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Abstract

Screen media (television, computers, and videogames) use has been linked to multiple child outcomes, including obesity. Parents can be an important influence on children’s screen use. There has been an increase in the number of instruments available to assess parenting in feeding and physical activity contexts, however few measures are available to assess parenting practices regarding children’s screen media use. A working group of screen media and parenting researchers convened at the preconference workshop to the 2012 International Society for Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity (ISBNPA) annual meeting, “Parenting Measurement: Current Status and Consensus Reports,” to identify and prioritize issues in assessing screen media parenting practices. The group identified that screen media use can pose different risks for children, depending on their age and developmental stage, across physiologic, psychosocial, and development outcomes. With that in mind, a conceptual framework of how parents may influence their child’s screen-viewing behaviors was proposed to include the screen media content, context of viewing, and amount viewed. A research agenda was proposed to prioritize a validation of the framework and enhance the ability of researchers to best assess parenting influences across the three domains of content, context and amount of children’s screen media use.

Introduction

Screen media use—defined as television viewing, computer/electronic game playing, or use of portable screen-based devices—is central to the lives of young people1–3 and is a dominant form of leisure time behaviors for many youth.4,5 Survey data suggest that screen media use begins at a very young age,6 and the amount of time children and adolescents spend with media is increasing.7 There are some benefits associated with screen media use, such as improved reading recognition,8 academic skills,9 and vocabulary and expressive language use10 among preschoolers who watched certain age-appropriate educational programs. However, there is accumulating evidence that exposure to certain types and amounts of screen media is associated with increased risk of multiple physiologic, psychosocial, and developmental problems, independent of physical inactivity. For example, high levels of television viewing have been associated with unfavorable physiologic outcomes such as unfavorable body composition,11–13 decreased fitness,14,15 high blood pressure,16,17 and increased risk of metabolic syndrome.18 Screen media use has also been
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associated with adverse psychosocial outcomes such as problems with sleep, externalizing and aggressive behaviors, problematic interpersonal relationships, increased risk taking, and disordered eating. Excessive screen exposure at an early age has also been associated with adverse developmental outcomes, such as decreased reading recognition, comprehension, and short-term memory, problems with language and vocabulary development, and reduced school readiness.

Of recent interest to many researchers, clinicians, and public health workers is the link between screen media use and child obesity. The majority of this work has examined the association between TV viewing and child obesity. Several theories have been proposed that could explain the association including TV viewing: (1) Displacing time that would be otherwise spent in physical activity (the “Couch Potato Hypothesis”); (2) promoting eating while viewing leading to higher energy consumption; (3) exposing children to food advertising which influences their dietary intake; (4) decreasing children’s total sleep duration, which may alter their metabolic hormonal pathways related to hunger and appetite; and/or (5) having a reverse or bidirectional effect in which obese children view greater amounts of TV than nonobese children. All of these mechanisms have at least some evidence to support them. For example, some studies found that physical activity decreases as screen time increases supporting the “couch potato effect,” but this has not always been supported. Greater attention is now being focused on the influence that TV viewing has on children’s dietary intake, with one longitudinal cohort and two randomized controlled TV reduction interventions finding that dietary intake, and not physical activity, mediated the effect of TV viewing on children’s weight status. One study showed that viewing TV with advertisements, compared with advertisement-free TV, contributes to this pathway. In fact, the mechanisms are likely complex, multifactorial, and may differ by child age and other characteristics. Qualitative studies support that parents perceive TV viewing as influencing children’s physical activity and dietary intake. Parent’s perceptions of the mechanisms by which children’s screen media use results in desired or undesired child outcomes may influence how they interact with their child regarding screen media use.

While mechanisms underpinning the associations of screen viewing with health outcomes have not been well delineated, young children who view high amounts of television tend to remain high television viewers relative to others over time. Many national organizations have recognized the potential problems associated with high levels of screen media use among youth and have published guidelines and implemented health promotion campaigns to reduce youth screen time.

Screen media parenting practices refers to goal-directed parent behaviors or interactions with their child about media for the purpose of influencing some aspect of the youth’s screen media use behaviors, e.g., restricting a child’s time engaged in screen media use, or encouraging a child to view certain content. The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) recommends pediatricians promote screen media parenting practices that restrict children’s use across three dimensions—amount, content, and context. Much of the preliminary work on screen media parenting practices comes from the field of communication science, where the focus has been on TV viewing (referred to as “parental mediation of TV viewing”). More recently, medical and public health literature have included assessments of parenting practices related to youth screen media use. The most commonly defined dimensions of media parenting practices include restrictive mediation (restricting the amount or content of screen media allowed), co-viewing (shared viewing with no intentional discussion), and instructive mediation (purposive discussions parents initiate related to content).

Recent work has proposed other dimensions that relate to the context of viewing, e.g., with meals or control of child behavior, e.g., making screen use contingent on other behaviors. Survey data have shown that the majority of parents use at least one parenting practice to influence their child’s screen media behavior. Measurement of screen media practices has been restricted by inconsistent assessment of parenting practices and limited reliability and validity data on existing measures. Past measurement has focused almost exclusively on television viewing and has not taken into consideration present day media use by youth or simultaneous use of multiple screens. In fact, screen media parenting is unique compared to parenting in other contexts, because technologies that deliver media continue to rapidly advance, making it difficult for researchers (and parents) to keep pace.

To advance our understanding of the role parents play in influencing children’s media use and ultimately children’s health, there is a need to further define the construct of screen media parenting practices and its dimensions. Consideration must be given to the broader literature on domain-specific parenting practices (e.g., feeding and physical activity) and the defined parenting dimensions in other domains. These assessments should include parents’ perceptions of outcomes, because their attitudes and beliefs will likely influence their screen-viewing parenting practices. Additional research also needs to define the mechanisms that link screen media use with both desired and adverse outcomes. Finally, systematic assessment of the validity and reliability of the resulting screen media parenting measures and assessment procedures are needed to ensure that the theoretically defined constructs and related dimensions are appropriately assessed in future observational, experimental, and intervention studies.

Screen Media Parenting Working Group

A working group of 11 screen media and parenting researchers met to identify and prioritize issues in assessing
been reviewed with multiple parenting practice constructs of medical and public health child outcomes have recently identified constructs of media parenting practices may influence one (see Table 1 for definitions); discussed how the published constructs of media parenting practices may influence one or more of these screen media use domains; and identified additional parenting practice constructs that warrant further study. By investigating parenting practices across the dimensions of amount, content, and context of screen use by their child, research may be able to better delineate how parents influence children’s outcomes (e.g., obesity) via several of the proposed pathways. The working group also outlined a suggested research agenda to further refine the screen media parenting practice conceptual framework.

**Parenting Practices within the Domains of Content, Context, and Amount**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screen media domain</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Content**         | The message delivered from the media that youth view or interact with, which can be further categorized into:  
  • purpose (e.g., educational or entertainment)  
  • programming content (e.g., age-appropriate or not, such as content with portrayal of sexual behaviors, violence, drug use, profanity)  
  • commercial versus noncommercial (e.g., with or without advertisement) |
| **Context**         | Circumstance in which the viewing occurs including:  
  • who is present with the child  
  • the location it is taking place (e.g., living room, bedroom, on-the-go, at school)  
  • when it is occurring (such as time of the day, daylight/non-daylight)  
  • how it is delivered (i.e., television, DVD, computer, tablets, or handheld gaming consoles)  
  • whether screen media use occurs in relation to other activities (e.g., while eating or doing homework) |
| **Amount ("dose")** | Frequency and duration that youth are engaged in screen media use, including:  
  • how much of each media type is used  
  • how often it is used (i.e., unique episodes or continuous)  
  • whether or not use occurs simultaneously with other screen media (i.e., multitasking) |

Each of the parenting practices identified in the literature and by the working group may encourage or discourage children’s screen media use related in part to the content, context, or amount of screen media use. For instance, co-viewing may influence the amount of screen media use if parents and children spend their time together consuming screen media. Co-viewing may also influence the content of screen media if parents display certain preferences or place restrictions on content viewed with their child; finally, co-viewing may influence context of the screen media use, if the child is only permitted to view screen media when the parent is available for co-viewing. Each of the parenting practices is defined in Table 2 and linked with each of the child viewing constructs—content, context, and amount—to which they may relate in Table 3.

**Linking Screen Media Parenting Practices to Parenting in Other Domains**

The proposed framework was grounded in the developmental literature and parenting theory to maximize application to parenting practices across the spectrum of child health behaviors (e.g., food parenting practices, physical activity parenting practices, etc.). Because research regarding parenting influences on children’s screen media use is still at a very early stage, this conceptual framework is designed to be flexible to accommodate future changes based on accumulating empirical evidence. Previous work in other parenting contexts has identified control and responsiveness as important parenting dimensions that influence children’s behaviors in general, as well as within feeding contexts. There are several existing screen media parenting practices (Table 2) that relate to the control (e.g., total timing and content restrictions) and responsiveness (e.g., co-viewing and encouragement of screen media) dimensions of screen media parenting practices.

---

**Table 1. Screen Media Domains: Context, Content, and Amount**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screen media domain</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Content**         | The message delivered from the media that youth view or interact with, which can be further categorized into:  
  • purpose (e.g., educational or entertainment)  
  • programming content (e.g., age-appropriate or not, such as content with portrayal of sexual behaviors, violence, drug use, profanity)  
  • commercial versus noncommercial (e.g., with or without advertisement) |
| **Context**         | Circumstance in which the viewing occurs including:  
  • who is present with the child  
  • the location it is taking place (e.g., living room, bedroom, on-the-go, at school)  
  • when it is occurring (such as time of the day, daylight/non-daylight)  
  • how it is delivered (i.e., television, DVD, computer, tablets, or handheld gaming consoles)  
  • whether screen media use occurs in relation to other activities (e.g., while eating or doing homework) |
| **Amount ("dose")** | Frequency and duration that youth are engaged in screen media use, including:  
  • how much of each media type is used  
  • how often it is used (i.e., unique episodes or continuous)  
  • whether or not use occurs simultaneously with other screen media (i.e., multitasking) |
An additional parenting domain of structure has been proposed to include ways that parents proactively organize a child’s environment to support desired behaviors with consistent guidelines, expectations, and monitoring of child behaviors. Several of the proposed parenting constructs could be considered practices that structure the screen media environment in which children are raised (e.g., availability and accessibility of screen media, mealtime rules, and limits on locations and co-activities). Further research is needed to assess whether screen media parenting practice domains should be categorized according to existing parenting dimensions and assess whether other dimensions, such as autonomy supportive parenting practices (e.g., instruction, providing choice, and negotiated rules) may also have an important role in influencing children’s screen media use.

Recommendations for Screen Media Parenting Practices Scale Development

This research group proposed that parents modify their parenting practices according to the type of screen media in which their child is engaged. This suggests that scales for different types of screen media use should be developed. Furthermore, screen media parenting practices may differ between school days and non–school days and should be assessed using this distinction. Formative studies are needed to identify the multiple ways in which parents interact with their child to achieve the same goal (e.g., limiting screen time). These data can be used to develop multi-item scales to assess each screen media parenting practice dimension. The discrete parenting practices identified by such research can populate a screen media parenting practice item bank and form the basis for

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screen Media Parenting Practice construct</th>
<th>Proposed definition</th>
<th>Existing or proposed construct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total limits</td>
<td>Parents have limits on the total amount of time the child can engage in screen media use.</td>
<td>Existing45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing limits</td>
<td>Limits to screen media use are implemented by parents based on the time of day (e.g., no screen media use an hour before bed).</td>
<td>Existing45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content restrictions</td>
<td>Parents have restrictions on the content of screen media allowed.</td>
<td>Existing45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingent viewing</td>
<td>Screen media time is dependent upon something else (e.g., completing homework or taking nap).</td>
<td>Existing45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental supervision</td>
<td>Parents supervise screen media behaviors by being in the same room, but not necessarily co-viewing.</td>
<td>Existing45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-viewing</td>
<td>Parents watch television together with their child.</td>
<td>Existing45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encouragement of TV</td>
<td>Parents encourage child viewing of TV that may be specific to certain types of programming or certain times.</td>
<td>Existing45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction</td>
<td>Parents provide instructions regarding what is viewed on screen media (explain what characters are doing, the meaning of advertisements, etc.).</td>
<td>Existing44,46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing choice</td>
<td>Parents provide the child with choices regarding screen media use (such as programing and timing).</td>
<td>Proposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negotiated rules</td>
<td>Parents and child negotiate rules about screen media use allowed for the child.</td>
<td>Proposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mealtime rules</td>
<td>There are rules about screen media use during mealtimes.</td>
<td>Existing45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td>Parents keep track at regular intervals of content, context or amount of screen media used by child.</td>
<td>Existing51–53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limits on co-activities</td>
<td>There are rules on other activities that can be done while using screen media (such as eating or doing homework).</td>
<td>Proposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limits on location</td>
<td>There are limits on where in the house screen media can be used (no TV in bedroom, no handheld videogames in bedroom).</td>
<td>Proposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent decisions that affect availability</td>
<td>Whether to have screen media equipment within the home environment; extreme end is no screen media, or no TV in home.</td>
<td>Proposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent decisions that affect accessibility</td>
<td>Whether to have screen media equipment in the home that is within sight of child and easily accessed by child.</td>
<td>Proposed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Existing, Media parenting practices identified in Jago’s et al. 2013 systematic review45 and the literature; proposed, media parenting practices identified by the Media Parenting Working Group in Houston.
developmentally appropriate, comprehensive assessments of the influence of different screen media parenting practices on children’s screen media behaviors. To maximize the availability and accessibility of the proposed item bank to researchers, items and subscales designed to assess media parenting practices and general measures of parenting should be placed in public and highly accessible locations, e.g., as part of the Measures Registry, hosted by the National Collaborative on Childhood Obesity Research.60 This or similar locations will facilitate searches for appropriate instruments and facilitate their use by the child health and development research community.

With a screen media parenting practice framework proposed, consideration should also be given to how the proposed dimensions are best measured and which assessment procedures should be employed. In the fields of feeding61 and physical activity,62 parenting practices have traditionally been assessed by parent self-report or child report of parent behaviors. Self-report procedures are easiest to use in large observational or intervention studies. Therefore, the development of reliable and valid multi-item scales to assess screen media parenting practices would greatly advance this field. However, scientists should also be aware that such scales can be prone to individual reporting bias, as seen by low agreement between parent and child reports of parenting.63 This suggests that future research also needs to consider novel methods for assessing screen media parenting practices, such as virtual reality parenting simulations, implicit parenting attitude measures, or newer methods of self-report such as ecological momentary assessment or computerized adaptive testing methods. All of these are discussed in more detail by Mässé et al. in this special issue.64

**Recommended Research Agenda**

The working group has proposed a conceptual framework informed by the current literature, in which parents influence their child(ren)’s screen media use across three different viewing/use domains—content, context, and amount. The framework could be applied across an aggregate of child screen media use types or each type separately, depending on the outcome(s) of interest. It is apparent that the field of screen media parenting is in an early stage and studies are needed to inform, refine, and expand the proposed conceptual framework. Such studies need to identify the individual parenting practices used within each existing or proposed parenting domain to develop screen media parenting practices scales and subscales. Additional work should explore whether there are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Media parenting practices</th>
<th>Screen media use—areas of focus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Content of screen media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total limits</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing limits</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content restrictions</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingent viewing</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental supervision</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-viewing</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encouragement of TV</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing choice</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negotiated rules</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mealtime rules</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limits on Co-activities:</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limits on location</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent decisions that affect availability</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent decisions that affect accessibility</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"X" marked in boxes identifies the parenting practices that are relevant for the identified construct of children's screen media use.
additional or alternative ways parents attempt to modify their children’s screen media use. The construct validity, internal consistency, external validity, reliability, and predictive validity of the resulting scales should be assessed to provide reliable, valid instruments for future research to assess the role of screen media parenting in children’s health outcomes.

Once reliable and valid items and scales of screen media parenting practices have been developed, the proposed conceptual framework (Table 3) should be refined. Studies should assess each parenting practice domain’s influence on children’s content, context, and amount of screen media use, and whether the parenting practices differ by type of screen media used by children. The proposed influences are theoretical and based on face validity. The suggested associations need to be tested empirically, along with assessing additional or alternative associations. The proposed mechanisms by which screen media viewing effects certain child outcomes (e.g., obesity), likely involves other child behaviors, such as physical activity and dietary intake. Therefore, the influence of screen media parenting practices on these other (co-varying) behaviors should also be assessed to better understand the complex mechanisms by which screen media use effects outcomes. For example, restrictive TV parenting practices were associated with greater child sedentary time and less physical activity in one small study, suggesting that parenting in one domain may influence behaviors in another domain and these relationships may be complex and have bidirectional effects.

Media parenting may also be influenced by the number of children and developmental stage of each child in the home, the availability and location of various media in the home, parental beliefs and attitudes about screen media, and cultural norms. Measurements of parenting practices should be sensitive to differences in parenting relative to children’s development. Media use varies by age, and parents may have different expectations based on their child’s developmental stage; and the impact of the content viewed by the child will also vary by age and development. Finally, most of the existing parenting measures were developed using a singular cultural group (most often European American), and these measures may not apply to other groups of parents.

To effectively draw links between screen media parenting practices and child behaviors and outcomes, scientists also need to develop better methods for assessing child screen-viewing behaviors. Substantial problems and limitations have been identified in the current available measures of screen media use, which have focused on TV viewing. The most commonly used measure, child self-report or parent proxy-report to estimate the minutes of TV viewing in a typical day, had low correlation (0.27) with home video observations. The current gold standard method to measure children’s TV viewing, direct or video-recorded observation, is too expensive and intrusive for most field studies, may cause privacy concerns for participants, and requires additional costs of coding the video recordings at a later time, thus limiting its use in larger studies. TV diaries had higher correlations with home video recording (r = 0.84) than parent estimates, but are burdensome and require documentation of child activity every 15 minutes for several days, which may introduce systematic errors. Other methods, such as the TV Allowance have not been validated compared to the gold standard, and require the child or parent to enter a child-specific code each time the child watches TV. This may cause misclassification errors if the child is not watching the entire time the TV is turned on or watches under another family member’s code. However, this device is now discontinued and the authors are aware of no other similar devices available. These measurement problems reduce the likelihood of “high quality [screen media] exposure assessment” and limit our ability to accurately measure screen media use, and tease apart the mechanisms by which screen media use is associated with child outcomes. Inaccurate measurement of screen media use creates substantial problems in fully characterizing the extent of children’s screen media use behaviors or the impact that parenting practices can have on these behaviors.

Conclusion

The proposed screen media parenting practice conceptual framework offers a way to overcome many of the limitations of existing instruments and provides a comprehensive, coordinated, and systematic approach to assess screen media parenting. The framework and item bank will allow researchers to identify the parenting concepts that may be the most relevant to their research question, allowing them to develop a tailored assessment tool using age- and culturally appropriate items contained within the bank. In addition to supporting expansion of measurement to multiple health behaviors and outcomes, additional advantages of a common item bank include a reduction in redundant efforts to develop reliable and valid instruments to assess parenting practices (i.e., limiting “reinvention of the wheel”), and will facilitate comparisons across populations and systematic reviews of the parenting and child health literature.
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