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ABSTRACT

The swimming of larval plaice Pleuronectes platessa L was recorded using silhouette cinematography and that of larval herring Clupea harengus $L$ by television and video recorder.

The swimming style of herring was found to change with growth. The amplitude of swimming movements of very young larvae increased linearly towards the tail, so that resistive rather than inertial forces were the more important. At a length of 20 mm when caudal and dorsal fins had developed, a new type of swimming was adopted in which amplitude increased more rapidly along the length of the body so indicating the greater importance of inertial forces. - Plaice larvae used pectoral fins and body waves simultaneously at cruising speeds. The fins did not produce thrust but counteracted recoil and so prevented yaw of the head. This was achieved by synchronized tail and fin movements with a $180^{\circ}$ phase difference between the strokes of each fin. Body wave speed: swimming speed ratio $u / v$ was low increasing from $0.2-0.4$ as the larvae swam faster. At burst speeds plaice larvae changed swimming style and used very high tail beat frequencies. The pectoral fins were not used and the length of the body wave lengthened.

A new kinematic model, based on the angle between segments of the body and direction of motion, was fitted to a swimming herring larvae 22 mm in length. This model demonstrated both variation in wavelength and speed of the propulsive wave within the tail beat cycle.

The distribution of red and white muscle fibre types of larval herring changed during growth. On hatching, when the larva was dependent on cutaneous respiration, red muscle fibres were arranged as a single layer under the skin. The adult distribution with red mucle concentrated along the mid-flank developed after the gills and circulation became fully functional.
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## ABSTRACT

The swimming of larval plaice Pleuronectes platessa L was recorded using silhouette cinematography and that of larval herring Clupea harengus $L$ by television and video recorder.

The swimming style of herring was found to change with growth. The amplitude of swimming movements of very young larvae increased linearly towards the tail, so that resistive rather than inertial forces were the more important. At a length of 20 mm when caudal and dorsal fins had developed, a new type of swimming was adopted in which amplitude increased more rapidly along the length of the body so indicating the greater importance of inertial forces. - Plaice larvae used pectoral fins and body waves simultaneously at cruising speeds. The fins did not produce thrust but counteracted recoil and so prevented yaw of the head. This was achieved by synchronized tail and fin movements with a $180^{\circ}$ phase difference between the strokes of each fin. Body wave speed: swimming speed ratio $u / v$ was low increasing from $0.2-0.4$ as the larvae swam faster. At burst speeds plaice larvae changed swimming style and used very high tail beat frequencies. The pectoral fins were not used and the length of the body wave lengthened.

A new kinematic model, based on the angle between segments of the body and direction of motion, was fitted to a swimming herring larvae 22 mm in length. This model demonstrated both variation in wavelength and speed of the propulsive wave within the tail beat cycle.

The distribution of red and white muscle fibre types of larval herring changed during growth. On hatching, when the larva was dependent on cutaneous respiration, red muscle fibres were arranged as a single layer under the skin. The adult distribution with red mucle concentrated along the mid-flank developed after the gills and circulation became fully functional.

SYMBOLS
a coordinate running along a larva's body.
b phase.
$c_{f} \quad$ frictional drag coefficient.
$L$ body length.
$m \quad$ virtual mass.
$P$ vector of thrust along direction of motion.
Q vector of thrust normal to direction of motion (lateral force).
$R_{L} \quad$ Reynold number based on length.
$t$ time.
$T$ total thrust.
u forward swimming speed.
$u_{p} \quad$ velocity of tail tip tangential to tail blade.
$v$ backwards velocity of propulsive wave.
w velocity of tail tip normal to tail blade.
$\alpha$ angle of attack.
$\delta$ boundary-layer thickness.
$\gamma$ angle between a tangent to any part of the body on an arbitrary $x$ axis.
$\eta_{p} \quad$ propeller efficiency.
$\theta$ angle between a tangent to any part of the body and the direction of motion.
angle between a tangent to any part of the body and the instantaneous direction of motion.
wavelength of the wave on the body measured along $a$.
wavelength of the wave on the body measured along $x$.
P density.
$\mu \quad$ viscosity.

## INTRODUCTION

The study of fish swimming has a long history, the earliest reference dating from about 600 B.C. Hora (1935) reports a passage from the Susrutasamhita (an ancient hindu work) in which body forms of fishes are correlated with patterns of locomotion and thus with their ecology. Other early work is reviewed by Gray (1968). It was not until cinéphotography was developed that much advance could be made on these simple observations. Marey (1895) used ciné techniques to record the movements of many animals including fish. He observed the basic pattern of fish swimming in which waves pass down the body from head to tail at greater speed than the fish swim forwards. It can also be seen from his photographs that amplitude increases from head to tail and that about one wavelength is included within the body.

During this century many aspects of fish swimming have been investigated both by biologists and applied mathematicians; this work is reviewed by Gray (1968), Webb (1975), and Wardle and Videler (1980). It is surprising that despite the large amount of work on adult fish there has been very little on larval fish and none is reported in these reviews.

There are several reasons for the relative neglect of swimming of fish larvae. They are nearly transparent and therefore difficult to photograph (Blaxter and Staines, 1971). This problem could not be overcome until specialized techniques were developed (Ifunter,

1972; Arnold and Nuttall-Smith, 1974). Also live fish larvae are not readily available for experiments. They are difficult to obtain from the wild since they are very delicate and so rarely survive capture. Thus detailed studies of swimming of fish larvae rely on captive rearing in aquaria. Locomotion plays an important part in many aspects of behaviour of fish larvae that is vital to their survival. Mortality of larvae in the sea may be caused by starvation, predation, mechanical damage and genetic defects. Locomotion is essential for avoiding the first three of these causes of mortality. Herring larvae are negatively buoyant (Ehrlich,1972) and swim as soon as they hatch. The amount of time herring larvae spend swimming upwards is correlated with their sinking rate and therefore it is most likely that swimming of yolk sac stage herring larvae is for depth control. Weihs (1980b) found that the swimming movements of yolk-sac anchovy larvae were also for depth control. Once the yolk-sac has been absorbed larval herring are observed to swim almost continuously at light intensities adequate for feeding (Blaxter and Staines, 1971).

Swimming is important in the avoidance of predators by using bursts of high speed but the cruising swimming speeds normally employed by larvae have an effect on their susceptibility to predation (Bailey and Batty, 1983). It was shown that the orientation of swimminq,either horizontal or vertical, had a dramatic effect on the encounter rate of herring larvae with one of their
predators, the medusadurelia aurita; encounter rate was very much higher when the medusa swam horizontally and the larva vertically.

Swimming movments
Few studies have been made of the swimming movements of fish larvae. Rosenthal (1968) filmed the swimming behaviour of herring larvae. From his pictures it is obvious that herring larvae have much more flexible bodies than adults and have somewhat different swimming motions, but a detailed analysis was not made. Apart from a comparison of speed, tailbeat frequency and amplitude in anchovy by Hunter (1972), using a silhouette illumination technique, and an application of a hydrodynamic model for the energetic cost of swimming using the same film by Vlymen (1974) there have been no kinematic analyses of swimming in fish larvae.

## Swimming speeds

Observations of swimming speed may be divided into three categories; a) Burst speeds; very greatly in excess of maximum cruising speed, using anaerobic energy and approaching maximum possible speed. b) Prolonged and critical speeds; endurance is measured over a range of speeds and a "critical" speed (as defined by Brett, 1964) is found which is an indication of the limit of aerobic activity. c) Sustained or cruising speeds; this is a simple observation of the self-imposed swimming speed employed in
food searching, migration etc and is usually much less than maximum cruising speed which is the maximum swimming speed which can be supported by aerobic respiration.

A number of measurements of larval swimming speeds have been made using a variety of techniques. Although burst speeds may be measured experimentally (Blaxter, 1962; Ryland, 1963; Radakov, 1964; Hunter, 1972), it is difficult to obtain the maximum burst speed. Hunter (1972) gives the results of a number of measurements of larval anchovy of which two exceed 25 lengths per second (L.s ${ }^{-1}$ ). This was considerably faster than any of the other speeds measured to date and may still not be a maximum speed.

Wardle (1974) tested the isotonic contraction time of fish white muscle from adults of a number of species and found it positively related to fish length; in other words, smaller fish should be able to produce higher tailbeat frequencies and hence their maximum burst speeds expressed as specific speeds (i.e. body lengths per second) will be higher. Wardle's results predicted that fish of 10 cm length could swim at $25 \mathrm{~L} . \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ but 1 m long fish may only manage $6 \mathrm{~L} . \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. He showed television pictures of a 10 cm sprat swimming at its maximum speed of $2.5 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. It is therefore possible that fish larvae, because of their small size,may be able to swim even faster than has been observed to far.

Prolonged speeds have been measured in larvae by Bishai (1959), Boyar (1961), Houde (1969), Glova and MeInerney (1977), and Laurence (1972). Specific speeds were found to be higher than in
adult fish. Interestinaly, Laurence (1972) found that starvation caused considerable reduction in the critical speed of larqemouth bass larvae.

Musculature
The development of the musculature has been thoroughly studied in Zebra fish (Brachydanio rerio). Waterman (1970) examined the fine structure and found that on hatching larvae had two structurally dissimilar types of muscle cells whose appearance suggested development into the superficial red and deep white muscle portions of the adult. Van Raamsdonk et al. (1974, 1976), described the development of the myotomes in the zebra fish. They were initially simple curved plates but which developed into the complex adult form described by Alexander (1969) and Kashin and Smolyaninov (1969)

Scope of this study
It is the aim of this study to examine the movements of
herring and plaice larvae in detail. These species were chosen because of their dissimilar larval forms. While the herring has an eel-like larva, plaice larvae are much shorter and deeper bodied. Arnold (1969) noted that plaice larvae may also use their pectoral fins for swimming, which suggests further interesting contrasts between the swimming of the two snecies. The musculature of herring larvae is also examined and the distribution of zerobic muscle fibres
related to earlier work on the respiration of herring larve by de Silva (1973).

METHODS

Rearing Techniques

Herring

In March spawning herring were caught on the Ballantrae Bank, in the Clyde Sea, by RV Calanus using a trawl net. The gonads were excised from a number of ripe male and female fish and stored, dry, in glass jars on ice. These gonads were transported back to Oban to be fertilized within 12 h.

Fertilizations were carried out according to the methods described by Blaxter (1968). The surface of herring eggs become adhesive on contact with water. Glass plates ( $45 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) were laid in a rectangular plastic container ( $52 \times 52 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) under 10 cm of seawater. Eggs were then picked up on a dry spatula and scattered in the water over the plates to give approximately 4 eggs. $\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$. Any clumps of eggs, that might cause anaerobic conditions and eventually the death of the eggs, were removed.

These plates of eggs were placed in a bath of seawater, containing the sperm from between 5 and 10 males, for 10 to 20 min . After rinsing in a bath of seawater for 5 to 10 min the fertilized eggs were placed in the rearing tanks. About 12 plates could be accommodated in each tank in pairs, back to back resting on the bottom and leaning against the wall of the tank.

Round black plastic tanks were used in two sizes: the


#### Abstract

smaller were 62 cm in diameter by 50 cm holding $130 \ell$ and the larger one were 75 cm in diameter by 60 cm holding $220 \ell$. Temperature was not controlled and depended on the incoming seawater temperature, flow rate into the tanks and air temperature in the aquarium. For this reason the seawater temperature was recorded daily and temperatures from fertilization onwards are shown for the three years 1977, 1978, 1979 in Fig. 1.

The plates of eggs were moved to tanks of clean seawater every second day until hatching started when an outflow screen, made of a short length of perspex tube with a mesh on one end, was attached to the drain tube by a hole in the wall of the outflow screen with the mesh heneath the water surface. This device prevented larvae being lost down the drain. When most of the larvae had hatched the plates were removed.


## Plaice

In 1977 and 1978 fertilized plaice eggs were kindly supplied by both the White Fish Authority, Ardtoe and by University Marine Station, Port Erin, Isle of Man. By 1979 both these organisations had stopped rearing plaice but the WFA offered to give us their brood stock which was removed to Oban on 28 February 1979.

There was no suitable cage, with a plain surface for the fish to rest on, available to keep these fish in the sea. Since

Fig. 1. Temperatures in larval rearing tanks during 1977■, 1978 ©, 1979 ○.

it is essential that flatfish experience a natural cycle of photo-period if they are to remain in spawning condition, it was necessary to set up a liqht-tight enclosure over their tank within which photo-period could be maintained. Two tanks ( 1.6 m diameter, 0.5 m deep) were set up with separate lighting systems controlled by solar dial clocks which provided a day length correspording to latitude $54^{\circ}$ north.

The fish were marked using freeze-branding (Everest and Edmundson, 1967) so that individual females could be identified and males could be distinguished from females before removal from the tank. Drikold (Solid $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) was broken up into small pieces and put in a wide neck vacuum flask and then 74 op. industrial methylated spirit was poured over the drikold. Brass branding tools were immersed in the alcohol for about 1 min to cool before applying to the fishes skin for 15 s.

Eggs were stripped from ripe females by gently pressing on the sides of the fish and running the hands from the tail towards the head. Ripe females would easily shed their eggs which were caught in a glass beaker held below the oviduct. Sperm was collected from ripe males by gently squeezing the region of the testes between finger and thumb and so pushing the sperm out. A second person collected the sperm in a syringe. The eggs from 3 females and sperm from 3 males were mixed dry and after 5 min, seawater was added. Fertilized eggs float and were removed from the surface with a tea strainer, rinsed, and then
it is essential that flatfish experience a natural cycle of photo-period if they are to remain in spawning condition, it was necessary to set up a light-tight enclosure over their tank within which photo-period could be maintained. Two tanks ( 1.6 m diameter, 0.5 m deep) were set up with separate lighting systems controlled by solar dial clocks which provided a day length corresponding to latitude $54^{\circ}$ north.

The fish were marked using freeze-branding (Everest and Edmundson, 1967) so that individual females could be identified and males could be distinguished from females before removal from the tank. Drikold (Solid $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) was broken up into small pieces and put in a wide neck vacuum flask and then 74 op. industrial methylated spirit was poured over the drikold. Brass branding tools were imersed in the alcohol for about 1 min to cool before applying to the fishes skin for 15 s .

Eggs were stripped from ripe females by gently pressing on the sides of the fish and running the hands from the tail towards the head. Ripe females would easily shed their eggs which were caught in a glass beaker held below the oviduct. Sperm was collected from ripe males by gently squeezing the region of the testes between finger and thumb and so pushing the sperm out. A second person collected the sperm in a syringe. The eggs from 3 females and sperm from 3 males were mixed dry and after 5 min , seawater was added. Fertilized eggs float and were removed from the surface with a tea strainer, rinsed, and then
floated in the rearing tanks.
Plaice eggs fertilized in the laboratory were immediately placed in the rearing tanks which were similar to those used for herring but smaller i.e. 43 cm diameter by 25 cm deep and holding $30 \ell$. Care was taken during the incubation period to ensure that eggs did not accumulate in one corner of the tank and to remove dead eggs with a siphon. An outflow screen, of the type described for use in the herring tanks, was used from the moment the eggs were introduced.

Fertilized eggs from Ardtoe or Port Erin were invariably in water of a higher salinity than our aquarium water. To prevent them from sinking and then dying on the bottom of the tank, these eggs were floated in seawater adjusted to the same salinity as the water they had arrived in, by adding sodium chloride to aquarium water. These tanks were kept in a temperature controlled room at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The antibiotics Penicillin ( 1 g ) and Streptomycin ( 0.5 g ) (Shelbourne, 1966) were added to $30 \ell$ water to prevent bacterial growth; the water was changed every 3 days and the antibiotics were renewed. When the larvae had hatched the tanks were connected to the laboratory seawater circulation.

Maintenance and Feeding
The seawater supply to the rearing tanks ran via a degassing tower (Currie, Blaxter and Joyce, 1976) which emptied into a
header tank of $220 \ell$ capacity. In addition to aeration in the degassing tower the water in the header tank was vigorously aerated. This was necessary to remove supersaturated oxygen and nitrogen present in the seawater supply. Seawater passed from the header tank to either of three intermediate small (2 $\ell$ ) header/manifold tanks 0.5 m above the water surface of the rearing tanks. These manifold tanks allowed air bubiles introduced in the header tank to be removed, the water to be filtered and for the flow to the rearing tanks to be easily controlled. Seawater was delivered to the bottom of the rearing tanks by glass tubes.

Outflow screens were removed and cleaned daily to prevent blockage with food or debris and consequent overflow and loss of larvae. The bottom of the tanks were cleaned and dead larvae removed with a siphon. A oily film tended to build up on the water surface and could trap gas bubbles which might be ingested by larvae. To remove this film a desk lamp was positioned to shine on one part of a tank which would attract the majority of the larvae. The oily film was then removed by skimming with a glass beaker.

Feeding started once the yolk sac was resorbed at about 10 days from hatching. In 1977 Balanus nauplii were offered, during the first 2 weeks of feeding, until feeding was established with Artemia nauplii. Throughout development larvae were fed on Artemia but in 1977 the harpacticoid copepod Tigriopus was
collected from rock pools to supplement the diet from June onwards. Natural plankton was also provided in all 3 years; living zooplankton attracted to light was separated and sieved to remove predators and over-large food organisms.

Photography
Fish larvae are difficult to photograph because they are nearly transparent. This means that $i 亡$ is necessary to use special techniques designed to give increased contrast. Silhouette or shadow-graph photography techniques were developed and Fig. 2 shows three such techniques.

The most simple method of high-speed silhouette photography, developed by Edgerton (1970) and used to photograph small rapidly moving organisms (Edgerton, 1977) and to identify zooplankton (Ortner et al., 1979), has been adapted here to photograph fish larvae (Fig. 2A). This same technique can also be used for measurement of fish larvae (Neave and Batty, 1982).

The two other techniques used here have already been used by other workers to make cine films of fish larvae. Fig. 2 B shows the method used by Hunter (1972) for anchovy larvae. A single or double condenser is used to focus the light source on the camera lens, with the subject placed between the condenser and the camera. The third method (Fig. 2C), shadow cinematography, was developed and used by Arnold and Nuttal-Smith (1974) to film plaice larvae. In this method the subject is inserted between the collimator and condenser lens. This allows for a large depth of field but the

Fig. 2. Three silhouette photography methods:
A, a simple shadow method using no lenses (Edgerton 1977),
B, using a condenser lens and camera,
C, Shadowgraph method (Arnold and Nuttall-Smith 1974).

$\varangle$
quality of the lenses may limit resolution and contrast.
The simple method (Fig. 2A) is probably the best for taking still photographs and was used here to make photographs of herring and plaice larvae for measuring their fin areas and body dimensions. None of these silhouette methods seemed appropriate for filming herring larvae which grow to 40 mm at metamorphosis. Either the depth of water in the tank or the field of view are limited or, in the case of Arnold and Nuttal-Smith's method, expensive purpose-made lenses are required. Another technique was therefore adopted.

Wardle and Reid (1977) used a reflective background to produce silhouette images of adult fish swimming. In this technique a strobe light source is positioned next to a cine or TV camera lens and "Scotchlite" (the retroreflective material used on vehicle number plates and road signs) is used as the background on the floor of the tank. Scotchlite reflects within $4^{\circ}$ of the incident light and therefore the simple technique used by Wardle and Reid. would not work at the smaller camera-to-subject distances which were necessary when filming larval fish.

Their technique was modified by inserting a semi-silvered mirror or a sheet of uncoated optical glass between the lens and the subject at $45^{\circ}$ to the light path. In effect the light source and camera lens share the same optical axis. This allows light from the strobe to be reflected towards the subject and the subject to be observed by the camera Fig. 3.


Fig. 3. A diagram showing the apparatus used to record larval swimming movements. C, TV camera; S. strobe lamp
(synchronized with TV field pulse); M, semi-silvered mirror, T. small tank containing subjects;

R, retro-reflector ('Scotchlite').

For detailed analysis of a high film speed of at least 50 frames $s^{-1}$ is required in order to have sufficient frames per tailbeat. When ciné film is used at these speeds a 30 m roll of film can be used in 2 min . Therefore, unless the subjects can be confined to the field of view, a great deal of film could be wasted for few results. Closed circuit television can be used with a video tape recorder for up to 1 h of continuous recording at 50 fields.s ${ }^{-1}$.

In the present experiments a television camera fitted with a newvicon tube was used with a National Panasonic slow motion video tape recorder. This type of tube has less smearing than the cheaper vidicon tube but the effective shutter speed is still greater than $1 / 50$ th $s$. To get sharp images a strobed light source was used. This device was synchronized to the television field pulses and provided $10 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ flashes of 0.15 J from a Wotan XE15, 15W strobe tube.

## Still photographs

Eastman Kodak 35 mm Fine Grain Release Positive film 5302 was used, a length being loaded into a 35 mm cassette. The leader was fixed to the spool of another cassette so that a length of film could be drawn from one cassette, exposed and then wound into the other cassette. In this way a number of exposures could be made, and then developed together on one length of film.


#### Abstract

Larvae were carefully removed from theif tanks and placed in seawater which had been filtered through a glass fibre filter (GFC3) to eliminate light-scattering particles in the water. Larvae were transferred by Dipette through two beakers of this water before being placed in a tank made of microscope glass stuck along the edges with 'araldite' $(37 \times 25 \times 74 \times 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ thick). Top views were taken in this way but for profiles the larvae were anaesthetized with benzocaine. Benzocaine was dissolved in acetone at $50 \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{p}^{-1}$ and this solution was added to filtered seawater to give a dilution of $1: 40,000$ and then filtered again. This anaesthetic-seawater solution was used in the photographic tank. Larvae were quickly anaesthetized and then tended to lay on their sides so allowing the profile photographs to be easily obtained. Once returned to pure seawater the larvae recovered quickly.


## Silhouette cinématography

Preliminary work on the swimming of plaice larvae was carried out using the television technique described in the previous section. The pictures obtained showed that pectoral fins were used simultaneously with body movements and that their movement was, in some way, synchronized with tail movement. No clear fin outline could be discerned and therefore no more than this simple observation could be made.

High speed silhouette photography would be ideal for recording
larval swimming if the film could move continuously past the subject and an intermittent light source such as a strobe used to expose the film. A simple machine was therefore built to achieve this as shown in Fig. 4. Film, wound on spool A with a long leader of approximately 15 m of scrap film, is drawn over the large diameter drum to spool B. The drum and take-up spool are driven by separate motors both operated at full power. The drum is driven via a reduction gearbox to give a full speed of 600 rpm , and the take-up spool is driven directly by a $12,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ motor. The final speed is controlled by the larger motor which drives the drum.

Exposures are made by a strobe flash of the same type used for the $T V$ recording but driven by a variable speed triggering circuit. The 'frame rate' is controlled by the frequency of the strobe so that successive fields do not overlap. Above the drum and spools is the lid which also forms the mask and observation tank. In the present apparatus 70 mm film is used to give a large field of view $58 \times 58 \mathrm{~mm}$ of the entire tank. This format allows 70 frames. $s^{-1}$ at full speed. A print of a single frame from a negative is shown in Fig. 5.

## Histology

Herring and plaice larvae were sampled at frequent intervals during their development. Frozen sections were cut in a cryostat and then histochemical staining methods were used to demonstrate

Fig. 4. The silhouette "camera". (A) plan view, (B) side view, c container for subjects, d drum, f 70 mm film, lid, m drum motor, n take up spool motor, r rubber coupling, s supply spool, t take up spool.


Fig. 5. A print from one frame of a silhouette film showing plaice larvae at various stages. The reference scales have 1 cm divisions and are 5 cm long.

the occurrence and distribution of different muscle fibre types in larval muscle.

Cryostat Sections.
Larvae were carefully removed from the rearing tanks with a pipette or a beaker and then anaesthetized and killed with an overdose of benzocaine. Larvae $O$ § less than 12 mm could be attached to the block while others had to be cut into one or more pieces. Larvae were mounted on the block by holding one end of a length of body between forceps and touching the other to a drop of water on a block. The material was carefully held in this position until freezing was complete. Once the end of the larva had frozen and adhered to the block freezing was accelerated using a freon aerosol spray directed at the larva and the block.

In order to add strength to the specimen and prevent it cracking up during sectioning, a column of ice was slowly built up around the specimen, by adding very small quantities of water taking care not to thaw the specimen. Sections were then cut using a wedge microtome knife and individually transferred to microscope slides where they were allowed to dry in air. The sections were not fixed before staining.

Staining
(a) Succinic dehydrogenase

Initially the methods described by Chayen et al.(1969) and

Pearse (1972) were tried with adult herring and with larvae. They were unsuccessful with the larvae and the results were variable with adult herring. Often the intensity of staining would diminish towards the skin. The simple methods described rely on the use of an excess of nitroblue tetrazolium, but if phenazine methosulphate (PMS), which acts as a hydrogen acceptor taking hydrogen from the flavoprotein of the dehydrogenase, is added to the reagent, then an enhanced reaction results and the nitroblue tetrazolium concentration can be reduced to one fifth.

This improved method did not, however, provide good staining in adult muscle or larval muscle of herring. The reason for this is that PMS is effectively competing with oxygen for hydrogen atoms, since succinate dehydrogenase is directly linked to the electron transfer chain. When incubations were carried out in a desiccator which was evacuated and then filled with nitrogen only a slight improvement resulted, but the sections often beqne detached from the slide and were lost. Cyanide, a respiratory poison, blocks the electron transfer chain by inhibiting cytochrome $a+a_{2}$, the final stage in the electron tranfer chain where oxygen is reduced to water; PMS is now free to react with the flavoprotein of succinate dehydrogenase without any competition from oxygen via the electron transfer chain. The reactions involved in this method are summarized in Fig. 6.

The following incubation medium was developed to demonstrate

Fig. 6. Reactions in the SDHase staining incubation.
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succinic dehydrogenase reliably in larval muscle. The two reagents $A$ and $B$ were mixed in equal proportions on the slide and incubated for 20 min at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

A

| Phosphate buffer 0.5 m pH 7.4 | 20 ml |
| :--- | ---: |
| Nitroblue tetrazolium HCl | 7 mg |
| Phenazine methosulphate | 2 mg |
| Succinate | 0.68 g |
| B |  |
| Phosphate buffer 0.5 mpH 7.4 | 20 ml |
| Potassium cyanide |  |

For control incubations malonate, an inhibitor of succinate dehydrogenase, was used. Malonate competes with succinate for the active site of succinate dehydrogenase. Control slides were given a 20 min pre-incubation with the following solution:

Phosphate buffer $0.5 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{pH} 7.4 \quad 20 \mathrm{ml}$
Malonate $\quad 0.14 \mathrm{~g}$
These slides were then incubated with the reagent $A$ poisoned with malonate and equal quantity of reagent $B$, for 20 min .

Reagent $A$
20 ml
Malonate
0.14 g

Nitroblue tetrazolium is reduced by PMS to form an insoluble
formazan which is deposited in the tissue at the site of the reaction and so stains the sites where the enzyme occurs a dark blue. The rest of the tissue remains clear.

To preserve the sections and to allow counterstaining,slides were fixed in $10 \%$ phosphate-buffered formalin for 10 min . Initially an aqueous eosin was used as the counterstain but this was found to fade very quickly, leaving the tissue background completely unstained. It was felt that a mordant stain would give more permanent results. Nuclear fast red, with aluminium sulphate as the mordant, was used and could not be washed out with very lengthy washing. The following formula was used:

| Nuclear fast red | 0.1 g |
| :--- | :--- |
| Aluminium sulphate | 5 g |
| Thymol | 1 crystal |
| Water | 100 ml |

Slides were stained for 3 to 8 min and then mounted in Gray and Wess's Medium (Gray, 1958). The formula is shown below:

## Gray and Wess's Medium

| Polyvinyl alcohol | 2 g |
| :--- | ---: |
| $70 \%$ acetone | 7 ml |
| Glycerin | 5 ml |
| Lactic Acid | 5 ml |
| Water | 10 ml |

The sections stained by this method show succinate dehydrogenase stained dark blue with nuclei magenta and cytoplasm stained varying shades of pink. Connective tissue remains unstained. Sections can be preserved for at least 5 years. Drying out of the mounting medium was prevented by sealing the edge of the coverslip with clear nail varnish.
(b) Lipids

Two methods were used to stain lipid: Oil red $O$ in isopropanol (Culling, 1963) and Gelatine-Sudan (Govan, 1944). Frozen sections were fixed before staining. A saturated solution of oil red o (0.25 to $0.5 \%$ ) in isopropanol ( 30 ml ) was diluted with 20 ml of distilled water and then kept in a tightly closed container. This solution only keeps for 1-2 h.

The schedule was as follows:
(1) Place slides in stain in closed container for $10-15 \mathrm{~min}$.
(2) Differentiate in 60\% alcohol to clear background.
(3) Wash in water.
(4) Counterstain nuclei lightly in Mayer's hedmotoxylin.
(5) Blue in tap water.
(6) Mount in Gray and Wess's Medium.

Lipids were stained bright red by this method.
Sudan III and Sudan IV, as a saturated solution in acetone, was added drop by drop to $1 \%$ gelatin in $1 \%$ acetic acid, with constant stirring until a brick-red milky fluid was obtained. This
fluid was placed in a $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ incubator for 2 h to evaporate off the acetone. Before use the stain was filtered through a coarse paper. The schedule was as follows:
(1) Place slides in $1 \%$ gelatin for 3 min.
(2) Stain for 30 min in Sudan Suspension.
(3) Wash in $1 \%$ Gelatin for 3 min .
(4) Counterstain nuclei with Mayer's hamatoxylin for 4-6 min.
(5) Blue in tap water.
(6) Mount in Gray and Wess's Medium. Lipids were stained an orange red.

## ANALYTICALTECHNIQUES

A common approach for describing the motions of a fishes body during swimming, and to find the wavelength, speed, amplitude and frequency of the propulsive wave, is to examine the excursions of a few fixed points on the body. This approach has been used by Bainbridge (1963), Grillner and Kashin (1976) and Videler and Wardle (1978). These workers were all able to pick out at least five separate points such as the base and tip of a dorsal fin or, in the case of Grillner and Kashir.use reflective beads sewn onto the dorsal surface of the fish.

Neither of these approaches could be used here with fish larvae since it is difficult, due to their near transparency, to pick out the edges of structure from photographs and it would be


#### Abstract

most difficult to attach suitably sized reflective beads to a fish larva, even if they were hardy enough to allow it.

An alternative method was used here which depends on the use of a computer to find fixed points on the notochord given only an outline of the larva. The points found by the computer were then used to calculate the parameters of the propulsive wave illustrated in Fig. 7.


Data Aquisition
A computer-aided method was used to analyse the films and television recordings. Before analysis, interesting sections of television recordings were copied 'frame by frame' onto 35 mm film. This was done using a single lens reflex camera, fitted with a 90 mm focal length lens, and firmly mounted in front of the television monitor. Since the television's tube takes 20 ms to scan one fielda shutter speed of more than ${ }^{1 / 50} s$ must be used. In order to get even exposures of the whole field it was best to use a slower shutter speed of ${ }^{1 / 4} \mathrm{~s}$ and an aperture of f/11 with 125 ASA film.

Negative copies of the television recordings were back projected onto a digitizing table which had been built specifically for this work. A full description is included in Appendix 1. A slightly different method was used with the 70 mm silhouette films. In this case it was found better to project individual frames onto sheets of paper and trace the outlines

Fig. 7. Diagrams demonstrating body wave parameters. A) shows $\lambda_{b}, \lambda_{s}$, and $\theta$ (the angle between any part of the body and the direction of motion. (B) and (C) define swimming speed $u$ and wave speed $v$ from the passage of wave crests down the body. In (B) the two consecutive notochord lines are in their real positions and in (C) they are moved so that the heads are superimposed.

$\longleftarrow$ Direction
of a larva. The sheets were then fixed to the digitizing table where whole outlines of the body and pectoral fins were traced with the cursor. Two reference points were also recorded in every frame.

The digitizer was linked to, and controlled by, a Hewlett Packard 9825 desktop computer. The computer converted the data from the digitizer into $x$ and $y$ coordinates and transformed the coordinates from the recorded outlines to a unified coordinate system based on the reference points, which were two fixed positions within the camera's field of view. The data for the outlines were then recorded on magnetic tape for subsequent analysis.

The computer programmes used, both in recording data from the digitizer and in analysis of the data, are described in Appendix 2.

## Body Waves

The data collected by the digitizer and computer consist of two series of (more than 100) $x y$ coordinates, one for each side of the fish. It would be difficult to analyse the data in this form, because of their complexity and bulk. The analysis is to find velocity, wavelength and frequency of the body waves and, as already mentioned, this can be most conveniently done by considering the movements of fixed points at intervals along the notochord.

Here a method is used to find a set of fixed points centred on the notochord along a length coordinate $a$. This coordinate system is illustrated in Fig. 8. This data reduction was achieved in two stages. First, a series of points making up a line, midway between the two sides of the larvae, was found. Then having defined the coordinate a as the line joining these points; the $x$ and $z$ coordinates for 11 points at 0.1 a increments along a from head $=0$ to tail $=1$ were found by interpolation.

A simple method was used to compute the centre line which therefore cut down the running time of the programme. The digitizing sub-routines shown in Appendix 2 allowed for a fixed resolution (i.e. the minimum distance between successive points recorded by the routine 'line'), and so, if a certain speed was not exceeded when tracing with the digitizer cursor, the points recorded would be reasonably evenly spaced. The number of points on each side was then reduced to 50 by removing points at appropriate intervals.

There were now 50 pairs of points on the left and right sides of the larva. The $x, z$ coordinates of a mid-point between each of these pairs was computed so that they made up a line approximating to the centre line or notochord. These points were then filtered or smoothed in two stages by applying the following two pairs of equations in succession.
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{n}=\left(x_{n-1}+2 x_{n}+x_{n+1}\right) / 4 \\
& \left.z_{n}=z_{n-1}+2 z_{n}+z_{n+1}\right) / 4 \\
& x_{n}=\left(x_{n-2}+2 x_{n-1}+3 x_{n}+2 x_{n+1}+x_{n+2}\right) / 9 \\
& z_{n}=\left(z_{n-2}+2 z_{n-1}+3 z_{n}+2 z_{n+1}+z_{n+2}\right) / 9
\end{aligned}
$$

Length coordinates a in units of $L$ the length of the larvae were then computed for this filtered data using this equation:

$$
a_{n}=\sum_{a=2}^{a=n} \sqrt{ }\left(\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)^{2}+\left(z_{n}-z_{n-1}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

All these values of a were adjusted to make them vary from 0 to 1 (i.e. head $=0$, tail $=1$ ) by dividing each value by $L$.

The second stage of data reduction, finding a set of 11 fixed points on a at 0.1a intervals from head to tail, could be done by interpolation. A simple method was used where the $x$ and $z$ coordinates were found in the interval in which they occurred by assuming that adjacent points were joined by a straight line.

Now that the data were reduced to $x$ and $z$ coordinates for fixed positions on a it was a simple task to transform them to a coordinate system based on the direction of motion. The direction of motion was found by using a geometric regression
(Teissier, 1948), in which neither variable is independent, on all the $x$ and $z$ values for all the points on $a$ and for all the frames of a sequence. The full set of coordinates could then be rotated to fit on a new $x$ axis which ran through the direction of motion.

It was now possible to find the various parameters of the body waves (summarized by Fig. 7) which have already been discussed in the introduction to this section.

Videler and Wardle (1973) used linear regression analysis to find the velocity of the propulsive wave $v$. They plotted the positions of wave crests, on the a coordinate, against time so that the regression coefficient was an estimate of da/dt. In actual fact $v$ is equal to $d x / d t$. Videler and Wardle were able to calculate $v$ by multiplying da/dt by the ratio $F$ the distance on the $x$ axis spanned by the body divided by the body length ( $L$ ).

$$
F=L /\left(x_{n}-x_{0}\right)
$$

This should compensate for bending of the body during swimming, but in the analysis of larval swimming, body angles (Fig. 11) are much larger than in adult fish which would make the ratio $F$ larger. This adjustment might still be applied but any change in wave speed $v$ during the passage of one wave could not be detected, because only mean speed could be calculated. Videler and Wardle used a weighted regression, adding extra weight to points with greater amplitude (i.e. nearer the tail) assuming that
the errors would be less significant. But as amplitude increases towards the tail the compression of the coordinate $a$ on the $x$ coordinate also increases. This could lead to a large error in computing $v$ in this case. It was considered better to measure actual wave crest $d x / d t$.

The $z$ displacements of each point against time were plotted on one page (see Fig. 9 as an examnle). The time at which a wave crest passed through each point was noted and then instead of regressing this against time the corresponding $x$ coordinate was used.

Fig. 10 A, $B$ shows plots of a against time; the fitted regression lines give da/dt and it appears that da/dt is reasonably constant and independent of a. But plots of $x$ against time for the same sequence, shown in Fig. $10 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$, demonstrate that dx/dt does sometimes vary along the length of the larva. This value of $d x / d t$ is equal to $v-u$ and $v$ may be calculated simply by adding the value of $u$ the forward speed (see Fig. 7). Two values for $v$ were calculated from each wave, using either all the points on a or the last 6; in other words a mean or posterior $v\left(v_{m} ; v_{p}\right)$.

## Pectoral Fin Movements

A simple analysis of the pectoral fin movements of plaice larvae was made in order to describe their nature and to relate them to simultaneous body movements. It was not possible to

Fig. 9. An example of a plot of $z$ displacement of a larval herring against time for each of the eleven points on a (from $a=0$ at the top (head) to $a=1$ at the bottom) (tail )).


Fig. 10. Wave crest positions against time for an 11 mm herring larva ( $A$ and $B$ ) and a 22 mm herring larva $(C$ and $D)$. Position is shown on the body co-ordinate a (A and C) and on the $x$ co-ordinate, i.e. direction of motion ( $B$ and D).




distinguish and follow individual fin rays through a fin stroke cycle as Blake (1979) did in the angelfish (Pherophyllum eimekei). Left and right fin-tip coordinates were defined for each frame as the point on the fin outline farthest from the base of the fin. Having found the fin-tip, the fin angle was defined as the angle between the tip of the snout, the fin base and the fin tip. The phase and frequency of fin movements and body movements could now be compared by plotting fin tip angles and tail tip $z$ displacement against time on the same graph.

Since it was not possible to find the positions of individual fin rays the three dimensional shapes of the fins were not known, and for this reason a measurement of angle of attack, to the water flow, could not be made. An estimation of this angle of attack was taken as the projected area of the fin seen from above. When this projected fin area was low, angle of attack with the water flow was high and vice versa.

## RESULTS

Swimming movements
Herring
Swimming speeds of 5 to $20 \mathrm{~mm} . \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ were observed in first feeding larvae about 10 mm long. Swimming speed increased with length, larvae 22 mm long having swimming speeds in the range 5 to $60 \mathrm{~mm} . \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. Two examples of herring larvae swimming are shown in Fig. 11. The figure shows the outlines of two larvae, of 11 mm and 22 mm length, from successive 20 ms frames of the TV recording. Top views are shown drawn to different scales in order to compare the details of movement. This figure clearly shows apparent similarities between the two larvae. For example there is slightly more than one wavelength on the body at any time, the wave moving along the body with increasing amplitude towards the tail.

Further analysis shows differences in detail between the two sizes of larva. In Fig. 12 amplitude versus length curves are shown for the 11 mm and 22 mm larva and also for a 60 mm juvenile herring. The amplitudes of both lateral movements $z$ and of the angle $\theta$ between the body and the direction of motion, which in this case is the $x$ axis, are plotted. The shape of both curves is different in the two sizes of larvae. For the 11 mm larva the amplitude of lateral movement and the angle $\theta$ increase linearly from head to tail. In contrast the

Fig. 11. Outlines of herring larvae swimming, $A$, and 11 mm larva; $B, ~ a ~ 22 \mathrm{~mm}$ larva; note difference in scale. Frames are at 20 ms intervals.
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Fig. 12. Maximum relative amplitudesX, for each length co-ordinate $a$, and maximum angle $\theta$ of each interval between the points, shown by the symbolO,(A) for an 11 mm herring larva; (B) for a 22 mm herring larva; (C) for a 60 mm herring juvenile (with the adult form).

lateral movement and $\theta$ in the 22 mm larva increase at a steadily increasing rate from head to tail and are lower at the head and in the anterior part of the body compared with the 11 mm larvae. Note that the angle $\theta$ at the tail is less than $90^{\circ}$ for the 11 mm larvae but reaches $100^{\circ}$ for the 22 mm larva. In the 11 mm larva the whole body is involved in thrust production as shown by the linearity of the amplitude of lateral movement. In the 22 mm larva the situation is quite different; here the anterior part of the body, for about one-third of its length, is almost rigid.

The movement of wave crests of the propulsive wave on the bodies of 11 mm and 22 mm larvae swimming at $13 \mathrm{~mm} . \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. are shown in Fig. 10. Wave crest position is plotted both along a (the body co-ordinate) and along $x$ (the direction of motion). Note that the wave speed is constant along the body of the 11 mm larva (Fig. 10A) and along its direction of motion (Fig. 10B). In the 22 mm larva, the anterior part of the body is rigid but the wave continues along the rest of the body at a constant speed (Fig. 10C). The speed of the wave along the direction of motion decreases towards the tail (Fig. 10D) due to the large values of $\theta$ nearer the tail. The speed of the body wave can be expressed as $v$ for all the body or $v_{p}$ for the posterior part only. It is lateral movements that are important in propulsion and since amplitude increased rapidly over the posterior part of the body only $v_{p}$ is important.

The ratio of swimming speed to wave speed $u / v_{p}$ is a measure of the slippage of the wave speed in relation to the water. The ratio lies between 0.2 and 0.4 (Fig. 13) which is much lower than found in adult fish. There is apparently a slightly higher efficiency at faster swimming speeds.

## Plaice

Cruising swimming of plaice larvae is characterized by the simultaneous use of pectoral fins and body waves,fig. 14A, B. On the only recorded occasion when pectoral fins were used alone no forward progress was made. Body waves without the use of pectoral fins were only used at burst speeds.Fig. 14C.
a) Body waves.

Wave characteristics were analysed for two sizes of larvae, 7 mm and 11 mm . Two examples of amplitude of $z$ displacement along the body are shown in Fig. 15, together with angle $\theta$ for the intervals between each a increment. Fig. 15A is for a 7 mm larva and Fig. 15B for a 11 mm larva. These amplitude curves are very similar to those for adult fish swimming in the subcarangiform mode (Grillner and Kashin, 1976). There is a major difference between larval and adult fish in the body angle $\theta$ curve. As with herring larvae a much larger tail $\theta$ occurs at the moderate cruising speed employed here than has been found in similar analyses of adult fish crusing. Indeed in larvae, tail angles of greater than



Fig. 14. Computer plotted outlines of plaice larvae swimming, derived from silhouette photographs.
a) an example of cruising swimming. b)
turning. c) burst speed swimming. 14 ms
between frames.

Fig. 15. Maximum relative amplitudes for each length co-ordinate a point and maximum anqle $\theta$ of each interval between the points眰, A for a 7 mm plaice larva and $B$ for an 11 mm plaice larva.

$90^{\circ}$ have been observed at highercruisiry speeds and during acceleration.

The movement of plaice body waves along the body is shown in Fig. 16. The head and body up to 0.2 L of both 7 mm and 11 mm plaice larvae act as one stiff element as in the 22 mm herring larva; Fig. 16A, C. When the position of wave crests on the $x$ axis are plotted Fig. 16B,D it is clear that wave speed is almost constant along the body of the 7 mm larva (Fig. 16B) but that wave speed slows down towards the tail of the 11 mm larva (Fig. 16D). It is notable that the measured wave speeds $v$ show no positive correlation with forward swimming speed $u$ (Fig. 17). In adult fish u/v is relatively constant (Videler and Wardle, 1978). The technique used to find $v$ has some bearing on the result since for an 11 mm plaice larva $v$ varies with position on the body (Fig. 16D), decreasing from head to tail, when $u$ was above $30 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{sec}$. For this reason both $v_{m}$ and $v_{p}$ are plotted in Fig. 17 which shows the changing swimming style at higher cruising speeds. Fig. 18, a plot of $u / v$ against speed $u$, shows a clear increase in $u / v$ with swimming speed and the change in style.

If $v$ does vary along the body then so must wave length $\lambda_{b}$ ' otherwise the larvae would be ripped apart if frequency changed. Since frequency is equal to $v / \lambda_{b}$, when speed $v$ decreases the wavelength $\lambda_{b}$ must get shorter.

Tail-tip amplitude varies little with speed; therefore maximum tail $\theta$ must increase with swimming speed as the

Fig. 16. Wave crest positions against time for a 7 mm plaice larva ( $A$ and $B$ ) and an 11 mm plaice larva ( $C$ and D). Position is shown on the body coordinate a ( $A$ and $C$ ) and on the $x$ coordinate, i.e. direction of motion ( $B$ and D).




Fig. 17. Plots of $v_{m} O$, and $v_{p} \square$, for plaic̣e larvae against $u$ with fitted regression lines.


tailwards decrease in $v$ becomes more pronounced. This may be the means of increasing thrust sorce with speed. Unfortunately, maximum measured values for $\theta$ at the tail are not very close to the true maximum value since the technique did not provide sufficient frames per second. Films at a higher frame rate would be necessary to confirm this conclusion.

Mean values of $\lambda_{b} / L$ (body wavelength in units of body length). the number of waves included on the body and amplitude (A) are shown in Table $I$, for two body lengths, 7.2 mm and 10.0 mm . There is little đifference between the two sizes of larva and both include slightly more than one wave on their body when swimming at cruising speeds.
b) Pectoral fin movements

An example of an analysis of pectoral fin movements during steady forward swimming is shown in Fig. 19. This diagram clearly shows the synchronisation of pectoral fins with body waves. Their movements are of the same frequency but there is a $180^{\circ}$ phase difference between the left and the right pectoral fin. Projected fin areas are plotted on the same axis as fin angle in Fig. 19 and give an indication of anale of attack. The projected fin area (an indication of angle of attack) varies at twice the frequency of fin beating, showing that lift or negative lift may be produced on both strokes.

Tallward strokes of the pectoral fins may have little

Table I.

Mean values of body wave parameters fron two plaice larvae swimming at cruising speeds.

| Length | Length | $\lambda_{b} / L$ | Waves | $A / L$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| on | on $x$ |  | on Body |  |
| mm | mm | $L$ |  | $L$ |
| 7.2 | 6.7 | .84 | 1.1 | .32 |
| 10.0 | 9.2 | .82 | 1.1 | .35 |



Fig. 19. Pectoral fin movements during straight swimming of a 10 mm plaice larvae. left fin angle,left fin area, right fin angle, Oright fin area, tail tip lateral displacement.
propulsive effect. Figure 20, a graph of fin-tip $x$ coordinate against time, demonstrates the low or zero effect on the water of tail strokes, but the synchronisation of pectoral fin strokes with propulsive waves will tend to reduce head yaw by counteracting the recoil effect produced by the tail fin strokes. The pectoral fin strokes are perfectly timed to do this and improve efficiency by reducing drag induced by swimming movements.
c) Turning

Pectoral fin movements change during turning. In the example shown in Fig. $14(B)$ the larva is turning to the right. The right fin moves between a point perpendicular to the body and a point $180^{\circ}$ from the head, as in straight swimming, but the left fin moves between 0 and $90^{\circ}$ from the head; this situation is reversed in left turns. An analysis of this turn (Fig. 21) shows a movement similar to straight swimming except for this change in the stroke of the left fin to move in the range 0 to $90^{\circ}$ from the head.

The part played by the pectoral fins during turning is not clear, especially since the turning couple on the head would tend to rotate the head against the desired direction.

```
d) Burst Speeds
At burst speeds only body waves are used (Fig. 14C) and are apparently of a different form to cruising speed swimming.
```


(шس) ә!סu!p1000 $\times$ ио d!! u!



Fig. 21. Pectoral.fin movements during a right turn. Labelled as in Fig. 19.

Unfortunately the photographic method used has limited the number of frames per tail beat to 2, at this speed, so that a proper analysis of the propulsive waves cannot be made. An examination of the outlines of a 7 mm larva shown in Fig. 14 C indicates that wavelength $\lambda_{b}$ is longer than one body length and that amplitude is much greater than during cruising. These two changes cause less than one complete wave to be included on the body. It seems that the larva has "changed gear" in order to swim at this higher speed of $140 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\left(20 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ whilst using a very high tail beat frequency of 35 Hz . A considerable yaw of the head is seen in this sequence, compared with cruising swimming (Fig. 14A) when pectoral fin movements are used. Measurements of maximum tail beat frequencies of the plaice larvae are plotted in Fig. 22 together with similar data obtained by Bainbridge (1958) for adult fish and by Hunter (1972) for anchovy larvae.

The graph demonstrates that maximum tailbeat frequency is strongly dependent on size, increasing as size decreases. This observation is confirmed by the findings of wardle (1975) on the contraction time of adult muscle which shows the same type of size effect.

## Body dimensions

Herring
Measurements were made from tracings of the projected silhouette photographs. The fin positions and body and tail

Fig. 22. Maximum tail beat frequencies of different sizes of fish. O plaice larvae, anchovy larvae
(Hunter, 1972), ■ adult fish (Bainbridge, 1958).

blade depth were measured at stages throughout development.
Fig. 23 shows outlines of herring larvae at different stages, together with the parameters measured.

The position of the pectoral fins is very important for stability in the vertical plane. They produce lift or a downward force as a means of altering body orientation and maintaining position within the water column. Fig. 24D is a plot of the position of the pectoral fins in units of body length from the head. This graph clearly shows that the pectoral fins are very far forward throughout larval life but do move tailwards during this time. The position of the centre of mass is not known since it is difficult to measure in a fish larva, but due to the uniformity of the cross section of the body it is probably close to, but less than, half the body length from the head. It appears that the centre of lift is always well ahead of the centre of mass. This accounts for the observation that young larvae, particularly, swim with the body inclined to the horizontal with the head uppermost. This attitude allows some thrust force produced by the tail to act as lift and soaugment the lift produced by the pectoral fins shifting the centre of lift backwards. The position of the trailing edge of the dorsal fin in units of $L$ is plotted in Fig. 24C. The edge of this fin remains in the region 0.74 to 0.75 L until late in development. At lengths greater than 30 mm it moves forward to about 0.68 L at metamorphosis ( 40 mm length). This fin is developed unusually

Fig. 23. Outline profiles of herring during growth, drawn to the same size but not the same scale. The length in $m m$ is shown on the left of each drawing. The dorsal fin of the 102 mm juvenile is drawn as a broken line since it can be folded against the body.
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Fig. 24. Changes of important dimensions of herring larvae during growth in length $L$. (A) maximum body depth/L; (B) tail depth/L; (C) tip of dorsal fin/L; (D) position of pectoral fins/L.

early in the clupeolds and is very large in the larval stages. Its position well back on the body is also unusual; in fact it migrates considerably further forward after metamorphosis to 0.58 L at a length of 17.5 cm . Another interesting feature of the herring larva's body shape is the position of maximum body height. Once the dorsal fin has been developed, at around 15 mm long, the maximum body height is within the base of this fin and would be even if the height of the fin were excluded. The body is very deep at this point due to position of the gut, the largest part of which is situated at this part of the body (see Fig. 23).

In examining body height (Fig. 24A) the fullness of the gut has not been considered. Disregarding relative fullness of the gut, body height increases from 0.1 to 0.17 as a proportion of length during development. Observations have shown that a full gut causes a slight increase in body height. It has also been observed that the gut is always filled from the rear (i.e. food is quickly packed down to the end of the gut). The significance of this concentration of body height and large mass (due to a full gut) in this region and its effects on the dynamics of swimming are discussed later. The height of the tail blade is plotted in Fig. 24B. It is shown that tail height increases steadily with length and is generally slightly less than maximum body height.

Plaice
In a similar manner to the herring the pectoral fins of plaice larvae move tailwards during development, from about 0.13 to 0.25 L (Fig. 25A). This movement is more dramatic than in the herring and is particularly interesting since the pectoral fins are very important for the plaice larva in counteracting head yaw (see page 54 ). The position of attachment of these fins is very important in this respect and their movements may have to be modified to cope with it.

Plaice larvae differ greatly from the herring in their body height, plaice having very deep bodies. Maximum body depth and tail blade height are plotted together in Fig. 25B. Tail heights of plaice are very similar to those of herring but body height is much greater than tail height throughout development. The ratio of body to tail height increasing fromslightly less than 2 to about 3.

The position of maximum body height varies. It can be within the length of the gut or behind it, but is always less than 0.5 L (Table II).

## Muscle Histology <br> SDHase staining

Photomicrographs of stained sections of herring larvae are shown in Fig. 26. These transverse sections show two distinct areas in the body muscle, one unstained area and the other of red muscle staining strongly for SDHase. In the yolk-sac larva of 10 mm lencth (see Fiq. 26A) red muscle appears as a band of fibres one fibre thick, surrounding the myotome. This arrangement

Fig. 25. Changes of important dimensions of plaice larvae during growth in length. (A) position of pectoral
fins/L
(B) maximum body depth/L
and tail
depth/L


Table II

Position of maximum body depth of plaice larvae of different
lengths.

| Lenath | Position of max. body <br> depth on body (L) |
| :--- | :---: |
| 7.02 | 0.513 |
| 7.26 | 0.460 |
| 8.25 | 0.508 |
| 8.68 | 0.518 |
| 9.63 | 0.262 |
| 10.50 | 0.532 |
| 11.14 | 0.496 |

Fig. 26. Photomicrographs of transverse sections of herring larvae stained for SDHase. Dark areas indicate a positive reaction. A, 10 mm yolk-sac larva; $B$, 11.5 mm larva; C, 25 mm larva; $\mathrm{D}, 27 \mathrm{~mm}$ larva at centre of myotome; E, 35 mm metamorphosing fish

F, 5 cm juvenile fish showing red and white muscle.
Scale marks, A, B, D, F, $50 \mu \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}, 500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
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Persists through most of larval life, Fig. 26 B shows an 115 mm post yolk-sac larva and Fig. 26 C a 25 mm larva.

At a length of about 27 mm (Fig. 26D) further developments start to take place in the red muscle. In this photograph an inturning of the red muscle band at the horizontal axial plane of the myotome can be seen. Between the original red muscle band and the skin are a number of new muscle fibres which stain well for SDHase but do not exhibit such a strong reaction as the other red fibres. As these fibres develop and proliferate then the staining reaction becomes uniform. Red and white muscle distributions approaching those of adults are observed in the 35 mm larva (Fig. 26E). On the basis of SDHase staining, there appears to be only two types of muscle fibre pure red fibres and pure white fibres. The same is the case in the adult fish. Figure 26F shows a section through both red and white muscle in a 5 cm long juvenile fish.

Lipid staining
Staining for lipids in the muscle using both Oil Red 0 and Sudan demonstrated lipid droplets in the red muscle of juvenile 5 cm long fish some weeks after metamorphosis. Lipid droplets were not observed in any larval fish neither in red or white muscle. It is possible that very small lipid droplets in larval fish could be more easily dissolved and washed away by the stain. This effect would be exacerbated by the very small size of these sections. In
general this is thought to be unlikely. Since the triglyceride content of whole herring larvae is quite low (less than $8 \%$ of the dry weight (Ehrlich, 1974)it seems probable that red muscle has no lipid energy store in herring larvae.

Proportions of red and white muscle
The relative proportions of red and white muscle during development of herring larvae were measured by finding their relative areas in camera lucida drawings of transverse sections. All the sections used were taken from a point one third of the length along the body from the tail. This is the same point used by Walker and Pull (1975) in a survey of red and white muscle in marine fish, and was used so that comparisons could be made with their results.

The results are shown in Fig. 27A indicating a decline in the proportions of red muscle from $13 \%$ at the end of the yolk-sac stage to a low point of about $8 \%$ at a length of about 30 mm . In the Juvenile fish it has increased to about $16 \%$ by 7 cm length, the same proportion found by Walker and Pull (1975).

Relationship of muscle development to respiration.
Data taken from the work of de Silva (1973) are shown in Fig. 27. Her results for gill area (Fig. 27B) and body surface area (Fig. 27C) per unit wet weight are plotted to allow a comparison with percentage red muscle. The vertical pecked line indicates

Fig. 27. Herring larvae, (A) changes in the proportion of red muscle, at $a=0.67$; (B) body surface area to body mass ratio, all plotted against length. Parts $B$ and C use data taken from de Silva (1973). The vertical dashed line at 27 mm shows the length at which red muscle distribution starts to change to the adult form.



the body length at which the adult distribution of red muscle fibres starts to develop.

The gills develop very rapidiy from a length of 22 mm onwards, the most rapid development occurring at around 30 mm long. The maximum gill area to body weight ratio, of $1.2 \mathrm{~mm}^{2} . \mathrm{mg}^{-1}$ metamorphosis at 40 mm , is much less than the body surface area at this time, $2.5 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$. $\mathrm{mq}^{-1}$ hody might . It annears the cutaneous respiration is more important than gill respiration during most of the larval stage. Gill respiration becomes of importance when the gill area increases at around 25 mm , coinciding closely with the development of red muscle which until then is restricted by its dependence on cutaneous respiration. Up to this size all red muscle fibres are in contact with the skin but subsequently their proportion relative to white muscle declines until the point is reached when new red muscle fibres can develop which no longer need to be in contact with the skin. Improvement in the oxygen supply, as a result of gill development, allows these more internal red muscle fibres to be serviced.

Introduction

The two-wave model of fish swimming of Gray, 1933 which has been applied recently by Videler and wardle (1978) and wardle and Videler (1980) assumes that sinusoidal curves flow down the body of the fish towards the tail and that each part of the body follows a similar track in space. The waves observed in some species of adult fjsh do appear to conform to this model at small tail beat amplitudes. This model can be only loosely applied to fish larvae, as has been shown in the previous chapter. The very large $\theta$ angles found at the tail tip (sometimes exceeding $90^{\circ}$ ) cannot be modelled as a sine function of $x$.

The 'two-wave model' does not allow for the body shape of a swimming fish larva. A more general model, applicable to both larval and adult fish, is therefore developed here. This model follows the bending of the fish's body rather than its amplitude on the $z$ coordinate. Using bending has a number of advantages. Since we are dealing with the angles between parts of the body and the $x$ coordinate, then the $x$ coordinate need not be the direction of motion, but a constant can be introduced to define it. The model is based on a spine ordinate a and therefore only one equation is needed to define the value of $\theta: x$ and $z$ coordinates are derived from the angle $\theta$ as integrals. A model based on $x$ is rather awkward because of the limiting value of $x$ which will vary
with time, i.e. depending on the position within the tail beat cycle. An alternative model based on the amplitude of $z$ versus a has problems too. Like the $x, z$ model it cannot cope with negative-going $x$ at the tail (when $\theta$ is greater than $90^{\circ}$ ) unless a second equation for $x$ against a (with parameters) is introduced into the model.

## The Model

In this model the angle $\theta^{\prime}$ is defined as the angle between a tangent to any position on the body, $a$, and the 'instantaneous direction of motion' which is the tangent to the direction of motion at that instant.

Bending is defined as the rate of change of $\theta^{\prime}$ at any point in length (i.e. coordinate). Thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \theta^{\prime}}{d a}=c \cos a \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It would be simpler to use $\theta$ the angle between the body and direction of motion and so integrating equation 1 we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{\prime}=\int c \cos a d a \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Therefore:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{\prime}=\int c \cdot \sin a \text { d } a \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following standing wave equation is used as the model:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{(a, t)}=k a^{g} \cdot \sin \left(2 \pi a / \lambda_{a t}-2 \pi b_{t}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{t}$ is the phase of the wave at time $t$, amplitude is given by the power curve $k a^{g}$ which defines the relationship between amplitude and length along the body.

If the model is to be fitted using a frame of reference or coordinate system fixed through a sequance, the relationship between the coordinate system, which moves with the fish and has the $x$ axis aligned along the instantaneous direction of motion, and a fixed coordinate system muste be defined. These coordinates $x^{\prime}, z^{\prime}$ are related to $x, z$ by the angle $\psi$ (see Fig. 28). Using this method yaw of the body while swimming can be observed and curved swimming paths can be analysed.

In place of $\lambda_{b}$, a parameter $\lambda_{a}$ which is the wavelength along the body coordinate $a$ is used. Wavelength $\lambda_{b}$ is not constant along the $x$ axis but $\lambda_{a}$ is constant along $a$, since wave speed is constant along a rather than $x$ (See Fig. $12 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ ). Also ${ }^{1 / \lambda_{a}}$ gives the number of waves on the body. $\lambda_{a}$ is related to $\lambda_{b}$ :

$$
\lambda_{b}=\lambda_{a} \cdot \int_{a=0}^{a=1} \cos \theta^{\prime} \cdot d a
$$

Fig. 28. Parameters used in the model. The mid-dorsal line of a larva is shown as the heavy black line. $H$ is the head and $T$ the tail. The two sets of coordinates $x, z$ and $x^{\prime}, z^{\prime}$ are related by the angle $\psi$. The data are expressed in the co-ordinates $x, z, a$. In fitting the model the angle $\psi$ is found which defines the instantaneous heading of the larva. Other parameters wavelength $\lambda_{a}$ etc, are fitted using $\theta^{\prime}$ (the angle of a point on the body a) relative to the $x^{\prime}, z^{\prime}$ co-ordinates.


To simplify fitting of the model to the fixed coodinates $x, z$ the model is fitted to an angle $\gamma$ (see Fig. 28).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\theta+\psi \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\gamma$ is the angle between a part of the body and the $x$ axis. $x$ and $z$ for any point $i$ on the centreline can be found by integration:-

$$
x^{\prime}(i, t) \quad \int_{a=0}^{a=i} \cos \gamma_{(a, t)} d a
$$

The model can be fitted on this basis to single frames of a swimming larva.

To include time and therefore a time series in this model requires a suitable modification. Obviously $b=f(t)$ but $\psi$ may also be related to time, cscillating with yaw. Other parameters may also vary with time and, if swimming is not steady, may vary during a series. $g$ is particularly prone to variation in non-steady swimming where amplitude may vary, thus altering
the shape of the $\theta^{\prime}$ maximum curve considerably.

Fitting the model to experimental data.
There are two possible approaches to this problem, but both require a number of points along the spine coordinate a to be defined. One method would find the angle $\gamma$ at each point and then fit the model to pairs of $a, \gamma$ coordinates. Another method would fit curves to ( $x, z$ ) coordinates scaled in body lengths (i.e. with $a=1$ at the tail). This coordinate must have its origin at the tip of the head in each frame, but the body may have any orientation to the coordinate system (i.e the coordinate system is independent of the direction of motion).

The second method will be used here since errors are likely in calculation of $\gamma$ near the head and although the model may fit $\gamma$ well, error in $\gamma$ near the head may throw the curve off the $(x, z)$ coordinates of the body as the tail is approached, since $x, z$ are calculated from integrals of $\gamma$.

The same technique that is described on pages was used to reduce the data from a herring outline to a line centred on the spine. Then, after centring the origin of the $(x, 2)$ coordinate system on the head, coordinates of each data point were calculated and scaled (by dividing by $L$ ) to have values between 0 and 1 . The $(x, z)$ coordinates were also divided by $L$ to the same scale as a.

The model could be fitted by a search method (searching for
nearest point on the curve to the data pointl but since there are a large amount of data ( 100 points between $a=0$ and $a=1$ ) the method can be simplified. The modelled $(x, z)$ coordinates of each data point $i$ are calculated by computing the value of $Y$ at each data point $j$ up to $i$ and finding $x_{i}$ and $z_{i}$ from the sums of the increments of $x_{j}$ and $z_{j}$ :-
$x=$


$$
\cos Y\left(a_{j}-a_{j}-1\right)
$$

$j=1$
$z=\sum_{j=1}^{j=i}$ $\sin \gamma\left(a_{j}-a_{j-1}\right)$

In order to converge on the ideal fitted model the sum of squares of the geometric deviations of $(x, z)$ from the fitted curve is minimised:

$$
d x^{2} \cdot z^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{j=100}\left(x_{j}-x_{j}\right)^{2}+\left(z_{j}-z_{j}\right)^{2}
$$

This method was followed, using the 2980 computer at the Edinburgh Regional Computing Centre. The Nag library FORTRAN
routine EO4 JAF (NAGLIB Mk6 : May 77) was used to minimize the sum of squares. The fortran main programme used with this NAG routine is described in Appendix II.

Results
Curves fitted by the above method are plotted in Fig. 29 together with the original data. There is close agreement between the model curves and the data except in some cases at the tail. It appears that the tail must have a lesser flexibility than the part of the body preceeding it since it is always lagging behind the modelled curve. This indicates that the model should be modified to include a decreased flexibility within the length of the caudal fin.

Table III lists the parameters of the model for each frame plotted in Fig. 29. As would be expected variation of $\psi$, the orientation of the body to the $x$ axis varies little. The amplitude at the head is small and $\theta_{\max }$ is small at the head of the herring larva (Fig. 11).

The other parameters vary more than might be expected if simple sine waves were involved. Wave length may be expected to vary and so make subtle changes to the shape of the waves but it is surprising that $k$, the $\theta$ maximum at the tail-tip, and the 'shape' of the amplitude curve vary so much. These variations may be artefacts due to the poor fit at the tail. A further problem is that the tail is not just less flexible than

## Table III

Parameters fitted by the model when the tail was included.

| Frame No. | $\psi$ | k | g | b | $\lambda$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -0.93630 | 0.98102 | 1.07575 | 0.59087 | 0.80825 |
| 2 | -0.91987 | 1.05329 | 1.08367 | 0.66093 | 0.81176 |
| 3 | -0.91482 | 1.21780 | 1.22597 | 0.71250 | 0.81269 |
| 4 | -0.92590 | 1.35310 | 1.44336 | 0.74733 | 0.81924 |
| 5 | -0.91925 | 1.39700 | 1.48349 | 0.78525 | 0.82938 |
| 6 | -0.89540 | 1.42037 | 1.42588 | 0.79733 | 0.84814 |
| 7 | -0.93075 | 1.30444 | 1.37983 | 0.94596 | 0.74292 |
| 8 | -0.94869 | 1.10529 | 1.36714 | 0.03057 | 0.71441 |
| 9 | -0.96079 | 0.95450 | 1.10845 | 0.11164 | 0.68943 |
| 10 | -0.99361 | 0.87266 | 0.93031 | 0.20384 | 0.67837 |
| 11 | -0.98247 | 0.92114 | 1.01420 | 0.23262 | 0.72113 |
| 12 | -0.99711 | 0.93963 | 0.98752 | 0.29783 | 0.74697 |
| 13 | -0.97686 | 1.16491 | 1.30250 | 0.38867 | 0.73160 |
| 14 | -1.02965 | 1.21183 | 1.07127 | 0.34650 | 0.81931 |
| 15 | -1.01386 | 1.17563 | 1.03933 | 0.43123 | 0.78861 |
| 16 | -0.98382 | 0.99436 | 0.84556 | 0.50549 | 0.78239 |
| 17 | -0.96794 | 0.89286 | 0.66994 | 0.55547 | 0.81605 |
| 18 | -0.97634 | 1.06911 | 0.77810 | 0.58092 | 0.86266 |
| 19 | -0.96622 | 1.26289 | 0.93120 | 0.62940 | 0.90263 |
| 20 | -1.01583 | 1.64650 | 1.47027 | 0.70590 | 0.88100 |
| 21 | -1.01806 | 1.75181 | 1.43192 | 0.79823 | 0.83465 |
| 22 | -1.04649 | 1.51600 | 1.52070 | 0.91144 | 0.78366 |
| 23 | -1.07535 | 1.14210 | 1.27463 | 0.00445 | 0.74949 |
| 24 | -1.11893 | 0.88655 | 0.80887 | 0.13044 | 0.71813 |
| 25 | -1.12430 | 0.97925 | 0.87928 | 0.18045 | 0.77528 |
| 26 | -1.08680 | 1. 35400 | 1. 36431 | 0.27185 | 0.76600 |
| 27 | -1.15130 | 1.27682 | 1.11584 | 0.29272 | 0.82509 |
| 28 | -1.16738 | 1.42925 | 1.33137 | 0.36443 | 0.81925 |
| 29 | -1.14238 | 1.11148 | 0.95541 | 0.45372 | 0.80660 |
| 30 | -1.14259 | 1.06540 | 0.84931 | 0.50169 | 0.85861 |
| 31 | -1.14253 | 1.17112 | 0.94330 | 0.55492 | 0.88701 |
| 32 | -1.13226 | 1.31205 | 1.08861 | 0.53900 | 0.93904 |
| 33 | -1.15890 | 1.63035 | 1.77070 | 0.66205 | 0.93934 |

Fig. 29. Computer plots of mid-dorsal lines of a 22 mm herring larva. The first 17 frames of the series to which the model was fitted. The interval between each line is 20 ms . Dotted lines are data and continuous lines the curves fitted by the model when the tail was included.

the end of the trunk but its deformation, rather then being caused by muscular contraction, is caused by water it acts on. For this reason the tail cannot follow the same sine function as the rest of the body. The tail would be better modelled as an arc of the same radius as the curve at the caudal peduncle.

The model was fitted again but an arc of constant radius $c$ applied to the body from $a=0.75$ (i.e. along the length of caudal fin). In Fig. 30 these fitted curves are plotted over the data points and demonstrate the very good correlation between data and model. (See Table IV for a list of fitted narameters).

The important parameters $\lambda_{a}, b$ and $\psi$ are shown in Table IV and plotted against time in Fig. 31 together with tail amplitude. Orientation of the body ( $\psi$ ) varies (Fig. 31 气) ; there is an overall change in this angle indicating that this larva is following a slightly curved path. The cyclical variation in $\psi$ and tail beat frequency (Fig. 31 A) appear to have the same period. The cyclical variation is due to yaw, i.e. lateral recoil of the head caused by the lateral movements of the tail. In this sequence $\lambda_{a}$ (Fig. 31 B) increases at the same point in the tail beat (near the beginning of a rightward sweep of the tail). These same points on the plot of $b$ (Fig. 31 D ) show discontinuities of the slope.

These results can only be interpreted as asymmetrical swimming in which a sudden effort is made once in every tail beat cycle when the caudal fin reaches a very high angle $\theta$. At this point $\lambda_{a}$ is reduced as the body is thrown into a much tighter wave, producing

Table IV

Parameters fitted by the model when the tail was excluded.

| Frame No. | $\psi$ | k | $g$ | b | $\lambda a$ | c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -0.95335 | 1.15131 | 1.32053 | 0.60452 | 0.79007 | -0.24100 |
| 2 | -0.94584 | 1.29433 | 1.41450 | 0.72196 | 0.74396 | 0.07159 |
| 3 | -0.93214 | 1.31308 | 1.36165 | 0.76126 | 0.75871 | -0.20785 |
| 4 | -0.93961 | 1. 38265 | 1.50017 | 0.79372 | 0.76874 | -0.19060 |
| 5 | -0.94392 | 1.33565 | 1.44871 | 0.86456 | 0.74559 | 0.32139 |
| 6 | -0.93058 | 1.29513 | 1.32383 | 0.89090 | 0.74739 | 0.58680 |
| 7 | -0.96950 | 1.101 .32 | 1.10895 | 0.04067 | 0.66209 | 0.71663 |
| 8 | -0.97660 | 0.89955 | 1.02682 | 0.09081 | 0.66380 | 0.64888 |
| 9 | -0.96484 | 0.91999 | 1.04810 | 0.11678 | 0.68479 | 0.31952 |
| 10 | -0.99405 | 0.87266 | 0.91283 | 0.20879 | 0.67326 | 0.29118 |
| 11 | -0.98134 | 0.93153 | 1.02926 | 0.23634 | 0.71754 | 0.76059 |
| 12 | -0.99593 | 0.94396 | 0.99373 | 0.30165 | 0.74310 | 0.65205 |
| 13 | -0.97154 | 1.16238 | 1.29869 | 0.40768 | 0.71474 | 0.47153 |
| 14 | -1.01382 | 1.55571 | 1.01686 | 0.37361 | 0.78859 | -0.15541 |
| 15 | -0.99449 | 1.07930 | 0.92588 | 0.45662 | 0.76172 | -0.39419 |
| 16 | -0.98908 | 1.02680 | 0.88751 | 0.50228 | 0.78562 | -0.29761 |
| 17 | -0.98210 | 0.97647 | 0.78655 | 0.55733 | 0.81161 | -0.34864 |
| 18 | -0.99031 | 1.14532 | 0.87552 | 0.59177 | 0.84486 | -0.45729 |
| 19 | -0.99258 | 1.33624 | 1.04381 | 0.66894 | 0.84391 | -0.15505 |
| 20 | -1.03983 | 1.63566 | 1.50692 | 0.77435 | 0.79792 | 0.21612 |
| 21 | -1.07795 | 1.59792 | 1.33148 | 0.94306 | 0.69389 | 1.00000 |
| 22 | -1.07930 | 1.30376 | 1.29244 | 0.98505 | 0.71474 | 0.72104 |
| 23 | -1.07978 | 1.11288 | 1.23173 | 0.01249 | 0.74185 | 0.36659 |
| 24 | -1.12027 | 0.87266 | 0.78907 | 0.12968 | 0.71866 | 0.61287 |
| 25 | -1.12937 | 0.94295 | 0.82674 | 0.17152 | 0.78568 | 0.91499 |
| 26 | -1.08991 | 1.33547 | 1.33799 | 0. 26272 | 0.77526 | 1.00000 |
| 27 | -1.14627 | 1.27050 | 1.11436 | 0. 30325 | 0.81281 | 0.25997 |
| 28 | -1.14889 | 1.32642 | 1.23464 | 0.39953 | 0.78140 | -0.40158 |
| 29 | -1.15480 | 1.18660 | 1.04127 | 0.44255 | 0.81869 | -0.24638 |
| 30 | -1.16837 | 1.22705 | 1.04496 | 0.49578 | 0.86114 | -0.34692 |
| 31 | -1.17368 | 1.39586 | 1.20791 | 0.58128 | 0.84318 | -0.09260 |
| 32 | -1.16285 | 1.43676 | 1.26407 | 0.64305 | 0.85433 | -0.01197 |
| 33 | -1.17258 | 1.61170 | 1.80455 | 0.72353 | 0.85591 | 0.17338 |



Fig. 31. Parameters fitted by the model for a 22 mm herring larva. (A) Tail amplitude $z$. (B) Wavelength $\lambda_{a}$. (C) Heading $\psi$. (D) Phase $b$ at the head i.e. where $a=0$.



a forward acceleration. This asymmetrical swimming caused the
fish shown in Fig, 30 to swim in a slight curve.

This study has demonstrated the nature of the muscle available to propel a fish larvae, its distribution and the relationship between this and respiration during development. The shape of the body has been considered after accurate measurements made from silhouette photographs. A kinematic analysis of swimming movements has been made, using some new techniques and has shown many differences between larvae and adult fish.

Reynold's number and flow pattern.

Before considering the swimming movements of larvae it is important to discuss the type of flow around a body moving in water. Reynold's number is a measure of the hydrodynamic similarity of bodies of different sizes moving at different velocities. It can be shown that a small body moving at a high velocity may be hydrodynamically similar to a large body moving at a low velocity (i.e. the pattern of flow around them may be similar). Viscosity and density of the medium also have an effect but in the present case, unless temperature varies greatly (temperature effects viscosity), it is velocity and body length that are important. Reynold's number is defined as:

$$
R_{L}=\frac{\rho L u}{\mu}
$$

$L$ is the length of the larva, $u$ the velocity of swimming speed,
$\mu$ is viscosity and $\rho$ is the density of the medium. We will now consider the two extremes of Reynold's number that plaice and herring larvae may experience.

For the lower limit let us consider a 6 mm plaice larva swimming at $10 \mathrm{~mm} . \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ (this speed is lower than the range of cruising speeds examined in this study) in water of temperature $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 30 ppt salinity. In these conditions $\rho=1024 \mathrm{Kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ and $\mu=0.0014 \mathrm{~Pa} \cdot \mathrm{~s}, L=0.006 \mathrm{~m}$ and $U=0.001 \mathrm{~m}$ and the Reynold's number is 4. .

For the upper limit take a 40 mm herring, the length near metamorphosis, swimming ar a maximum speed of $1 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}^{-1}$.at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 30 ppt . This gives a Reynold's number of $R_{L}=3 \times 10^{4}$.

Reynold's number is a measure of the ratio of inertial to resistive forces acting on the body. Since $R_{L}$ is substantially greater than zero for larvae, inertial forces are important but, as viscous forces are important in the boundary layer, they cannot be ignored. Conversely for a spermatozoan (Gray, 1955), with a Reynold's number of $1 \times 10^{-5}$, inertial forces can be ignored.

The maximum Reynold's number calculated for at 40 mm herring larva is considerably less than the critical Reynold's number, $\left(R_{L C R I T}\right)=1 \times 10^{6}$, when transition between laminar and turbulent flow in the boundary layer takes place (Hertel, 1966; Webb, 1975). Separation of the boundary layer is also likely at $R_{L} C R I T$
but could occur at lower Reynold's numbers under certain conditions such as adverse pressure gradients (Webb, 1975). It appears that for larvae under all conditions flow will be laminar and the boundary layer will be attached throughout the length of the body.

Weihs (1980a) showed theoretically that the swimming style of anchovy larvae changes as they grow, due to the increase of $R_{L}$, so that intermittent swimming becomes energetically more advantageous as viscous forces become less important. Weihs (1980a) defined three regimes: $R_{L}<10$ where viscosity is much more important than inertial force effects, $10<R_{L}<200$ which is a region of transition where the flow changes from the viscous regime to the inertial regime, where $R_{L}>200$. In low $R_{L}$ regimes, drag coefficient is inversely related to $R_{L}$ which makes swimming at low speeds very inefficient.

The shape of the amplitude of the body waves against length curve (Fig. 12) changes as herring larvae grow. Initially, at 11 mm length, it is linear, but once larvae have grown to 22 mm it becomes non-linear. At this length amplitude increases more rapidly as the wave moves tailwards. The shape of this curve is characteristic of the type of swimming motion emploved (Grillner and Kashin, 1976; Videler, 1981). A linear increase in amplitude along the body, giving a large amplitude over most of the body, is characteristic of fish swimming in the anguilliform mode. In this type of swimming lateral acceleration of water is constant
along the body, indicatinq that resistive forces are important. The non-linear increase in amplitude seen in a 22 mm larvae (Eig. 12B)
is typical of carangiform or subcarangiform swimming; in this mode lateral acceleration of water by the fish body increases rapidly towards the tail, so that in this type of swimning reactive (inertial) forces predominate.

These changes in swimming style fit in with changes in flow pattern as $R_{L}$ increases. Until the larva exceeds a length of 15 mm and the $R_{L}$ at the searching speed of the larva has exceeded 200, subcarangiform swimming would be very inefficient. It is therefore advantageous for herring larvae less than 15 mm in length to use the anguilliform mode of swimming. The apoearance of the caudal and dorsal fin when the larvae are between 15 mm and 20 mm in length (Fig. 23) increases the effectiveness of swimming in this mode and the change in swimming style from anguilliform to subcaranciform occurs over this length range.

Role of plaice pectoral fins.

The results have shown that the pectoral fins are not important for producing thrust to propel plaice larvae. It appears that their role is to produce lift, which is important since the larvae are negatively buoyant (Ehrlich,1972), and at the same time, to reduce head yaw.

Yawing movements of the head are caused by angular recoil forces which are generated by lateral movements of the tail
(Lighthill, 1977). The opposing forces produced by a pair of pectoral fins form a couple tending to turn the head against the recoil caused by the tail (Fig. 32). A reduction in head yaw should lead to a decrease in drag caused by swimming movements. This drag reduction is due to a decrease in effective frontal area of the fish, thereby reducing pressure drag, and a reduction of wasteful lateral movements against the water which will cause negative thrust anterior to the yaw pivot.

This reduction of drag is at the expense of drag on the fin which is moving forward at any time, but this $f$ in is also producing lift and so this drag is of some value. If alternating fin movements were not used lift would be produced by fixed fins, resulting in drag. The alternating pectoral fin movements of plaice larvae increase swimming efficiency by using energy spent in producing lift to reduce the amount of drag that is induced by swimming movements.

The one disadvantage of this mechanism is that roll might be induced, since the centre of lift is always being laterally displaced from the centre of mass. Roll, if it exists, would be too small to be observed in the films made in this study. Roll would be expected to be very small in a plaice larva since the very deep body will dampen and stabilize a rolling tendency effectively.

The pivot for the pectoral fins is anterior to, but close to, the yaw pivot, which is the point on the body at which minimum angular displacement occurs (Fig. 15). The movements of the pectoral

Fig. 32. A diagram showing the interrelationships between lateral recoil forces and forces produced by the pectoral fins of plaice larvae while swimming at cruising speeds. $Q$ is the lateral component of the force produced by the tail. $F_{1}$ is the recoil force acting on the head. $P$ is the yaw pivot and $M$ is the point of minimum amplitude. $F_{c}$ is the force, produced by the pectoral fins, which counteracts the recoil force $F_{1}$.
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fins are therefore unlikely to have any effect on the position of this pivot. It is interesting that the yaw pivot and the point of minimum lateral amplitude do not coincide, as in the case of herring larvae (Fig. 12). The point of minimum lateral amplitude is further back along the body at about 0.4 L (Fig. 15) and its position can be explained by the effect of the force couple produced by the pectoral fins. This couple will tend to counteract both lateral recoil movement of the head and reduce lateral movements in the middle portion of the body,so causing the point of minimum amplitude to move back along the body.


Swimming style of plaice larvae.
If the body waves of plaice larvae are compared with adult fish they are most like adult fish swimming in the subcarangiform mode (Breder, 1926). The amplitude against length along the body curve shows a non-linear increase in amplitude, the amplitude increasing more rapidly towards the tail. This indicates that inertial forces are exploited more in swimming than resistive forces, which would be rather inefficient since, at the Reynold's numbers occurring during the cruising-swimming of plaice, resistive forces should dominate.

It was shown above that the action of the pectoral fins would reduce lateral movements in the middle section of the body and move the point of minimum amplitude of the body waves posteriorly. This is the cause of the non-linear increase in amplitude. In the analyses carried out in this work the dorsal and anal fin have been
ignored and only a computed mid-line used. In the films the edge of the dorsal fin could often be seen clearly. The dorsal fin appears to have a wave passing down it in phase with the body wave, a feature which has also been observed in adult plaice (Aleev, 1963). Thus the dorsal and anal fins will tend to increase the effective amplitude of movements in the middle of the body.

Turbulent boundary layer - another role for pectoral fins
The nature of water flow around a fish larva has already been discussed. Frictional drag coefficient $C_{f}$ is related to Reynold's number but in different ways depending on whether a laminar or turbulent boundary layer exists (Webb, 1975).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{f \text { lam }}=1.33 R_{L}^{-1 / 2} \\
& c_{f \text { turb }}=0.072 R_{L}^{-1 / 5}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $C_{f l a m b}$ and $C_{f \text { turb }}$ are plotted against Reynold's number (Fig. 33) a crossover point is seen at about $2 \times 10^{4}$. At Reynold's numbers higher than this laminar flow is advantageous and many fish have devices which help to maintain laminar flow (see review by Webb, 1975). Below this crossover point the converse may be true. Here an early transition to turbulent flow may be of great advantage in reducing drag. At these Reynold's numbers a laminar layer would be relatively thick and so viscous forces very large; a transition

Fig. 33. The effect of increasing Reynold's Number on the frictional drag coefficient $C_{f}$ for an infinite flat plate. The effect of turbulent boundary layer reducing $C_{f}$ at low Reynold's Numbers is shown.

to turbulent flow would cause a reduction in the thickness of the boundary layer and so reduce viscous draq. Boundary layer thickness ( $\delta$ ) is given by:

$$
\frac{\delta \operatorname{lam}}{a}=5.0 R_{L}^{-1 / 2}
$$

or

$$
\frac{\delta_{t u r b}}{a} \simeq 0.37 R_{L}-1 / 5
$$

where $a=$ distance along the body from the leading edge. At Reynold's numbers above $R_{Z} C_{C R I T}$ a turbulent boundary layer would be thicker and also energy dissipation in the turbulence would add to drag.

The thickness of a plaice laminar boundary layer would be approximately $0.5 \times \mathrm{a}$. Thus thickness $\&$ at the base of the pectoral fins would be about 1 mm . The pectoral fins are about 1 mm long and hence occupy the whole of the thickness of the boundary layer. Their movements are therefore likely to disturb the boundary layer and create turbulence within it. This may cause a partial transition to turbulent boundary layer flow with a possible considerable reduction of frictional drag coefficient (Fig. 33). This reduction in draq is due to a reduction in boundary layer thickness and therefore less water will be influenced by the surface friction of the body.

Propulsive wave speed, swimming speed and propeller efficiency.
The speed of the propulsive wave $v$ and tail beat frequency are not firmly linked to swimning speed $u$ at cruising speeds in larvae as they are in adults. This means that $u / v$, found to decrease only slightly with increasing speed for adult fish (Videler and Wardle, 1978), actually increases with increasing speed in the larval plaice and herring. However $u / v$ is much lower (0.2 to 0.4 ) than in adult fish where it is in the range 0.6 to 0.8 .

Values of $u / v$ may be used to calculate propeller efficiency $\eta_{p}$ (Lighthill, 1960) provided that amplitude increases from near zero at the head to a maximum at the tail tip. Thus:

$$
n_{p}=\frac{1}{2}(1+u / v)
$$

Using this formula $n_{P}$ would be in the range 0.6 to 0.7 for plaice larvae or 0.8 to 0.9 for most adult fish. Webb (1977) used results obtained by C.C. Lindsey to make estimates of propeller efficiency for a wide range of sizes of fish. He showed that propeller efficiency was size-dependent and directly related to the length of the fish.

Prediction of ideal tail blade $\theta$.
An earlier attempt to predict the ideal tail blade $\theta$ angle was made by Videler and Wardle (1978). They assumed that the ideal angle would be when the tail blade produced maximum thrust
directed along the $x$ axis. Their Fig. 4 shows curves for
thrust $P$ calculated from Lighthill's (1971) large amplitude elongated body theory of fish locomotion.

Lighthill had derived a vector equation to predict the propulsive forces produced by the body in two planes; $P$ along the $x$ axis and $Q$ perpendicular to $P$ along the $z$ axis:

$$
P, Q=\left[-u_{p}^{m w}\left(\frac{-\partial z}{\partial a}, \frac{\partial x}{\partial a}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{m} w^{2}\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial a}, \frac{\partial z}{\partial a}\right)\right]_{a=0}
$$

$$
-\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{\boldsymbol{t}} m w\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial a}, \frac{\partial x}{\partial a}\right) d a
$$

This equation follows the notation already used here with the addition of $w$ which is the velocity of the tail blade perpendicular to its axis and $u_{p}$ the velocity of the tail blade tangential to the tail tip, $m$ isthe virtual mass of any slice of the body. In Lighthill's notation $a=0$ at the tail tip and $a=L$ at the head; also his coordinate system is lefthanded. Fig. 34 summarizes these terms and their interaction.

This first term in the equation above is a force acting perpendicular to the tail blade and the second term is a force acting tangential to it. The third term is the integral of all the accelerative forces produced by the wave of contraction on the whole body. Videler and Wardle (1978) calculated $P$ for various values of

Fig. 34. Lighthill's model. The motion of the tail blade of a fish is shown in $A$. The velocity of the tail tip $V$ is resolved into two components $u$ tangential to the tail blade and $w$ normal to it. The angle $\theta$ between the tail and the direction of motion and a the angle of attack are shown. B shows the two forces produced by the tail and the resulting force vector $V$ which can be resolved into two components, $P$ thrust force acting along the direction of motion and $\Omega$ lateral force which causes recoil (yaw) of the head. C the special case when $\theta$ is such that $T$ acts along the direction of motion i.e. Q is zero.


iz/ìt, © and $\bar{z} /$ at, without considering $x$, the angle 0 attack of the tail Elade, nor did they calculate 2 , the side Eorce produced by the tail.

The ideal tail blade angle $(\theta)$ and direction of movement $(9+\alpha)$ would be when $\Omega$ is 0 and $P$ is substantially positive. To simplizy this calculation we calculate $\frac{u_{p} m w^{m}}{}$ and $1 / 2 \mathrm{mw}$ and then using the equation as written we calculate the total force and its direction relative to the tail blade as in Fig. 35 . If this direction becomes $\theta$ then $Q$ will be zero. A constant tail tip velocity is used and anqle of attack varied to give different values $o £ u_{p}$ and $w$. Fía. 36 contains a plot of such a simulation. $\theta$ is plotted for increasing values of between $0^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$. It shows that as $\alpha$ increases the ideal $\theta$ decreases. The direction of movement of the tail tip $(\alpha+\theta)$ is also plotted and remains greater than $90^{\circ}$, showing that the tail tip would have to move slightly tailwards during the lateral stroke. Thrust force is also included in the graph. The vertical line on the graph at $20^{\circ}$ indicates the possible limiting value of $\alpha$, the angle of attack at which the tail blade would 'stall'. This value (Webb, 1975) is taken from the theory of aerofoils. At this stall angle the model would no longer apply. In Viderler and Wardle's (1978) prediction, where they concluded that $\theta$ should be $10^{\circ}$ or less, a (which they did net calculate) was between $30^{\circ}$ and $61^{\circ}$ where the model is not likely to apply.

It is interesting that herring larvae come close to this

[^0]
predicted ideal. During a tail beat the angle $\theta$ is close to $90^{\circ}$ during the fastest part of the stroke and the tail-tip also has a negative $3 x / \partial t$ for a substantial part of the stroke. This must be a mechanism to reduce recoil, simply by minimizing it at source, i.e. by reducina lateral forces

Model of larval fish swimming.
By fitting a standing wave equation to each of a series of frames it has been possible to detect changes in wavelength and speed of the propulsive wave within the tail beat cycle. The shortening of body wavelength at the beginning of alternate tail strokes may be seen as a way of transmitting the propulsive effort of the anterior myotomes to the tail tio where propulsive force acts on the water. Wardle and Videler (1980) suggest that this transmission of effort may be facilitated by the combined effects of muscle myosept structure and skin elasticity. The wavelength shortening seen in rightward going strokes of the caudal fin of a 22 mm larva in Fig. 31 B combined with an acceleration of the wave shown in Fig. 31D, may be a further way of helping this necessary transmission of propilsive force. Electromyographical evidence in adult tench (Tinca tinca) (see Blight, 1976, 1977) has shown that contraction is not stimulated strictly in waves but at certain phases of the tail beat cycle complete muscle blocks are stimulated together either on the right or left side. Work by Grillner and Kashin (1976), on various species, showed that waves of
contraction pass down the boay at burst speeds but at cruising speeds there was considerable overlap in stimulation so that at just after the beginning of the tail stroke all the muscle on one side of the body would be stimulated to contract together. This simultaneous stimulation occurs at the beginning of the tail stroke, the same time that wavelength shortening is seen in herring larvae.

Distribution of red muscle in larval fish.

A distribution of red aerobic muscle fibres similar to that reported here has been described in larval zebra fish Brachydanio rerio by Waterman (1969) using SDHase staining and by van Raamsdonk et al. (1978) using immunological techniques. Both studies showed a single layer of red fibres in newly hatched larvae, developing to an adult distribution similar to that of adult herring.

Development of muscle and respiration.
The development of respiration in herring larvae was studied by de Silva $(1973,1974)$. Her results for gill and body surface area are plotted in Fig. 2?, together with the percentage of red muscle. A vertical dashed line indicates the body length at which the adult distribution or red muscle fibres starts to develop. The gills develop very rapidly from a length of 22 mm onwards, the most rapid development occurring at around 30 mm . The maximum gill area to body weight ratio attained was $1.2 \mathrm{~mm}^{2} . \mathrm{mg}^{-1}$ at metamorphosis (length $35-40 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). It thus appears that cutaneous respiration is
more important than gill respiration during most of the larval
stage. Gill respiration only becomes important when the gill area has increased at a length of 25 mm . Up to this length,
the red muscle is distributed peripherally, enabling it to
utilise cutaneous respiration. Subsequently, new red fibres appear away from the periphery but by this time gill respiration has been established.
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## Appendix 1 Digitiser

Introduction
The reasons for using a coordinate digitiser to analyse film of larval fish swimming have been outlined on pages 29-31 Coordinate digitisers are rather expensive computer peripherals (costing in the region of $£ 3000$ at the time this work was carried out) and could not be afforded for this project but it was realized that by using some existing hardware it might be practical to build our own in the laboratory. A Hewlett Packard 9825 desktop computer was already in use and was interfaced with a Hewlett Packard 6940B Multiprogrammer. The latter device is fitted with an analogue-to-digital converter and a relay switching card which together allow the computer to control the sampling of a number of independant analogue voltages in a data logger system. To obtain a digitiser all that was necessary was a means of translating pairs of $x, y$ coordinates into voltages to input to the multiprogrammer.

## Digitising Tablet

A plan view of the digitising tablet is shown in Fig. A. Large pulleys (d) are attached to the shafts of each of the two single turn potentiometers (9!. The cursor (c) is attached to the pulleys by two nylon cords (a) so that extension of the cords results in anticlockwise rotation of the left-hand potentiometer $A$ and clockwise rotation of the right-hand

Fig. A1. Plan view of the digitising tablet. d pulleys, q potentiometers, c cursor, a nylon cord, e elastic tensioning strap, r reference points, p guide pins

potentiometer $B$. The drive cords are kept in tension by an elastic strap (e) which connects the two inner pulleys. This simple tensioning device results in an equal tension on the two cords, biasing the cursor to the top of the tablet. The drive cords run over the guide pins $(p)$ to provide a constant 'origin' for each cord; this is important for the calculation of $(x, y)$ coordinates from the two voltages $A$ and $B$ which correspond to the lengtins of the cords. The 20 cm diameter pulleys and the positions of the guide pins provide a digitising area of 452 by 320 mm on the tablet.

Calibration and calculation of $(x, y)$ coordinates

The geometry of the digitising area is set out in Fig. A2. Two rectangles are shown; the outer one includes the two guide pins and the inner one joins the four reference points. The squares share a common centre and diagonals, such that points $A^{-}$and $A^{+}$represent the minimum and maximum voltages for potentiometer A respectively. To calculate $(x, y)$ coordinates the values of $A$ and $B$ are adjusted after calibration to have values 0 to 830.5 along the diagonals of the outside square Then $x$ and $y$ are calculated using Pythagoras' thecrem

- Reference marks


Fig. A 2.
The digitising area: showing reference marks, guide pins and dimensions used in computing coordinates.

It is seen that:-

$$
\begin{align*}
& a^{2}=y^{2}+x^{2}  \tag{1}\\
& b^{2}=y^{2}+(64-x)^{2} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

rearranging (1) and substituting in (2) we get:-

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{2}=a^{2}-x^{2}+(647-x)^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be simplified to

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{2}=a^{2}-1294 x+418609 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

now $x$ can be found

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\left(a^{2}+418609-b^{2}\right) / 1294 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

rearranging (1) we qet

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\sqrt{a^{2}-x^{2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so $y$ can be found.

## Control

The means by which the computer demands readings and the operator offers them is as follows. The diagram in Fig. A3 summarises the system and its inter-connections. The computer demands readings from the operator by displaying a prompt and at the same time repeatedly tests for a voltage on the input line to the multiprogrammer which is connected to the footswitch. The operator signals to the computer that a readinq is ready by pressing the footswitch. The computer responds by taking readings of the two potentiometer voltages from the multiprogramer

In addition to single points, complete curves and outlines can be recorded. This is achieved in a similar way but pairs of

Fig. A3. The diaitising tablet and it's connection
to the computer via the multiprogramer.


$(x, y)$ coordinates are recorded continuously whilst the footswitch is depressed. Software in the computer ensures a minimum spacing between points by iqnoring any pair of coordinates within a preset radius of the previous pair.

A circuit diagram of the digitiser control box, which provides the stabilised power supplies for the potentiometers, processes the voltages and connects them to the multiprogrammer, is shown in Fig. A4. Fig. A5 shows the connections of the footswitch and potentiometers to sockets 1 and 2. Connections between the control box and the multiprogrammer relay card are shown in Fig. A6.

The main part of the control circuit is the sample and hold circuits which are needed when tracing lines. They ensure that, although the voltages $A$ and $B$ are tested sequentially they are recorded at the same instant despite a continually moving cursor.

Contact closures of the relay card switches are determined by a 16 bit word sent to the multiprogrammer by the computer. This allows the computer to control the process of taking a reading by sending a series of control words to the multiprogrammer.

## Protocol

For single point recording the computer first sends a word (binary 00000011, octal 3) which allows the voltage from the footswitch to be tested. The computer then demands repeated voltage readings from the $A / D$ card until this voltage is high when

```
Fig. A4. Circuit diagram of the digitiser control circuit
    100 k?
    10 k?
    1 k\Omega preset
    R4 19 k\Omega
    R5 220
    R6 68 k\Omega
    R7 10 k\Omega
    R8 22k\Omega
    R9 33 k\Omega
    R10 22 k\Omega
    R11 1 k\Omega preset
    R12 19 k\Omega
    R13 220 \Omega
    R14 68 k\Omega
    R15 10 k\Omega preset
    R16 22 k\Omega
    R17 33 k\Omega
    Cl
    0.1 \muF polypropylene
C2
    IC1
    LF 298 (sample and hold circuit)
    "
    IC2
Skt1
    standard jack socket
Skt2
    5 pin DIN 240
Skt3-7 4 mm socket
```



Fig. A5. Connections of footswitch and potentiometers to sockets 1 and 2.
footswitch

potentiometers


Fig. A6. Connections between the control box and the multiprogrammer relay card are shown together with the bit numbers that close each relay.

To Multiprogrumner
A/D Card.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Wire Colour } \\
& \text { BLUE } \\
& \text { RED } \\
& \text { GREEN } \\
& \text { YELUOW } \\
& \text { BLACK } \\
& \text { WHITE } \\
& \text { BROWN } \\
& \text { PURPLE }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { DATA READY' FOOTSWITCH TRIGGER. } \\
& \text { COMMON GROUND } \\
& \text { VOLTAGE A. } \\
& \text { SIH CIRCUIT TRIGGER. } \\
& \text { VOLTAGE B. }
\end{aligned}
$$

another control word is sent. This control word (binary 00001110 , octal 16 ) short circuits the sample and hold circuit trigger and so latches these circuits into the hold mode and connects the voltage from potentiometer $A$ (at the instant the $S / H$ circuit latched) to the $A / D$ card. The computer now demands a voltage reading and repeats this process for potentiometer B using the control word, binary 00011010, octal 32. Finally all the relays are opened by sending the control word binary 00000000 .

To make multiple readinçs a similar procedure is adopted.

The series of instructions already described is repeated continuously while the footswitch is depressed.

Programming
The protocol used to take readings and the method used to calculate $(x, y)$ coordinates have ilready been described. The software is written in HPL, the only language used on the 9825 computer. This programming language is in some ways similar to Basic but its execution is much faster; a feature which is very important in this application.

The programmes are written as subroutines which can be called from any programe. The following routines are used:-
"point"
"xy"
"point $x y "$
"line"
"calibrate"
"I1"
"I2"

## APPENDIX 2 COMPUTER PROGRAMS

```
Digitiser Subroutines
0: "line":0\}rl\}r2;cfg 4
1: oni 9,"Il"
: otdrl\}pl;if pl>2047;pl-4096\}pl
: otdr2\}p2;if p2>2047;p2-4096\}p2
cfg lisfg 2;3\}r3
moct;wtb 9,170160,60003;eir 9
if pl=0;gto +8
c11 ' \(x y^{\prime}\) ( \(p 1, p 2\) )
if \(\mathrm{p} 3=0\);if \(\mathrm{p} 4=0\); g to +2
if \(\backslash\left((p 1-p 3)^{\wedge} 2+(p 2-p 4)^{\wedge} 2\right)<r 13 ; g t o+5\)
p1\}p3;p2\}p4
\(\mathrm{p} 5+1\} \mathrm{p} 5\)
if \(\mathrm{p} 0=1 ; \mathrm{cll}\) 'convert'( \(\mathrm{pl}, \mathrm{p} 2\) )
cll '1'(p1,p2,p5)
jmp flgl
if flg5;gto +2
if flg2;gto -15
moct;wtb 9,170160,60000;mdec;ret
"I1":oni 9,"I2"
moct;wtb 9,170260,20000;mdec;eir 9
iret
"I2":moct;wti 0,11;wti 4,20000;rdi 4\}r0;mdec
if \(\mathrm{r} 3=3\);if \(\mathrm{r} 0>7700\) or \(\mathrm{r} 0<10\);wait 100 ;gto +6 ;if flg4;cfg \(2 ; \mathrm{sfg} 1 ; g t 0\) +7
if \(\mathrm{r} 3=3 ; 16\) \}r3; \(\mathrm{gto}+4\)
if \(\mathrm{r} 3=16 ; \mathrm{r} 0\} \mathrm{rl} ; 32\} \mathrm{r} 3 ; \mathrm{gto}+3\)
if \(\mathrm{r} 3=32 ; \mathrm{r} 0\) \} 2 ; 3 \}r3
sfg 1,4 ;gto +3 ;if flg3;3\}r3;gto +1
moct;wtb 9,170160,60000+r3;mdec
oni 9,"Il";eir 9
iret
    "convert":c11 'polar'(p1-r8,p2-r9,p3,p4)
    r11p4cos(p3-r10)\}pl
    r12p4sin(p3-r10)\}p2;ret
    "point":cfg 1;sfg 2;sfg 3;cfg 4
    oni 9,"I1";3\}r3
    moct;wtb 9,170160,60003;eir 9
    jmp not flg2
    otdrl\}pl;if pl>2047;pl-4096\}pl
    otdr2\}p2;if p2>2047;p2-4096\}p2
    cfg 3;ret
    "xy":(pl-r4)/r6*830.5\}pl
    (p2-r5)/r7*830.5\}p2
    \(\left.\left(647^{\wedge} 2-p 2^{\wedge} 2+p 1^{\wedge} 2\right) / 1294\right\} p 3\)
    520-1(pl^2-p3^2)\}p4
    p3-97.5\}pl;p4-78.5\}p2;ret
```

```
45: "calibrate":
46: dsp "Top Left";cll 'point'(pl)
47: dsp "Bottom Left";cll 'point'(0,p4)
48: dsp "Bottom Right";cll 'point"(p2)
49: dsp "Top Right";cll 'point'(0,p3)
50: fxd 0;dsp "Amin",pl,"Amax",p2,"Bmin",p3,"Bmax",p4;stp
51:.2153(p2-p1)}p5;.2153(p4-p3)}p6
52: pl-p5}r4;p3-p6}r5
53: p2-pl+2p5}r6;p4-p3+2p6}r7;ret
54: "coordinate":
55: dsp "Origin";cll 'point'(r8,r9);cll 'xy"(r8,r9)
56: dsp "x axis reference";cll 'point'(pl,p2);cll 'xy'(pl,p2)
57: dsp "y axis reference";cl1 "point'(p3,p4);c11 'xy'(p3,p4)
58: ent "enter x scale",rli,"enter y scale",rl2;if flgl3;l}rll}rl2
59: cll 'polar'(pl-r8,p2-r9,r10,p5)
60: cll 'polar'(p3-r8,p4-r9,p7,p6)
61: p7-r10}p7;if p7<0;-p7-180}p7
62: if p7>89;if p7<91;gto +2
63: beep;dsp "not square xoy=",p7;stp
64: if not f1g13;r11/p5}rl1;r12/p6}r12;cfg 13
65: ret
66: "pointxy":cl1 'point'(p1,p2);cl1 'xy'(p1,p2)
67: if p0=3;c11 'convert'(p1,p2)
68: ret
69: "polar":if pl=0;90}p3;gto +4
70: if p2=0;0}p3;8to +2
71: atn(p2/p1)}p3
72: if pl<0;180+p3)p3;gto +3
73: if p2<0;360+p3}p3
74: if p3=450;270}p3
75: \(p1^2+p2^2)}p4;ret
*25684
```

HPL Program for digitising herring outlines

```
0: "REDGE2":
1: avd
2: dim AS[3],D$[17,55],BS[8],X[2,200],Y[2,200]
3: ent "Track?",T,"First file no?",H,"scale factor?",S,"Resolution",rl3
4: H-1}Q
5: cll calibrate"
6: "R":A+1}A
7: Q+1}Q;if Q>129;0}Q;T+1}T;if T=2;beep;dsp "Change tape";0}T
8: fxd 0
9: prt "N=",A,"F=",Q,"T=",T
10: if T=0;trk 0
11: if T=l;trk l
12: dsp "ref 1";cll "pointxy"(C,D)
13: dsp "ref 2";cll 'pointxy"(E,F)
14: dsp "upper edge";cfg 6;cll 'line';pen
15: dsp "lower edge";sfg 6;cll 'line';pen
16: 1bl A
17: prt "M=",M,"N=",N;spc 1
18: ent "OK?",A$;if cap(A$)="NO";A-1}A;Q-1}Q;gto "R"
19: for I=1 to 17
20: " "}D$[I,1,5];str(I)}BS[1];BS[2,1en(B$)]}DS[I,6-1en(BS)];" "}DS[I,5]
21: next I
22: for I=6 to 40;" "}D$[1,I,I];next I
23: fxd 2
24: str(C)&" "}D$[1,14-len(str(C))]
25: str(D)&" "}D$[1,22-len(str(D))]
26: str(E)&" "}D$[1,30-len(str(E))]
27: str(F)&" "}D$[1,38-len(str(F))]
28: str(S)}D$[1,46-1en(str(S))]
29: 0}P
30: for 0=1 to 9 by 8
31: P+1}P
32: 0}R
33: for I=1 to 4
34: for J=6 to 54 by 2
35: K+1)K
36: prnd(KM/100,0)}L;if L=0;1}L
37: X[P,L]*10}X
38: Y[P,L]*10}Y
39: c11 encode2'(X)
40: A$}D$[I+0,J,J+1]
41: cll 'encode2'(Y)
42: A$}D$[I+4+O,J,J+1]
43: next J
44: next I
45: N}M
46: next 0
```

```
47: rcf Q,D$;vfy Z;if Z=1;jmp 0
48: ent "any more frames?",A$
49: if cap(AS)="YES";8to "R"
50: fxd 0
51: spc 4;prt "No. of files",A,"Last file",Q,"Track",T;spc 4
52: end
53: "1":if flg6;2}p4;p3}N;gto +3
54: 1}p4;P3}M
55: if p3=1 and p4=1;scl 0,450,p2-30,p2+270;30}2
56: pl)X[p4,p3]
57: p2}Y{p4,p3];p1t pl+1.5U,P2+(A-V-1)30;ret
58: "encode2":if pl=0;gto +3
59: abs(int(pl))}p2
60: p2/94-(frc(p2/94)}p3)}p2;94p3}p3
61: char(p2+33)}A$[1,1]
62: char(p3+33)}A$[2,2]
63: ret
64: "decode2":
65: num(A$[1,1])-33}p2
66: num(A$[2,2])-33}p3
67: 94p2+p3}p1
68: ret
*4452
```

HDL Program for diaitising plaice outlines including pectoral fins

```
0: "PLAICE EDGE":
    avd
    dim AS[3],B$[8],X[200],Y[200],X$[4,100],Y$[4,100],W[4],Z[4]
    ent "Track?",T,"First file no?",H,"scale factor?",S,"Resolution",rl3
    H-1}Q
    cll calibrate*
    "R":A+1}A
    Q+1}Q;if Q>129;0}Q;T+1}T;if T=2;beep;dsp "Change tape";0}T
    fxd 0
    prt "N=",A,"F=",Q,"T=",T
    if T=0;trk 0
    if T=1;trk 1
    dsp "ref l";beep;cll 'pointxy"(C,D)
    dsp "ref 2";beep;cll 'pointxy'(E,F)
    cll 'polar"(E-C,F-D,W,R)
    dsp "left edge";beep;1}B;cll `line`;pen
    50}N;cll 'sort'
    dsp "right edge";beep;2}B;cll 'line';pen
    50}N;cll 'sort'
    dsp "left fin";beep;3}B;cll 'line';pen
    25}N;cll 'sort'
    dsp "right fin";beep;4}B;cll 'line';pen
    25}N;cll 'sort"
    dsp "tail";beep;cll 'pointxy'(W[1],Z[1])
    dsp "head";beep;cll 'pointxy'(W[2],z[2])
    dsp "1 pectoral";beep;cll 'pointxy'(W[3],z[3])
    dsp "r pectoral";beep;cll 'pointxy"(W[4],z[4])
    for I=1 to 4
    cll 'polar'(W[I]-C,Z[I]-D,G,R);cll 'rect'(W[I],Z[I],G-W,R)
    next I
    ent "OK?",AS;if cap(AS)="NO";A-1}A;Q-1}Q;gto "R"
    rcf Q,X$,Y$,W[*],Z[*];vfy Z;if Z=1;jmp O
    ent "any more frames?",AS
    if cap(A$)="YES";gto "R"
    fxd 0
    spc 4;prt "No. of files",A,"Last file",Q,"Track",T;spc 4
    end
    "sort":
    fxd 2
    0}P
    P+1}P
    for K=1 to N
    prad(KM/N,0)}L;if L=0;1}L
    X[L]}X
    Y[L]}Y
    cll 'polar'(X-C,Y-D,G,R);cl1 'rect'(X,Y,G-W,R)
    fti (10X)}X$[B,2K-1,2K]
    fti (10Y)}Y$[B,2K-1,2K]
    next K
    ret
```

```
50: "1":
51: p3}M
52: if p3=1;scl 0,450,p2-30,p2+270;30}2
53: p1}x[p3]
54: p2}Y[p3];plt pl+1.5U,p2+(A-V-1)30;ret
55: "polar":if pl=0;90}p3;gto +4
56: if p2=0;0}p3;gto +2
57: atn(p2/pl)}p3
58: if pl<0;180+p3}p3;gto +3
59: if p2<0;360+p3}p3
60: if p3=450;270}p3
61: \(pl^2+p2^2)}p4;ret
62: "rect":p4cos(p3)}pl
63: p4sin(p3)}p2
64: ret
*6003
```

HPL Program for computing centre lines

```
0: "HSPINE":
1: ent N,F
2: dim D$[17,80],W[4,50],A$[2]
3: dim L[50],A[N,2,0:10]
4: fxd 0
5: F-1}H
6: for I=1 to N
7: H+1}H
8: ldf H,D$
9: val(DS[1,6,13])}rl
10: val(D{[1,14,21])}r2
11: val(D$[1,22,29])}r3
12: val(DS[1,30,37])}r4
13: val(D$[1,38,45])}S
14: cll 'polar'(r3-rl,r4-r2,r5,r6)
15: 0}r12
16: for J=1 to 9 by 8
17: 0}rl1;r12+2}r12
18: for L=1 to 4
19: for K=6 to 54 by 4
20: r11+1}r11
21: D$[L+J,R,K+1l}A$;cll 'decode2'(X);X/10}X
22: DS[L+J+4,K,K+1]}A$;cll 'decode2'(Y);Y/10}Y
23: cil 'polar'(X-rl,Y-r2,V,U);cll 'rect'(X,Y,V-r5,U)
24: X*S/r6}W[r12-1,rl1];Y*S/r6}W[r12,r11]
25: if K=54;if L=1 or L=3;r11-1}r11
26: next R
27: next I
28: next J
29: for J=1 to 50
30:W[1,J]+.5(W[3,J]-W[1,J])}W[3,J];W[2,J]+.5(W[4,J]-W[2,J])}W[4,J]
31: next J
32:W[3,1]}W[1,1];W[3,50]}W[1,50];W[4,1]}W[2,1];W[4,50]}W[2,50]
33: for J=2 to 49
34:.25(W[3, J-1]+2W[3,J]+W[3,J+1])}W[1,J]
35:.25(W[4,J-1]+2W[4,J]+W[4,J+1])}W[2,J]
36: next J
37:W[1,1]}P;W[1,2]}X;W[2,1]}Q;W[2,2]}Y
38: for J=3 to 48
39:(P+2X+3(W[1,J]}X)+2W[1,J+1]+W[1,J+2])/9}W[1,J]
40:(Q+2Y+3(W[2,J]}Y)+2W[2,J+1]+W[2,J+2])/9}W[2,J]
41: X}P;Y}Q
42: next J
43: 0}L
44: for J=1 to 50;L+\(W[1,J]^2+W[2,J]^2)}L}L[J];next J
45: for J=1 to 50;L[J]/L}L[J];next J
46:W[1,1]}A[I,1,0];W[2,1]}A[I,2,0]
```

```
47: for A=.1 to 1 by . 1
48: 0}J
49: J+1}J;jmp L[J]>=A
50: if L[J]=A;W[1,J]}A[I,1,10A];W[2,J]}A[I,2,10A];gto +4
51: L[J]-A}D
52: W[1,J]-(W[1,J]-W[1,J-1])*D/(L[J]-L[J-1])}A[I,1,10A]
53:W[2,J]-(W[2,J]-W[2,J-1])*D/(L[J]-L[J-1])}A[I,2,10A]
54: next A
55: next I
56: cll 'regression'(11N,B,R,2);fxd 4;prt B,R,Z
57: cll 'polar`(1,B,T)
58: for A=0 to 10
59: 0}M
60: for I=1 to N
61: cll 'polar'(A[I,1,A],A[I,2,A]-Z,U,V);cll 'rect'(A[I,1,A],A[I, 2,A],U-T,V)
62: next I
63: next A
64: dsp "insert tape";stp
65: ent "track no.",T,"file no.",F
66: trk T;rcf F,A[*]
67: end
68: "polar":if pl=0;90}p3;gto +4
69: if p2=0;0}p3;gto +2
70: atn(p2/pl)}p3
71: if pl<0;180+p3}p3;gto +3
72: if p2<0;360+p3}p3
73: if p3=450;270}p3
74: \(pl^2+p2^2)}p4;ret
75: "rect":p4cos(p3)}p1
76: p4sin(p3)}p2
77: ret
    "X":if pl=1;ret A[1,1,0]
79: int((pl-1)/11)}p2;p1-11p2-1}p3;p2+1}p2;ret A[p2,1,p3]
80: "Y":if pl=1;ret A[1,2,0]
81: int((pl-1)/11)}p2;p1-11p2-1}p3;p2+1}p2;ret A[p2,2,p3]
82: "regression":
83: 0}p4}p5}p6}p7}p8 { p 9}p10
84: p4+1}p4
85: 'X'(p4)}p2;'Y'(p4)}p3
86: p6+p2}p6;p8+p3}p8
87: p7+p2^2}p7;p9+p3^2}p9
88: p10+p 2p3}p10
89: if p4*pl;gto -5
90: p9-p8^2/p1}p9
91: p7-p6^2/pl}p7
92: p10-p6p8/p1}p10
93: p10/p7}p2
94: abs(p10/\(p7p9))}p3
95: p8/p1}p12;p6/p1}p11
```

[^1]Fortran Program for fitting larval fish swimming model, with tail as an arc of constant radius.

C BENDY2 IS A PROGRamME TO FIT bENDY2 MODEL TO MANY FRAMES COMMON/FISH/ X(100), Z(100),A(100), PED
INTEGER N, IBOUND, IW (15), LIW, LW, IFAIL, FIRST, LAST
DOUBLE PRECISION BL(6),BU(6),XC(6),F,W(150),PIE
IBOUND=0
LIW=15
LW=150
$\mathrm{N}=6$
$\operatorname{WRITE}(6,70)$
$\operatorname{READ}(5,61)$ FIRST
$\operatorname{WRITE}(6,71)$
$\operatorname{READ}(5,61) \operatorname{LAST}$
WRITE $(6,72)$
$\operatorname{READ}(5,69)$ PED
PIE=3.1415927
DO $20 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~N}$
$\operatorname{READ}(9,60) \operatorname{BL}(I), \operatorname{BU}(I), X C(I)$
IF(I.GT.2)GOTO 20
BL (I) $=$ BL ( I$) *$ *IE/180
$\mathrm{BU}(\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{BU}(\mathrm{I}) * P I E / 180$
$\mathrm{XC}(\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{XC}(\mathrm{I}) *$ PIE/ 180
20 CONTINUE
DO $100 \mathrm{~J}=1$, LAST
$\operatorname{READ}(9,61)$ IFRAME
DO $10 \mathrm{I}=1,100$
READ (9,60)A(I), X(I), 2(I)
10 CONTINUE
IF(J.LT. FIRST)GOTO 100
IFAIL=0
GOTO 51
$50 \mathrm{XC}(4)=0.1$
51 CALL E04JAF(N,IBOUND, BL, BU,XC,F,IW,LIW,W,LW,IFAIL)
IF (XC (4).EQ.1.ODO)GOTO 50
$\operatorname{WRITE}(6,62)$ IFAIL
$\operatorname{WRITE}(6,63) \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{XC}(1), \mathrm{XC}(2), \mathrm{XC}(3), \mathrm{XC}(4), \mathrm{XC}(5), \mathrm{XC}(6), \mathrm{F}$
$\operatorname{WRITE}(10,63) \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{XC}(1), \mathrm{XC}(2), \mathrm{XC}(3), \mathrm{XC}(4), \mathrm{XC}(5), \mathrm{XC}(6), \mathrm{F}$
100 CONTINUE
STOP
60 FORMAT(3F10.5)
61 FORMAT(I5)
62 FORMAT (7H IFAIL=,12)
69 FORMAT(F5.3)
70 FORMAT (11H FIRST)
71 FORMAT(11H LAST)
63 FORMAT (I5,6F10.5, E15.4)
72 FORMAT(11H PED) END

SUBROUTINE FUNCT1(N,XC,FC)
COMMON/FISH/ Xl(100),21(100),A1(100),PED
INTEGER N,I
DOUBLE PRECISION FC,XC(N),A,F,X,Z,SSQ,T,THETA,THETAP
T=6. 2831853
$\mathrm{z}=0$
$X=0$
$\mathrm{P}=0$
$\mathrm{SSQ}=0$
DO $10 I=1,100$
$\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{Al}$ (I)
F=Al(I)-P
IF (A.GT. PED)GOTO 20
THETA $=X C(1)+(X C(2)+X C(3) * A+X C(4) * A * A) * S I N(T * A / X C(5)-T * X C(6))$
THETAP=THETA
GOTO 30
20 THETA=THETAP $+10.0 \mathrm{D} 0 * \mathrm{XC}(7)$ *(A-PED)
$30 \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{F} * \operatorname{COS}$ (THETA)
$\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{Z}+\mathrm{F} * \mathrm{~S}$ IN (THETA)
P=Al(I)
10 SSQ=SSQ+(X-X1(I))**2+(Z-Z1(I))**2 FC=SSQ
RETURN
END
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## SYNOPSIS

The locomotion of larval plaice is demonstrated using a new technique - sid. houette cinematography. This method, which uses no lenses, gives a very high contrast which is necessary to photograph the almost transparent fins of fish larvae.

Kinematic analyses of cruising speed swimming from the films show many differences from adult swimming. The pectoral fins are used together with body waves at cruising speeds, but pectoral fins are not important in producing propulsive thrust. Their purpose is to counteract recoil caused by lateral tail movements and therefore prevent yaw of the head. This is achieved by synchronized tail and fin movements with a $180^{\circ}$ phase difference between the strokes of the left and right pectoral fins. Body wave speed $v$ and swimming speed $u$ were measured and show low values of $u / v(0.2$ to 0.4$)$ which are positively correlated with swimming speed. Other parameters of the body waves (wavelength, amplitude, frequency) were also measured.

Recordings of burst speeds showed very high tail beat frequencies and a change in swimming style. The pectoral fins are no longer used at these speeds, at which the wavelength of the body wave appears to increase.

## INTRODUCTION

The kinematics and hydrodynamics of adult fish swimming have been extensively studied by biologists and physical scientists. This effort, which has been concentrated on undulatory propulsion, has been reviewed by Gray (1968) and Webb (1975). "Paddling" has received much less attention, which is surprising since its use for slow swimming speeds and manoeuvering is so widespread, but it is the subject of another paper in this volume (Blake, this volume).

Little is known, however, about the kinematics of larval fish swimming. This is not so surprising when it is realized that, owing to the transparency of the larvae, special techniques have to be employed to photograph their movements (Hunter, 1972; Arnold \& Nuttall-Smith, 1974). Most attention has been given to clupeoid larvae, either
anchow (Engraulis mordax) by Hunter (1972) and Vlymen (1974), or herring (Clupea harengus) by Rosenthal (1968) and Rosenthal \& Hempel (1969). Clupeoid larvac are typical of one group of larae that have relatively long slender bodies. Other larsae, with shorter, decper bodies, have not been studied at all. The plaice lana (Pleuronectes platessa L.) is typical of this shorter, deceper body shape. This species is bilaterally symmetrical on hatching at a length of 5 mm and during the larval stages until it metamorphoses at a length of approximately 12 mm to lic on the left side.

Preliminary work with plaice larvae had shown that they swim with body undulations and by moving their pectoral fins, a type of swimming that turbot and lemon sole laviac also employ, which indicates that this may be typical of all flatfish larvac. This chapter describes a new photographic technique called silhouette cinematography which has been used to make a kinematic analysis of the swimming of plaice larvae.

## METHODS

## Source of Animals

Eggs were stripped from a brood stock kept in the laboratory and fertilized artificially. The eggs were incubated and larvac reared to metamorphosis in 20 -litre round black plastic tanks. Temperature was not controlled and the ambient sea water temperature in the aquarium rose from 6 to $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during the season. Larvae were fed on a mixture of Artemia salina nauplii and natural plankton.

Post yolksac larvac of $7-11 \mathrm{~mm}$ body length were used for these experiments.

## Silhouette Cine matography

Preliminary work, using closed circuit television and a "Scotchlite" background illumination technique similar to that used by Wardle \& Reid (1977), showed that the pectoral fins were used simultaneously with body movements. These fins are nearly transparent and were not always clearly visible using this technique.

Edgerton (1977) had shown that high speed silhouctte photography, a simple technique using no lenses, could give high resolution photographs of small rapidly moving subjects. This method would, in theory, give the highest possible contrast and was thought to be the ideal method to demonstrate larval plaice swimming.


FIG. 1. The silhouette "camera". (A) plan view, (B) side view. c, container for subjects: d, drum; f, 70 mm film; 1 , lid; m , drum motor; n , take up spool motor; r , rubber coupling; s, supply spool; t, take-up spool.

Edgerton's technique was modified so that the film moved beneath the subject while a strobe flashlight, 2.2 m vertically above the subject in a dark room, gave a series of separate exposures along the length of the film.

The field of view is determined by the area of film exposed and is limited by the film format used. Therefore, to allow a large $57 \mathrm{~mm} \times$ 57 mm field of view, 70 mm (Kodak TriXpan) film was used. A diagram of the apparatus is shown in Fig. 1. The supply spool contains the film to be exposed preceded by a 10 m leader of scrap film. With the $10 \mu \mathrm{~s}, 0.15 \mathrm{~J}$ strobe running at 70 Hz and the subjects in place, both motors are started together. The take-up spool motor tends to tension the film against the drum and the drum motor determines the maximum speed of film movement of $4.9 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at which the


FIG. 2. A print from one frame of a silhouette film showing plaice larvac at various stages The reference scales have 1 cm divisions and are 5 cm long.

70 Hz strobe gave separate images on the film. After exposure the film was developed in Kodak D 76 developer for 9 min . A print from one frame of a resulting negative is shown in Fig. 2.

## Analytical Techniques

The films were analysed by a computer-aided method. Individual frames were projected and traced onto sheets of paper which were then fixed to a digitizing table linked to a Hewlett Packard 9825 desktop computer. Whole outlines of the body and pectoral fins were traced with the digitizer's cursor (Fig. 3), recorded by the computer and stored on magnetic tape for subsequent analysis.

## Body waves

The first step was to reduce the data to a number of points centred
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FIG. 3. Computer plotted outlines of plaice larvae swimming, derived from silhouette photographs. (A) an example of cruising swimming; (B) turning; (C) burst speed swimming. There are 14 ms between frames.


FIG. 4. A diagram showing the co-ordinate system and the 11 points on the co-ordinate $a$ at fixed $0.1 a$ increments from the head $(a=0)$ to the tail $(a=1)$.
on the notochord of fixed position along a length co-ordinate a (ser Fig. 4). To achieve this a series of points making up a line midway between the two sides of the larta was found. This was done by taking pairs of points, one from each side of the body at a similar distance from the head and then finding the cu-ordinates of the point midway between these two points. The a co-ordinate of each of these points was found. The $x$ and $z$ co-ordinates for 11 points at 0.1 a increments, along $a$ from head $=0$ to tail $=1$ were found by interpolation. It was then possible to follow similar methods to those used by Videler \& Wardle (1978) to find the various parameters of the body waves, which are summarized by Fig. 5. These authors estimated wave speed $v$ from wave crest da/dt, i.e. speed along the coordinate $a$. This value was found by plotting $z$ against time for each $a$ increment and so finding the time at which a wave crest (maximum or minimum) passes through each point. These values were then plotted as graphs of a against time (Fig. 6). Videler \& Wardle (1978) multiplied the value for $d a / d t$, found by fitting regression lines, by a factor to correct for the effect of body bending on the distance occupied by the body along the $x$ axis.


FIG. 5. Diagrams demonstrating body wave parameters. $(A)$ shows $\lambda_{b}$, $\lambda_{s}$, and $\theta$ (the angle between any part of the body and the direction of motion. ( $B$ ) and (C) define swimming speed $u$ and wave speed $v$ from the passage of wave crests down the body. In (B) the two consecutive notochord lines are in their real positions and in (C) they are moved so that the heads are superimposed.


FIG. 6. a co-ordinate positions against time for a series of wave crests with fitted regression lines.


FIG. 7. $x$ co-ordinate positions (corresponding to a co-ordinates plotted in Fig. 6) against time. The slopes of fitted regression lines ( m ) to all points and $(\mathrm{p})$ to the last 6 points give mean and posterior values for $v-u$.

In the present case this shortening was increased by the larger body angles $\theta$, and therefore it was considered better to measure actual wave crest $d x / d t$ and then find the corresponding $x$ co-ordinate for each a co-ordinate found by Videler \& Wardle's (1978) method. Figure 6 shows that plots of $a$ against time are straight lines and contrast with Fig. 7, showing plots of $x$ against time (for calculation of $d x / d t$ on the same sequence). This value of $d x / d t$ is equal to $v-u$. Figure 7 shows that $v-u$, and therefore $v$, can
decrease along the length of the larva and demonstrates the value of using this method for calculating $v$.

Two values for $v$ were calculated from each wave, using either all the points on $a$ or the last six; in other words a mean or posterior $v\left(v_{m}, v_{p}\right)$.

## Pectoral fin movements

A simple analysis was made in order to describe pectoral fin movements. It was not possible to distinguish and follow individual fin rays through a fin stroke cycle as Blake (1979) did. Left and right fin tip co-ordinates were defined for each frame as the point on the fin outline furthest from the base of the fin (the first pair of coordinates recorded for each fin). Having found the fin tip, the fin angle was defined as the angle between the tip of the larva's head, the fin base and the fin tip. The phase and frequency of fin movements and body movements could now be compared. Since it was not possible to find the positions of individual fin rays the three-dimensional shapes of the fins were not known, and for this reason a measurement of angle of attack to the water flow, could not be made. An estimation of this angle of attack was taken as the projected area of the fin seen from above. When this projected fin area was low, angle of attack to the water flow was high and vice versa.

RESULTS

## Cruising Speeds

Cruising swimming of plaice larvae is characterized by the simultaneous use of pectoral fins and body waves. On the only recorded occasion when pectoral fins were used alone no forward progress was made. Body waves without the use of pectoral fins were only used at burst speeds.

## Body waves

Wave characteristics were analysed for two sizes of larvae, 7 mm and 11 mm . An example of amplitude of $z$ displacement along the body is shown in Fig. 8, together with angle $\theta$ for the intervals between each $a$ increment. These amplitude curves are very similar to those for adult fish swimming in the subcarangiform mode (Grillner \& Kashin, 1976). There is a major difference between larval and adult fish in the body angle $\theta$ curve. A much larger tail $\theta$ occurs at the moderate cruising speed employed herc than has been found in


FIG. 8. Maximum relative amplitudes for each length co-ordinate a point $\Theta$, and maximum angle $\theta$ of each interval berween the points $\boldsymbol{m}$, for a 10 mm larva.
similar analyses of adult fish cruising. Indecd, in larrae, tail angles of greater than $90^{\circ}$ have been observed at higher cruising speeds and during acceleration. It is notable that the measured wave speeds $v$ show no positive correlation with forward swimming speed $u$ (Fig. 9). In adult fish $u / v$ is relatively constant (Videler \& Wardle, 1978). The technique used to find $z$ has some bearing on the result since $v$ varies with position on the body (Fig. 7), decreasing from head to tail, when $u$ was above $30 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. For this reason both $v_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $v_{p}$ are plotted in Fig. 7 showing the changing swimming style at higher cruising speeds. Figure 10 , a plot of $u / v$ against speed $u$, shows a clear increase in $u / v$ with swimming speed and the change in style.

If $v$ does vary along the body then so must wavelength $\lambda_{b}$, otherwise the larvae would be ripped apart if frequency changed. Since frequency is equal to $z \cdot \lambda_{b}$, when speed $r$ decreases the wavelength $\lambda_{b}$ must get shorter.

Tail tip amplitude varies little with speed, therefore maximum tail $\theta$ must increase with swimming speed as tailwards decrease in $v$ becomes more pronounced. This may be the means of increasing thrust force with speed. Unfortunately, maximum measured values for $\theta$ at the tail are not very close to the true maximum value since the technique did not provide sufficient frames per second. Films at a higher frame rate would be necessary to confirm this conclusion.

Mean values of $\lambda_{b} / L$ (body wavelength in units of body length), the number of waves included on the body and amplitude ( $A$ ), are


FIG. 9. Plots of $v_{m}(口)$ and $v_{p}(0)$ against $u$ with fitted regression lines.


FIG. 10. $u / v_{m}$ ( $\left.\square\right)$ and $u / v_{p}(0)$ against swimming speed $u$, with fitted regression lines. - indicates where values for $u / v_{m}$ and $u / v_{p}$ coincide.
shown in Table I, for two body lengths, 7.2 mm and 10.0 mm . There is little difference between the two sizes of larva and both include slighty more than one wave on their body when swimming at cruising speeds.

TABLE I
Mean values of body wave parameters from two plaice larvac swimming at cruising speeds

| Length <br> on $a$ <br> $(\mathrm{~mm})$ | Length <br> on $x$ <br> $(\mathrm{~mm})$ | $\lambda_{\mathrm{b}} / L$ <br> $(L)$ | Waves <br> on body | $A / L$. <br> $(L)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 7.2 | 6.7 | 0.84 | 1.1 | 0.32 |
| 10.0 | 9.2 | 0.82 | 1.1 | 0.35 |






FIG. 11. Pectoral fin movements during atraight swimming of a 10 mm larva. $a$, left fin angle; a , left fin area; $\uparrow$, right fin angle; 0 , right fin area; $\bullet$, tail amplitude.

Pectoral fin movements
An example of an analysis of pectoral fin movements during steady forward swimming is shown in Fig. 11. This diagram clearly shows the synchronization of pectoral fins with body waves. Their movements are of the same frequency but there is a $180^{\circ}$ phase difference between the left and the right pectoral fin. Projected fin areas are plotted on the same axis as fir. angle and give an indication of angle of attack. The projected fin area (an indication of angle of attack) varies at twice the frequency of fin beating, showing that lift or negative lift may be produced on both strokes.


FIG. 12. Pectoral fin tip $x$ co-ordinate position against time for the same sequence shown in Fig. 11.

Rearward strokes of the pectoral fins may have little propulsive effect. Figure 12, a graph of fin tip $x$ co-ordinate against time demonstrates the low or zero effect on the water of rearward strokes; but the synchronization of pectoral fin strokes with propulsive waves will tend to counteract head yaw by counteracting the recoil effect produced by the tail fin strokes. The pectoral fin strokes are perfectly timed to do this and improve efficiency by reducing drag induced by swimming movements.

## Turning

Pectoral fin movements change during turning. In the example shown in Fig. 3, the larva is turning to the right. The right fin moves between a point perpendicular to the body and a point $180^{\circ}$ from the head as in straight swimming, but the left fin moves between 0 and







FIG. 13. Pectoral fin movements during a right turn. Labelled as in Fig. 11.
$90^{\circ}$ from the head; this situation is reversed in left turns. An analysis of this turn (Fig. 13) shows a movement similar to straight swimming except for this change in the stroke of the left fin to move in the range 0 to $90^{\circ}$ from the head.

The part played by the pectoral fins during turning is not clear, especially since the turning couple on the head would tend to rotate the head against the desired direction.

## Burst Speeds

At burst speeds only body waves are used (Fig. 3c) and are apparently of a different form to cruising speed swimming. Unfortunately the photographic method used has limited the number of frames per tail beat to two, at this speed, so that a proper analysis of the propulsive waves cannot be made. An examination of the outlines of a 7 mm larva shown in Fig. 4 indicates that wavelength $\lambda_{b}$ is longer than one body length $(L)$ and that amplitude is much greater than during cruising. These two changes cause less than one complete wave to be included on the body. It seems that the larva has "changed gear" in order to swim at this higher speed of $140 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\left(20 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ whilst using a very high tail beat frequency of 35 Hz . A considerable yaw of the head is seen in this sequence, compared with cruising swimming (Fig. 4a) when pectoral fin movements are used. Measurements of maximum tail beat frequencies of the plaice larvae are plotted in Fig. 14 together with similar data obtained by Bainbridge (1958) for adult fish and by Hunter (1972) for anchovy larvae.


FIG. 14. Maximum tail beat frequencies of different sizes of fish. O, plaice larvac; anchovy larvac (Hunter, 1972); ©, adult fish (Bainbridge, 1958).

The graph demonstrates that maximum tailbeat frequency is strongly dependent on size, increasing as size decreases. This observation is confirmed by the findings of Wardle (1975) on the contraction time of adult muscle which shows the same type of size effect.

## DISCUSSION

The results indicate that the pectoral fins are not important for producing thrust to propel the larva forward. It seems that their role is to produce lift, which is important since the lavae are negatively: buoyant (Ehrlich, 1972), and at the same timc, during cruising, to reduce head yaw.

Head yaw is caused by angular recoil forces which are generated by the lateral movements of the tail (Lighthill, 1977). The opposite forces generated by the pectoral fins of the larvae form a couple tending to turn the head against the recoil caused by the tail. Any reduction in head yaw should lead to a decrease in drag caused by swimming movements (Lighthill, 1977), but in this case the improvement in swimming movements is due in part to an increase in drag caused by the forward movement of one of the fins.

If alternating fin movements were not used lift would be produced by a pair of fixed fins, resulting in some drag. The plaice larva's alternating pectoral fin movements increase swimming efficiency by using energy spent in producing lift to reduce the amount of drag that is induced by swimming movements.

The one disadvantage is that roll might be induced, but this was too small to be observed in the films made in this study and would be expected to be very small in a deep-bodied fish larva which will have a large resistance to forces producing roll.

If the body waves of larvae are compared with those of adult fish, they are most like adult fish swimming in the subcarangiform mode (Breder, 1926). But there are many differences between larvae and adults. Speed of the propulsive wave $v$ and tail beat frequency are not firmly linked to swimming speed at cruising speeds as in adults. This means that $u / v$, found to decrease only slightly with increasing speed for an adult fish, actually increases in the larval plaice: $u / v$ is much lower ( 0.2 to 0.4 ) than in adult fish where it is in the range 0.6 to 0.8 .

Values of $u / v$ may be used to calculate propeller efficiency $\eta_{p}$ (Lighthill, 1960) provided that amplitude increases from near zero at the head to a maximum at the tail tip.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{1}{2}(1+u / v) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this formula, $\eta_{p}$ would be in the range 0.6 to 0.7 for plaice lar'ae or 0.8 to 0.9 for most adult fish. Webb (1977) used results obtained by C. C. Lindsey to make estimates of propeller efficiency for a wide range of sizes. He showed a size dependence of propeller efficiency of similar magnitude to the results presented here.
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[^0]:    Fig. 35. A plot of possible values of $\theta$ and $\alpha$ which result in the special case when 0 is zero (Fig. 34). In this prediction a larva would have been swimming at a speed of $40 \mathrm{~mm} . \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, with $V=0.12 \mathrm{~mm} . \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ and $s=0.004 \mathrm{~m}$. The vertical pecked line indicates the range of values of a to which the model may be limited.
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