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Abstract

The portrayal of the ‘Vikings’ as an archetypal barbarian ‘other,’ wreaking death and destruction wherever they went, was already current in the medieval period, but in England the depictions became more extreme in the centuries after the attacks. This paper will focus on the texts and archaeology of ninth- and tenth-century England and argue that in many respects Scandinavians were not as ‘other’ as later medieval writers believed. Furthermore, once Scandinavian groups settled in England the notion of ‘otherness’ appears to have quickly disappeared. Particular attention will be paid to the burial record as a means of identifying probable Scandinavians, and for evidence of acculturation to Anglo-Saxon Christian burial customs.1

Of all of the European ‘barbarian’ groups, it is perhaps the Vikings who have the largest place in public consciousness.2 Indeed, this popular response is one of the reasons that the term ‘Viking’ is problematic and ‘Scandinavian’ is preferred in this paper.3 Although the portrayal of Scandinavian invaders and settlers has changed over the centuries, medieval sources did present Scandinavian groups as different to those who were being raided; in particular, emphasis was often placed on their non-Christian status. Yet as R.I. Page’s analysis of the English material made clear, the vitriolic language, including “that filthy race,” “pagans,” and “barbarians,” was usually written by medieval historians commenting on much earlier events and their accounts are often at odds with the reports found in the main historical source, Anglo-Saxon Chronicle (hereafter ASC).4 For example, the gory details of the martyrdom of

1 I would like to thank the reader for the most helpful comments. All errors remain my own.
2 Recent examples of the continuing popularity of Vikings amongst the general public are the 2011 film Thor and the series of Viking novels by Tim Severin.
3 Putting aside modern images of hairy warriors in horned helmets, technically a Viking was someone who raided, so it does not encompass non-combatants such as peaceful settles, crafts-people, traders, women and children. ‘Scandinavian’ is used to denote someone who was likely to have had an ancestral home in Scandinavia, regardless of where they currently resided or were born, and displayed some Scandinavian cultural traits, such as jewellery with Scandinavian art motifs and speaking the Old Norse language.
4 R.I. Page, ‘A Most Vile People: Early English Historians on the Vikings’ (London: Viking Society for Northern Research, 1987). An exception is Asser’s Life of King Alfred written in 893, but
St Edmund and reports of the wanton destruction of churches by the Scandinavians both emanate from texts written a century or more after the (possible) events described. Instead, the primary sources suggest that, although points of difference are evident, in many respects the Scandinavians who raided and settled England in the ninth and tenth centuries had many similarities to the Anglo-Saxons whom they fought. Following a brief review of the origins of both groups and an analysis of the contemporary written record, this paper will concentrate on the evidence from Scandinavian burials as, due to conscious choices being involved, different Scandinavian burial practices found in England provide a valuable guide to cultural differences and efforts at acculturation. A comparison of the burial record with the ornamental metalwork evidence will also be made to extend the discussion of ‘acculturation.’ The paper will focus on the main period of Scandinavian settlement, from c. 865–950. Due to the process of acculturation it is difficult to distinguish between Scandinavian and Anglo-Saxon burials after 950, and indeed often before that date, and this aspect of difference effectively disappears.

Considering their similar geographic origins, cultural similarities between Scandinavians and Anglo-Saxons should come as little surprise. Of the three Anglo-Saxon groups who invaded Britain named by Bede, two, the Angles and Jutes, came from what would become Viking Age Denmark, whilst other evidence suggests participation in the migration from groups elsewhere in Scandinavia. Consequently, Scandinavians and

---


Anglo-Saxons shared legendary heroes and ancestors, and Old Norse and Old English are likely to have been mutually intelligible to a degree during the Viking Age. Similarly, most of the events in the Anglo-Saxon poem *Beowulf* take place in Scandinavia and both Scandinavians and Anglo-Saxons would have recognised the heroic culture that the poem depicts. Consequently, in many respects Scandinavians were more akin to distant cousins to the Anglo-Saxons than an alien ‘other.’ Indeed, someone working with migration theory would consider Viking Age Scandinavian settlement in England as an expected continuation of migration along the routes from Scandinavia established centuries earlier.

The most significant cultural difference between Anglo-Saxons and Scandinavians in the mid-ninth to mid-tenth centuries is that the Anglo-Saxon kingdoms had converted to Christianity, at least officially, by the late seventh century, whereas when the first Scandinavian settlements occurred in England from 876, the immigrants appear to have been pagan. Indeed, the successful conversion of Scandinavia is generally dated from c. 965 and the conversion of the Danish king Harald Bluetooth. It is this difference which is highlighted by Asser, a Welsh monk at the court of Wessex, in his biography of King Alfred, in which

---

7 For example Anglo-Saxon Woden is Scandinavian Óðinn, Thunor is Þórr, etc. For the likelihood of mutual intelligibility, see M. Townend, *Language and History in Viking Age England. Linguistic Relations between Speakers of Old Norse and Old English*, Studies in the Early Middle Ages, vol. 6 (Turnhout: Brepols, 2002).


9 The conversion of the Anglo-Saxons is principally known from Bede’s *Historia ecclesiastica gentis Anglorum*. The baptism of individual Norse-speaking people is reported on the continent in the eighth and ninth centuries, but neither Scandinavia nor any Viking settlements are known to have been completely converted prior to 875. See B. Sawyer, P. Sawyer, and I. Wood (eds), *The Christianization of Scandinavia Report of a Symposium held at Kungälv, Sweden 4–9 August 1985* (Alingsås: Viktoria Bokforlags, 1987). The notice of the first settlement in England can be found in M.J. Swanton (ed. and trans.), *The Anglo-Saxon Chronicles* (London: Phoenix, 2000) annal for 876, 74. The earliest extant version, the ‘A’ text, will be cited unless otherwise specified.

he often uses the Latin *pagani* for the Scandinavians, including one instance in which it is used as an ethnic name for them.\(^{11}\) Indeed, Asser has been accused of deliberately creating a sense of religious war.\(^{12}\) As will be discussed below, this is in stark contrast to the text from which he was getting much of his information: *ASC*.\(^{13}\)

It is curious that terms such as “heathens,” “pagans,” and “barbarians” were not used for the Scandinavian conquerors and settlers in the earliest version of *ASC* (recension A), particularly since the annals of the period covered by this paper are thought to have been written soon after the events described.\(^{14}\) The conquests that culminated in the first recorded settlements of Scandinavians in England were instigated by a group commonly referred to as the great army (a rendering of the Old English *micel here*), for which *ASC* usually uses the terms “raiding-army” (*here*) and “Danes” (*Deniscan*).\(^{15}\) The oft-quoted “great heathen raiding-army” (*mycel hæðen here*) does not actually occur in the earliest extant version of *ASC*, but instead appears in later recensions.\(^{16}\) Even if the absence of ‘heathen’ in the annal for 866 in *ASC* ‘A’ is discounted, it is somewhat startling that the term rarely appears elsewhere in any of the recensions of *ASC* for the activities of the Scandinavians. The annal for


\(^{14}\) *ASC* is thought to have been in existence by 890/892 according to B.E. Yorke, “The Representation of Early West Saxon History in the Anglo-Saxon Chronicle,” in A. Jorgensen (ed.), *Reading the Anglo-Saxon Chronicle: Language, Literature, History*, Studies in the Early Middle Ages, vol. 23 (Turnhout: Brepols, 2010), 141–159, at 158.

\(^{15}\) For the original text, see J. Bately (ed.), *The Anglo-Saxon Chronicle: A Collaborative Edition*, vol. 3: *MS A* (Cambridge: D.S. Brewer, 1986). An example of *here* can be found in the annal for 869 and *Deniscan* in 870 on 47.

871 describes two of the Scandinavian leaders as “heathen kings” (hēþnan cyningas), which would suggest that some members of the army would also be pagans. But the term “heathen” does not appear again until the poem known as *The Capture of the Five Boroughs* which makes up much of the annal for 942 in recensions A, B, C, and D. So for seventy years, a period that saw almost yearly battles between Anglo-Saxons and various Scandinavian groups and witnessed Scandinavian control and settlement of much of eastern and northern England, *ASC* fails to highlight the religion of the Scandinavians. The closest *ASC* otherwise comes to highlighting religious differences are two entries, for 893 and 896, when the Anglo-Saxon forces are described as “Christians,” which may suggest that the Scandinavians whom they had just defeated were not Christian. Similarly, that some Scandinavians were baptised in England may suggest that they had not been Christian previously. The evidence of *ASC* does not mean that most of the Scandinavians were not “heathens,” but it clearly demonstrates that any religious difference was of little interest to the chroniclers.

Indeed, the Scandinavians conquering and settling England were not portrayed as being particularly barbaric or ‘other’ in *ASC*. The only unusual practices recorded are the swearing of oaths on a sacred ring in 876 and perhaps the use of a raven banner in 878. The main difference highlighted in *ASC* about the Scandinavians is not about religious practices, attacks on the church or churchmen, or the ritual killing of captured Anglo-Saxon kings, all of which were attributed to Scandinavians in England during this period by later medieval, and indeed some modern, historians. Instead, the point of difference mentioned in *ASC* is one of trust, with the Scandinavians being accused of not

---

18 Ibid., 893 (Bately, *The Anglo-Saxon Chronicle*, 58 and 61).
19 For example, Guthrum and twenty-nine other members of the great army were baptised after being defeated by Alfred of Wessex in 878; and Alfred and Æthelred of Mercia were godfathers of two sons of the Viking leader Hæsten, *ASC* 878 (Swanton, *The Anglo-Saxon Chronicles*, 76) and 893 (Swanton, *The Anglo-Saxon Chronicles*, 86).
honouring the terms of peace agreements.\textsuperscript{21} Indeed, when agreements were adhered to special comment is made as though it was unexpected.\textsuperscript{22} However, from a modern perspective the alleged untrustworthiness of Scandinavians is not always clear. Apart from the possible language difficulties of recent Scandinavian immigrants understanding the specifics of an agreement with Anglo-Saxons, and how well they recognised the boundaries of different Anglo-Saxon kingdoms, it is impossible to know, for example, how important the great army leader Guthrum considered his oaths sworn to Alfred on the sacred ring in 876 to be.\textsuperscript{23} More dubious still are the records of the descendants of Scandinavians, by now long settled and in political control of the kingdoms of East Anglia and southern Northumbria (then centred on York), breaking the peace against King Edward of Wessex. When Edward spent five weeks inside enemy territory in 909, raiding it and killing many men and destroying much property, there is no mention of him breaking the peace.\textsuperscript{24} This is despite a peace agreement being confirmed between Edward and the East Anglians and Northumbrians in 905, and no mention of hostilities prior to Edward’s raid.\textsuperscript{25} Yet when the Northumbrians raided Edward’s territory the following year, presumably in retaliation (they apparently scorned a new peace deal offered by Edward), they are accused of breaking the peace.\textsuperscript{26} There can be little doubt that the accounts of the untrustworthiness of Scandinavians in \textit{ASC} are biased.

That the failure to honour agreements is the one point of difference from the Anglo-Saxons consistently mentioned in \textit{ASC} suggests that in other regards, such as their appearance and their way of waging war, the Scandinavians were either considered to not be so different, or that the difference was not worth mentioning. Indeed, the first Scandinavian king of East Anglia, Guthrum, has his death recorded in \textit{ASC} as though he was an accepted king of an Anglo-Saxon kingdom. The chronicle even recorded both his Scandinavian name and his Anglo-Saxon baptismal

\begin{thebibliography}{9}
\bibitem{21} See, for example, ASC 876 (Swanton, The Anglo-Saxon Chronicles, 74); 885 (Swanton, The Anglo-Saxon Chronicles, 80); 894 (Swanton, The Anglo-Saxon Chronicles, 84); 911 (Swanton, The Anglo-Saxon Chronicles, 86–87); 917 (Swanton, The Anglo-Saxon Chronicles, 96); 918 (Swanton, The Anglo-Saxon Chronicles, 98); and 921 (Swanton, The Anglo-Saxon Chronicles, 101).
\bibitem{22} For example, on the great army’s last dealings with Alfred, see ibid. 877 (Swanton, The Anglo-Saxon Chronicles, 74) and 878 (Swanton, The Anglo-Saxon Chronicles, 76).
\bibitem{23} Ibid., 876 (Swanton, The Anglo-Saxon Chronicles, 74).
\bibitem{24} Ibid., 910 (Swanton, The Anglo-Saxon Chronicles, 94 and 96).
\bibitem{25} Ibid., 906 (Swanton, The Anglo-Saxon Chronicles, 94).
\bibitem{26} Ibid., 911 (Swanton, The Anglo-Saxon Chronicles, 96).
\end{thebibliography}
name, Æthelstan, and makes no negative comments. It has been noted that only the deaths of Scandinavian leaders killed by Anglo-Saxons are recorded in ASC, so the recording of Guthrum’s death is an exception which demonstrates that this former enemy had become an accepted part of the Anglo-Saxon political landscape.

In terms of possible differences, the failure of largely contemporary annals to mention attacks on churches is surprising as one would consider it to be of interest to both the chroniclers and their audience. The destructive impact of the great army on St Wystan’s at Repton, Derbyshire, then in the centre of the kingdom of Mercia, which was incorporated into their winter fort of 873–874, is obvious from recent excavations. This provides some credibility to other accounts of Scandinavian attacks on churches, for example the twelfth-century addition to the Peterborough text (‘E’) of ASC recording an attack on Peterborough in 870, although the severity of the attack may be an exaggeration. The destruction of St Wystan’s is not recorded in any text of ASC, perhaps suggesting that it was not known to the Wessex-based chronicler. It is also the only certain example of Scandinavians having a detrimental effect on a church building. Indeed, the failure of ASC to mention any Scandinavian attacks on churches in Wessex, despite their extensive campaigns there in the 870s and 890s, strongly suggests that churches were not badly damaged. If this were the case then it argues against the notion of Scandinavian armies deliberately destroying churches, and the damage done to St Wystan’s appears to have been due to practical logistics rather than anti-Christian sentiment. There are other candidates for church buildings damaged by Scandinavian armies, most notable Monkwearmouth, Durham, where burning was evident, but problems with precisely dating such damage makes it impossible to attribute the destruction to Scandinavians. Additionally, there exists evidence of some continuity at many of the sites that later medieval

27 Ibid., 890 (Swanton, The Anglo-Saxon Chronicles, 82).
30 For the attack see ASC 870 (Swanton, The Anglo-Saxon Chronicles, 71), recension ‘E’.
writers claimed were destroyed by Scandinavians. So far those Scandinavians who settled in England do not appear to have been particularly ‘other’ or barbaric.

Yet when the burial evidence is considered some clear differences emerge, despite, again, the silence on the matter in the written sources. Following a brief overview of the Scandinavian burial evidence, its significance will be discussed.

Figure 1 Scandanavian burials in England, c. 865–950
Source: Map drawn by Aurore McLeod.

31 For an overview of the evidence for the destruction and survival of church buildings see D.M. Hadley, The Vikings in England: Settlement, Society and Culture (Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2006), 194–207

32 For a map of the burials see fig. 1.
Cremation is the most obviously non-Christian style of burial practiced during the medieval period, and by the mid-to-late ninth century cremation was most certainly not in the repertoire of Anglo-Saxon burial practices, with the last cremations occurring in the seventh century. Consequently, cremation was re-introduced to England by Scandinavians and the use of cremation would have immediately marked its practitioners out as different. However Scandinavian cremations in England are rare, comprising the large but unique barrow cemetery at Heath Wood, near Ingleby in Derbyshire, and three possible cremations found by antiquarians in the north-east, of which the most probable was found under a mound at Hesket-in-the-Forest, Cumbria. The rarity of Scandinavian cremations in England and elsewhere in Britain, suggests that those in England might have been made by migrants embarking directly from Scandinavia who were continuing their traditional burial practices regardless of their new location. The similarity between the Heath Wood and Hesket mounds, include the mounds being newly constructed, the cremation of animals, and a layer of clean sand underlying some of the cremations, may strengthen this possibility, or could suggest that people who had been involved in the Heath Wood cemetery later moved to the north-west and built a single mound in similar style.

The Heath Wood and Hesket-in-the-Forest cremations bring us to another point of difference between Scandinavian and contemporary Anglo-Saxon burials: the use of burial mounds, or barrows. Like

35 There are no certain Scandinavian cremations in Ireland. There are cremations known from Scotland, but all but one are thought to be later than the Heath Wood cemetery. The exception is at King’s Cross Point on Arran, which may be of a similar date, according to Richards, “Excavations at the Viking Barrow Cemetery,” 97–98.
36 Heath Wood is dated to the 870s and/or 880s whilst Hesket-in-the-Forest is thought to be early tenth century, according to Richards, “Excavations at the Viking Barrow Cemetery,” 98–99.
cremation, barrows had not been constructed for burials in England for over a century, so the Scandinavians effectively re-introduced a defunct burial practice to England. Indeed, this chronology is the primary reason that Alexander suggested that the burial of three people accompanied by minimal grave-goods in a mound at Cambois, near Bedlington, Northumberland was Scandinavian rather than Anglo-Saxon as earlier scholars had supposed. These burials were inserted into what is described as a tumulus, a word usually used to describe an artificial mound although Angela Redmond has suggested that this mound might have been natural. Even if Redmond is correct, and there is no reason to suspect that the author of the nineteenth-century report was not using “tumulous” with its usual meaning, those performing the burial at Cambois would not necessarily have realised that the mound was natural and might have thought that they were adding their dead to an existing barrow.

Regardless of the uncertain status of the Cambois mound, there are other certain Scandinavian mound burials where a pre-existing barrow was used. Scandinavian remains represent secondary burials in Bronze Age barrows at Aspatria (on the summit of Beacon Hill), Cumbria, and Claughton Hall, near Garstang, Lancashire. Similarly, the accompanied Scandinavian churchyard burials at Rampside, Lancashire, and Ormside, Westmorland, may have been earlier than those churches and were also reusing earlier man-made features. At Rampside two Scandinavian burials were placed on top of a Neolithic long barrow, whilst at Ormside the burial is on an undated man-made earthwork. If these burials were earlier than the churches they may have been the reason for churchyards later appearing on these man-made landscape features. Additionally, there is a possible mound burial (no body was reported with the antiquarian find) in sand dunes at Meols, Wirral, Cheshire, where a deliberately-bent

One of the most remarkable Scandinavian burials in England involved both the reuse of an existing, though no longer in use, building associated with the dead, and the raising of a new barrow. As mentioned above, the monastery of St Wystan’s at Repton was converted into the winter camp of the great army in 873–874, a winter which saw the kingdom of Mercia conquered and its king flee to Rome.43 Judging by the discovery of five pennies dated to between 872 and 874 it was probably also at this time that a disused building, which is thought to have once been a mortuary chapel, was cut down to ground level, had the disarticulated remains of at least 264 people arranged within it, and then covered with a stone and pebble mound, creating a mass chamber burial.44 At one corner of the mound a grave was dug in which four young people (aged 8 to 17) were buried. They have been interpreted as sacrificial victims, killed to commemorate the closing of the mound.45

A more common type of Scandinavian burial than those discussed above appears to have been isolated inhumations without a barrow. Some of these burials may have occurred whilst Scandinavian armies were on campaign, and where logistical considerations may have demanded a quick burial, although it should be remembered that the mass burial at

---

43 ASC 874 (Swanton, The Anglo-Saxon Chronicles, 72).
44 Biddle and Kjølbye-Biddle, “Repton and the ‘great heathen army’ 873–4,” 67–74. Although the alterations made to the building sound similar to the description of the great army “demolishing them [monasteries] from the very foundations” found in the twelfth century Liber Eliensis, it is likely to just be part of the many unsubstantiated claims made in the work against the Scandinavians, rather than representing knowledge of the events at Repton. Liber Eliensis 1.39 (Fairweather, Liber Eliensis, 71).
45 Biddle and Kjølbye-Biddle, “Repton and the ‘great heathen army’ 873–4,” 73–74. The grave is described as a “pit” in the article but the accompanying drawing suggests a grave.
Repton was also constructed by an army on campaign, albeit at a winter camp. The weapon burials at Reading, Berkshire, which also included a horse, and Sonning, Wokingham, on opposite sides of the River Thames are both thought to be male warrior burials from the winter of 870–871, when the great army spent the winter at Reading. 46 Similarly, it has been suggested that another two weapon burials found at Thetford, Norfolk, might relate to the great army’s winter camp at that location in 869–870, and the Hook Norton burial with coins mentioned above may also relate to the movement of the great army. 47 However isolated weapon burials without mounds appear to have continued after Scandinavians began to settle in England. In areas they settled from 876 two weapon burials possibly from the tenth century were discovered at Nottingham, a single burial with a horse, sword and coins deposited in c. 895 at Leigh-on-Sea, Essex, and one either on or beside a Roman road at Camp Hill near Bedale, North Yorkshire, which included both a spearhead and a pair of oval brooches, a common female brooch-type in Scandinavia. 48 At Adwick-le-Street, South Yorkshire, a late ninth-century female burial including a pair of oval brooches and bronze bowl was found in a ditch alongside a Roman road, although the shallowness of the burial makes it possible that it was once accompanied by other burials. 49 There are also probable isolated burials in the north-west of England, where the absence of locally produced primary sources make the dating of Scandinavian settlement difficult to establish. These include the probable burial from near Bolton, Lancashire. 50


48 For Nottingham see Graham-Campbell, “Pagan Scandinavian Burial,” 105–106. For Bedale see Redmond, Viking Burial, 95. For Leigh-on-Sea see Hadley, The Vikings in England, 243–244. For the Scandinavian settlement of the kingdoms of Northumbria and East Anglia and the eastern part of Mercia see ASC 876–877 (Swanton, The Anglo-Saxon Chronicles, 74) and 880 (Swanton, The Anglo-Saxon Chronicles, 76).


There are two other accompanied inhumations without mounds which are a little more difficult to describe as ‘isolated’. Both are thought to belong to the post-settlement period and were in the Scandinavian-rulled kingdom of East Anglia. At Santon Downham, Norfolk, there was a burial with a sword and pair of oval brooches, whilst at MiddleHarling, Norfolk, a burial with four small knives and a whetstone has been interpreted as that of a craftsman. However their locations, on the slope of a hill north of a church and in a ditch that was possibly a churchyard boundary respectively, imply that both may have had some association with Christian cemeteries.

This brings us to another category of Scandinavian burials in England: accompanied churchyard inhumations with Christian alignment, known as transitional burials. These were still different from the contemporary Anglo-Saxon norm, in which churchyard burials often included items associated with costume, including small knives, but no longer featured more elaborate grave-goods such as swords and bowls. For this reason possible transitional burials that included small Viking-Age costume-related objects, such as a tenth-century bone comb at St Patrick’s Chapel, Heysham, Lancashire, and tenth-century buckles, strap-ends, knives, and a comb case at Carlisle, Cumbria, will not feature in this discussion. Some of the accompanied Scandinavian churchyard burials belong to the period of conquest when the great army was on the campaign that saw them win lands to settle. The earliest of these burials would appear to be in St Mary’s churchyard, Reading, where a coffin burial contained at least eleven coins. As it is thought that the coins were deposited in c. 870–871 and Reading is not an area in which Scandinavians settled, this burial, like the isolated weapon burials as at Reading and Sonning discussed above, is likely to date to the 870–871 winter camp of the great army. Another three accompanied churchyard burials recovered from St Wystan’s, Repton, all of which have been subjected to stable isotope analysis to determine where the individuals had spent their childhood’s, are also thought to date to the campaigning period, probably

104 (2008), 151–158. See 158 of this article and Griffiths, “The Archaeological Background,” 15, for other possible Scandinavian burials.
52 For a review of contemporary Anglo-Saxon burial practices see Hadley, The Vikings in England, 246–249.
53 See Griffiths, “The Archaeological Background,” 16 for these examples.
the winter camp of 873–874. Unlike the St Mary’s burial, one of the churchyard burials at Repton contained extensive grave goods, including a sword, a hammer pendant popularly associated with the Scandinavian god Þórr, and possible symbolic objects in a jackdaw humerus and a boar’s tusk. This burial was also covered with a low mound of stones about 40 centimetres high. However the other two certain Scandinavian burials at Repton contained minimal grave goods, including a knife with one and five coins dated to the mid-870s with the other. Later accompanied churchyard burials include one with a sword, spear, knife, and sickle at Wensley, Yorkshire, and eight or nine inhumations accompanied by four swords, knives, an axe, and a set of scales actually found under the nave of the church at Kildale, Yorkshire. As with the churchyard burials at Rampside and Ormside discussed above, the burials at Kildale may be earlier than the church. A number of Viking-Age weapon finds from English churchyards may also represent disturbed burials.

A final type of Scandinavian burial site in England is that of an inhumation cemetery not associated with a church. The only example known in England is the cemetery at Cumwhitton, Cumbria, discovered by metal-detectorists in 2004, but a number are known in Scotland. The Cumwhitton hilltop cemetery consisted of six burials whose grave-goods included oval brooches, swords, knives, spearheads, an axe, a shield, and an arm-ring. The burials do not appear to have been covered by mounds, although one was marked by a ditch which may suggest a

---

56 Biddle and Kjølbye-Biddle, “Repton and the ‘great heathen army’ 873–4,” 60–65. The stone mound was also associated with one of the two burials discussed below, which was placed beside the one currently under discussion.
57 Ibid., 65. The certain burials are those where stable isotope analysis has showed that those buried had spent their childhoods living in places known to have had a Scandinavian population in the ninth century.
59 For example an axe at Repton (Biddle and Kjølbye-Biddle, “Repton and the ‘great heathen army’ 873–4,” 65) and a spearhead beneath a Scandinavian hogback sculpture at Heysham, Lancashire (Redmond, Viking Burial in the North of England, 108).
mound. Despite the absence of a church and the inclusion of grave-goods, the deceased appear to have been laid on their backs and the graves were aligned roughly east-west. It has been suggested that the graves were orientated so that the dead overlooked the present village of Cumwhitton, where the Scandinavian community may have lived.

The first thing to be noted about the record of Scandinavian burial in England is the sheer number of options that were available to those who were deciding how to bury their dead: cremation or inhumation?; new barrow, existing barrow, or no barrow?; extensive or minimal grave-goods?; exactly which items should be included with the burial?; whereabouts in the landscape should the burial take place?; churchyard, new cemetery, or isolated burial?; if in a cemetery, where should the burial be placed? Should it include a mound? If an isolated burial, was a hill, water-side, or road a more appropriate location? This list of choices is an important reminder that burial was probably as much about the living as the dead, and of course the living had the final word. Burials in the early medieval period have been used by scholars for information on such things as religious beliefs, ethnic identity, migration, evidence of communities under duress, political alliances, acculturation, and societal aspirations, and all of these things and others may have played a part. At times, each object placed with a burial has been subjected to scrutiny for its possible ‘meaning,’ and the possibility that an object may have been placed with the dead simply because it was a favoured possession is almost lost in the scholarly desire to ascribe meaning. It is a difficult trap not to fall into, and it is always possible, though not necessarily likely, that the objects in the following discussion, for example the Þórr’s hammer pendant found with a burial at Repton, had no extra meaning to the deceased or those burying them than that it was a favoured possession.

A number of inferences may be suggested from the various broad categories of Scandinavian burial outlined above. Firstly, despite the primary written sources, and indeed some more recent works, often lumping all Scandinavian groups together, this is likely to obscure the historical reality of different peoples from different places with different

---

65 The Þórr’s hammer pendants will be discussed below.
cultures. The sheer variety of Scandinavian burial types present in England strongly suggests people migrating from more than one location. Secondly, monumental Scandinavian burials, those which marked the landscape and would have been alien to the contemporary sensibilities of the Anglo-Saxon population, are relatively widespread but few.\(^{66}\) This may suggest that the need for such memorials was short-lived, and/or that multiple examples were not considered necessary at the same location. Finally, the churchyard burials suggest conversion to Christianity, or at least acculturation to local Anglo-Saxon burial practices. The remarkable concentration of Scandinavian burial types found in Derbyshire at Heath Wood and Repton provide an ideal opportunity to test some of these inferences.

As mentioned above, the cremation barrow cemetery at Heath Wood is perhaps the clearest indication of a Scandinavian population migrating directly from Scandinavia. Although many of the migrants may be thought to have embarked from Ireland and northern Francia, the absence of cremation barrow cemeteries in those places makes it unlikely that that was where those cremated at Heath Wood were from.\(^{67}\) Instead, parallels have been drawn to cremations in Denmark, Sweden, and Norway, and those buried at Heath Wood may have arrived soon enough from Scandinavia to both remember the details of the cremation rites, and to not be overly influenced by other burial customs.\(^{68}\) The Heath Wood cemetery is on a small bluff overlooking the River Trent, so the barrow cemetery, and the smoke from those cremations conducted \textit{in situ}, may have been easily identifiable for those travelling through the region, including by boat.\(^{69}\) The decision to locate the cemetery at a visible site

\(^{66}\) Although such burials were part of the pre-Scandinavian Anglo-Saxon landscape and their own presumably remembered past.


\(^{68}\) For parallels see Richards, “Excavations at the Viking Barrow Cemetery at Heath Wood,” 96.

\(^{69}\) Ibid., 25. However Graham-Campbell, “Pagan Scandinavian Burial,” 110, does not believe that the mounds themselves would have been physically imposing.
could suggest a group who were proudly asserting their cultural origins to
their neighbours, both Scandinavian and Anglo-Saxon. The use of
cremation, along with animal sacrifice, marks the cemetery as pagan and

St Wystan’s at Repton is also on the Trent and only four kilometres
south-west of Heath Wood, but the Scandinavian burials found there are
very different, although both sites were used to bury members of the
same army. The mass burial under a mound described above may sound
markedly pagan, especially if its completion included pagan rites involving
the sacrifice of those buried at the edge of the mound, and this is
probably how it appeared to the local Anglo-Saxon population,
particularly to any members of the local monastic community witnessing
the destruction of their building and the stacking of human bones. But
this is not necessarily how the Scandinavians would have considered it.
Radio-carbon dating of sixteen bones from the burial returned dates from
the seventh through to the late ninth centuries, so although some were
likely to belong to members of the great army which created the burial in
873–874, others are clearly much earlier and are likely to derive from the
monastic community.70 If the disused building was a mortuary chapel as
suggested by the excavators then some of the bones may have come from
there, but most are likely to derive from the Scandinavian earthwork.71
The construction of the defensive ditch through the churchyard disturbed
a number of burials and it may have been these remains which were
placed into the mass burial.72 The moving of disturbed burials would
account for both the lack of small bones in the mass burial and their
disarticulated state before they were stacked.73 The four proposed
sacrifice victims also returned radiocarbon dates spanning two centuries,
making it possible that the grave also included older burials added to the
recently deceased in another ritual burial.74 The reburial of disturbed

70 Biddle and Kjolbye-Biddle, “Repton and the ‘great heathen army’ 873–4,” 74, table
4.1.
71 For the suggestion that the two-celled stone building was a mortuary chapel, see ibid.,
67.
72 For the earthwork and its relationship to the churchyard burials see ibid., 57–60 and
fig. 4.8.
73 J.D. Richards, “Pagans and Christians at a Frontier: Viking Burial in the Danelaw,”
in M.O.H. Carver (ed.), The Cross Goes North: Processes of Conversion in Northern Europe,
74 Hall, Exploring the World of the Vikings, 85. These radiocarbon results are not presented
in the original article (Biddle and Kjolbye-Biddle, “Repton and the ‘great heathen
remains, although not done in a particularly Christian manner, does show a certain amount of respect and consideration for the dead. This would have been much less time consuming to leave the disturbed remains where they were. Indeed, in the assembling of bones in a chamber the Scandinavians may have been influenced by Anglo-Saxon burial practices at St Wystan’s. It has been noted that the recesses in the crypt which were used for important human remains are not large enough to hold a body, being only 152.4 centimetres wide and 91.4 centimetres deep. Consequently, it is thought that the recesses held not bodies but bones in caskets, which had been buried and then dug up after the flesh had decayed. These collections of bones in recesses against the crypt’s walls, which were also likely to be missing many of the smaller bones, are reminiscent of what the Scandinavians did with the bones in the mound burial, and considering that the Scandinavians were in occupation of the church they had no doubt investigated the crypt.

army’ 873–4,” appendix A, 87–92), so Hall must be referring to further unpublished results.

76 For the dimensions of the recesses see H.M. Taylor, St Wystan’s Church Repton: A Guide and History (Derby: J.M. Tatler and Sons, 2002 [rev. edn]), 18. For the suggesting regarding the recesses see the “Repton crypt” entry on the website of St Wystan’s church <www.reptonchurch.org.uk> [22 September 2012]. For a photograph of the crypt, see Figure 2.
The respect shown in reburying the disturbed remains of the ancestors of the recently conquered kingdom also hints at appropriation. The burials under the mound included the remains of those who had been associated with the surrounding land in the past, perhaps including members of the Mercian royal family, and probably recent remains of some Scandinavians, the new rulers of the land. Consequently, the burial effectively combined the remains of the new and earlier rulers, as did the re-use of barrows at Aspatria and Claughton Hall. All of these burials may

---

77 St Wystan’s was the burial place of a number of Mercian kings and claimants to the throne, Biddle and Kjølbye-Biddle, “Repton and the ‘great heathen army’ 873–4,” 50. Of the four individuals from the mass burial selected for stable isotope analysis one, a female, is likely to have grown up in mid-Continental or Baltic Europe, and her results are similar to a Scandinavian male buried in the churchyard. For the results see Budd et al., “Investigating Population Movement,” 137–138. For a discussion of the presence of women with a Scandinavian army see S.H. McLeod, “Warriors and Women: The Sex Ratio of Norse Migrants to Eastern England up to 900 AD,” *Early Medieval Europe* 19 (2011), 332–353.
be seen as an attempt to create a sense of continuity and legitimacy, inserting the Scandinavian dead into monuments that marked the landscape. However the construction of new mounds, such as those at Hesket-in-the-Forest, Repton, and possibly Hook Norton, involved considerable time and effort, suggesting that they were important to those who constructed them. The Repton burial in particular involved the labour-intensive task of demolishing a building to ground level, laying down a layer of clean red marl on the surface, sorting and stacking the bones of 264 people, and then building a mound and stone kerb, and killing and burying the proposed sacrificial victims. Repton, which was a new mound incorporating older remains, may thus be seen as a special burial, perhaps a visible political statement by the new rulers of Mercia at a site associated with the kingdom’s previous rulers. In creating the mound burial the Scandinavians were appropriating both the site and the remains of some of its former inhabitants.

The mounds at Repton and Heath Wood were geographically close but quite different. The Heath Wood cemetery had multiple small barrows, Repton one large one. Although both examples were constructed by members of the same army, the different burial rites suggest that they were serving different groups within the army, which may in part explain the closeness of the burial sites. It is a very different situation in the north-west. The most northerly Scandinavian barrow was the one at Aspatria, which is approximately 22 kilometres from the barrow at Hesket-in-the-Forest. Although close by modern standards, this still represents a not-inconsiderable distance by horse or foot. However the use of inhumation at Aspatria and cremation at Hesket may suggest that these burials served different Scandinavian communities. Claughton Hall is a further 96 kilometres, or two days by horse, south from Hesket-in-the-Forest. If the two Scandinavian burials added to earlier man-made features that were not mounds are included in this discussion, Ormside is 48 kilometres from Hesket-in-the-Forest, and Rampside is approximately 70 kilometres from Claughton Hall, or closer if a boat is taken across Morecambe Bay. When these burials in landscape features in north-west England are considered there does appear, with the exception of Aspatria and Hesket-in-the-Forest, to have been one Scandinavian burial in a

80 It has been estimated that on a multi-day journey a horse could carry a rider 50 kilometres per day: C. Gillmor, “War on the Rivers: Viking Numbers and Mobility on the Seine and Loire, 841–886,” Viator 19 (1988), 79–109 at 105.
visible landscape feature every day or so for someone riding through the Scandinavian settlement areas in the north-west. Or to consider the evidence from another perspective, there was perhaps one visible burial per local Scandinavian community. Of course there may have been other barrows that were destroyed before being recorded, such as the burial at Eaglesfield, Cumbria, but the impression of burials in landscape features not being close together, certainly not within view of each other, prevails. There must have been many more Scandinavian burials in the north-west than there are barrows, so it was presumably decided that one prominent burial in each locality would suffice.

To return to Repton, some Scandinavian burials at the site display a degree of acculturation. The three certain Scandinavian burials in the churchyard are dated to 873–874, that is, before the first recorded Scandinavian settlement and whilst the great army was still actively campaigning. Despite this, there are indications that these burials are Christian, or at least that local burial customs had been adopted to some degree. This implies that Scandinavian acculturation to Anglo-Saxon culture was occurring before settlement, and certainly before Guthrum and some of his leading followers accepted baptism as part of the agreement reached with Alfred of Wessex in 878. Although the burial with multiple grave-goods may seem to be pagan, indeed it included a Þórr’s hammer pendant, it and the two others with minimal grave-goods were aligned in the standard Christian way and were buried close to the church, with one of the graves actually touching a church wall. There are few holier places to be buried in a churchyard. Furthermore, the grave which included the Þórr’s hammer is thought to have been the first burial in that part of the churchyard. That it and the two subsequent Scandinavian burials were aligned in the Christian manner is therefore significant as they were not simply following the alignment of the existing graves, but those burying them actively chose the alignment. Considering the amount of damage that the church building suffered during the stay of the great army it would appear unlikely that members of the monastic

81 The Eaglesfield burial is described as a mound burial in Hadley, *The Vikings in England*, 241, a possible mound burial in Richards, *Viking Age England*, 193, but Redmond, *Viking Burial in the North of England*, 106, does not mention a mound and suggests that the inhumation was in a Christian cemetery.
82 ASC 878 (Swanton, *The AngloSaxon Chronicles*, 76).
83 Biddle and Kjølbye-Biddle, “Repton and the ‘great heathen army’ 873–4,” 61 and 66, fig. 4.11.
84 Ibid., 66.
community would have remained and helped bury the Scandinavian dead, so instead it may be thought that the alignment was chosen by Scandinavians. With this in mind, the Christian alignment of the graves in the cemetery at Cumwhitton discussed above might not be mere chance. It may also be significant that the crypt which housed the shrine of St Wystan at Repton appears to have remained intact during the Scandinavian occupation. Indeed, the new Scandinavian burials and the small stone mound over the most elaborate burial would have been visible from the north-facing window in the crypt, thereby creating a possible association between the Scandinavian burials and the saint. The other early Scandinavian churchyard burial, at St Mary’s, Reading, also displays significant evidence of acculturation. As mentioned above it is thought that the coffin burial with minimal grave goods is related to the great army winter camp at Reading in 870–871, the same as the dating given for the isolated weapon burials at Reading and Sonning on opposite banks of the River Thames. If this dating is correct, then it is surely significant that a decision was made by the living to place the burial in a churchyard rather than on the banks of the Thames. Although it is possible that the alignment of the Repton burials was dictated by the church building rather than religious considerations, and that churchyards were simply a convenient place to bury the dead (especially at Repton where part of the churchyard was inside the winter camp enclosure), I consider the St Mary’s, Reading, and St Wystan’s, Repton burials to be early examples of transitional burials. If this is correct, then the quick acculturation to Anglo-Saxon/Christian burial forms raises the possibility that some Scandinavians had arrived in England with an understanding of Christian burial customs, and perhaps Christianity itself.

The quick adoption of Christian burial customs by some of the Scandinavians begs the question “where had the practice been learnt?” It is possible that it had occurred in England, although the very early Christian-style burials at Reading and Repton less than a decade after the great army arrived perhaps make this unlikely. Some Scandinavians living in Scandinavia had become familiar with Christianity: by the mid-ninth century a number of Scandinavians, probably merchants, residing at

85 Richards, “Pagans and Christians at a Frontier,” 388.
86 For the windows in the crypt see Taylor, *St Wystan’s Church*, 18.
87 For the arrival of the great army see ASC 866 (Swanton, *The Anglo-Saxon Chronicles*, 68).
Birka and Hedeby had been baptised at Dorestad and Hamburg. Archbishop Ebo of Reims preached in Denmark in 823 and in 826 the king of Denmark, Harald Klak, was baptized in Mainz before returning home accompanied by the missionary Anskar, who intended to continue the work of Ebo. This mission ended abruptly when Harald was exiled in 827, but following a mission to Birka in Sweden which resulted in a church being built, Anskar was able to return to Denmark in the middle of the century when he was successful in establishing churches in the trading centres of Hedeby and Ribe. He is also reported to have earlier established a school to educate some Danish boys in a Christian manner. Furthermore, international trading centres are likely to have included foreign Christian merchants, with Hedeby thought to have had resident populations of Frisians, Saxons, and Franks, and there is evidence for Franks/Frisians, including women, living at Kaupang, Norway, during the ninth century. Despite these possibilities, the Scandinavians in England were more likely to have become acquainted with Christianity in Ireland or Frisia. Scandinavian settlements had been established in Frisia intermittently from 826 and Dublin from 841, considerably earlier than the Scandinavian settlements in England from 876. As both Francia and Ireland were Christian kingdoms, Scandinavians living there are likely to

91 Ibid., 8 (Robinson, *Anskar*, 44).
have been regularly exposed to the Christianity of the local population. There is historical, archaeological, and place-name evidence for Scandinavians from Ireland invading and settling in England from 865,94 and place-name and later textual evidence for settlers from Frisia.95 Considering Ireland and Frisia as part of the cultural background of the Scandinavians in England helps us to understand the evidence for early acculturation.

As the evidence demonstrates that some of the Christian or transitional Scandinavian burials occurred earlier than some of the pagan burials, for example the c. 871 burial in St Mary’s churchyard, Reading, is likely to be earlier than the c. 873–878 cremations at Heath Wood and much earlier than the cremation at Hesket-in-the-Forest dated to the early tenth century, it is not surprising that pagan burials do not appear to have continued for long. It is likely that only the first generation of immigrants, and not even all of them, were buried in non-Christian style as their children probably adapted to the Christian society in which they grew up.96 Consequently, pagan burials in eastern England, which was settled from 876, and in the north-west, settled from c. 900 or earlier, dated after 900 and 925 respectively, are most likely to represent the burials of new Scandinavian immigrants. By 950 non-Christian burial in England appears to have ceased.

The acculturation process is also evident in finds of ornamental metalwork, including possible evidence of Anglo-Saxons adopting aspects of Scandinavian culture. There has been a significant increase in the number of finds of Scandinavian-style jewellery in the last two decades due to the use of metal-detectors, and reporting to the Portable Antiquities Scheme. Perhaps surprisingly, considering traditional views of Scandinavian settlement in England, it has been noted that there are more

---

94 See in general Downham, *Viking Kings of Britain and Ireland*. ‘Ireby/Irby’ names combine the Old Norse -by, settlement, with ‘Irish’: however it is thought that they are more likely to represent the settlement of Scandinavians who had come from Ireland than actual Irish settlers, R.H. Bremmer Jr, “Frisians in Anglo-Saxon England: A Historical and Toponymical Investigation,” *Fryske nammen* 3 (1981), 45–94, at 78.


96 Most famously Oda, archbishop of Canterbury, was the son of a member of the great army. For the likely acculturation of the children on Scandinavian migrants, including Oda, see D.M. Hadley and K.A. Hemer, “Microcosms of Migration: Children and Early Medieval Population Movement,” *Childhood in the Past* 4 (2011), 63–78, at 72–75.
Scandinavian female dress items than those worn by men. Kershaw considers that the Scandinavian-style jewellery had probably “been introduced to England on the clothing of female settlers from Scandinavia, rather than items having arrived as trade goods for the mass market.” Yet significantly, the use of Anglo-Saxon rather than Scandinavian-style pin fittings on a significant number of the Scandinavian-style (rather than Anglo-Scandinavian) brooches demonstrates that many were made locally, and could suggest that they were adapted to also be worn by the Anglo-Saxon population. The process of acculturation continued with the manufacture of Anglo-Scandinavian brooches, wherein the two brooch forms merged, often with an Anglo-Saxon shaped (disc) brooch bearing Scandinavian art motifs.

However, this high level of acculturation is not seen in another form of jewellery, that of Þórr’s hammer pendants. Along with cremation and the possibility of human sacrifice at Repton, Þórr’s hammer pendants are the clearest indication of Scandinavian pagan religious affiliation due to their association with the Scandinavian god. The Þórr’s hammer pendants found in England, of which there are at least eighteen known in 2013, suggests that they were worn as pagan, and Scandinavian, amulets marking their wearers as different from the Anglo-Saxon population.

---


98 Kershaw, “Culture and Gender in the Danelaw,” 299.

99 Ibid., 299–300, and 310 for a specific example.

100 Cf. Leahy and Paterson, “New Light on the Viking Presence in Lincolnshire,” 193, who acknowledge “the possibility that local women adapted their dress to suit Scandinavian fashions.”


102 Other possible indications are figurative mounts or appliqués and oval brooches. For the former see T. Pestell, “Imports or Immigrants? Reassessing Scandinavian Metalwork in Late Anglo-Saxon East Anglia,” in D. Bates and R. Liddiard (eds) East Anglia in its North Sea World (Woodbridge: Boydell Press, 2013), 230–255, at 243. I would like to thank the author for sending me a copy of this article prior to publication. For oval brooches see McLeod, The Beginning of Scandinavian Settlement in England, ch. 6.

103 See Pestell, “Imports or Immigrants?” 242. In museum collections I am aware of single Thor’s hammers at Derby Museum and Art Gallery, Saffron Walden Museum,
Furthermore, the discovery of three or four hammer pendants at the Torksey productive site, dated to the great army winter camp of 872–873 and where possible evidence of the minting of coin forgeries has been recovered, could indicate that the pendants were being made by Scandinavians in England before they began to settle.\textsuperscript{104} Even if Þórr’s hammer pendants were not being manufactured in England as early as the campaigns of the great army, there is the possibility that expensive silver versions with gold filigree were being produced at a workshop in Norfolk, suggesting that there “was a large enough pagan clientele to commission them.”\textsuperscript{105} If the hammers were a pagan reaction to Christianity as Staeker suggests, it suggests that most of the Þórr’s hammers would have belonged to first generation immigrants, including members of the great army.\textsuperscript{106} The discovery of Þórr’s hammer on a necklace of the man buried at Repton, a probable member of the great army, supports this position.\textsuperscript{107} So too does the fact that the majority of the hammer pendants thus far have been found in East Anglia, which submitted to Wessex in 917: it is likely that Christianity was an important aspect of integration with Wessex and therefore pagan amulets may not have been tolerated.

Despite the possibility of a number of Scandinavian immigrants using Þórr’s hammer pendants to signify their ethnicity and non-Christian status (but only if the pendants were worn conspicuously on top of rather than under their clothing), the number of hammer pendants recovered is minor compared to other forms of jewelry that do suggest that...
acculturation of Scandinavians in England

acculturation was underway. Furthermore, as argued above, there are possible signs of acculturation with the Repton burial, even if it was due to those burying the warrior rather than the wishes of the man himself. Instead, the evidence from the growing corpus of ornamental metalwork is similar to that of the burial record. There is evidence of traditional (pagan) Scandinavian practices and religious beliefs being introduced to England by the immigrants, but the majority of the evidence suggests that those continuing to publicly display such beliefs were soon in the minority. Instead, most of the Scandinavian immigrants soon entered into a process of acculturation with the Anglo-Saxon population, resulting in transitional burials in churchyards and jewellery which included aspects of Anglo-Saxon design. Indeed, this process may be evident as early as the c. 871 burial in St Mary’s churchyard at Reading, and to have commenced in the Scandinavian settlements in Ireland and Frisia.

To return to the notion of the Scandinavians in England in the mid-ninth to mid-tenth centuries appearing to the Anglo-Saxons as barbarians, there is little in the contemporary historical record to support the notion, other than in Asser who highlights the non-Christian status of the Scandinavians. The clearest difference between the two groups can be seen in the burial record, on which the historical sources, even the later ones which are often the most biased against the Scandinavians, are largely silent. Indeed, this silence in the sources about burials which were clearly visible in the medieval landscape raise interesting questions about the written sources that is worthy of further consideration. Although there was the occasional use of cremation and barrows by the Scandinavian immigrants, there are indications of their early adoption of Christian/Anglo-Saxon burial practices, even if grave-goods were included. Despite the enduring perception of ‘Vikings’ in popular portrayals as one of the most obvious and easily identifiable barbarian groups, this does not appear to have been the opinion of the contemporaries in England who produced the primary written sources.

108 For example the eighteen or nineteen Þórr’s hammers noted above for all of England is still less than the twenty-five found at the single site of Storagård, Tissø, Denmark. For the latter see Hall, Exploring the World of the Vikings, 171.