transported in a commercial 20001 fish transporter tank on the back of a lorry. Bottled oxygen was passed into the water through a diffuser on the bottom of the tank, this ensured they could survive many hours in the tank.

Smaller numbers of fish were transported over long distances in polyth ene bags, holding about 251 of water. In summer blocks of ice were added to keep the temperature as low as possible. The bags were then inflated with oxygen and tied. In very hot weather the bags were then placed in 1001 tanks filled with crushed ice.

Small numbers of fish vere transported over short distances, e.g., from Kilmore to the Laboratory, in plastic dustbins. The dustbins were half filled with water and the number of fish added to each bin was dependent upon their weight. Each bin contained a diffuser stone connected to an oxygen cylinder.

## Introduction

Previous trials of transferring rainbow trout from fresh water to sea water have always used percentage mortality as a measure of the successfulness of the transfer (Landless,1976; Landrein, 1973). This method has disadvantages in that large number of fish are required if a reliable result is to be obtained. Another disadvantage of using mortality as a criterion of successful transfer from fresh water to sea water is that one is simply measuring the number of fish which have failed to transfer and not how rapidly the rest of the population adjusted. For these reasons it was felt that another parameter was required which would give an indication as to how a population was adjusting to the rapid change in the environment.

This parameter had to be easily measured since large numbers of samples would have to be taken both in the laboratory and in the field. The most obvious physiological changes that occur in the blood after transfer from fresh water to sea water are a rise in plasma levels of $\mathrm{CL}^{-}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{K}^{+}$. (Houston, 1959; Gordon, 1959; Parry, 1960) and a reduction in water content (Black, 1951). Conte:and Wagner (1965) found that measuring the overall osmotic concentration of the plasma during direct transfer of steelhead trout was very successful in monitoring their ability to adapt. They also found that the rise of the individual ions during transfer was closely matched by an increase in the overall osmotic concentration of the plasma. Both Gordon (1969) and Parry (1960) found that freezing point depression measurements of the blood plasma were a very useful way of assessing the ability of salmonide to regulate efter transferring to varying selinities.

Black (1951) showed that the water content of small Coho salmon decreased from $82 \%$ to about $76 \% 20 \mathrm{~h}$ after transfer to $30 \%$; death occurred shortly afterwards. While in small Chum salmon, which seemed to have no trouble in adapting, the water content remained at $82 \%$ after transfer. The method used by Black was easily applicable both in the field and in the Laboratory, so it was decided to evaluate this technique also.

Most reported trials involving transfer of salmonids from fresh to sea water have been concerned with smolting species. Parry (1960 \& 1961), Houston (1960), Knutsson \& Grav (1976) and Farmer et al (1978), all used Atlantic salmon; while Houstin (1959) and Conte \& Wagner (1965) used Steelhead trout. Conte et al (1966) and Clarke \& Blackburn (1977) worked with Coho salmon and Black (1951) and Houston (1961) with Chum salmon. Gordon (1959) carried out some transfer trials with non-migratory Salmo trutta and used plasma freezing point depression determinations to assess their regulatory ability. It was therefore felt that the effects of size and salinity should be examined in relation to the physiology of rainbow trout during adjustment to a rapid change in salinity similar to the abrupt change experienced by trout at seavater transfer on a trout farm.

Frperiment 1. Equilibrium levels in fresh and sea water.
Fish which had been held in fresh water and sea water for at least 1 month vere sacrificed to obtain measure of the equilibrium points of the different parametere in the two different media. Fich of varying sizes were used and from different holding conditions: freshwater-adapted fish from tanks at the Laboratory and tanke at Kames; ealtwater-adapted fish from tanks in the Laboratory and sea cages at Kames. Samples were
also taken from groups of fish which were showing signs that they had not fully adjusted to the marine environment.

The mean osmotic concentration of the plasma of fish in fresh water was $315 \mathrm{mOsm} / \mathrm{I}$ (S.D. $=6, \mathrm{n}=25$ ). No correlation between size and osmotic concentration was observed although different populations of fish in different holding conditions seemed to have different equilibrium points ranging from 305 to 320 mOsm/1. Haematocrit values in fresh water had a mean P.C.V. (Packed Cell Volume) of $41 \%$ (S.D. $=4, n=13$ ).

Trout sampled from the sea had an equilibrium point of $341 \mathrm{~m} 0 \mathrm{sm} / \mathrm{l}$ (S.D. $=12, n=40$ ), which is sigaificantly different from the level in fresh water $(t=9.6, p=<0.001)$. Samples taken from different populations again varied. Some fish which were not feeding well and were dark in colour with several recently recorded deaths were sampled and shown to have a mean of $351 \mathrm{mOsm} / 1$ (S.D. = 13). A few fish which were showing very obvious signs of stress and were close to death were sampled giving a level of $422 \mathrm{mOsm} / 1$ (S.D. = 11). Haematocrits of these fish showed a mean P.C.V. of $23 \%$ (S.D. $=7$ ), as opposed to $43 \%$ (S.D. = 4) for healthy fish in sea water. Another group of unhealthy fish had an osmotic concentration of $381 \mathrm{~m} 0 \mathrm{sm} / 1(\mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{D} .=8)$ and a P.C.V. of $33 \%$ (S.D. $=3$ ).

The water contents of the fish appeared to be sire-dependent, the largor the fish the lower the water content. Fig. 2 shows this as a regression of percentage vater content against weight in grams. There vas no significant difference between the level in fresh water and the level in sea water. Fish showing signs of osmotic stress and with plasme concentrations of $380 \mathrm{~m} 0 \mathrm{sm} / 1$ had water contents $3-4 \%$ below those of healthy fieh with plasma concentrations of $340 \mathrm{mOam} / \mathrm{l}$.

Fipdre z. A regression line of $\%$ water content of the fish against weight in grams.

Equation

$$
y=78.58-0.06 x .
$$

Test of significance for regression.

| Source of variation | ds. | SS | NS | Fs. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Explained - dueto linear <br> regression | 1 | 10.7 | 10.7 |  |
| Unexplained - error <br> around regression <br> line. | 27 | 22.16 | 0.821 |  |

$F=<0.005$.
A significant negative regression is present.

$y=78.58-0.06 x$

Exneriment 2. Effect of direct transfer on the osmotic concentration of the plasma.

250 Fish of mean weight $32.9 \mathrm{~g} \pm 5.2 \mathrm{~g}$ were transported from Howieton and were unloaded into a 11001 tank filled with fresh river water. They were held in this overnight to recover from the journey. 2501 of the water were changed every 3 h. After they had been held in fresh water for 20h the tank was siphoned till only half-full and then the sea water was turned on. The salinity rose rapidly to $27 \%$ after $30 \mathrm{~min}, 28 \% 00$ after 1 h and $30 \%$ after 3 h . The temperature of the sea water was $9.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while that of the fresh water was $6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Blood samples from 3 fish were taken every 4h. Each fish sampled was measured and weighed. Food in the form of dried pellets was offered at dawn and dusk every day during the experiment.

Twelve days after the transfer the remaining fish were removed from the tank and were transported to the cages in Dunstaffnage Bay where the salinity was $28^{\circ} \%$. There they were monitored for mortalities and feeding behaviour for the next four weeks.

The effect of the rapid change in external salinity on the osmotic concentration is shown in Fig. 3. The important features are the very rapid rise over the first 20 hours and the very large standard deviation during the lengthier return to the new equilibrium point of about $340 \mathrm{~m} 0 \mathrm{sm} / \mathrm{l}$. Four fish died during the course of the experiment, one after 92h, two after 118 h and 1 after 143h. Fish showing aigns of osmotic stress turned very dark in colour and tended to remain close to the surface "gulping". Later as they began to lose co-ordination, they started "tailing", that is lying in the vater almost vertically, with the tail down. Occasionally fish under stress propelled themselves across the aurface of the water in a rapid motion. Eventually the fish

Figure 3. Change in the osmotic concentration of the plasma following seawater transfer ( $30 \%$ ) of fish mean weight 32.9 g.

Three fish were sampled for each point, the bars represent the standard deviation within each sample. Note the very large standard deviations during the return to an equilibrium level of $340 \mathrm{mOsm} / \mathrm{l} 40$ to 100 h after transfer.


turned over and lay upside down; shortly after this stage breathing activity ceased.

During the slow return to the new equilibrium point, the standard deviation was very large. For example the range was from 358 to $408 \mathrm{mosm} / 1$ at 48 h with a mean of $390 \mathrm{mOsm} / 1$ and a S.D. of 28.3. This indicated that some of the fish had already started to adjust while others were still having osmotic problems. After 69 h the range was 345 to $385 \mathrm{mosm} / \mathrm{l}$ with a mean of 370 and a S.D. of 22.2. By this time some of the fish had returned to the sea water equilibrium point while others were still adjusting.

The fish recovered quickly from transportation and were feeding actively in fresh water again before transfer. They had fed well 2 h after transfer, but when next fed after 18 h all feeding activity had ceased. Very light feeding activity was noticed after 64 h , but full active feeding did not return until after 160 h .

12 Days after transfer the fish were feeding well, so those remaining were transported to the cages where the salinity was only $28 \%$. Despite the lowered salinity 25 fish died during the first 48 h in the cage and all feeding ceased. Subsequently, no more deaths were recorded and the fich returned to active feeding. From then on they appeared well adapted to their marine environment.

Experiment 3. Effect of direct transfer on the osmotic concentration of the plasma.

110 Fish with a mean weight of 52.78 and a large standard deviation of 11.2 g were transported fron Howieton and divided equally between two 2251 black tanks with a strong flow-through of tap water at $5.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. They were held in tap water for three daye until their foeding activity
returned after the stress of transport.
When the fish had fully reccered the sea water was turned on and the salinity rose rapidly in the tanks to $30 \%$ after 1 h and $33 \% 00$ after 3 h. Blood samples were taken at intervals and occesionally fish showing obvious signs of osmotic stress were removed for sampling. Three or four fish were sampled on each occasion, except for the final point where six fish were sampled. After five days only 21 fish remained and the mortality was still continuing, so the experiment was terminated and the remaining fish returned to fresh water.

The changes in plasma concentration during this experiment are given in Fig. 4. Compared with the previous experiment the initial rise over the first 20 h was considerably less. The gradient for the first 20 h in experiment 2 was $3.3 \mathrm{~m} 0 \mathrm{sm} / 1 / \mathrm{h}$, while in this trial it was only $1.75 \mathrm{mOsm} / 1 / \mathrm{h}$. But the rise,instead of levelling after 20 h , continued for about 100 h and the mean never returned to an equilibrium point of $340 \mathrm{mOsm} / \mathrm{l}$.' The deaths during this experiment vere as follows:

## Hours after transfer

24 48 52 72 98 120

No. of deaths 0 3

8

28
16
5

In addition to these, 2 fish which were on the point of death vere sampled at 48h, another at 52 h and another at 72 h . The flow of blood was greatly reduced from these fish and it proved difficult to obtain

Figure 4. Change in the osmotic concentration of the plasma following seawater transfer $\left(33^{\circ} / 00\right)$ of fish with a mean weight of 52.7 g .

The number of fish ir each sample varied (see text); the bars represent the standard deviation within each sample. The triangles indicate the plasma concentrations of moribund fish selectively sampled to detemine the lethal limit. Note the very large standard deviation in the final sample indicating that some fish had obtained the seawater equilibrium level of about $340 \mathrm{mOsm} / 1$ while others were close to the lethal limit.

samples. The values for these four samples were $405,420,420$ and $432 \mathrm{~m} 0 \mathrm{sm} / 1$, respectively. Giving a mean of $419 \pm 11 \mathrm{mOsm} / \mathrm{L}$.

The experiment was terminated after 120 h , there being only 21 fish remaining of the 110 at the start, these were returned to fresh water where no further deaths were recorded.

After transfer the standard deviations were all large and at the 120 h sample the standard deviation was $43.5 \mathrm{mOsm} / 1$ with a range of 352-434 m0sm/l, indicating that some fish were at the lethal limit while others were approaching a sea water equilibrium of $345 \mathrm{~m} 0 \mathrm{sm} / 1$. The recorded mortalities were almost equal in the two tanks. Very little feeding was noticed in either tank following transfer but the fish seemed to be displaying very aggressive behaviour and there was a great deal of activity with fish regularly breaking the surface.

Experiment 4. Effect of size on plasma concentration and water content during direct transfer. Three identical trials were run using about 50 fish of three different sizes, 1) $10.8 \pm 2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 2) 22.8 \pm 4.3 \mathrm{~g}, 3) 39.2 \pm 8.7 \mathrm{~g}$. The fish were braught to the Laboratory and placed in a 2251 tank with a fresh vater input of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. They remained there for at least 10 days until fully recovered and actively feeding. Sea water from the constant-head device was then run into the tanks, raising the salinity to $30 \pm 5 \%$ (temperature $7.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) over 1 h , where it remained for the rest of the trial. Fioh were sacrificed at intervals during the trials and blood samples taken and water contents determined. There was normally six fish in each sample. In the first trial where the fish weighed only about 10 g , the plasma collected from tro individuals sometises had to be pooled to give the minimus volume of 0.2 ml required for the oscometer. Each trial was
continued for at least a week.
The full table of results for this experiment is given in Appendix II. Graphs of the changes in plasma concertration are giver. in Fig. 5. Tine shapes of the curves are very similar to those in Fig. 2. The rate of rise and the extent of the rise both seem to be size-dependent. The gradients over 12 h were as follows:

## Fish weight g.

## 11

23

39

Gradient m0sm/1/h.

## 5.6

4.0
2.9

The time taken to return to the equilibrium point also appears to be size-dependent and the 11 g fish had still not reached it by 168 h .

The mortalities during the experiment varied according to size:

| Hours after <br> trangfer | $11 g$ | Recorded mortalities <br> $23 g$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | - | - | - |
| 12 | 2 | - | - |
| 24 | 5 | - | - |
| 30 | 4 | - | - |
| 48 | 1 | - | - |
| 52 | 2 | 1 | - |
| 72 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 94 | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 15 | 7 | 1 |
| Approx. Mort. \% | 42 | 14.6 | 30.2 |
| Mean wt. of dead fish g. | 9.6 |  | - |

Figure 5. Change in the osmotic concentration of the plasma in fish of three different size ranges following transfer to sea water $\left(30^{\circ} / 00\right)$.

Each sample consisted of six fish; the bars show the standard deviation within each sample. The shaded line around $420 \mathrm{mOsm} / 1$ represents the lethal limit.
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Figure 6. The $\%$ water content of fish of three different size ranges following transfer to sea mater $\left(30^{\circ} / 00\right)$.

Mean weights:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta-39.2 g \\
& \Delta-22.8 \mathrm{~g} \\
& \Delta-10.8 \mathrm{~g}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note the decreasing water content before transfer with increasing size. Standard deviations for each sample are to be found in Appendix II.


Figure 7. The change in \% water content of fish of three different size ranges following transfer to sea water $(30 \% / 00)$.

The same data as Fig. 6 but arranged to give a common origin.

Mean weights:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta-39.2 E \\
& \Delta-22.8 \mathrm{E} \\
& \Delta-10.8 \mathrm{E}
\end{aligned}
$$

The large standard deviations results in there being no significant difference between the 10.8 g and tre 22.8 g fish. The return of the 39.2 g fish to the origin is however, significantly faster than for the other two.

quəłuoว ләłDм\% to aбuD4う

The approximate mortalities are calculated by subtracting the fish removed at each sampling time from the total.

Fig. 6 shows the changes in percentage water content fcllowing transfer. Since larger fish have a lower water content, comparison of changes is difficult. To provide an easier comparison the percentage charge in water content following transfer is given in Fig. 7. A rapid water loss was found after transfer, continuing for several hours before it starte?, gradually to return to its previous level. Comparisons can only be made with reference to the large standard deviation of each point as seen in the full table of results in Appendix II. The 39g fish suffered less water loss than the smaller fish and they also returned to the previous level sooner. The difference between the 11 g and the 23 g fish is not significant. After 12 h feeding was observed in the transferred fish in all three trials. By 24 h feeding was only present with the 39 g fish and even there it was reduced. Feeding did not reappear until after 120 h for the 11 g range, 80 h for the 23 g range and even then feeding was only light. The 39 g fish vere actively feeding again after 48 h .

Experiment 5. Effect of salinity on plasma concentration and water content during direct transfer.

A eicilar arrangement was used as for Bxperiment 4 except instead of altering the size of fish in each of the three trials the salinity vas altered. 150 Fish were held in the 11001 tank with a freshwater input. 50 Fish ware transferred at each trial into 2251 black tank supplied by the constant-head mixing device described in the general waterials and methods. Freah vater of $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was passed through for two days to allow the fish to settle and then the salt water was introduced into the eyuten. The erlinity vas controlled by the constant-head device,
being checked several times a day and minor adjustments made as necessary.

Trial No.

$$
\text { Size g. } \quad \text { Temp. }{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

Salinity ${ }^{\circ} / 00$

| 1 | $30.7 \pm 5.4$ | 7.5 | $15 \pm 1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $30.1 \pm 5.7$ | 7.8 | $28 \pm 1$ |
| 3 | $31.7 \pm 5.0$ | 8.0 | $32 \pm 0.5$ |

Fish were sacrificed at intervals during the trials and blood samples taken and water contents determined. Each trial lasted at least 13. week, by which time the fish had returned to normal feeding activity.

The full table of results is given in Appendix II. Figure 8 shows the change in plasma concentration of fish of similar size being transfersed into different salinities. The rate of the rise in concentration and the extent of the rise, both seem to be salinitydependent. The gradients arer the first 12 h were calculated and plotted aganst aslinity in Fie. 9. The sharp rise in the gradient between 28 and $32^{\circ} / 00$ suggests that osmotic control is much more difficult above $28^{\circ} / 00$.

The rate of return to $345 \mathrm{mOsm} / 1$ also appears to be alinitydependent. At $15^{\circ} / 00$ it is 50 h , at $28^{\circ} / 00100 \mathrm{~h}$ and at $32^{\circ} / 00$ 214h. Feeding activity follows a similar trend. After 12 h feeding continued but at reduced level in the $32^{\circ} / 00$ trial. By 24 h feeding activity was only present in the $15^{\circ} / 00$ trial. Feeding activity returned in the $28^{\circ} / 00$ trial after 80 h , but at a reduced level until about 120 h . In the $32^{\circ} / 00$ trial feeding was totally absent from $12 \mathrm{~h}-96 \mathrm{~h}$, after which very light feeding was observed. It was still at low level after 214 h .

The changes in percentage water content are show in Fig. 10 . Large


Figure 8. Change in the osmotic concertration of the plasms of fish of mean weight 30.8 g following transfer to water of three different salinities.

Each sample consisted of at least 6 fish except $15 \%$ at 190 h and $28^{\circ} \% 0$ at 214 h which only contained 4 fish (see Appendix II). The standard deviation for each sample is given by the bar. The shaded line at $420 \mathrm{mOsm} / \mathrm{l}$ represents the lethal limit.
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Figure 10. The change in $\%$ water content of fish of mear. weight 30.8 g following transfer to water of three different selinities.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta-32 \% 0 \\
& 0-28 \% \\
& \square-15 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

Each sample consisted of at least 6 fish except $15 \%$ at 190 h and $28^{\circ} / 00$ at 214 h which only contained 4 fish (see Appendix II). There is no significant difference betweer the $32 \%$ and the $28 \%$ trials but the fish transferred to $15 \%$ lost significantly less water than in the other two trials.

```
<c
Hours after transfer.
```


standard deviations made comparisons difficult. In the fish at $15^{\circ} / 00$ there was only a small loss in water content which was corrected apain by 80 h . There was less difference between tine $28^{\circ} / 00$ and the $32^{\circ} / 00$ trials, although by 96 h the water content of the fish in $28^{\circ} / 00 \mathrm{had}$ returned to the original level while in the $32^{\circ} / 00$ trial the level was still depressed. A t-test between the 96 h samples of the $28^{\circ} / 00$ and $32^{\circ} / 00$ trials gives a value of 2.2 and therefore a probability of 0.05 of their being the same.

Mortalities during these trials were as follows.

| Hours after <br> transfer | $15^{\circ} / 00$ | Recorded Mortalities <br> $28^{\circ} / 00$ | $32^{\circ} / 00$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | - | - | - |
| 12 | - | - | - |
| 24 | - | - | 3 |
| 30 | - | - | 3 |
| 48 | - | 1 | 2 |
| 76 | - | 2 | 1 |
| Total | - | 21.3 | 9 |

## Discussion

The danotic equilibrium level of rainbow trout is higher in ses water than it is in fresh water. Conte and Magner (1965) obtained similar values of 300 to $350 \mathrm{~m} 0 \mathrm{sm} / \mathrm{l}$ with steelhead trout. The standard deviation in the seawater fish was double that of those in fresh water, perhaps indicating that the trout find the maintenance of an equilibrium level harder in a mane onvironment.

Pish sampled at the point of death gave lethal limit of $420 \mathrm{~m} 0 \mathrm{am} / \mathrm{l}$,
which is lower than the figure of $450 \mathrm{mOsm} / 1 \mathrm{given}$ by Conte and Wagner for steelhead. This difference could be due to the fact that the steelhead being a natural migratory fish has a greater tolerance of high osmotic levels than the fresh water rainbow. Weisbart (1968) showed that different Pacific salmon species had different lethal serum chloride concentrations depending on their life cycles. Young chinook salmon which migrate to the sea in their first summer could tolerate sarum chloride concentrations as high as $230 \mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{l}$. Young coho salmon, however, which do not migrate to the sea until at least a year old, died when the concentration rose above $170 \mathrm{meq} / 1$.

The haematocrit value of $41 \%$ (S.D. = 4) agrees well with Snieszko's (1960) figure of $45.3 \%$ (S.D. $=6$ ) for rainbow trout. The low haematocrit values found in fish showing high plasma concentration could well be the result of water movements. If the number of blood cells remains the same but the amount of blood plasma increases, the haematocrit value will decrease. A reduction of P.C.V. from $41 \%$ to $30 \%$ would require an increase in plasma volume of approximately $30 \%$. How this increase might occur is discussed later.

The water content of about $75 \%$ in rainbow trout is a typical value for a teleost fish (Holmes and Donaldson, 1969) and compares well with values given for other salmonids; 70-76\% for Atlantic salmon (Farmer et al, 1978), 77\% for Brown trout (Phillips in- 1 , 1957) and $78 \%$ for Chum salmon (Black, 1951). The decrease in water content with an incraase in size was also found by Farmer et al and Black. The finding that an increase in plasma concentration is accorpanied by a decrease in water content was also reported by Houston (1959) for steelhead trout.

Houston also described the ion changes that occurred in oteelhead trout during acclimatisation to sea water. Two distinct phases could be
observed, the adjustive phase which was characterised by increased plasma ion concentrations and the regulative phase during which control was established over the concentration of electrolytes.

Both these phases are clearly seen in Fig. 3. The adjustive phase lasts $100-160 \mathrm{~h}$ which is comparable to the $80-170 \mathrm{~h}$ giver by Houston (1959), and the 60 to 100 h given by Conte and Wagner (1965), both working with steelhead trout. The extent of the rise is also similar although Conte and Wagner found that smolts in mid-April had a much smaller rise reaching about $360 \mathrm{mOsm} / 1$.

Following trangfer into high salinity water there is obviously a concentration gradient formed between the inside of the fish at $320 \mathrm{~m} 0 \mathrm{sm} / \mathrm{l}$ and the external environment at $1000 \mathrm{~m} 0 \mathrm{sm} / 1$. This concentration gradient will tend to cause a dehydration of the fish mostly across the gills. There could also be net influx of ions across the gills tending to concentrate the plasma. Fish in the sea tend to drink large volumes of water to make-up this loss (Smith, 1930). Selective uptake of monovalent ions produces an osmotic gradient across the intestinal membrane resulting in passive water movement into the blood through the gut wall (Shehadeh and Gordon, 1969). The monovalent ions which are taken up remain in the blood until they are actively secreted.

The three factors, dehydration, branchial ion diffusion and intestinal ion absorption, could all influence the rapid rise in osmotic concentration seen in Fig. 3. The contribution of each factor is not at present known for Salmo gairdneri, but Kirsch and Mayer-Gostan (1972) working with the European eel and Potts et al (1970) working with the Atlantic salmon, both estimate that in sowvater-adapted fish, abput one thind of the ion influx is through the gut and two thirds through the body wall.

The problem of excess monovalent ions, mostly $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{CL}^{-}$is overcome by active secretion through the gills (see review Maetz, 1971). This active secretory mechanism in rainbow trout does not appear to become functional immediately at transfer. During the lag between transfer and the time when ion efflux balances ion influx there is en increase in the osmotic concentration. By about 20 h the active secretion of ions starts and by 40 h there is a balance between influx and efflux. Between 40 and 100 h the active secretion via the gills returns the plasma concentration to the sea water equilibrium level.

Fig. 4 shows a rather different picture. An adjustive phase is just visible but no regulative phase. The slower initial rise might be a result of the fish being a larger size ( 52 g as opposed to 32 g ). The continued rise instead of levelling-off may be due to the conditions under which the fish were held. Rainbow trout are naturally territorial fish and when held in small tanks they set up hierarchies with of ten the larger fish being dominant, (Landless, Ph.D. thesis). This tendency is not so marked with fry, but larger fish can expend considerable amounts of energy in this aggressive behaviour (Li and Brocksen, 1977), which may cause the death of the smaller fish. If all the fish are of a similar size the stress can be kept to a minimum, but in this trial the weight ranged from 34.5 g to 77 g . Aggresaion may then explain the poor ability of these fish to acclimatise. Nany of the fish showed loss of scales, particularly in tine caudal region. This would lead to an increased area through which osmotic loss could occur.

Farmer et al (1978) demonstrated the relationship between the size of Atlantic salmon parr and their ability to osmoregulate following sea vater tranefer. A similar relationship for rainbow trout is shown in Fis. 5. The initial rise of the plase concentration in the three aise
groups continues in an almost linear fashion for the first 20h, after which a reduction in plasma concentration is most likely caused by the commencement of active ion secretion. As one would expect, if there is a fixed maximum rate of secretion, the higher the rise the longer the adjustive phase, until the plasma concentration returns to an equilibrium level of about $345 \mathrm{~m} 0 \mathrm{sm} / \mathrm{l}$. The time taken to reach this level was $>160 \mathrm{~h}$, 150h and 50h for the three trials in increasing size.

The lag of 2 Oh before active secretion starts to balance the influx, agrees with measurements made by several workers on ion effluxes following transfer to sea water, (Potts et al 1970; Conte and Lin, 1967). Kirach and Mayer-Gostan (1973), measured chloride effluxes following seawater transfer of freshwater-adapted eels Anguilla anguille. They showed that the level was only $8.2 \mu$ equiv $/ \mathrm{h} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$ during the first 6 h , was still only $30 \mu$ equiv $/ \mathrm{h} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$ by 15 h , after which it rose rapidly to $120 \mu$ equiv $/ \mathrm{h} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$ and by 25 h ${ }^{\text {reached }}$ a peak on the fourth day. By the 14 th day a normal seawater-adapted level of $250 \mu$ equiv $/ \mathrm{h} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$ was reached. Measurements of the plasma chloride concentration during this time were very similar to the osmotic concentrations shown for rainbow trout during this study. There was a rapid rise over the first 24 h reaching peak about 48 h and returning slowly to equilibrium by 160 h .

Potts et al (1970) showed thet much of the salt entering at this time comes through the body wall, almost certainiy the gills. The area of gills with respect to the body volume is therefore very important in determining the rate of rise in the osmotic concentration. Muir (1969) showed that the ratio of gill area to weight in grams decreases with growth in most species of fish. This means that larger fish will heve a smaller aurface aralvolume ratio and therafore the rate of rise of the internal concentration will be alower. Since the rise between the fresh
water level of about $320 \mathrm{~m} 0 \mathrm{sm} / 1$ and the upper lethal lúmit of $420 \mathrm{~m} C \mathrm{sm} / \mathrm{l}$ is $100 \mathrm{mOsm} / \mathrm{l}$ and obvious salt reduction does not occur until 20 h after transfer, a gradient of greater than $5 \mathrm{~m} 0 \mathrm{sm} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{h}$ will usually result in death.

From Fig. 5, 11 g fish in $30 \%$ gave a gradient of $5.6 \mathrm{mOsm} / 1 / \mathrm{h}$ and mortalities were very high. Whereas with the 23 g fish the gradient was $4 \mathrm{mOsm} / \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$ and only two deaths were recorded.

It is an over-simplification to state that the only factor which causes a levelling-off is the commencement of active salt secretion. There are other physiological changes that occur that could assist this process. For example there could be a decrease in the osmotic permeability in the gill as reported in the flounder (Motais et 日l, 1969), the eel (Motais and Isaia, 1972a) and for the rainbow trout (Gordon, 1963). There could also be a dilution of the incoming extracellular electrolytes by a movement of water from the cellular phase as suggested by Houston (1964). These factors could, however, slow down the rate of rise, but only active ion secretion could result in a return to the regulative phase.

It is possible that older fish are more able to decrease the permeability of their gills particularly as this is thought to be under hormonal control, but Conte and Wagner (1965), showed that aize at transfer was far more important than age, thus emphasising the importance of the surface area/volume retio.

An increased osmotic gradient would obviously lead to asteeper Fise in internal concentration and this is clearly seen in Fig. 8. The shapes of the curves for the three different salinities are very similar to those seen for the three different size ranges. Again the rises were almost linear over the first 20h. Fig. 9 shows that there is a very
rapid increase in the gradient between $28 \%$ and $32 \%$. This is evidence that the rise is not simply a physical process which would give a linear increase with salinity, but that there are also biological factors such as altered membrane permeability and passive water movements effecting it.

Since a gradient of about $5 \mathrm{~m} 0 \mathrm{sm} / \downarrow / \mathrm{h}$ is likely to lead to high levels of mortality it can also be seen from Fig. 9 that a relatively small increase in salinity from 28 to $32 \%$ is likely to produce a large increase in mortalities with fish of 30 g . Further evidence that rainbow trout have considerably more difficulty adjusting to high salinities ( $30 \%$ and above), is giver by Landless (1976). Using fish of 55 g he showed that raising the salinity to $25^{\circ} / 00$ had very little effect on the fish, but that the rise from 25 to $33^{\circ} / 00$ resulted in osmotic stress and several deaths. Macleod (1977) concluded that there was little difference in food absorption or conversion efficiency in rainbow trout in salinities between fresh water and $28 \%$, but that there were detrimental effects above that range. Macleod also reported that food intake was adversely effected by a sudden increase in salinity. This agrees with the finding reported here that when the osmotic concentration rises above about $360 \mathrm{mOsm} / 1$ feeding activity ceases and does not return until the plasma concentration falls below this figure again.

Black (1951) found that the percentage water content of coho salmon fry decreased from $81.6 \%$ to $76.2 \%$ during the first 24 h following sea water transfer, by which time most of the fish were moribund. She also found that with chum salmon fry which had little trouble acclisating, the water content remained close to the controls at $82.6 \%$. These results are similar to thọse shown in Figs 7 and 10 in that those fish which are adapting better become less dehydrated.

The loss of water matches the rise in plasma osmotic concentration with a very rapid loss over the first day, a minimum being reached between 24 and 48 h before a slow return to about the previous level after 90 to 250 h , depending on the extent of dehydration. Work by Kirsch and Mayer-Gostan (1973), would suggest that drinking occurs immediately after transfer but that the gut requires at least 20 h before the active uptake of ions commences allowing the passive movement of water into the blood. The drinking rate then rose rapidly reaching a peak on the fourth day, befcre reaching an equilibrium level after three weeks.

A water loss from $77.4 \%$ to $74.1 \%$ over the first 24 h - as seen in the 11 g fish in Fig. 6, is a reduction of about 0.38 g of water. Assuming an extracellular space of $150 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Houston, 1964) this is a loss of about $22 \%$ of the extracellular phase. Evidence from the haematocrits, however, suggests that during a rise in plasma concentration the plasma volume increases by about $30 \%$; Houston (1964) also states that the extracellular phase increases by $45 \%$, following transfer. This discrepancy between a loss of $22 \%$ and an increase of about $45 \%$ in the extracellular phase can be explained by the fact that the observed increase in the plasma osmotic concentration causes the extracellular phase to become hypertonic relative to the cellular phase resulting in the exosmosis of the cellular water. This movement of water results in an increase in the extracellular phase and a dehydration of the cells. It is this tissue dehydration which leads to the observed reduction in percentege water content.

At death, fish had lost about $5 \%$ of their weight through dehydretion, but it is impossible to say whether death was caused by dehydration or from a very high plasma osmotic concentration. Probably several factors contribute to general phyaiological failure. Conte and Wagner (1965), sugcested an impairment of the circulatory syetem leads to other
effects on vital organs such as a failure of the excretory systems with a subsequent effect on the acid-base balance. In this study it was certainly true that extracting blood from the caudal artery of moribund fish proved very difficult due to a decreased flow rate.

In conclusion therefore, the two techniques for measuring the ability of trout to adjust to a rapid change in salinity proved successful. Both techniques gave results which could be explained by the knowledge obtained from other workers and in certain cases similar experiments on other salmonids agreed with the findings presented here. The measurement of the osmotic concentration was thought to be the more reliable and useful measure. Take for example, the transfer of 11 g fish into $30 \% 00$ in experiment 4.
\% Water content.

$$
\text { F.W. value }=77.4 \pm 1.4
$$

Value after 48 h in S.W. $=74.1 \pm 0.6$
Difference $=3.3$
The difference as a of the F.W. value $=4.3 \%$
The standard deviation of the F.W. value as a of the mean $=1.8 \%$.

- Oamotic concentration $\quad$ Osm/1

$$
\text { F.W. value }=316 \pm 3.9
$$

Value after 48 h in S.W. $=398 \pm 13.5$
Difference $=82$
The difference as \% of the F.W. value $=29.5 \%$
The standard deviation of the F.W. value as $\%$ of the mean $=1.2 \%$.

From this it can be seen that the osmotic concentration shows a
smaller variability within the sample and a greater percentage change during the transfer. This means that the measurements of the osmotic concentration are more likely to give accurate and statistically significant results than would be the case for the percentage water content. For this reason the changes in plasma concentration were used in later experiments as the best method for assessing the ability of stocks of fish to adjust to changes in salinity.

## Introduction

As discussed in the first section, both size of the fish and salinity effect the ability of rainbow trout to adjust following seawater transfer. If a group of trout are to be transferred it is important to know how these two factors will influence the mortality.

On a fish farm it is important to determine the earliest possible transfer time which will give acceptable levels of mortality. Hildingstam (1976) states that rainbow trout of $80-100 \mathrm{~g}$ will acclimatise well following direct transfer to full-strength sea water. This agrees with the value of 100 g to $34 \%$ given by Sedgwick (1973). Purdom (1977), however, maintains that trout of 30 to 90 g can withstand transfer. (Unfortunately, none of the workers reports the methods used for obtaining these figures, for example no mention being made of whether cages or tanks were used or whether there was transportetion before transfer).

Landless (1976) presented a table of 11 trials with fish of different sizes transferred to floating cages, where the salinity ranged from 21 to $30 \%$. These trials gave mortalities from $O$ to $44 \%$ and using this table it is possible to obtain an estimate of expected mortalities in certain cases. It was folt that if further similar trials were completed it might prove possible to construct a graph relating oice, salinity and mortality for the use of fish farmers. Since Gordon (1959) has emphasised the importance of the time of yoar when tranaferring brown trout to sea water and Conte and Wagner (1965) showed thet season had a great effect on the mortality when transforring steelhead trout, experiments vere performed through the year.

Materials and Methods
Prior to transfer the fish were held at varying sites. Some were transported direct from commercial hatcheries and placed in the rafts. Eight of the trials involved transport from the tanks at Kilmore, and at the three trials at Kames the fish were held at their own freshwater site. This did result in there being rather more variation between trials than one would desire. But, all the trials did have the following features in common; the fish were all from freshwater-adapted rainbow trout stock and they were transported prior to transfer in crowded conditions with bottled oxygen to keep them alive. On arrival at the sea, they were placed in bins containing fresh water in which they were transported by boat to the rafts where they were tipped straight into the nets, or in the case of Kames, tipped straight from the transporting tank into the cage.

The size of the nets used on the floating cages in Dunstaffnage Bay depended on the number being transferred. For fewer than 1000 fish $2 \times 2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ nets were used and for larger number the $4 \times 4 \times 4 \mathrm{mets}$ were employed. Salinity measurements were taken regularly, at different stages of the tidal cycle. The measurements during each trial were combined to give mean value.

Once in the sea the fish vere carefully checked for mortalities over at least 10 days. Dead fish were removed at regular intervals and counted. Food in the form of dried pellets was offered daily.

## Reaults

The full set of the results for the transfers is given in Table 1 and as expected, the heavier the fiah and the lower the salinity, the smaller vas the percentage mortality.

TABLE 1.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Transfer } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Month | No．of fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean wt.of } \\ & \text { fish g. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Salinity } \\ / 00 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { Mortality }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Mar． | 200 | 44 | 30 | 7 |
| 2 | Mar． | 1000 | 48 | 27 | 2 |
| 3 | Apr． | 250 | 61 | 25 | 2 |
| 4＊ | Apr． | 10000 | 59 | 33 | 9 |
| 5＊ | May | 3350 | 67 | 33 | 7 |
| 6＊ | May | 5000 | 69 | 33 | 4 |
| 7 | June | 500 | 13 | 30 | 47 |
| 8 | June | 500 | 14 | 30 | 55 |
| 9 | June | 500 | 15 | 30 | 47 |
| 10 | June | 500 | 17 | 30 | 49 |
| 11 | July | 500 | 18 | 30 | 33 |
| 12 | Aug． | 500 | 24 | 30 | 59 |
| 13 | Aug． | 500 | 30 | 30 | 37. |
| 14 | Sept． | 350 | 45 | 30 | 9 |
| 15 | Oct． | 120 | 9 | 32 | 100 |
| 16 | c Hov． | 1500 | 14 | 22 | 6 |
| 17 | Dec． | 2000 | 11 | 25 | 90 |
| 18 | Dec． | 5000 | 14 | 26 | 87 |

The seasonal effect is not as marked as reported for steelhead trout (Conte and Wagner, 1965), but a slight effect can perhaps be seen in trials 7 to 13 during the summer of 1977. These trials can be compared closely as they were all from the same stock of fish which were held in the tanks at Kilmore. 500 Fish were transferred in an identical manner at intervals from June to August, during which time the salinity in the cages remained unusually constant between $29-31 \%$, due to an extended period of low rainfall.

The fish in early June were $13 g$ (trial 7) and when transferred suffered a mortality of $47 \%$; by early August when the fish had nearly doubled their weight to 24 g , the mortality was $59 \%$ (trial 12). The other trial in August also gave a high mortality, but by September the fish were 45 g and the loss was only $9 \%$. This could be the result of seasonal variation or increased thermal shock during August.

Since the table published by Landless (1976), (Table 2), was produced using very similar techniques and identical materials, the two can be combined to produce a more comprehensive range of results. Combining the two tables, it is possible to produce a nomogram as given in Fig. 11. The mortality scale is marked in ranges indicating the large variability for similar trials, e.g., trial 1 table 1 apd trial 3 table 2. The nomogram is inaccurate in estimating high mortalities since the scales become very condensed and a small change in either sice or salinity produces a very large change on the mortality scale. This is also exactiy what the results dictate. Trials 10 and 11 in table 2 illustrate this. $6 g$ in $22 \%$ gives $5 \%$ mortality, while $5 g$ in $23 \% 00$ gives $44 \%$. The same trials read on the nomogram would give a predicted mortality range of 5-10\% in trial 10 and $6^{0}-100 \%$ in trial 11.

A sinilar observation can be ade for trials 16 and 18 of Table 1.

TABLE 2.
From Landless (1976).

| Transfer <br> No. | Month | No. of <br> fish | Mean wt of <br> fish g. | Salinity <br> o/oo | Mortality <br> $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $/$ | 200 | 142 | 26 | 0 |
| 2. | May | 100 | 81 | 32 | 6 |
| 3 | Dec. | 100 | 36 | 30 | 0 |
| 4 | Nov. | 300 | 31 | 25 | 7 |
| 5 | Nov. | 3000 | 22 | 22 | 1 |
| 6 | Nov. | 2000 | 18 | 22 | 1 |
| 7 | Nov. | 6500 | 15 | 23 | 8 |
| 8 | Nov. | 6000 | 15 | 21 | 6 |
| 9 | Sept. | 100 | 8 | 23 | 9 |
| 10 | Sept. | 800 | 6 | 22 | 5 |
| 11 | Sept. | 100 | 5 | 23 | 44 |

## Figure 11.

A nomogram relating the weight of the fish (g) to be transferred and the salinity $(0 / 00)$ of the water to the expected percentage mortality. A straight-edge joining the mean weight of the fish with the salinity of the water will cross the mortality scale at the predicted level. The mortality scale is marked in ranges indicating that very accurate predictions cannot be made particularly for high levels of mortality. It should be noted that fish below 5 g were never used and that area should be used with particular caution.
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Both involved the transfer of 14 g fish, one into $22^{\circ} \%$ and the other into $26^{\circ} \%$. Despite what is a relatively small increase in salinity there is a rise in mortality from 6 to $87 \%$. A similar effect for size can be seen in trials 9 and 11 of Table 2, where the salinity for both was $23^{\circ} \% 0$, and the drop in size from 8 g to 5 g , caused a rise in mortality from 9 to $44 \%$.

It therefore seems that for a given size of fish there is a discrete rise in salinity, which causes a drastic rise in mortality. For 10 g fish the rise from 22 to $25 \%$ results in the predicted mortality rising from $0-5$ to $60-100 \%$. With 30 g fish a similar sharp rise occurs between 26 and $31 \%$, and with 50 g fish between 30 and $34 \%$.

The same is true for a given salinity where a small reduction in size can lead to a large increase in mortality. For example, taking $26^{\circ} \%$ a reduction in size from 30 to 20 g results in the predicted mortality rising from $0-5 \%$ to $6^{0}-100 \%$.

Histograms of the daily percentage mortalities in four of the trials are given in Fig. 12. The interesting feature to notice is that the larger the final mortality the sooner the highest daily mortality occurs.

Trial No.

| 2 | 2 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 7 | 3 |
| 11 | 33 | $1-2$ |
| 18 | 88 |  |

Discussion
A lowered ability in ammer to adapt to a marine environment was reported for non-amolting brown trout by Gordon (1959). Although

Figure 12. Histograms of the daily percentage mortalities of four trials taken from Table 1. Note that the mortality scale is different for trials 11 and 18.
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smolting does not occur in rainbow trout it is possible that there are seasonal variations in their ability to adapt. Rainbow trout and steelhead trout are the same species and since hatcheries in Britain have held both races, it is likely that some interbreeding has occurred. It is also true that rainbow trout are descended from migratory trout, hence their continued euryhaline abilities. Given these two facts it would not be suprising to find an increased ability to transfer in April, May and June, the usual period of seaward migration, and a decreased ability in the summer months as shown by Conte and Wagner (1965) for the steelhead race. The results shown here only give an indication that this might be the case; a far more detailed study would have to be made before this could be established.

Another possible explanation for the decreased ability to transfer in August is that the temperature difference of the two water bodies was causing a thermal shock to the fish, resulting in their poor performance. The fish were held in fresh water of $9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before transfer. In June the seawater temperature was $11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and by August it was $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This increased temperature difference may have resulted in thermal shock decreasing their ability to adapt. This problem is examined in greater depth later.

The nomogram in certain cases gives different results to what would be predicted from the osmotic changes reported in the last section. From Fig. 5 one would predict high losses with 11 g fish in $30 \%$, and very few losses with 40 g fish, hoth as predicted from the nomogram, but with 238 fish the graph predicts only a fow losses while the nomogram predicts losses in the 60-100. range. The same discrepancy applies to Pig. 8, where the results of 30 g fish in $15 \%$ and $32 \%$ agree with the results from the nomogram, but that with $28 \% \%$ the graph
indicates few deaths while the nomogram shows mortalities in the range of $10-30 \%$.

The most obvious reason for the discrepancy is that the osmotic concentration graphs were from tank transfers involving no prior transportation, while the nomogram is based on cage transfers with prior transportation. This transportation of ten involved harsh conditions including initial netting and holding in very crowded conditions, sometimes for several hours. Wedemeyer (1972) showed that even moving fish 25m from one tank to another could temporarily upset the osmotic control of steelhead trout. It therefore seems likely that with the cage transfers the fish were under some osmotic stress even before entering the sea water. This could explain why fish close to the region of drastic rise in mortality tend to do considerably worse when transported prior to transfer.

Fig. 13 shows two graphs one deduced from the nomogram, the other estimated from the osmotic concentrations. This indicates how much prior transportation might effect percentage mortalities at transfer to $30 \%$. This graph does not show the wide variation between similar trials and should not be used in place of the nomogram, it merely indicates where the two methods predict the drastic rise in mortalities will occur with reducing size. The level of mortality rises above $10 \%$ at 20 g for the tank trials and at 40 g for the cage trials.

The nomogram predicts that a drastic rise in mortalities will occur in restricted selinity ranges for different sizes of fish. For fish of $30 g$ the nomogram predicts that the drastic rise will occur between 26 and $31 \%$. Examination of Fig. 9 shows that the gradient over the first 12 h increases rapidiy between 28 and $3 \hat{2}^{\circ} / 00$. With the knowledge thet a gradient of around $5 \mathrm{mam} / \mathfrak{h}$ will result in high mortalities it can be

Fipure 13. A graph relating preducted $f$ mortalities with weight (g) for fish transferred into sea water of $32^{\circ} / 00$.
A. - Tank transfers involving no prior transpotation, results from Section 1.
B. - Cage transfers involving prior transportation taken from the nomogram (Fig. 11).

It is important to note that curve $A$ is based on only three points while curve $B$ does not indicate the wide ranges as shown by the nomogram. This graph should therefore not be used to give accurate predictions of mortality,its purpose is only to indicate the weight at which the rapid rise in mortality appears to occur for the two different techaiques.


seen that one would predict a rapid rise in the number of mortalities with an increase from 28 to $32 \%$. These two observations coincide well, excent the cage trials suggest a slightly lower salinity range, again perhaps showirg the effect of prior transportation.

The histograms also agree with what one woild expect from the physiological evidence. Those fish which are adapting very badly will soon reach a lethal level of $420 \mathrm{mOsm} / \mathrm{l}$ and consequently die within the first two days following transfer. This results in a high level of mortality in the first 48 h . A group of fish which is adapting better will adjust to the early rise, and those fish which cannot return to an equilibrium level will die over the next few days, resulting in fewer deaths directly following transfer and a peak several days after transfer.

In conclusion, therefore, the results from the cage trials agree with the physiological findings in Section 1, except for what is most likely the effect of transportation. A nomogram is presented which although not accurate for high mortalities, can be used to give useful predictions as to levels of mortalities following transfer of various sizes of fish to various salinities. The methods used, the range of fish sizes and salinities, result in the nomogram having useful applications to the marine trout farming industry.

## SECTION 3. THE INFLUENCE OF THERMAL SHOCK ON TRANSFER

Introduction
The previous section indicated that August was a poor month for transferring rainbow trout to sea water. This could be the result of a number of factors, for example a seasonal variation in osmoregulatory ability as reported in brown trout by Gordon (1959) or perhaps the effect from the increased thermal shock on the fish. The trout in the transfers under discussion were held in spring vater of a constant $9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The sea water was $11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in early June when 13 g fish suffered a mortality of $47 \%$; by August the water temperature was $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 24 g fish had a $59 \%$ mortality. Reaves et al., (1968) showed thet a moderate heat shock from $11-16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 15 mins caused a temporary reduction in plasma electrolytes of rainbow trout in fresh water, the plasma levels of sodium and chloride decreasing by about $15 \%$ following heat stress and remaining low for up to 10 days.

An experiment was, therefore, devised which would measure the osmotic stress experienced by fish folloving transfer to sea vater 2 deg $C$ and 6 deg. $C$ varmer than the fresh vater. The trials were performed at the same time and involved the same salinity so that the only variable was the heat stress caused at transfer.

## Materials and Methods

In February 100 trout, weighing 18.6 g (S.D. 3.9) were brought to the laboratory and 50 fish were placed in each of the two 2251 tanks. The tance were upplied with tap water of $6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; the fish were left to adjunt for three weeke, feeding on normal poileted diet. Sea mater vas then added and the maliaity raiced to $30 \% \% \mp 0.5$ over 30 min .

The sea water to one control tank was merely passed through a header-tank to remove any supersaturation and was held at $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Three 500 W heaters were placed in the other header tank which raised the temperature to $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Thus temperature shocks of 2 deg. C and 6 deg. $C$ were applied. To ensure a stable temperature the water entering the header-tank first passed through a constant level device which delivered a fired flow rate. Once the aystem attained equilibrium there was only a small temperature fluctuation ( $70.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). A plasma sample 0: 6 fish was taken from each tank before transfer and again 12, 24, 48 and 96 h after transfer.

To test whether a thermal shock alone has any effect on osmoregulation 24 fish of mean weight 32.4 g (S.D. 5.6 ), which were fully adapted to a marine environment were placed in a 225 I tank with a salinity of $30^{\circ} / 00$ and a temperature of $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 10 days adjustment, heated sea water of $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $30^{\circ} / 00$ was run into the tank. Four samples of 6 fish were taken for blood samples, one as a control at 0 h and the others 24 , 48 and 72 h after the thermal shock.

## Results

The results from the blood samples are shown in Pig. 14. The graphs are very similar to the ones already reported in Section 1 , showing a clear adjustive phase. The two curves are very similar, but on three occasions the mean in the 6 deg. C stress was greater than that of the 2 deg. C stress but the differences were very ginll when compared to the atendard deviations and are not significant when using t-test. 2 Deaths vere recorded after 48 h in the 2 deg. $C$ stress tank and 2 deaths in the 6 deg. Etreas tank one after 48 h and the other after 72 h . Feeding censed sfter 12 h and was not re-established in oither tank till about 96 h . From the results it can be concluded that no significant differenoe

Figure 14. Effect of thermal shock on plasma concentration following transfer to sea water.

The freshwater temperature was $6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the salinity of the sea water was $30 \%$ and the temperatures were:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x-8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\
& +-12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
\end{aligned}
$$

The difference between the two graphs is not
significant.

could be observed in the regulatory ability of fish given a 2 deg. $C$ heat shock at transfer and those given a 6 deg. $C$ heat shock.

The blood samples from the 8 to $12{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ thermal shock in constant salinity showed that the plasma concentration was $346 \mathrm{mOsm} / 1$ (S.D. 7.3), before the shock and 349 (S.D. 8.8), after $24 \mathrm{~h}, 345$ (S.D. 9.2) after 48 h and $358 \mathrm{mOsm} / \mathrm{l}$ (S.D. 10.4 ) after 72 h . The only significant difference was between the control and the 72 h sample ( $t=23 \mathrm{p}=0.05$ ). Active feeding remained throughout the experiment.

## Discussion

The results show that a deg. 4 thermal shock caused a slight rise ( $3 \%$ ) in plasma concentration after 72 h , indicating a small effect on the osmoregulatory system. This gradual effect over several days agrees with Reaves et al., (1968) who reported that a 5 deg. $C$ heat shock had no aignificant effect on trout until 96 h after the thermal change when there wes about a $9 \%$ difference in the plasma ion concentration.

The other results would indicate that the extent of the thermal shock at acclimatisation had no effect within the range of $2-6$ deg. $C$. It is also important to notice that the marimum osmotic conoentration during acclimatiastion was efter about 30 h , at which time, according to this study and Reaves et al., it would be too early for any thermal influence.

Reaves et s1., (1968), reported that heat atreas effected the osmoregulatory ability of trout; howevar they also showed that a 5 deg. $C$ heat shock caused similar change to a 10 deg. $C$ heat shock. The extent of the physiological changes was the same in both trials only the time course differed, being slower in the 5 deg. $C$ shock.

It is rare on fish fare to have temperature difference larger
than 6 deg. $C$ between the fresh water and the sea water, particularly at the usual times of transfer, autumn and spring. The results are also evidence that the poor August transfers in the previous section were more likely to be due to seasonal effects than increased thermal shock. Supporting this is the fact that the transfer in September (Table 1) involved 45 g fish and a heat shock of 7 deg. C , but the mortality was only $9 \%$. The increased size as compared to the August trials is of course an important factor in this reduction in mortality, but increasing aize did not reduce the mortality from June to August. This leaves seasonal variation as the most probable factor, with summer being a poor time to transfer but with an improvement by September.

## SECTION 4. THE VALUE OF A WET DIET

## Introduction

The results from Sections 1 and 2 show that the first 48 h in sea water are the most important with respect to the final level of mortality. If during this time some means could be found to alleviate the osmotic stress, the level of mortality should be decreased. Section 1 illustrates that a rapid loss of water during this time leads to tissue dehydration. The ingestion of water to balance this loss requires the uptake of ions from the inteatine, which adds to the osmotic problem. If the fish could obtain fresh water during the first 48 h without having to take-up additional salt, its chances of survival ought to be increased.

Landless (1976) suggested that a wet diet of either minced squid or pre-soaked pellets could provide valuable fresh water during the early adjustive phase. Hildingstam (1976) also mentioned that wet feeds should assist the maintenance of an osmotic equilibrium in sea water. It therefore seemed worthwhile to perform some experiments to see if a wet diet could assist rainbow trout in adapting to a marine environment.

Wedemeyer (1972) demonstrated that handling coho salmon and gteelhead trout in fresh water caused a temporary breakdown in the homeostatic mechanisms. The chloride plasma concentration reasined depressed for up to 24 h , following the handling stress. A regular practice on any fish farm is the grading of fish into different sise ranges, which results in more even growth rates and less antegonistic behariour. The grading process can involve quite harsh treatment and deaths are often recorded following gradings. Experiments were therefore elso devised to measure the effect of grading in see water on the omotic
equilibrium and to see if the feeding of a wet diet had any beneficial results.

## The Wet Diet

Freshwater soaked pellets were used as a wet diet and contained about $50 \%$ water. If soaked for only 30 min the pellets did not disintegrate on feeding. Since trout are active feeders the pellets were normally taken within a few seconds of them entering the sea water, too short a time for much of the fresh water to be displaced by sea water.

Exderiment 1 - Cage transfers.
10,000 Fish of $14 g$ were transported to the Laboratory and taken to the cages where four $2 \times 2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ nets were prepared, 2500 fish were placed in each net. The mean salinity for the trial was $26 \%$ and the temperature $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Two of the nets were fed on dry pellets (Edward Baker No. 4), and two on pellets soaked in fresh water for 30 min. Any dead fish were netted and counted.

The results of this experiments are given in Table 3. It was interded to stress the fish and give high levels of mortality so that any difference between the two diets vould be more mariced, but the salinity, in fact, remained higher than expected and the final levels of 85 to $90 \%$ were too high to provide satisfactory results.

The levels of mortality were very similar in all four cages and there was no eignificant difference between the two diets. One very likely reason for this was that about $85 \%$ of the deaths occurred during the first two days. Feeding activity during this time was either absent or very light. This means, therefore, that the freah water intake by the

Table 3.
Table of mortalities and feeding activity over 10 days following the transfer of 10,000 fish into 4 cages, 2,500 fish in each. Two cages were fed a dry diet, the other two a wet diet.

The legend for feeding activity is:
N - None
VL - Very light
L - Light
A - Active
VA - Very active.
The exact definition of each category is given in the general materials and methods.

There was no significant difference between the mortalities and feeding activity for the two different diets.

| Days after transfer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Total | $\stackrel{\%}{\text { \% }}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salinity $\%$ | 26 | 27 | 26.5 | 28 | 27 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 24 |  |  |  |
| Mo. of dead <br> fish in:  <br> Pry A <br> Piet. B | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 1029 \\ & 1140 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 906 \\ & 812 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78 \\ 102 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 204 \\ 38 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2280 \\ & 2137 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \\ & 85 \end{aligned}$ | 88 |
| Feeding activity | N | v | v. | 1 | A | A | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Yet } & \text { C } \\ \text { Diet. } & \text { D } \end{array}$ | $\left.\right\|_{910} ^{910}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 918 \\ & 930 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 225 \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2138 \\ & 2172 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \\ & 87 \end{aligned}$ | 86 |
| Feeding activity | N | VL | v. | 1 | A | A | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |

Period of heavy rain shortly before the trial, but did not result in
lowered salinity until towards the end of the trial.
table 3.
wet diet fed fish was negligible. Feeding did not return to active until the fifth day and after this time only $2 \%$ of the desths occurred so that any freshwater intake would have very little influence on the final result.

The reduction in feeding activity can be attributed to two factors. Transportation of fish always causes stress which results in a loss of feeding activity normally for about a day and it remains reduced for two or three days. Also as discussed in Section 1 , a rise in osmotic concentration of the plasma causes feeding activity to cease. In this experiment these two factors combine resulting in poor feeding activity for the first four days after transfer.

Exoeriment 2 - Tank transfers.
80 Fish of 44 g (S.D. $=8.4$ ) were brought from Kilmore and divided equally into two 2251 black Laboratory tanks. The tanks had a fresh water input of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the fish were held in that for six days to settle into the new conditions. Sea water at $\left(11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ was then added and the salinity raised to $30^{\circ} / 00$ over 1 h . Food was offered at regular intervals during the experiment, the fish in one tank being given a dry diet of Edward Baker No. 5, the other the same, but soaked in fresh water. Samples of ${ }^{\circ} 6$ fish from each tank were taken for plasma concentration and water content determinations at various times.

To ensure that some feeding occurred during the critical first 48h the two factore of transport stress and osmotic stress were reduced in this experiment. Firstly, the fish vere allowed several days to recover from transport. Also at about 40 g and with a salinity of $30 \%$, the fish would be osmotically stressed in a similar manner to trial 3 , experiment 4 , Section 1, where the plama concentration rose to $366 \mathrm{man} / 1$
which is only just above the level at which feeding appears to cease.
The reaults of the blood samples and water determinations are shown in Figure 15. Feeding during this time was as follows (for legend, see Table 3):

| Hours after Transfer | Feeding Activity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dry Diet | Wet Diet |
| 1 | A | A |
| 5 | A | A |
| 12 | L | L |
| 23 | N | N |
| 42 | N | N |
| 48 | N | N |
| 72 | VL | NL |
| 96 | L | L |
| 124 | L | L |

It can be seen from the graph of the plasma concentrations that four out of the five means were lower with the wet diet than with the dry. The points shown with the results of a t-test between the two trials were as follows:

Hourn after Tranafer
Mean Plasma Concentration mosm $/ 1 \pm$ S.D.
$\pm$
Dry Diet
$335 \pm 16.7$
$356 \pm 13.9$
$356 \pm 17.0$
$362 \pm 15.0$
$356 \pm 20.0$

Wet Diet
2
6
13
24
127


Figure 15. Change in plasma concentration and percentage water content of two groups of fish, one fed of a dry diet and the other on a wet diet.

> X - Dry diet
> + - Wet diet.

For test of significance see text.



From the feeding table it can be seen that no feeding occurred for 12 h before the 24 h sample so this sample can be discounted as obviously no fresh water was obtained. If the remaining four values of $t$ are taken and combined using Fisher's (1954) method combining probabilities the following is obtained:


This can be looked up under 8 degrees of freedom, there being 2 degrees for each probsbility value used. The resulting probability is only about 0.8. From this it can be concluded that although the four means used for the calculation in the wet diet were lower than the corresponding means in the dry diet the difference was not enough to be sigrificant.

The large standard deviations and similarity of the means obtained with the percentage water content values results in there being no need to test for significance.

Experiment 3 - Tank transfers.
This was very similar experiment to the previous one, the idea being to use lerger sample numbers to obtain greater accuracy and also to use a slightly higher selinity $-32^{\circ} / 00$ on opposed to $31^{\circ} / 00$ - in the
hope that any difference caused by the different diets would be enhanced. 100 Fish of 46.5 g (S.D. 12.4) were transported from Kilmore and held in the 11001 tank for a week before being divided equally between two 2251 tanks. There they were held a further five days in fresh water at $14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before the salinity was raised by sea water to $32^{\circ} / 00$ at a temperature of $14.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Food was given at regular intervals during the experiment, dry pellets to one tank, soaked pellets to the other. Following sea water transfer three samples of about 9 fish were taken from each tank. The three sampling times were 16,40 and 64 h after transfer. These times were chosen as they followed periods when feeding had occurred. The feeding during the trials was as follows, (for legend see Table 3):

| Hours after Transfer | Feeding Activity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dry Diet | Wet Diet |
| 0 | A | A |
| 10 | A | A |
| 12 | A | A |
| 14 | L | L |
| 18 | VL | VL |
| 34 | VL | V! |
| 36 | L | $L$ |
| 38 | L | L |
| 40 | L | L |
| 58 | L | L |
| 60 | L | L |

The results obtained from the blood samples are shown in Table 4. This shows that again although the means for the wet diet fish were
TABLE 4.

| Hours after transfer | DRY DIET |  | NET DIET |  | t． | p． | 1n．p． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No．in sample | m0am／1 7 S．D． | No．in sample | m0sm／1 干 S．D． |  |  |  |
| 0 | 5 | 308.0 干 8.8 | 5 | 315.4 干 5.1 | － | － | － |
| 16 | 9 | $368.8=13.3$ | 9 | 362.3 干 13.6 | 1.025 | 0.32 | －1．139 |
| 40 | 9 | 396.5 F 18.2 | 9 | 394.7 干 13.7 | 0.237 | 0.82 | －0．198 |
| 64 | 10 | 383.9 干 20.7 | 9 | $370.2 \mp 15.6$ | 1.63 | 0.12 | －2．120 |

p．$=0.32$ ．
d．f．$=6$.
lower, the individual differences between the means are not significant and neither is the combined probability.

Experiment 4 - Stress experiments.
50 Fish, with a mean weight of 39.4 g (S.D. 9.2), which had been living in the cages for several months, were netted and transported in dustbins to the Laboratory, where they were placed in a commercial fish grader fitted with a lio. 14 grid. The fish were graded in a normal manner, the smaller fish passing through the grids into a 2251 tank, the larger fish remained in the grader and then being tipped into the same tank. The salinity and temperature in the cages was $30^{\circ} / 00$ and $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while in the tanks it was $31^{\circ} / 00$ and $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 6 Fish were sampled immediately on arrival at the Laboratory, 10 mins after leaving the cage and these were used as a control. 5 Further samples were taken, each of 5 fish, during the first 24 h. Small quantities of dry pellets were offered regularly to obtain measure of appetite. The results of this experiment are shown in Fig. 16. The stress of the transport obviously causes a temporary breakdown of the osmotic equilibrium and there is a sharp rise in plasma concentration similar to that seen for the acclimation trials. The difference is, however, that the rise only lasts for the first few hours and by 12 h the level is falling again. The level is still raised after 24 h , but by 48 h most of the fish have reached an equilibrium point, although some of the smaller fish still have raised plasma concentrations. After 6 h one of the trout was showing signs of stress and by 8 h it was dead.

Exneriment 5 - Feeding of wet diet after grading.
The purpose of this experiment ves to determine whether the feeding

Figure 16. The change in plasma concentration of fully seawater-adapted fish (mean v't. 39 g) following grading.

The general shape of the graph is similar to Fig. 3 there being a rapid rise followed by a slower return to an osmotic equilibrium. The time course is however shorter, the peak occurring after six hours and the equilibrium being re-establishea by about 50 h .

of a wet diet following short transpodation and grading could assist in maintaining an equilibrium level. It was thought that, since both the osmotic stress and the handing stress were lower than in direct transfer acclimatisation experiments, feeding activity should not be so adverseiy effected and more fresh water would be consumed with the food.

Fish which had been adapted to sea water for over 6 months were graded on the rafts at Dunstaffnage using a No. 17 grid. The larger fish, which did not pass through the grader were placed in a dustbin and transported back to the Laboratory. 40 Fish of mean weight 61.9 g (S.D. 16.1) were divided between two 2251 tanks, the fish in one tank being fed dry pellets and the other wet pellets. The salinity in the cages was $27^{\circ} / 00$ and in the tanks it was $31^{\circ} / 00$. Large samples of about 10 fish were taken on arrival at the Laboratory and then on another two occasions after that.

The results are given in Table 5.. No feeding occurred for several hours after grading, but at 18 h the fish were feeding again and many of them fed actively for several hours before the 48 hour sample. The osmotic concentration at 48 h showed a very wide range of $342-409 \mathrm{~m} 0 \mathrm{~mm} / 1$ in the dry diet sample and $335-410 \mathrm{mOsm} / 1$ in the wet diet sample. This yide range was again thought to be due to aggressive behaviour, for the rise in osmotic concentration continued for much longer than in experiment * 4 and yet the fish were much larger. It could aiso be the result of the increased salinity from $27^{\circ} / 00$ in the cages to $31^{\circ} \%$ in the tanks.

Whatever the cause of the osmotic stress, Table 5 shows that the wet diet had no significant effect on the osmotic concentration, although the mean after $48 h$ was lover than in the control.
TABLE 5.

| Hours after transfer | DRY DIET |  | WET DIET |  | t. | p. | 1n.p. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. in | m0sm/1 ¢ S. ${ }^{\text {d. }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { No. in } \\ \text { sample }}}{ }$ | m0sm/1 7 S. ${ }^{\text {d. }}$ |  |  |  |
| 0 | 8 | 341.1 F.9.8 | 7 | $341.7 \mp 13.7$ |  |  |  |
| 19 | 13. | 354.6 F 19.0 | 12 | 354.8 F 19.9 | 0.02 | 0.95 | -0.05 |
| 48 | 9 | 377.6 F 23.7 | 9 | 368.0 F 27.3 | 0.80 | 0.42 | -0.87 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | тOTAL | -0.92 |

-2 亿 ln.p or $X^{2}=1.84$. d.f. $=4 . \quad$ p. $=0.6$.

Shehadeh and Gordon (1969) showed that rainbow trout in sea water drink about $130 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{kg}$ body wt/day. That means that 50 g fish will drink about 6.5 ml of water every 24 h . The pellets hold almost exactly their own weight in fresh water and at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 50 \mathrm{~g}$ fish will be fed about $2 \%$ of body weight per day. (Appendix III). From this one can say that the feeding of a wet diet should provide about $13 \%$ of the fish's . water requirement (Landless, 1976). This should lead to a reduction in the required efflux of chloride and sodium ions and therefore one would imagine a lowered plasma concentration during times of osmotic stress.

The results presented here, however, show no significant advantage of feeding a wet diet during times of osmotic stress. The reasons for this are not altogether clear. One obvious factor is the reduced feeding activity at times of osmotic stress. Those fish most requiring the freshwater intake, i.e., plasma levels of over $390 \mathrm{~m} 0 \mathrm{sm} / 1$ will not be feeding, while any fish with a level above $360 \mathrm{m0sm} / \mathrm{l}$ will have a very reduced feeding activity. Fish which are feeding lightly will only take about one quarter of the recommended level of food. Obviously a reduction of food intake to $0.5 \%$ body wt/day will reduce the contribution provided by the wet diet to about $3 \%$ of the freshwater requirement.

Another important reason why the wet diet may not be having a beneficial effect is due to the adaptive state of the inteatinal wall. Smith (1964) and House and Green (1965) concluded from their observations on isolated intestines that the rate of vater and salt absorption is much higher in seavater-adapted fish. Utida (1967) showed that the level of enzyme activity of alkaline phosphatase in the intestine of rainbow trout was much higher in seavater-adapted fish. Since the role of the intestine is very different in the two medis, one would expect there to be
physiological differences. There is at presert no information as to how long after transfer it takes for these changes to occur.

In the situation discussed here less active up-take of salt has to occur, the fresh water in the pellet should move osmotically across tne intestine wall. However, Skadhauge (1969) demonstrated that in the European eel the osmotic permeability of the gut wall is higher in seawater-adapted eels than in freshwater animals. It therefore seems likely that at transfer the gut wall of the trout is very impermeable to water and thus acts as a barrier against the uptake of the fresh water. Shehadeh and Gordon estimated that in trout fully adapted to sea water, $80 \%$ of the water drunk was absorbed. It is therefore possible that a smaller percentage of the water from the pellets actually passes into the blood. If only $50 \%$ is absorbed as opposed to $80 \%$ then the amount of drinking saved will fall to about $6 \%$, which, spread over several hours, would produce too small a difference to be measured.

Another important point to remember is that drinking accounts for only one fifth of the sodium influx and one sixth of the chloride influx in sea water, the rest ecteriny via the gills (Potts et al, 1970). So, although feeding a wet diet may be reducing the sodium and chloride influx through the gut, the overall change in the influx is small.

The grading experiments indicate that the treatment causes a temporary breakdown in the equilibrium condition which in the case of experiment 4 lasts for over 24 h with a peak after about 6 h . This agrees with the results obtained by Wedemeyer (1972) in fresh water, except thet
in his experiment the ion concentration decreased - as one would expect in fresh water - and reached a minimum around 4h and was still slightly depressed after 24h. The feeding of wet diet did not seem to effect the plasma concentration in this case either.

## SECTION 5. THE VALUE OF A HIGH SALT DIET

## Introduction

Section 1 showed that the first 48 hours following transfer was the critical period; there is therefore a very short period during which physiological changes might be induced to alleviate the osmotic stress. The previous section indicated that trying to reduce the osmotic stress via the intestine after transfer had no significant effect.

It is well known that fish which undergo smoltification change physiologically while still in fresh water, so that they are pre-adapted to marine conditions (see review by Hoar, 1976). Although rainbow trout do not smolt it was thought that the possibility of inducing preadaption might prove a useful line of investigation.

A possible method of producing physiological changes prior to transfer would be the use of a hormone treatment. Both prolactin and cortisol are thought to play an important role in osmoregulation of teleosts (see review by Mayer, 1970). Cortisol particularly is thought to be important in controlling salt secretion in sea water. The exact effect is still, however, under dispute and the problem and expense of administering a cortisol treatment to thousands of fish on a commercial scale would prove prohibitive.

Zaugg and McLain (1969), working with Pacific salmon fry, found that an increased level of salt in the diet ( 4 and $8 \% \mathrm{NaCl}$ ) resulted in longer TM 50 values (time in hours for $50 \%$ mortality), following seavater transfer. They explained this result by stating that: 'the ingestion of elevated quantities of salt vould activate the excretory processes involved in the elimination of these salts when swallowed by drinking sea water in marine environment'.

Basulto (1976) carried out similar experiments with Atlantic salmon and found that again the chances of survival after transfer from fresh water to sea water were increased following the feeding of a diet cortaining an elevated level of salt ( $12 \% \mathrm{NaCl}$ ).

Both these groups of workers were, however, using smolting species and very small numbers in their transfer trials. Zaugg and Mclain used 20 fish to obtain each of their TM 50 values, while Basulto used between 25 and 38 fish to obtain his percentage survival values.

The approach did however seem to be a useful one and very easily applied commercially. It therefore seemed worthwhile to investigate whether the feeding of a diet containing a high level of salt had any effect on the percentage mortality of rainbow trout following transfer to sea water. If the H.S.D. (High Salt Diet), was to have any beneficial effect one would also expect to find lower levels of plasma concentration during the adaptive phase; this parameter was therefore also measured.

Both Zauge and McLain and Basulto reported that high levels of dietary salt had a detrimental effect on the growth of salmon. But a more detailed study by Shaw et al (1975) concluded that levels of up to $12 \% \mathrm{NaCl}$ had no significant effect on the growth of Atlantic salmon. This agrees with a recent paper by MacLeod (1978), where he reported that the addition of up to $8.5 \% \mathrm{NaCl}$ to the diet of rainbow trout had no significant effect on either food intake or food conversion ratio. It therefore seemed important to monitor growth during the experiments to see if the H.S.D. effected it.

## The High Salt Diet

Zaugg and McLain used three test diets containing additional salt, one with 'Instant Ocean' salte, one with a FaCl-KCl mix and one with
additional NaCl only. "They found that all three seemed equally effective. It was decided to use the $N a C l$ and after consultation with the manufacturers $10 \%$ was added by weight. The first batch of the E.S.D. showed that at a $10 \%$ level in a No. 5 sized compact pellet the texture was suitable and crumbling minimal.

The fish found the H.S.D. palatable and took all the food provided. On changing from the control diet to the H.S.D. there was occasionally a period of up to 2 days during which feeding was reduced, but once they had adapted to the change of diet, feeding returned to normal. This reduction in activity was also often observed when changing from one pellet size to another or from one brand of food to another and therefore not thought to be due to the unpalatable nature of the H.S.D.

Experiment 1 - The uptake of the salt.
This experiment was designed to see if the salt in the diet was taken-up across the gut wall into the blood stream. If this was the case the plasma osmotic concentration should rise above the normal freshwater equilibrium level.

40 Fish of mean weight 55.2 g (S.D. 13.6 ) were placed in the large 11001 tank at the Laboratory. They were held in tap water ( $9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for 2 weeks to settle, during this time they were fed a control diet of Edward Baker's No. 5 pellet daily. They were then starved for 3 days and 7 fish sampled for plasm osmotic concentration. The intestines were also dissected out for examination. The remaining fish were then fed a control diet tc satiation and sampled again 7 hours after feeding. The fish were then fed normally again for 2 days before being starved once more for 3 days to allow the stomachs to empty. They were then fed on the H.S.D. to satiation and 2 samples taken, 7 and 24 h after feeding. The state of the intestines vas again examined.

TABLE 6.

| Fish Type | Wt. in g. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cismolarity } \\ & \text { m0sw/1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \mp \text { S.D. } \end{gathered}$ | Stomach Contents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unfed for 3 days | 48.9 <br> 54.3 <br> 47.6 <br> 68.2 <br> 82.4 <br> 54.2 <br> 42.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 295 \\ & 305 \\ & 319 \\ & 306 \\ & 303 \\ & 310 \\ & 306 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 306.3 \\ & \mp 7.2 \end{aligned}$ | All expty |
| 7 Hours <br> after <br> control <br> diet | 43.2 <br> 71.2 <br> 40.7 <br> 37.3 <br> 58.5 <br> 54.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 311 \\ & 319 \\ & 315 \\ & 314 \\ & 316 \\ & 308 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 313.8 \\ & \mp 3.9 \end{aligned}$ | All full |
|  | 58.5 57.8 47.7 44.9 57.3 $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ 50.4 46.2 | $\begin{array}{r} 356 \\ 349 \\ 357 \\ 375 \\ 381 \end{array} \ldots \ldots .$ | $\begin{array}{r} 363.6 \\ \mp 13.6 \end{array}$ | Full <br> Empty |
| 24 Hours <br> after <br> H.S.D. | $\begin{aligned} & 87.1 \\ & 86.2 \\ & 45.3 \\ & 36.2 \\ & 57.8 \\ & 53.2 \end{aligned}$ | 348 <br> 389 <br> 382 <br> 363 <br> 340 <br> 362 | $\begin{array}{r} 364.0 \\ \mp 18.8 \end{array}$ | All $\%$ full |

The effect on the plasma osmotic concentration of feeding the E.S.D. is shown in Table 6. As the fish had been starved for 3 days most of them had fed very actively and about $2 \%$ of body weight was consumed. As can be seen from the table, two of the fish which were sampled had not eaten and had obviously resisted the change in diet despite 3 days starvation. There is a significant difference between the unfed fish and fish 7 h after being fed the control diet $(t=2.38 \mathrm{p}<0.05)$.

Nore importantly, there is a significant difference between 7 h after the control diet and 7 after the E.S.D. $(t=7.9$ p. $<0.001)$. This therefore means that the salt from the diet is taken up into the blood where it is obviously reteined and not immediately eliminated. The two fish which had refused the H.S.D. had much lower plasma salt concentrations and they were ignored from the calculations of the mean. The level was still significantly higher 24 h after feeding the H.S.D. $(t=6.4$ $p<0.001$ ), again showing that the salt was not immediately eliminated via the kidney or through the gills.

Experiment 2 - Long term effect of the H.S.D.
This experiment was to determine the longer term effect of the E.S.D. on the plasma concentration. Another 40 fish of mean weight 86.9G (S.D. 23) were placed in the 11001 tank and allowed to adjust. Tap water of $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was rus through the tank. A plasma sample was taken while the fish were atill on the control diet and the remaining fish were then fed the H.S.D. The fish were fed twice deily on the H.S.D., $1 \%$ of body weight being offered at each feed. Three samples were taken during the next 2 weeks to see if there was any effect on the osmotic concentration..

Table 7 shows the result of continued feeding of the B.S.D. All
table 7.

| Fish Type | Wt. in g . | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Osmolarity } \\ & \text { m0sm/1 } \end{aligned}$ | Mean $\mp$ S.D. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control <br> 4 hours <br> after last <br> feed. | $\begin{aligned} & 54.8 \\ & 38.9 \\ & 63.8 \\ & 52.0 \\ & 62.9 \\ & 52.8 \end{aligned}$ | 304 <br> 311 <br> 323 <br> 301 <br> 312 <br> 325 | 312.7 于 9.7 |
| 36 Hours <br> after 1st <br> feed on H.S.D. | $\begin{array}{r} 97.4 \\ 83.0 \\ 64.9 \\ 95.5 \\ 92.2 \\ 112.4 \end{array}$ | 327 <br> 340 <br> 344 <br> 355 <br> 361 <br> 319 | 341.0 干 16.0 |
| 8 Days <br> after 1st <br> feed on <br> H.S.D. | $\begin{array}{r} 113.9 \\ 109.8 \\ 118.7 \\ 74.1 \\ 110.2 \\ 124.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 341 \\ & 367 \\ & 343 \\ & 331 \\ & 362 \\ & 360 \end{aligned}$ | 350.6 F 14.3 |
| 14 Days <br> after 1st <br> feed on <br> H.S.D. | $\begin{array}{r} 80.1 \\ 96.2 \\ 109.8 \\ 191.8 \\ 116.2 \\ 91.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 336 \\ & 362 \\ & 367 \\ & 359 \\ & 361 \\ & 342 \end{aligned}$ | $350.8 \mp 11.2$ |

3 samples following the change to the H.S.D. were significantly higner thar the cortrol sample $(p=<0.05)$. The rise is not as large as seen in Experiment 1, but this is perhaps due to each feed being only $1 \%$ of body weight as opposed to the $2 \%$ at the single feed ir the previous experiment. A sample was also taker from fish which had been on the H.S.D. for 8 days at Kilmore. There the level was 348 mosm/l (S.D. 12.7) as compared to a control value of $313 \mathrm{mOsm} / 1$ (S.D. 3.6).

From this it can be seen that trout on the H.S.D. have a significantly higher equilibrium level thar do fish on a control diet.

Experiment 3 - Cage transfers.
7,000 Fish were transported from Cloan hatchery and placed in the 4 tanks at Kilmore. They were held for a week to recover during which time they were fed a control diet. Each tank had its own feeder wired to a central clock to ensure equal feeding at a rate of $2 \%$ of body weight per day. The fish in two of the tanks were then fed the H.S.D. while the other two remained on the control.

Every week 250 fish were taken from each tank and transported to the cages in Dunstaffnage Bay where the mean salinity was $30 \%$. The H.S.D. fed fish were placed in one net $(2 \times 2 \times 2 \mathrm{~m})$ and the control fish were placed in another. The dead fish were removed regularly and food was offered daily to make an assessment of feeding activity. These weekly transfers continued for 5 weeks; there was then gap of 5 weeks and another transfer was made 10 weeks after the start. There was a final transfer after 12 weeks. The final transfer consisted of only 400 fish which had been on the H.S.D. and 295 as a control.. The control fish for the last 2 trials ware from a tank which was being hand fed $1 \%$ daily so their growth rate was slower and the sizes were unfortunately not
identical.

Fish weighings were made during the course of the experiment to determine growth rates. The method of weighing was to net out 50 fish and to place them in a bucket containing water on a tared balance. Two such weighings were taken from each tark giving 4 control and 4 H.s.D. measurements and from these a mean weight for each diet was obtained.

The growth of the fish during the experiment is shown in Fig. 17. This shows that after 38 days on the diet the control fish are nearly ig heavier than those on the H.S.D. However, it must be remembered that $10 \%$ of the H.S.D. is salt which cannot be utilised for tissue growth, so effectively instead of being $2 \%$ of body $w t /$ day they are receiving $1.8 \%$ body $w t /$ day. If the only effect the salt is having on the growth is caused by "diluting" the diet, they should grow at the same rate as fish fed on $1.8 \%$ body $w t /$ day of a control diet. Conversely, if the salt is having a detrimental effect on growth the weight gain of the fish should be slower than when fed $1.8 \%$. It is possible to determine the effect using the method of growth prediction devised by Landless (pers. comm.).

The equations used for the growth prediction are:

Specific Growth Rate, S.G.R. = Feeding Rate (\% per day) $\quad$ Food Conversion Ratio F.C.P. Equation 1. No. of days to increase weight by factor of $\left.t=\frac{10 g_{0} t}{\log (1+S . G . R .)} 100\right)$ Equation 2.

With these equations it is possible to construct a table of predicted growth rate for fish fed $1.0 \%$ body $w t /$ day. First, however, an estimation of the conversion ratio has to be calculated. This is possible using the growth of the control fish with the equation:

Ficure 17. Growth of fish being fed $2 \%$ body weight/day in water of $9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$X$ - Control Diet
$+-10 \%$ High Salt Diet.

S.G.R. $=\frac{\log _{10} w_{2}-\log _{10} w_{1}}{\text { days }} \times 230$

After 38 days on the control diet the mean fish weight had increased
from 12.2 to 18.5 g .
S.G.R. $=\frac{\log _{10} 18.5-\log _{10} 12.2}{38} \times 230$
$=1.094$

With a known feeding rate of $2 \%$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { F.C.R. } & =\frac{2}{1.094} \text { Equation } 1 . \\
& =1.8
\end{aligned}
$$

Using this as an estimate of the F.C.R. in the case of fish being fed $1.8 \%$ the Specific Growth Rate can be calculated.

Feeding rate $=1.8 \%$.
F.C.R.
$=1.8 \%$.
S.G.R.
$=1$
Equation 1.

Knowing the S.G.R. it is possible using equation 2, to construct the following table.

To increase $w t$ by a factor of:
0
1.2
1.4
1.6

No. of days
0
18
34
47

Weight g, after increase
12.1
14.5
16.9
19.3

If these points are plotted on a graph with the actual results obtained from feeding the H.S.D. at $2 \%$ per day the curves shown on Fig. 18 are the result. The growth rates of the predicted and the actual results are almost identical and it can therefore be concluded that the salt in the diet is merely diluting the food by $10 \%$ rather than having any inhibitory effect on growth.

The weights of the trout at the seven transfer trials were:

| Weeks from <br> start | Weight of <br> Control | fish E. <br> H..$~$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 13.0 | 12.8 |
| 2 | 14.1 | 13.8 |
| 3 | 15.2 | 14.8 |
| 4 | 16.5 | 15.9 |
| 5 | 17.9 | 17.1 |
| 10 | 24.5 | 26.0 |
| 12 | 30.0 | 32.0 |

The mortalities recorded during the first nine days following each transfer are given in Fig. 19. In every trial the level of mortality was lower in those fish fed on the H.S.D. The divergence between the two was obvious in most cases even after 25 h and continued to increase until 100 h , the number of deaths recorded then diminished and the graphs slatten.

To compare the overall mortalities it is possible to calculate the percentage saving in fish using the equation:
\% seving in fish
\& Mortalitv Control - \% Mortality H.S.D. Mortality Control

Fimure 18. Comparison of growth of fish being fed $2 \%$ body wt/day of the H.S.D. with the predicted growth of fish being fed $1.8 \%$ body wt/day of control diet.
$t$ - $2 \%$ body wt/day H.S.D.
X - Fredicted growth on $1.8 \%$ body wt/day control diet.


Figure 19. Observed mortalities following seawater transer of fish fed on a control diet and on the H.S.D. for ar increasing number of weeks.
$x-$ Control Diet
$+=10 \%$ H.S.D.
$-50-$





That gives the following table:

| Week from <br> start | \% Mortality |  | \% Saving |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control | H.S.D. | in fish |  |
| 1 | 47.4 | 26.2 | 44.7 |
| 2 | 55.6 | 21.8 | 60.8 |
| 3 | 46.8 | 25.8 | 44.9 |
| 4 | 49.4 | 18.0 | 63.5 |
| 5 | 32.6 | 21.6 | 33.7 |
| 10 | 58.6 | 32.2 | 41.1 |
| 12 | 37.5 | 20.0 | 46.6 |

The table shows that no additional advantage is to be gained by long term feeding of the H.S.D. Even after one week there is a difference and the $61 \%$ saving after 2 weeks is the second highest value.

The feeding activity observed following transfer is given in Table 8. In every trial the $\#$.S.D. fish returned to active feeding before the control fish, emphasising that they were adapting more rapidly to the high salinity. In 5 of the trials the H.S.D. fish returned to active feeding at least 3 days before the control fish and during this time they would make up the veight lost during H.S.D. feeding.

## Experiment 4 - Transfer trials at Kames Pish Farm

It was thought that it was important to obtain some results from a commercial situation so that the technique could be properly evaluated. Kames Fish Parm vere already using the diet but without the use of controls.

The operators, however, agreed to allow some controlled trials to be run using rainbow trout which were ready for transfer and 2 separate
TABLE 8.

| Weeks after start | Type of diet | 1 | 2 | 3 | $4{ }^{\text {D }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fte } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | \% 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Cont. | N | VL. | VL | 1 | L | L | L | A | A |
|  | H.S.D. | N | VL | L | L | A | A | A | A | A |
| 2 | Cont, | N | N | N | L | L | L | A | A | A |
|  | H.S.D. | N | VL | V | L | A | A | A | A | A |
| 3 | Cont. | N | VL | L | $L$ | L | L | L | A | A |
|  | H.S.D. | $N$ | $L$ | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| 4 | Cont. | N | N | VL | VL | L | L | L | A | A |
|  | H.S.D. | N | $N$ | i | A | A | A | \& | A | A |
| 5 | Cont. | VL | 1 | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
|  | H.S.D. | VL | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| 10 | Cont. | N | N | $n$ | VL | VL | L | L | L | A |
|  | H.S.D. | N | V | L | L | A | A | A | A | A |
| 12 | Cont. | $N$ | N | N | N | L | 1 | A | A | A |
|  | E.S.D. | N | N | VL | L | A | A | A | A | A |

N - None; VL - Very light; L - Light; A - Active.
trials were performed. Before each trial the fish were starved for 2 days and then graded, the larger fish from the grading (mean weight 52 g ) being placed in three tanks. In the first trial 10,000 in two of these tanks were fed on a control diet and 5540 fish in the third tank on the H.S.D. The fish were fed a levei of $1.5 \%$ body weight daily for 2 weeks, by which time the mean weight was 59g. The fish were then starved for 2 days and transferred from the fresh water ( $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to the sea cages $\left(10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ with a salinity of $33^{\circ} \%$. The 10,000 control fish were placed in a large cage and the 5,440 H.S.D. fish in a small cage.

A similar procedure was followed for the second trial, except the number of control fish was 3,350 ( 1 tank) and the number on the H.S.D. was 11,000 (2 tanks). The mean weight at grading was 57 g and after 2 weeks at transfer the mean weight was 67 g . The fresh water was $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the sea water was also $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a salinity of $33 \%$.

Once in the sea the fish were monitored for feeding activity and mortalities. Also at various times blood samples were taken for osmotic concentration determinations. The period of surveillance lasted at least 2 weeks for each trial.

The recorded mortalities are ahown in Fig. 19, again the H.S.D. fish had lower levels of mortality. The saving in trial 1 was $26.3 \%$ and $42.4 \%$ in trial 2. Although the difference between the levels of mortality were small the large number of fish used meant a high degree of accuracy. One fish was between 0.03 and $0.009 \%$ of the total, so although as in trial 1 the difference was only $2.5 \%$ it still represented a large number of figh.

As in Experiment 3, active feeding returned to the E.S.D. fish before the control fish, again indicating lass osmotic stress. This is confirmed by the blood samples taken during the trials (Tables 9 and 10). Table 9 shows that there is no significant differentebetween the B.S.D.-fed prior to transfer.
$X-$ Control Diet
$+=10 \%$ H.S.D.

Both trials were completed at Kames Fish Farm.



Trial 2.

table 9. Trial 1.

| Hours after transfer | Diet | $\underset{\substack{\text { No. in } \\ \text { semple }}}{ }$ | Plasma concentration mOsm/1 FS.D. | t. | p. | 1n.p. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | Cont. н.s.d. | $3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 313 \mp 2.6 \\ & 311 \mp 6.5 \end{aligned}$ | 0.61 | 0.55 |  |
| 18 | Cont. R.S.D. | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 384 \mp 6.2 \\ & 358 \mp 12.7 \end{aligned}$ | 3.75 | 0.008 | -4.83 |
| 110 | Cont. <br> H.S.D. | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 363 \mp 3.4 \\ & 356 \mp 12.7 \end{aligned}$ | 1.24 | 0.24 | -1.43 |
| 192 | Cont. h.s.D. |  | $\begin{aligned} & 354 \mp 6.7 \\ & 346 \neq 17.3 \end{aligned}$ | 0.97 | 0.35 | -1.05 |
|  |  |  |  |  | tota | -7.31 |

$\begin{aligned} X^{2} & =14.6 \\ \text { p. } & =0.025\end{aligned}$
table 10. Trial 2

| Tours <br> after <br> transfer | Diet | No. in sample | Plasma concentration mosm/1 $\mp$ S.D. | t. | p. | ln.p. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | Cont. H.S.D. | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 320 \mp 5.1 \\ & 334 \mp 9.2 \end{aligned}$ | 3.26 | $<0.01$ |  |
| 7 | Cont. <br> H.S.D. | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 381 \mp 6.2 \\ & 371 \mp 10.0 \end{aligned}$ | 1.75 | 0.12 | -2.12 |
| 21 | Cont. H.S.D. | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 365 \mp 13.6 \\ & 354 \mp 13.0 \end{aligned}$ | 1.43 | 0.18 | -1.71 |
| 47 | Cont. <br> H.S.D. | $6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 379 \mp 14.8 \\ & 350 \mp 7.8 \end{aligned}$ | 4.25 | 0.002 | -6.21 |
| 119 | Cont. <br> H.S.D. | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 374 \mp 7.5 \\ & 357 \mp 9.7 \end{aligned}$ | 3.12 | 0.015 | -4.2 |
| 218 | Cont. <br> H.S.D. | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 349 \mp 8.7 \\ & 338 \mp 8.5 \end{aligned}$ | 1.81 | 0.12 | -2.12 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

fish and the control fish just prior to transfer. This may be the result of the 2 day starvation period before the sample was taken.

Once in the sea, however, the means of the H.S.D. fish were lower than those of the control. At the 18 h sample there was a significant difference but not in the other two. When the probabilities are combined using the method described in Section 4 the probability of the osmotic concentration of the control fish during the adjustive phase being the same as the H.S.D. fed fish is only $2.5 \%$.

Table 10 shows that on this occasion the plasma concentration of the H.S.D-fed fish was significantly higher at transfer despite the 2 days starvation. Following transfer two of the samples were significantly lower in the H.S.D.-fed fish and in the other three samples the difference was just outside the $5 \%$ significance level. When combined, however, the overall probability of there being the same was less than 0.001 .

## Experiment 5 - Tank transfers

50 Control-fed fish and 50 H.S.D.-fed fish were brought from Kilmore and placed in two 2251 tanks in the Laboratory with a freahwater input at $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. They were then left for a week to recover, by which time the H.S.D. fish had been on the diet for 3 weeks. The mean weight of the control fish was 51 g (S.D. 10.3) and the mean of the H.S.D. was 49g (S.D. 8.7). After a recovery period of one week the sea water was added ( $14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $33^{\circ} \% 0$ ). Blood samples were taken from each tank while they were still in fresh water and then at intervals following transfer.

The results of the blood samples are given in Table 11. After 24h there was no difference between the 2 trials but by 45 h there was a difference of $35 \mathrm{moam} / 1$ between the mans. The probaility that they

| ¢ | $\stackrel{n}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{-}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\substack{0}}$ | $\stackrel{n}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm$ | $\stackrel{n}{\square}$ | － | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\square}$ | N | $\stackrel{m}{\dot{\circ}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ®ั }}{\substack{\text { ® }}}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 0$ | $\bigcirc 0$ | 65 | $\checkmark 6$ | $\bigcirc \mathrm{n}$ | $\checkmark$－ |
| $\stackrel{\text { \＃}}{\text { \＃}}$ | 范它安 | 范 | 产 ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\text {¢ }}$ | 范 | 䓓 | 迺 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | N | \％ | N | \＆ | 응 |

were the same is still above the $5 \%$ level. By 72 h the difference was similar but the smaller standard deviations results in the probability decreasing to the $5 \%$ level. There was no significant difference between the 2 diets in the remaining 2 samples.

Although not conclusive the results indicate that the H.S.D. reduces the extent of the rise in the plasma concentration during the adjustive phase. No deaths were recorded and feeding was reduced in both tanks on the second day and was only returning to normal by the end of the experiment.

## Discussion

A proportion of the salt in the diet is absorbed into the blood causing a rise in the osmotic concentration. The extent of the rise varied from 12 to $18 \%$ which is lower than the figure of 19 to $34 \%$ reported by Basulto (1976) and higher than the $7 \%$ reported by Shaw et al (1975), both following a feeding of $12 \%$ salt diet to Atlantic salmon.

The trout fed on the E.S.D. have slower growth rates. If one considers, however, that the food has been diluted by the salt, then the high level of salt does not seem to have any inhibitory effect on growth. This agrees with Shaw et el (1975) and MacLeod (1978). but disagrees with the findings of Zaugg and McLain, and Basulto. They reported that a high level of salt in the diet has an inhibitory effect on food utilisation. The disparity with Zaugg and McLain may be due to the fact that their growth trials were run with salmon fry initially weighing less than $1 g$.

It seems reasonable to assume that if the salt has to be actively secreted from the blood this would be reflected in decresed grouth rate. The fact that the sait does not cause reduction in growth rate is
evidence that it is not actively secreted. The salt is likely to be eliminated either via the renal system which in fresh water produces large amounts of hypoosmotic urine or by passive diffusion through the gills.

The acclimation trials show that the H.S.D. reduces the number of mortalities following transfer. This is in agreement with the increased $\mathrm{TM}_{50}$ values reported by Zaugg and McLain and the increased percentage survival reported by Basulto following the feeding of a H.S.D. The actual saving in fish varied but on every occasion was above $25 \%$ and the mean saving was $45 \%$ (S.D. = 12). Two weeks feeding is long enough to produce the desired physiological changes. Zaugg and McLain also reported beneficial effects after two weeks on a salt-enriched diet.

The plasma concentration measurements give a strong indication as to the effect of the E.S.D. Following transfer the.plasma osmotic concentrations of the fish prior fed on the H.S.D. were consistently lower during the adjustive phase than those of the control. This results in fewer fish reaching the lethal level of $420 \mathrm{~m} 0 \mathrm{sm} / 1$ and therefore reduced levels of mortality. These findings are reinforced by the feeding activity reported in Table 8 . With the knowledge that the raised plasma concentrations result in reduced feeding activity it is clear that the H.S.D. fish returned to active feeding sooner than the control fish indicating lower plasma concentrations.

How the H.S.D. produces these lover plasma osmotic concentrations is of $s$ ome interest. The work of Zaugg and McLain shows that high levels of dietary salt caused a rise in the level of $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}-\mathrm{s}^{+}$timulated ATPase
activity in the gills of salmon. Vork by several authors has shown that seawater-adapted fish have much higher levels of $\mathrm{Ha}{ }^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$ATPase activity than freshwater-adapted fish (Epstein et al, 1967; Kamiya ard Utida, 1968; Utida et al, 1971; Zauge and McLair, 1970; Zaugg anà Wagner, 1973; Sargent et Al, 1975; Thomson and Sargent, 1977). The rise in activity following the feeding of a diet containing $12 \% \mathrm{NaCl}$ was from 11 to $25 \mu \mathrm{moles} / \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{h}$; this compares with a normal seawater level of $61 \mu \mathrm{moles} / \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{h}$. So it is possitle that the H.S.D. is stimulating the salt secretory mechanism situated in the gills, while the fish are still in fresh water.

Mayer and Nibelle (1970), working with Anguilla anguilla showed that if freshwater-adapted fish were given a salt (NaCl) loading injection, the efflux of sodium increased while the influx decreased to bring about a return to equilibrium conditions. They concluded that any modification of the concentration of the plasma was a sufficient stimulus to evoke changes in intensity of the sodium exchanges at the level of the gill.

An increased level of salt in the blood (due to injection or inteatinal absorbtion) could either act directly on the branchial target or through an endocrine loop. Ma yer (1970) emphasised the importance of endocrine control of osmoregulation. A likely site for monitoring the osmotic, or mofe likely the ionic, concentration of the blood is the hypophysis. The hypophysis controls the production of prolactin and ACTE which iteelf stimulates interrenal cortisol production. Both prolactin and cortisol are known to play important roles in osmoregulation (Mayer 1970).

The artificially raised plasma concentration could also be reducing the water permeability of the gill or increasing the permeability of the intestine, both of which are known to occur following transfer to sea
water, again possibly via endocrine loops. The most likely explanation is that since the effects of the H.S.D. on the plasma is analog ous to what occurs following transfer to beackish water, some of the control systems, including various endocrine loops and enzyme systems, required for a seamater existence become partially stimulated. Thus, when transfer is completed the seawater systems are already present and their activity has only to increase and this ie reflected in lower plasma concentrations and fewer deaths.

Clarke and Blackburn (1977) used the plasma ion concentration 24 h after seawater transfer as a measure of the extent of smolting in salmon. If the fish had high plasma levels they were considered not to be fully smolted; low levels and smoiting was considered complete and the fish ready for entry to the sea. Smolting includes the development of the seawater osmoregulatory systems while the fish are still resident in fresh water and is also thought to be controlled by the endocrine system (see review Hoar , 1976).

## SECTION 6. HISTOLOGY OF THE CHLORIDE CELL

## Introduction

As discussed in the previous section the High Salt Diet would appear to have beneficial effects on subsequent transfer to sea water by holding down the osmotic concentration during the adjustive phase. Zangg and McLain (1969) showed that the feeding of a H.S.D. resulted in a doubling of the $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$ATPase activity which is associated with active secretion of ions in sea water. More recent work has shown that most of the $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$aTPase activity is localised in the chloride cells of the gills (Mizuhira et al., 1970; Kamiya, 1972; Shirai, 1972; Sargent et al., 1975).

The facts would, therefore, point to the chloride cells being the most likely site of salt secretion in marine fish. Utida et al. (1971) demonstrated that following transfer of eels to sea water, there wes an increase in both the number of chloride cells and the level of $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$ ATPase activity. Shirai and Utida (1970) also reported a change in the shape and size of the chloride cells as well as an increase in the number following transfer to sea water. Vickers (1961) working with the guppy Lebistes reticulatus reported that the salt load to which a fish was exposed was closely associable with both the structure of the chloride. cells and with their number and distribution.

As Zaugg and McLain had demonstrated, aignificant increase in $\mathrm{Ne}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$ATPase activity in salmon gills followed the feeding of a B.S.D. it was thought that an examination of the chloride celle of rainbow trout vould be of interest. A light aicroscope atudy of trout gills wes therefore undertaken examining freshwater and seowater-adapted fich as well as fieh which had been fed a H.S.D. while still in freah water. As early es 1948 Copeland had shown thet injecting sea water with added
salt into the digestive tract of Fundulus heteroclitus produced an observable change in the chloride cells. He even stated that on occasions, changes could be seen in the chloride cells following feeding, he called this food-enteric stimulation.

## Materials and Methods

The fish which were to be sampled were first anaesthetised in a 1:10,000 solution of MS222 (Sandoz) and the second fill arch on the right side of the fish removed and washed to remove as much of the blood as possible before fixation.

The method chosen to show the chloride cells was the Altmann aniline fuchsin method for the staining of mitochondria. A similar method was used by Copeland (1948) and Shirai and Utide (1970). The exact technique used was a modified Altmann method given by Drury and Wallington (1967). This stained mitochondria, nucleoli and erythrocytes red and nuclei, cytoplasm and connective tissues pale yellow. The $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ sections were then counterstained with methyl green to show the nuclei (Getman, 1950).

Freshwater and seavater (for at least one month)-adapted fish of varying sizes were sampled as well as fish which had been fed on the E.S.D. for several weeks.

## Reaulte

## Seavater-adapted fish

The gill filamente shov a typical arrangenent for teleost fish (Fig. 21), at described by many workers (see review Conte, 1969). Each filament has an eccentrically placed cartilaginous rod which lies nearer the afferent artery and is attached to the gill arch thereby providing

Figure 21. Transverse section of a primary filament from a seawater - adapted trout (x 320).

C - Cartilage
$A A$ - Afferent artery
EA - Efferent artery
SF - Secondary filaments
Cell types:
SE - Surface epithelial
ND - Non-differentiated
MC - Mucous goblet
CC - Chloride.

Fiqure 22. Oblique section of a primary filament from a seawater-adapted trout (x 320).

CC - Chloride cells


support for the primary filament. There are two blood vessels in each primary filament, the afferent artery close to the cartilage and the efferent artery on the opposite side. The two arteries are connected by the respiratory or secondary filaments which were flattened leaflike structures projecting at regular intervals on either side of the primary filaments, thereby providing a large surface area for gaseous exchange. Each secondary filament consists of a network of interconnecting blood spaces delimited by pillar cells.

The epithelial cells of the primary filaments are of four types:

1) The surface epithelial of platelet cells which comprise the main surface area
2) Small non-differentiated cells normally lying between the basement membrane and the surface epithelial cells.
3) Mucous goblet cells dispersed randomally between the surface epithelial cells, but particularly abundant et the filament tip.
4) Large cells which stained red using the Altmann method indicating a high density of mitochondria, these cells were taken to be mitochondria-rich cells, otherwise known as chloride cells.

It is the fourth group of cells which were thought to be of interest to this study. The chloride cells were large, often columar cells up to 20 偪 long, with a granular appearance (Fig. 22). They could be found anywhere on the primary illamente, but were absent froa the secondary filaments, except at the bases. It was alao very noticable that the chloride cells were more obundant where the afferent artery joing the respiratory filaments than the ane site on the efferent aide, this is in acreenent with other workere (Copeland, 1948; Getman, 1950; Vickere, 1961).
support for the primary filament. There are two blood vessels in each primary filament, the afferent artery close to the cartilage and the efferent artery on the opposite side. The two arteries are connected by the respiratory or secondary filaments which were flattened leaflike structures projecing at regular intervals on either side of the primary filaments, thereby providing a large surface area for gaseous exchange. Each secondary filament consists of a network of interconnecting blood spaces delimited by pillar cells.

The epithelial cells of the primary filaments are of four types:

1) The surface epithelial of platelet cells which comprise the main surface area.
2) Small non-differentiated cells normally lying between the basement membrane and the surface epithelial cells.
3) Mucous goblet cells dispersed randomally between the surface epithelial cells, but particularly abundant at the filament tip.
4) Large cells which stained red using the Altmann method indicating a high density of mitochondria, these cells were taken to be mitochondria-rich cells, otherrise lnown as chloride ce11s.

It is the fourth group of cells which vere thought to be of interest to this study. The chloride cells were large, often columar cells up to 20 偪 long, with granular appearance (Fig. 22). They could be found anywhere on the primary filaments, but were absent from the secondary filaments, except at the bases. It was also very noticable that the chloride cells vere more abundant where the efferent artery joins the respiratory filaments than the same site on the efferent side, this is in egreonent with other workere (Copeland, 1948; Getman, 1950; Vickerw, 1961).

The fully-developed chloride cells which were of ten grouped together were usually in contact basally with the branchiel blood supply while the apical membrane was in contact with the external medium (Fig. 23). No apical pit was observed as described in Fundulus heteroclitus by Copeland (1948), in Ancuilla anguilla by Shirai and Utida (1970) and in Lebistes reticulatus by Vickers (1961), but this agrees with Threadgold and Houston (1964) working on Salmo salar. The nature, position and number of these chloride cells would therefore, indicate their active secretory role in seawater-adapted trout.

## Freshwater-adapted fish

The same gill filament arrangement was observed in the freshwateradapted fish as for the seavater-adapted ones. There were albo the same four different types of epithelial cell (Fig. 24). The chloride cells on the primary filament appeared identical to those described for seawateradapted fish; they were columnar in shape and were most abundant in vascular regions (Figs $25 \& 26$ ). The degree of staining also appeared to be identical, indicatine a similar density of mitochondria within each cell.

Shirai and Utida (1970), described an eight-fold increase in the number of fully-developed chloride cells following seawater transfer of the Japanese eel. No inilar increase was, however, observed with rainbow trout; as can be seen in Fig. 24 the fremhater-adapted fish had a number of fully-developed chloride cells. Preshwater trout of various sises were sampled, the amallest being 7g, and aimilar denoities of chloride cells found in all cases.

The only observable difference between the chloride celle of freshmater and seavater-adapted fish was that in the former, chloride celle were common on the aecondary filaments (fige $24 \& 25$ ), but were

Figure 23. Base of a secondary filamert from a seawateradapted trout (x 1250).

$$
\begin{aligned}
C & \text { - Cartilage } \\
C C & \text { - Chloride cell } \\
E & \text { - Erythrocyte. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 24. Oblique section of a primary gill filament of a freshwater-adapted trout (x 320).

C - Cartilage
AA - Afferent artery
CC - Chloride cells.


Figure 25. Oblique section through a primery filament of a freshwater-adapted trout (x 500).

AA - Afferent artery
CC - Chloride cells.

Fiqure 26. Base of a secondary filament of a freshwateradapted trout ( $\mathbf{x}$ 1250).

AA - Afferent artery
CC - Chloride cells.



I
absent there in seawater-adapted trout. These chloride cells were more ovoid than those on the primary filaments and they usually had a convex apical membrane (Fig. 25 \& 26).

Fish fed on the B.S.D. for up to six weeks had identical chloride cells on their primary filaments as control fed fish. They also had ovoid chloride cells on their secondary filaments. It was difficult to estimate the relative abundance of the chloride cells on the secondary filaments in the control and H.S.D. fish, but it appeared equal in both cases.

## Discussion

The presence of well developed chloride cells in the gills of freshwater fish has been described by Datta-Munshi (1964) in Catla catla. by Coleman et Al.. (1977) in Tilapia aurea and by Morgan and Tovell (1973) in Salmo gardneri. Sargent et al., (1978) working with Anguilla amruilla also described chloride cello in freshwater-adapted eels.

Pettengill and Copeland (1948) first proposed that chloride cells in the gills of Fundulus heteroclitus were responsible for the active uptake of ions in Presh water. Recent reviews by Maetz (1971 and 1976), present the evidence for $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+} / \mathrm{Na}^{+}$. (or $\mathrm{B}^{+} / \mathrm{Na}^{+}$) and $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-} / \mathrm{CL}^{-}$exchange punpa most likely situated in the chloride cells. If such exchanges vere occuring at the apical membrane, and Shirai (1972) demonstrated a concentration of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$in the mucous layer of freshwater chloride cells, a large murface area for active absorption would be desirable. The need for a large aurface area could explain the convex nature of the apical membrane on the chloride celle of the freshwater-adepted fish and the nicrovilli described by Morgan and Tovell (1973) also woricing with rainbov trout. The large ovoid chloride cells on the econdary filemente
could, therefore, provide a large surface area for the collection of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions from the surrounding medium. The ingestion of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ from the H.S.D. should have led to a decrease in the number of ions required to be taken-up by the gill and therefore possibly a decrease in the number of chloride cells on the secondary filaments. This, however, did not seem to occur, although an ultrastructural examination would be of interest, for Threadgold and Houston (1964), described ultrastructural changes thet occurred within the chloride cells following smolting in salmon.

The large increase in the number of chloride cells following transfer to sea water, as described in the eel species Anquilla ancuilla by Sargent et al., (1978) and Anguille japonica by Shirai and Utida (1970), does not seem to occur in rainbow trout. Coleman at al., (1977) reported that the number of chloride cells also remains constant following seavater transfor of Tilapia aurea. The absence of chloride cells on the secondary filamente after transfer would, however, indicate a change in their role. Most of the chloride cells in the seavater-adapted fish are columnar cells collected in groupa at the bases of the secondery filamente adjacent to the afferent branchial artery. The exact biochemical means of transport across the chloride cells in sea vater is otill under investigation, but a recent model proposed by Sargent at al., (1978) auggests that the fluid in the spaces between adjecent chloride cells is concentrated by active pumpa baselly and laterally aitunted on the celle until the fluid becomes more concentrated than the oxternal sea weter. Diffusion of NaCl ions then occure outvarde through the ion permeable junction between chloride celle. The model, therefore, requires groupe of columnar chloride celle bacally in contact with the blood and apically with the noe water, exactly as aeen in this study.
could, therefore, provide a large surface area for the collection of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions from the surrounding medium. The ingestion of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ from the H.S.D. should have led to a decrease in the number of ions required to be taken-up by the gill and therefore possibly a decrease in the number of chloride cells on the secondary filaments. This, however, did not seem to occur, although an ultrastructural examination would be of interest, for Threadgold and Houston (1964), described ultrastructural changes that occurred within the chloride cells following emolting in salmon.

The large increase in the number of chloride cells following transfer to sea water, as described in the eel species Anguilla anouilla by Sargent et al., (1978) and Anguilla japonica by Shirai and Utida (1970), does not seem to occur in rainbow trout. Coleman et al., (1977) reported that the number of chloride cells also remains constant following seavater transfer of Tilapia aurea. The absence of chloride cells on the secondary filaments after transfer would, however, indicate a change in their role. Most of the chloride cells in the seavater-adapted fish are columar cells collected in groupa at the bases of the secondary filaments adjacent to the afferent branchial artery. The exact biochemical meens of transport across the chloride cells in sea water is atill under investigation, but a recent model proposed by Sargent at al.. (1978) suggeste that the fluid in the apaces between adjacent chloride cells is concentrated by active pumpe basally and laterally situated on the cells until the fluid becomes more concentrated than the external sea water. Diffucion of HaCl ions then occurs outverde through the ion permeable junction betweon chloride celle. The model, therefore, requires groupe of columnar chloride celle beally in contect with the blood and apically with the aea water, exactly as aeen in this atudy.

Some of the findings presented in this thesis have practical applications for the marine trout farmer. Fie. 11 is a nomogram from which, knowing the salirity and the slze of the fish, it is possible to estimate the expected level of mortality following transfer to the sea. Although the nomogram is less accurate at predicting high levels of qortality, fish farmers are more concerned with lower levels of mortality celow $10 \%$, and within this range the nomogram is more accurate. It is of most use at sites where reduced sulinities occur.

The nomogram also shows, as does the physiological evidence, that there is a range over which a drastic rise in mortality occurs for a Emall change in either the salinity or size. Taking for example the case of a farmer transferring his fish ituto full-strength sea water ( $33^{\circ} / 00$ ), 80e fish should suffer very little mortality, 60 g fish should still be below the $10 \%$ level, but a reduction to 50 g would result in a mortality around $30 \%$. It is therefore unwise for the farmer to transfer his fish under 6 C g ard conversely there is little advantage in holding back 80 g fiah until they reach 100 g .

The physiolopical evidence and the nomorram were combined to produce Fig. 13, which illustrates the effect of transportation. Prior transportation means that fish must be double the size of non-transported fish to experience the same survisal. In Fif. 13, 258 fish with no prior transportation suffered a mortality of about $8 \%$ when transferred to $30^{\circ} / 00$ sea water. In contrast, the same mortality was suffered by 50 g fish if they had urdertone prior transportation. It is therefors important that the farmer ensurot that transportation stresses are kept to a rinimum.

Evidence is also presented which suggests that if possible summer should be avoided when making transfers. Some farmers at present wait till they are forced to make a transfer oy rising temperatures and falling flow rates with the intention of transferring the fish at the maximum size. This can result in transfers being made in July and August with often unerpectedly high mortalities (Cannon, pers. comm.). The results in Table 1 would indicate that fish transferred in June have similar mortalities to those transferred in August despite their considerably smaller size.

Section 4 , on the value of a wet diet, demonstrates that there is little advantage in feeding a wet diet directly after transfer and that it will have no beneficial effect on the mortality. There might be a long term advantage in feeding a wet diet but this was not investigated.

The High Salt Diet would, however, appear to reduce mortalities following transfer and it is therefore worth examinine whether it has a practical application. The cost of the H.S.D. is the same as a normal diet, for although there is a reduction in the cost of the ingredients the manufacturers at present charge an additional manufacturing cost. Growth of the H.S.D. is slower as discussed in Section 5. Eowever, 2 weaks on the diet is adequate to produce the reduction in mortality. Thus, a farmer wishing to transfer his trout at a veight of 60 g should change to a H.S.D. when they weighed 52g. The growtin lost during the 2 weeks of feeding the H.S.D. can be calculated, assuming a food conversion ratio of 1:1.8 and a feeding rate of $2 \%$ body weight per day. For the equations used see Section 5.

## On a control diet

Specific Growth Rete $=\frac{2}{1.8 \% / \text { day }}$

$$
\therefore \text { S.G.R }=1.1 \% / \text { day }
$$

The factor by which weight increases in 14 days $=1.165$
$\therefore$ Weight at transfer $=60.6 \mathrm{~g}$.

On a H.S.D. (10\%)
Specific Growth Rate $=\frac{1.8}{1 . \delta}$
$\therefore$ S.G.R. $=1.0 \% / \mathrm{day}$
The factor by which weight increases in 14 days $=1.15$
$\therefore$ Weight at transfer $=59.8 \mathrm{~g}$.
$\therefore$ Weight lost by feeding H.S.D. for 14 days $=0.8 g$.

The fish fed on the H.S.D. return to active feeding after transfer several days before the control fish. Two days of normal feeding will more than makemp the $108 s$ of 0.8 g , so there is no overall reduction in weight caused by the H.S.D.

Continuing the example, if a farm produces about $50,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ of 250 g trout per annum then $200,00060 g$ trout will need to be transferred into the sea. If the level of mortality is normally $10 \%$ then 20,000 fish will be lost. By feeding the H.S.D. fewer fish will die; taking the gaving to be $30 \%$ only 14,000 fish will be lost. The 6,000 extra fish represent an immediate monetary aaving of about $\mathcal{E} 500$ (1978 prices) and at harvest will yield extra fish veighing 1500 kg and worth about $\& 2000$.

The H.S.D. can, therefore, be used to incresse the annual production of farm. Also for the same mortality fish which have been on E.S.D. can be transferred into full-strength sea water at 5 to 10 g lighter, which at feeding rate of $2 \%$ is 10 to 20 deys sooner. As discussed in

## On a control diet

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Specific Growth Rate } & =\frac{2}{1.8 \% / \text { day }} \\
\therefore \text { S.G.R } & =1.1 \% / \mathrm{day}
\end{aligned}
$$

The factor by which weight increases in 14 days $=1.165$
$\therefore$ Weight at transfer $=60.6 \mathrm{~g}$.

On a E.S.D. (10\%)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Specific Growth Rate } & =\frac{1.8}{1.8} \\
\therefore \text { S.G.R. } & =1.0 \% / \text { day }
\end{aligned}
$$

The factor by which weight increases in 14 days $=1.15$
$\therefore$ Weight at transfer $=59.8 \mathrm{~g}$.
$\therefore$ Weight lost by feeding H.S.D. for 14 days $=0.8 g$.

The fish fed on the H.S.D. return to active feeding after transfer several days before the control fish. Two days of normal feeding will more than make-up the loss of 0.8 g , so there is no overall reduction in weight caused by the H.S.D.

Continuing the example, if a farm produces about $50,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ of 250 g trout per annum then $200,00060 \mathrm{~g}$ trout will need to be transferred into the sea. If the level of mortality is normally $10 \%$ then 20,000 , fish will be lost. By feeding the H.S.D. fewer fish will die; taking the saving to be $30 \%$ only 14,000 fish will be lost. The 6,000 extra fish represent an immediate monetary saving of about $\mathcal{L} 500$ (1978 prices) and at harvest will yield extra fish veighing 1500 kg and worth about $\mathcal{L} 2000$.

The H.S.D. can, therefore, be used to increase the annual production of farm. Also for the same mortality fieh which have been on a.S.D. can be transferred into full-strength sea water at 5 to 10 g lighter, which at feeding rate of $2 \%$ is 10 to 20 days sooner. As discussed in
the introduction, there is considerable pressure to transfer trout into
the sea at the smallest possible size with acceptable mortalities. Although not obviating the problem entirely, a H.S.D. could be of assistance in reducing mortality rates of small fish.
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A 1001 plastic bin was converted into an activated charcoai dechlorinator．The movement of the water through trie charcoal was by gravity and the required delivery was $2-3 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{min}$ ．If the flow rate fell below this figure the filter was backwashed for $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~h}$ ．Every 2 months the filter was dug－out and the activated charcoal replaced． The chlorine levels of the water entering the filter varied from about 0.1 ppm to below the level of detection using the DPD method（APHis et al．，1975）about 0.02 ppri ．The water leaving the filter nad no detectable chlorine and the fish fed actively and showed no signs of depressed activity as described by Dandy（1972）．It was therefore assumed that the level in the tanks must have been below 0.005 ppu ． Seegert and Brooks（1978）using a charcoal depth of 0.2 m and a flow rate of $4.5 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{min}$ reduced the chlorine level from 0.13 ppm to 0.025 ppm even after 3 months in service．
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Table of results of Experiment 4, Section 1. Effect of size on plasma concentration and water content during direct transfer. Three different size ranges were used.

Also table of results of Experiment 5, Section 1. Effect of salinity on plasma concentration and water content during direct transfer.

| Time hours | No．in sample | Mean wt． gms． | Mean ling． cms． | \％Water $\mp$ S．D． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Osmolarity } \mp \\ & \text { S.D. m0sm/1. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 7 | 12.7 | 10.5 | $77.4 \mp 1.4$ | $316 \mp 3.9$ |
| 12 | 8 | 10.8 | 9.0 | 75.3 ¢ 0.8 | $383 \mp 16.7$ |
| 24 | 6 | 10.9 | 10.7 | 74.1 干 0.8 | 411 〒 3.6 |
| 48 | 6 | 11.7 | 10.7 | 74.1 ¢ 0.6 | $398 \mp 13.5$ |
| 72 | 6 | 10.6 | 10.1 | $76.2 \mp 0.6$ | 372 干 21 |
| 168 | 6 | 10.7 | 10.2 | 77.3 ＋ 1.7 | $359 \mp 10.8$ |
| 0 | 5 | 24.8 | 13.4 | $77.0 \mp 1.5$ | $305 \mp 3.9$ |
| 12 | 6 | 25.6 | 13.6 | $74.6 \mp 1.2$ | 353 ¢ 9.2 |
| 24 | 6 | 21.6 | 13.1 | $74.5 \mp 1.5$ | $373 \mp 10.6$ |
| 52 | 6 | 22.1 | 13.3 | $73.7 \mp 0.9$ | 374 ¢ 18.0 |
| 94 | 6 | 23.8 | 13.3 | $75.7 \mp 0.7$ | 366 ¢ 17.2 |
| 214 | 6 | 22.2 | 12.8 | $77.4 \mp 0.9$ | 329 ¢ 10.8 |
| 0 | 6 | 39.1 | 15.0 | $76.2 \mp 1.0$ | 30972.6 |
| 12 | 6 | 35.7 | 15.3 | $73.7 \mp 0.7$ | 344 ¢ 12.7 |
| 25 | 6 | 37.2 | 15.5 | $75.3 \mp 0.8$ | 366 ¢ 19.7 |
| 48 | 6 | 40.1 | 15.8 | $75.2 \mp 2.0$ | $344 \mp 18.1$ |
| 96 | 6 | 39.5 | 15.6 | $76.6 \mp 1.0$ | $348 \mp 13.8$ |
| 215 | 6 | 44.7 | 16.1 | $76.5 \mp 1.5$ | 345 ¢ 13.2 |



APPENDIX III
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## APPELILIX IV.

Graph of result from cage acclimatisation trial presented in Tables 1 and 2. The $f^{4}$ gures next to each point are the percentage mortalities from each trial. From this Eraph the nomogram ( Figure 11 ) can be constpucted. Due to the discrepancy on either side of each mortality line the mortality scale on the nomogram is marked in ranges indicating that very accurate predictions cannot be made particularly for high levels of mortality.
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