Confirmation of the Presence of the Red-Capped Mangabey (*Cercocebus torquatus*) in Mayumba National Park, Southern Gabon, and Conkouati-Douli National Park, Southern Republic of Congo

Author(s): Fiona Maisels, Quevain Pambou Makaya and Jean-Robert Onononga
Published By: Conservation International
DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1896/052.022.0110](http://dx.doi.org/10.1896/052.022.0110)
Confirmation of the Presence of the Red-capped Mangabey
*(Cercocebus torquatus)* in Mayumba National Park, Southern Gabon, and Conkouati-Douli National Park, Southern Republic of Congo

Fiona Maisels¹, Quevain Pambou Makaya² and Jean-Robert Onononga³

¹Wildlife Conservation Society, Bronx, New York, USA  
²Wildlife Conservation Society, Gabon, Libreville  
³Wildlife Conservation Society, Brazzaville, Congo

Abstract: Surveys were carried out in Gabon in Mayumba National Park in 2006 and in the Conkouati-Douli National Park in the Republic of Congo in 1996 and 2000. Red-capped mangabeys, *Cercocebus torquatus*, (also known as white-collared mangabeys) were recorded in both parks in areas about 30 km distant from hunting pressure. The distribution of this species is known to extend from west of the Niger River to just south of the Ogooué River in Gabon, but its presence in Southern Congo, while suspected in the Republic of Congo. The published distribution is probably much too uniform, as the species now appears to be absent in areas with even low to medium hunting pressure. We call for resurveys of the sites where it has been previously recorded in the past to confirm continued presence and to clarify its conservation status. We also call for surveys along the coastal forests outside protected areas, especially within Gabon, to identify remaining populations.


Key Words: Red-capped mangabey, white-collared mangabey, *Cercocebus*, biogeography, distribution, Congo

Introduction

The red-capped mangabey *Cercocebus torquatus* (Kerr, 1792) is a medium-sized (females 5–8 kg; males 7–12.5 kg) monkey that occurs along the Atlantic forest coastal area of west and central Africa (Malbrant and Maclatchy 1949; Lee *et al.* 1988; Oates 1996; Kingdon 1997; IEA 1998; Gautier-Hion *et al.* 1999). Opinions differ as to its taxonomic status: IEA considers the species to include both *C. atys* (sooty mangabey) as *C. torquatus atys*, as well as the red-capped mangabey (as *C. t. torquatus*), whereas Kingdon (1997) and Oates (1996) keep *C. atys* and *C. torquatus* separate. Here we refer to *C. torquatus* as the animals living east of the Dahomey gap, in other words from the Nigeria-Benin border eastwards and southwards along the coast.

According to Malbrant and Maclatchy it was (in 1949) “abundant in the coastal region of Gabon and Moyen-Congo” (now the Republic of Congo). They write “The Congo (river) seems to be its southermost limit: at least Schouteden has not recorded it in the Belgian Congo” suggesting that the Congo River marked the southern limit of its distribution. A survey forty years later by Dowsett and Granjon (1991) in the Kouilou area, just south of the present Conkouati-Douli National Park (Fig. 1), showed no sign of this species. Because all place names cited in Malbrant and Maclatchy’s text were actually in Gabon and not Moyen-Congo, Dowsett and Granjon wrote
that “we accept, with hesitation, its past presence in Congo, but there are no recent confirmations”. It is highly possible that the species had been hunted out of the area surveyed by Dowsett and Granjon, as had most of the other large monkey species (Dowsett and Granjon 1991; Wilson and Wilson 1991).

In 1996, the Status Survey and Conservation Plan for African Primates (Oates 1996) called for more surveys in southwest Gabon. This note reports on the presence of red-capped mangabeys recorded during surveys on both sides of the Gabon-Congo border on the Atlantic shore, and discusses the implications for their conservation.

Observations

Troops of red-capped mangabeys were seen during surveys in 1996 and in 2000 in what is now the Conkouati-Douli National Park (Republic of Congo: Fig. 1). Mangabeys were recorded on sixteen occasions at a number of sites in the area. They were sometimes in polyspecific groups with one or more of the guenons Cercopithecus nictitans, C. pogonias and C. cephus. Groups were also seen three times in a third survey in 2006 in the Mayumba National Park in Gabon, just on the other side of the border (Fig. 1). Red-capped mangabeys are fairly conspicuous because they are very vocal and relatively large. They also tend to be spread through the undergrowth and in the trees (they are semi-terrestrial), moving branches and leaves as they forage. Red-capped mangabeys are sympatric with mandrills at both the Conkouati and the Mayumba sites, and both species were seen in large groups at both sites.

During the two Conkouati surveys, red-capped mangabeys were never seen in the forests near the coast. This was assumed to be due to habitat preference — there is a marked gradient in vegetation type with increasing distance from the coast. The mangabeys seen in Conkouati were all in steeply sloping, closed-canopy forest and in gallery forests in the savannas, more than 30 km from the coast. The coastal forests tend to be very marshy. However in the Mayumba National Park, they were common right up to a few hundred metres behind the beach, in the littoral forests along the shore, and, indeed, in the marsh forests along the lagoon. In Mayumba, however, they were not recorded closer than 30 km from the Congo-Gabon border, although they were seen at several sites north of this limit, still within the park.

Data on wildlife and signs of human presence were collected during all three surveys. In Conkouati, in 1996 and 2000, hunting was intensive all along the coast. Several large villages are situated inside the protected area along the coast. Densities of large mammals were very low along the littoral forests there ( extending to about 30 km from the coast). The only exception was chimpanzees, which are protected by local custom in this region. In Mayumba, the area along the Congo-Gabon border (on the Gabon side) had recently been exploited by a logging company, so access into the area was relatively easy along the road network.

Discussions with Congolese and Gabonese field staff revealed that Congolese hunters were in the habit of crossing the border to hunt in the Mayumba region of Gabon. This was partly because of the paucity of wildlife remaining on the Congo side of the border, and partly because the risk of being apprehended by the wildlife (or immigration) authorities on the Gabon side was perceived to be very low. The meat would then be walked back to the Congo border and transported by vehicle to Pointe-Noire, the regional capital of southern Congo, for the bushmeat trade.

Discussion

Cercocebus torquatus was apparently absent, or at densities too low to detect, in Mayumba, Gabon, within 30 km from the Congolese border, and it was not seen on our surveys within 30 km of the coastal villages in Conkouati. Human pressure is largely responsible for their present distribution at these sites. The areas where the monkey was not seen were identified by signs of human activities in the two parks, as well by conversations with local hunters (converted to field assistants) to be areas subject to the highest hunting pressure at the time the data were collected. This would also explain why, even fifteen years ago, the survey team in Kouilou (Dowsett and Granjon 1991) failed to find this species (and also did not record any other medium-sized monkey except a few Cercopithecus nictitans and C. cephus: they also said that grey cheeked mangabeys [Lophocebus albigena] and mandrills [Mandrillus sphinx] had become very rare in the area near the villages). The large size of the red-capped mangabey, semi-terrestrial habits, and conspicuous and quite far-carrying vocalizations (Gautier-Hion et al. 1999) make it easy to locate and a worthy reward for the cost of a single cartridge.
and larger rodents. Even in 1991 in the Kouilou area south of Conkouati in Congo, hunters recognized that all large mammals had become rare (Dowsett-Lemaire and Dowsett 1991; Wilson and Wilson 1991), and they particularly noted that monkeys had become very hard to find since the introduction of firearms in the 1960s. This is echoed in the complaints of local hunters within the Conkouati villages in 1996 and 2000, situated even further from the commercial bushmeat market of Point Noire.

At present, the species is considered Low Risk (Near threatened) in the IUCN Red Data List (IUCN 2006), and is on Appendix II of CITES (Inskipp and Gillett 2005). Our data show that red-capped mangabeys occur about 250 km further south than was previously reported in the published accounts of its southern range (Kingdon 1997; IEA 1998), and we have been able to confirm that they occur in the Republic of Congo as was suggested by Malbrant and Maclatchy (1949). It is likely that this species can only maintain populations where hunting pressure is relatively low. Its quite large distribution contains only a few protected areas, but in not all of them is there effective anti-poaching, and in many of them the species may already have been drastically reduced in number. The alarm bells have already sounded in previous IUCN publications (Lee et al. 1988; Oates 1996). Current threats are listed as habitat loss and harvesting (IUCN 2006).

In Gabon, red-capped mangabeys occur in the National Parks of Loango, Moukalaba-Doudou, and Pongara (Le Duc Yeno 2006; Latour 2006, Maisels (unpubl. data), but they have not been recorded in the Monts de Cristal, despite an extensive survey effort in 2005 (Aba’a Nseme 2006; Maisels et al. 2006).

Surveys in Equatorial Guinea in 1998 failed to find them in the three proposed (at the time) protected areas of Rio Campo, Monts de Mitra, and Altos de Nsork (Larison et al. 1999). They had, however, been present in the first two areas in 1967 (Sabater Pi and Jones 1967) and Fa (1991, in Larison et al. 1999) was told by local hunters that they still occurred in the Altos de Nsork in 1991. They were still present in Monte Alen in 1994 (Garcia and Mba 1997). However, unless those surveying are familiar with the call of the species, they may miss them if they are not actually seen.

Red-capped mangabeys were known to occur in southern Cameroon by Malbrant and Maclatchy (1949), where, however, they noted that the species was rare (Jeannin 1936, in Malbrant and Maclatchy 1949). They have been recorded more recently in several areas in south-west Cameroon (for example, in Banyang Mbo: Willcox and Nambu 2006; Greengrass and Maisels 2007; and in Korup: Waltert et al. 2002), but at very low densities. They have been seen on Mt. Etinde next to Mt. Cameroon (Nku 2004). They were not, however, recorded during the fairly extensive surveys of the Takamanda forest 1997–2002, nor were they known to local people (Sunderland-Groves and Maisels 2003) even though they were there twenty years ago (Thomas 1988) and were collected from Atolo in 1933 (just to the northeast of the Takamanda forest) (Sanderson 1940). Nku (2001) still had them on the list of large mammals of Campo Ma’an, but it is not clear if his data was from direct observations or from a literature review, in which case the sightings could have been much earlier; they were certainly present there in the 1980s (Mitani 1989).

Schlitter et al. (1973) published the range if this species in Nigeria, which was basically all along the coast from east to west nearly up to the western border. More recently, red-capped mangabeys have been shown to still be present in the following areas: southwest Nigeria (Greengrass 2006); the Oban area and between the Niger and the Cross rivers (Oates 1996; Oates et al. 2004; see also Happold 1987). Oates (pers. comm.) suggested that they may be naturally less common in Cameroon and Nigeria because of competition with drills Mandrillus leucophaeus. However, in Mayumba and Conkouati large troops of both mandrills Mandrillus sphinx (which are in a similar niche to drills) and of red-capped mangabeys were recorded living sympatrically.

We suggest that, in future surveys, attention be paid to the presence (or absence) of Cercocebus torquatus. Their vocalizations are fairly far-carrying and, although slightly similar to those of Lophocebus albigena, are slower and more raucous. Survey teams should ensure that they are familiar with the calls of both species before fieldwork begins (using, for example, the reference CD of Gautier-Hion et al. 1999). Museum records should be checked, to further clarify the historical range of the species, and then, where possible, sites outside Gabon where they used to occur should be checked to verify if they still do, with particular attention on Equatorial Guinea and southern Cameroon, including the Douala-Edea Reserve, Mount Cameroon/Etinde, and Campo Ma’an. We also call for surveys along the coastal forests outside protected areas, where possible, especially in Gabon, to identify remaining populations. These data will clarify the distribution and conservation of this species and should play a part in any future assessment of its Red List status.
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